COMPLIANCE AUDIT

District Court 32-2-51

Delaware County, Pennsylvania For the Period January 1, 2017 to December 31, 2020

October 2022



Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General

Timothy L. DeFoor • Auditor General



Commonwealth of Pennsylvania
Department of the Auditor General
Harrisburg, PA 17120-0018
Facebook: Pennsylvania Auditor General
Twitter: @PAAuditorGen
www.PaAuditor.gov

TIMOTHY L. DEFOOR AUDITOR GENERAL

The Honorable C. Daniel Hassell Secretary Pennsylvania Department of Revenue Harrisburg, PA 17128

We have conducted a compliance audit of the District Court 32-2-51, Delaware County, Pennsylvania (District Court), for the period January 1, 2017 to December 31, 2020, pursuant to the requirements of Section 401(c) of *The Fiscal Code*, 72 P.S. § 401(c).

The objective of the audit was to determine whether all moneys collected on behalf of the Commonwealth have been correctly assessed, reported, and promptly remitted and to provide a report to the Department of Revenue to allow the Department of Revenue to state and settle the District Court's account. Our audit was limited to areas related to the objective identified above and was not conducted, nor was it required to be, in accordance with Government Auditing Standards issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

The District Court is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal controls to provide reasonable assurance of compliance with state laws and regulations applicable to the collection of moneys on behalf of the Commonwealth, including whether they have been correctly assessed, reported, and promptly remitted. The District Court is also responsible for complying with those laws and regulations. It is our responsibility to perform procedures to obtain sufficient, appropriate evidence to the extent necessary to satisfy the audit objective. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our conclusions.

Based on our audit procedures, we conclude that, for the period January 1, 2017 to December 31, 2020, the District Court, in all significant respects, complied with state laws and regulations applicable to the collection of moneys on behalf of the Commonwealth, including whether they have been correctly assessed, reported, and promptly remitted, except as noted in the finding listed below and discussed later in this report:

• Inadequate Arrest Warrant Procedures.

This report includes a summary of the District Court's receipts and disbursements of funds collected on behalf of the Commonwealth (summary). We obtained data representing the District Court's receipts and disbursements from the Pennsylvania Department of Revenue, which obtains data from each of the Commonwealth's district courts and used the data to create the summary in the format required by the Department of Revenue. We also evaluated the accuracy of the data as part of our audit to conclude on the District Court's compliance with certain state laws and regulations as described in the previous paragraph. Any adjustments that we considered necessary based on our audit work are disclosed in the *Audit Adjustments* line of the summary; however, the scope of our audit does not include the issuance of an opinion on the accuracy of the amounts reported in the summary.

The purpose of this report is to determine whether all moneys collected on behalf of the Commonwealth have been correctly assessed, reported and promptly remitted. This report is not suitable for any other purposes.

The contents of this report were discussed with the management of the District Court and, where appropriate, their response has been included in the report. We appreciate the courtesy extended by the District Court 32-2-51, Delaware County, to us during the course of our audit. If you have any questions, please feel free to contact the Bureau of County Audits at 717-787-1363.

Timothy L. Detoor

Auditor General

August 19, 2022

CONTENTS

	Page
Background1	
Summary Of Receipts And Disbursements	2
Finding And Recommendations:	
Finding - Inadequate Arrest Warrant Procedures	}
Report Distribution6	ó

DISTRICT COURT 32-2-51 CLEARFIELD COUNTY BACKGROUND FOR THE PERIOD JANUARY 1, 2017 TO DECEMBER 31, 2020

The Department of Auditor General is mandated by Article IV, Section 401(c) of *The Fiscal Code* (Act of April 9, 1929, P.L.343, No. 176), to audit the accounts of each district court to determine whether all moneys collected on behalf of the Commonwealth have been correctly assessed, reported and promptly remitted.

District Court receipts are comprised of fines, costs, fees, and surcharges collected on behalf of the Commonwealth. These fines, costs, fees, and surcharges represent collections made on traffic, non-traffic, civil, and criminal cases filed with the District Court.

Total disbursements during the audit period are comprised as follows:

District Court checks issued to:

Department of Revenue

\$ 441,564

This balance reflects the summary of monthly transmittal reports as settled by the Department of Revenue.

Christopher R. Mattox served at District Court 32-2-51 for the period January 1, 2017 to December 31, 2020.

The summary of receipts and disbursements on the following page provides a summary of receipts and disbursements by category. The categories and the amounts of fines, costs, fees, and surcharges assessed are based on Pennsylvania laws and regulations.

The summary was prepared in accordance with reporting requirements prescribed by the Pennsylvania Department of Revenue. Under this method, only the Commonwealth portion of cash receipts and disbursements are presented, revenues are recognized when received, and expenditures are recognized when paid.

DISTRICT COURT 32-2-51 DELAWARE COUNTY SUMMARY OF RECEIPTS AND DISBURSEMENTS FOR THE PERIOD JANUARY 1, 2017 TO DECEMBER 31, 2020

Receipts:

Department of Transportation	
Title 75 Fines	\$ 37,590
Child Restraint Fines	685
Department of Revenue Court Costs	159,937
Crime Victims' Compensation Bureau Costs	3,734
Crime Commission Costs/Victim Witness Services Costs	2,674
Domestic Violence Costs	905
Emergency Medical Service Fines	8,604
CAT/MCARE Fund Surcharges	33,624
Judicial Computer System Fees	42,914
Access to Justice Fees	24,026
Criminal Justice Enhancement Account Fees	7,396
Judicial Computer Project Surcharges	78,360
Constable Service Surcharges	28,281
Miscellaneous State Fines and Costs	 12,834
Total receipts	441,564
Disbursements to Commonwealth	 (441,564)
Balance due Commonwealth (District Court) per settled reports	-
Audit adjustments	-
Adjusted balance due Commonwealth (District Court) for the period January 1, 2017 to December 31, 2020	\$

DISTRICT COURT 32-2-51 DELAWARE COUNTY FINDING AND RECOMMENDATIONS FOR THE PERIOD JANUARY1, 2017 TO DECEMBER 31, 2020

Finding - Inadequate Arrest Warrant Procedures

Warrants are used to enforce the collection of monies on traffic and non-traffic cases in which defendants failed to make payments when required. A Warrant of Arrest (AOPC 417) is used to authorize an official to arrest a defendant, to collect fines and costs from the defendant after a disposition, or to collect collateral for a trial. If the defendant does not respond within ten days to a citation or summons, a Warrant of Arrest may be issued.

During our testing of warrant procedures, we noted that warrant procedures established by the *Magisterial District Judge Automated Office Clerical Procedures Manual* (Manual) were not always followed. The Magisterial District Judge did not consistently ensure that warrants were issued in a timely manner. We tested 41 instances in which a warrant was required to be issued timely. Our testing disclosed that 19 were not issued timely. The time of issuance ranged from 62 days to 532 days.

In addition, of 35 warrants required to be returned or recalled, 21 were not returned timely. The time of issuance to the time of return ranged from 187 days to 540 days.

The Manual establishes the uniform written internal control policies and procedures for all district courts.

Warrant Issuance Procedures: The Manual states that on October 1, 1998, new warrant procedures took effect for summary cases. Amendments were made to Pa.R.Crim.P. 430, 431, 454, 455, 456, 460, 461, and 462. To comply with the new changes, the Notice of Impending Warrant (AOPC A418) was created with the purpose of informing the defendant that failure to pay the amount due or to appear for a Payment Determination Hearing will result in the issuance of an arrest warrant. The defendant is also informed that his/her response must be made within ten days of the date of the notice.

According to Pa.R.Crim.P. 430, a Notice of Impending Warrant may be issued in a post-disposition summary case for any of the following reasons:

- A guilty disposition is recorded and no payment is made or a time payment schedule is not created.
- A guilty disposition is recorded and a previously deposited collateral payment, when applied, does not pay the case balance in full.
- A guilty disposition is recorded and the defendant defaults on a time payment schedule.

DISTRICT COURT 32-2-51 DELAWARE COUNTY FINDING AND RECOMMENDATIONS FOR THE PERIOD JANUARY1, 2017 TO DECEMBER 31, 2020

Finding - Inadequate Arrest Warrant Procedures (Continued)

According to Pa.R.Crim.P. 430, a warrant SHALL be issued in a summary case for any of the following reasons (a Notice of Impending Warrant is not necessary for the following):

- The defendant has failed to respond to a citation or summons that was served either personally or by certified mail, return receipt requested.
- The citation or summons is returned undeliverable.
- The Magisterial District Judge has reasonable grounds to believe that the defendant will not obey a summons.

Warrant Return Procedures: The Manual states that the Administrative Office of Pennsylvania Courts (AOPC) recommends that those in possession of arrest warrants should be notified to return warrants that have not been served. For summary traffic and non-traffic cases, outstanding warrants should be returned to the Magisterial District Judge's office within 120 days of issuance. Returned warrants can either be recorded in the Magisterial District Judge System (MDJS) as unserved, if the defendant is unable to be located; or they can be recalled for reissue, if the server has not exhausted all means of finding the defendant.

The failure to follow warrant procedures could result in uncollected fines and unpunished offenders. Additionally, the risk is increased for funds to be lost or misappropriated. The court staff could not provide us with an explanation regarding the warrant discrepancies for 2017 through 2019. They stated that they were directed to not issue warrants during Covid-19 lockdown in 2020.

Adherence to the uniform internal control policies and procedures, as set forth in the Manual, would have ensured that there were adequate internal controls over warrants.

Recommendations

We recommend that the district court review the tickler reports for warrants daily and take appropriate action as required by the Manual. We further recommend that the court review warrant control reports and notify police or other officials to return warrants that are unserved for 120 days for summary traffic and non-traffic cases as recommended by the Manual.

DISTRICT COURT 32-2-51 DELAWARE COUNTY FINDING AND RECOMMENDATIONS FOR THE PERIOD JANUARY1, 2017 TO DECEMBER 31, 2020

Finding - Inadequate Arrest Warrant Procedures (Continued)

Management's Response

The Magisterial District Judge responded as follows:

Prior to the audit, these issues were identified, and the court staff was addressing any and all backlogs. The Inadequate Arrest Warrant Procedures were due to lateness, and it was noted during the exit conference, all deficiencies concerning warrants have been resolved.

However, to ensure timely issuance and returns in the future, the Court Coordinator has been instructed to more regularly monitor all reports and if there are any problems to immediately notify the Regional Assistant Administrators. The staff of the Administrative Office will routinely monitor the court's reports and Also, processes and assist in correcting any issues.

I would also like to note that ten months of this audit period included the beginning of the COVID-19 pandemic. During those several months, most of the Magisterial District Court staff was furloughed and we did incur backlogs in practically every aspect of the court functions.

Auditor's Conclusion

Although we recognize the district court's concerns about staffing, it is imperative that warrants are issued timely to enforce the collection of monies. Also, please note that we excluded any exceptions found once the pandemic started in March of 2020. During our next audit, we will determine if the district court complied with our recommendations.

DISTRICT COURT 32-2-51 DELAWARE COUNTY REPORT DISTRIBUTION FOR THE PERIOD JANUARY 1, 2017 TO DECEMBER 31, 2020

This report was initially distributed to:

The Honorable C. Daniel Hassell

Secretary Pennsylvania Department of Revenue

The Honorable H. Geoffrey Moulton, Jr.

Court Administrator of Pennsylvania Supreme Court of Pennsylvania Administrative Office of Pennsylvania Courts

The Honorable Christopher R. Mattox

Magisterial District Judge

The Honorable Monica Taylor

Chairperson of County Council of the Board of Commissioners

The Honorable Joanne Phillips

Controller

Mr. Gerald C. Montella

District Court Administrator

This report is a matter of public record and is available online at www.PaAuditor.gov. Media questions about the report can be directed to the Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General, Office of Communications, 229 Finance Building, Harrisburg, PA 17120; via email to: news@PaAuditor.gov.