### ATTESTATION ENGAGEMENT

## District Court 38-1-28

Montgomery County, Pennsylvania For the Period January 1, 2013 to December 31, 2016

May 2018



Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General

Eugene A. DePasquale • Auditor General



Commonwealth of Pennsylvania
Department of the Auditor General
Harrisburg, PA 17120-0018
Facebook: Pennsylvania Auditor General
Twitter: @PAAuditorGen
www.PaAuditor.gov

EUGENE A. DEPASQUALE AUDITOR GENERAL

### **Independent Auditor's Report**

The Honorable C. Daniel Hassell Secretary Pennsylvania Department of Revenue Harrisburg, PA 17128

We have examined the accompanying statement of receipts and disbursements (Statement) of District Court 38-1-28, Montgomery County, Pennsylvania (District Court), for the period January 1, 2013 to December 31, 2016 pursuant to the requirements of Section 401(c) of *The Fiscal Code*, 72 P.S. § 401(c). The District Court's management is responsible for presenting this Statement in accordance with the criteria set forth in Note 1. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on this Statement based on our examination.

Our examination was conducted in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants and the standards applicable to attestation engagements contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the examination to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the Statement is presented in accordance with the criteria described above, in all material respects. An examination involves performing procedures to obtain evidence about the statement of receipts and disbursements. The nature, timing and extent of the procedures selected depend on our judgement, including an assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the Statement, whether due to fraud or error. We believe that the evidence we obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

We are mandated by Section 401(c) of *The Fiscal Code* to audit the accounts of each district court to determine whether all moneys collected on behalf of the Commonwealth have been correctly assessed, reported and promptly remitted. *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States include attestation engagements as a separate type of audit. An attestation engagement performed pursuant to *Government Auditing Standards* involves additional standards that exceed the standards provided by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. Accordingly, this attestation engagement complies with both *Government Auditing Standards* and Section 401(c) of *The Fiscal Code*.

### <u>Independent Auditor's Report (Continued)</u>

In our opinion, the Statement referred to above, for the period January 1, 2013 to December 31, 2016, is presented in accordance with the criteria set forth in Note 1, in all material respects.

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we are required to report all deficiencies that are considered to be significant deficiencies or material weaknesses in internal control; fraud and noncompliance with provisions of laws or regulations that have a material effect on the Statement; and any other instances that warrant the attention of those charged with governance; noncompliance with provisions of contracts or grant agreements, and abuse that has a material effect on the Statement. We are also required to obtain and report the views of responsible officials concerning the findings, conclusions, and recommendations, as well as any planned corrective actions. We performed our examination to express an opinion on whether the Statement is presented in accordance with the criteria described above and not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on internal control over reporting on the Statement or on compliance and other matters; accordingly, we express no such opinions.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the Statement will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose of expressing an opinion on whether the Statement is presented in accordance with the criteria described above and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over reporting on the Statement that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our engagement we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the Statement is free from material misstatement, we performed tests of the District Court's compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of Statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our engagement, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

### <u>Independent Auditor's Report (Continued)</u>

The purpose of this report is to determine whether all moneys collected on behalf of the Commonwealth have been correctly assessed, reported and promptly remitted. This report is not suitable for any other purposes.

We appreciate the courtesy extended by the District Court 38-1-28, Montgomery County, to us during the course of our examination. If you have any questions, please feel free to contact Michael B. Kashishian, CPA, CGAP, CFE, Director, Bureau of County Audits, at 717-787-1363.

April 19, 2018

Eugene A. DePasquale Auditor General

Eugraf: O-Pagur

### CONTENTS

	Page
Financial Section:	
Statement Of Receipts And Disbursements	1
Notes To The Statement Of Receipts And Disbursements	2
Summary Of Prior Examination Recommendations	4
Report Distribution	5

### DISTRICT COURT 38-1-28 MONTGOMERY COUNTY STATEMENT OF RECEIPTS AND DISBURSEMENTS FOR THE PERIOD JANUARY 1, 2013 TO DECEMBER 31, 2016

### Receipts:

Department of Transportation		
Title 75 Fines	\$	270,614
Motor Carrier Road Tax Fines		613
Overweight Fines		2,650
Commercial Driver Fines		83
Littering Law Fines		75
Child Restraint Fines		1,618
Department of Revenue Court Costs		275,942
Crime Victims' Compensation Bureau Costs		41,322
Crime Commission Costs/Victim Witness Services Costs		29,702
Domestic Violence Costs		10,009
Department of Agriculture Fines		100
Emergency Medical Service Fines		101,360
CAT/MCARE Fund Surcharges		295,866
Judicial Computer System Fees		121,148
Access to Justice Fees		37,833
Criminal Justice Enhancement Account Fees		10,910
Judicial Computer Project Surcharges		80,552
Constable Service Surcharges		16,964
Miscellaneous State Fines and Costs	,	268,701
Total receipts (Note 2)		1,566,062
Disbursements to Commonwealth (Note 3)		(1,566,062)
Balance due Commonwealth (District Court) per settled reports (Note 4)		-
Examination adjustments		
Adjusted balance due Commonwealth (District Court) for the period January 1, 2013 to December 31, 2016	\$	_

Notes to the Statement of Receipts and Disbursements are an integral part of this report.

## DISTRICT COURT 38-1-28 MONTGOMERY COUNTY NOTES TO THE STATEMENT OF RECEIPTS AND DISBURSEMENTS FOR THE PERIOD

JANUARY 1, 2013 TO DECEMBER 31, 2016

### 1. <u>Criteria</u>

The Statement of Receipts and Disbursements provides a summary of receipts and disbursements by category. The categories and the amounts of fines, costs, fees, and surcharges assessed are based on Pennsylvania laws and regulations.

The Statement was prepared in accordance with reporting requirements prescribed by the Pennsylvania Department of Revenue. Under this method, only the Commonwealth portion of cash receipts and disbursements are presented, revenues are recognized when received, and expenditures are recognized when paid.

### 2. Receipts

Receipts are comprised of fines, costs, fees, and surcharges collected on behalf of the Commonwealth. These fines, costs, fees, and surcharges represent collections made on traffic, non-traffic, civil, and criminal cases filed with the District Court.

### 3. Disbursements

Total disbursements are comprised as follows:

District Court checks issued to:

Department of Revenue	\$ 1,566,033
Turnpike Commission	29
Total	\$ 1,566,062

### 4. <u>Balance Due Commonwealth (District Court) For The Period January 1, 2013 To December 31, 2016</u>

This balance reflects the summary of monthly transmittal reports as settled by the Department of Revenue. The balance also reflects a summary of any receipts disbursed directly to other state agencies.

# DISTRICT COURT 38-1-28 MONTGOMERY COUNTY NOTES TO THE STATEMENT OF RECEIPTS AND DISBURSEMENTS FOR THE PERIOD JANUARY 1, 2013 TO DECEMBER 31, 2016

### 5. <u>Magisterial District Judge Serving During Examination Period</u>

Harold D. Borek served at District Court 38-1-28 for the period January 1, 2013 to December 31, 2015.

Senior Judge Kenneth Deatelhauser served at District Court 38-1-28 for the period May 11, 2015 to July 31, 2015.

Edward J. Levine served at District Court 38-1-28 for the period January 1, 2016 to December 31, 2016.

# DISTRICT COURT 38-1-28 MONTGOMERY COUNTY SUMMARY OF PRIOR EXAMINATION RECOMMENDATIONS FOR THE PERIOD JANUARY 1, 2013 TO DECEMBER 31, 2016

### Summary Of Prior Examination Recommendations

During our prior examination, we recommended that the office:

• Review and incorporate the procedures outlined in the Manual for collecting filing fees for civil costs. We further recommend the office deposit all receipts at the end of each day as required by good accounting controls and the Manual.

During our current examination, we noted that the office complied with our recommendations.

### DISTRICT COURT 38-1-28 MONTGOMERY COUNTY REPORT DISTRIBUTION FOR THE PERIOD JANUARY 1, 2013 TO DECEMBER 31, 2016

This report was initially distributed to:

### The Honorable C. Daniel Hassell

Secretary Pennsylvania Department of Revenue

### The Honorable Thomas B. Darr

Court Administrator of Pennsylvania Supreme Court of Pennsylvania Administrative Office of Pennsylvania Courts

### The Honorable Edward J. Levine

Magisterial District Judge

#### The Honorable Dr. Valerie Arkoosh

Chairperson of the Board of Commissioners

#### The Honorable Karen Sanchez

Controller

### Michael R. Kehs, Esq.

District Court Administrator

This report is a matter of public record and is available online at <a href="www.PaAuditor.gov">www.PaAuditor.gov</a>. Media questions about the report can be directed to the Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General, Office of Communications, 229 Finance Building, Harrisburg, PA 17120; via email to: <a href="mailto:news@PaAuditor.gov">news@PaAuditor.gov</a>.