# **COMPLIANCE AUDIT**

# District Court 60-3-01

# Pike County, Pennsylvania For the Period January 1, 2016 to December 31, 2019

May 2021



Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General

Timothy L. DeFoor • Auditor General



Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General Harrisburg, PA 17120-0018 Facebook: Pennsylvania Auditor General Twitter: @PAAuditorGen www.PaAuditor.gov

TIMOTHY L. DEFOOR AUDITOR GENERAL

The Honorable C. Daniel Hassell Secretary Pennsylvania Department of Revenue Harrisburg, PA 17128

We have conducted a compliance audit of the District Court 60-3-01, Pike County, Pennsylvania (District Court), for the period January 1, 2016 to December 31, 2019, pursuant to the requirements of Section 401(c) of *The Fiscal Code*, 72 P.S. § 401(c).

The objective of the audit was to determine whether all moneys collected on behalf of the Commonwealth have been correctly assessed, reported, and promptly remitted and to provide a report to the Department of Revenue to allow the Department of Revenue to state and settle the District Court's account. Our audit was limited to areas related to the objective identified above and was not conducted, nor was it required to be, in accordance with Government Auditing Standards issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

The District Court is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal controls to provide reasonable assurance of compliance with state laws and regulations applicable to the collection of moneys on behalf of the Commonwealth, including whether they have been correctly assessed, reported, and promptly remitted. The District Court is also responsible for complying with those laws and regulations. It is our responsibility to perform procedures to obtain sufficient, appropriate evidence to the extent necessary to satisfy the audit objective. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our conclusions.

Based on our audit procedures, we conclude that, for the period January 1, 2016 to December 31, 2019, the District Court, in all significant respects, complied with state laws and regulations applicable to the collection of moneys on behalf of the Commonwealth, including whether they have been correctly assessed, reported, and promptly remitted, except as noted in the finding listed below and discussed later in this report:

• Inadequate Arrest Warrant Return Procedures.

This report includes a summary of the District Court's receipts and disbursements of funds collected on behalf of the Commonwealth (summary). We obtained data representing the District Court's receipts and disbursements from the Pennsylvania Department of Revenue, which obtains data from each of the Commonwealth's district courts, and used the data to create the summary in the format required by the Department of Revenue. We also evaluated the accuracy of the data as part of our audit to conclude on the District Court's compliance with certain state laws and regulations as described in the previous paragraph. Any adjustments that we considered necessary based on our audit work are disclosed in the *Audit Adjustments* line of the summary; however, the scope of our audit does not include the issuance of an opinion on the accuracy of the amounts reported in the summary.

The purpose of this report is to determine whether all moneys collected on behalf of the Commonwealth have been correctly assessed, reported and promptly remitted. This report is not suitable for any other purposes.

The contents of this report were discussed with the management of the District Court and, where appropriate, their response has been included in the report. We appreciate the courtesy extended by the District Court 60-3-01, Pike County, to us during the course of our audit. If you have any questions, please feel free to contact the Bureau of County Audits at 717-787-1363.

Timothy L. Detoor

April 28, 2021

Timothy L. DeFoor Auditor General

# CONTENTS

Page
------

Background	1
Summary Of Receipts And Disbursements	2
Finding And Recommendations:	
Finding - Inadequate Arrest Warrant Return Procedures	3
Report Distribution	5

# DISTRICT COURT 60-3-01 PIKE COUNTY BACKGROUND FOR THE PERIOD JANUARY 1, 2016 TO DECEMBER 31, 2019

The Department of Auditor General is mandated by Article IV, Section 401(c) of *The Fiscal Code* (Act of April 9, 1929, P.L.343, No. 176), to audit the accounts of each district court to determine whether all moneys collected on behalf of the Commonwealth have been correctly assessed, reported and promptly remitted.

District Court receipts are comprised of fines, costs, fees, and surcharges collected on behalf of the Commonwealth. These fines, costs, fees, and surcharges represent collections made on traffic, non-traffic, civil, and criminal cases filed with the District Court.

Total disbursements during the audit period are comprised as follows:

District Court checks issued to:

Department of Revenue

\$ 767,662

This balance reflects the summary of monthly transmittal reports as settled by the Department of Revenue.

Deborah Fischer served at District Court 60-3-01 for the period January 1, 2016 to December 31, 2019.

The summary of receipts and disbursements on the following page provides a summary of receipts and disbursements by category. The categories and the amounts of fines, costs, fees, and surcharges assessed are based on Pennsylvania laws and regulations.

The summary was prepared in accordance with reporting requirements prescribed by the Pennsylvania Department of Revenue. Under this method, only the Commonwealth portion of cash receipts and disbursements are presented, revenues are recognized when received, and expenditures are recognized when paid.

# DISTRICT COURT 60-3-01 PIKE COUNTY SUMMARY OF RECEIPTS AND DISBURSEMENTS FOR THE PERIOD JANUARY 1, 2016 TO DECEMBER 31, 2019

Receipts:

Department of Transportation	
Title 75 Fines	\$ 131,142
Motor Carrier Road Tax Fines	1,088
Overweight Fines	1,806
Littering Law Fines	451
Child Restraint Fines	1,162
Department of Revenue Court Costs	132,256
Crime Victims' Compensation Bureau Costs	15,894
Crime Commission Costs/Victim Witness Services Costs	11,353
Domestic Violence Costs	3,064
Department of Agriculture Fines	1,708
Emergency Medical Service Fines	47,261
CAT/MCARE Fund Surcharges	126,939
Judicial Computer System Fees	60,907
Access to Justice Fees	26,528
Criminal Justice Enhancement Account Fees	2,486
Judicial Computer Project Surcharges	62,561
Constable Service Surcharges	1,300
Miscellaneous State Fines and Costs	 139,756
Total receipts	767,662
Disbursements to Commonwealth	 (767,662)
Balance due Commonwealth (District Court) per settled reports	-
Audit adjustments	 -
Adjusted balance due Commonwealth (District Court) for the period January 1, 2016 to December 31, 2019	\$ 

# DISTRICT COURT 60-3-01 PIKE COUNTY FINDING AND RECOMMENDATIONS FOR THE PERIOD JANUARY 1, 2016 TO DECEMBER 31, 2019

# Finding - Inadequate Arrest Warrant Return Procedures

Warrants are used to enforce the collection of monies on traffic and non-traffic cases in which defendants failed to make payments when required. A Warrant of Arrest (AOPC 417) is used to authorize an official to arrest a defendant, to collect fines and costs from the defendant after a disposition, or to collect collateral for a trial. If the defendant does not respond within ten days to a citation or summons, a Warrant of Arrest may be issued.

During our testing of warrant procedures, we noted that warrant procedures established by the *Magisterial District Judge Automated Office Clerical Procedures Manual* (Manual) were not always followed. The Magisterial District Judge did not consistently ensure that warrants were returned or recalled when required. We tested 52 instances in which a warrant was required to be returned or recalled, 13 were not returned or recalled, and 21 were not returned timely. The time of issuance to the time of return ranged from 181 days to 1,274 days.

The Manual establishes the uniform written internal control policies and procedures for all district courts.

**Warrant Return Procedures:** The Manual states that the Administrative Office of Pennsylvania Courts (AOPC) recommends that those in possession of arrest warrants should be notified to return warrants that have not been served. For summary traffic and non-traffic cases, outstanding warrants should be returned to the Magisterial District Judge's office within 120 days of issuance. Returned warrants can either be recorded in the Magisterial District Judge System (MDJS) as unserved, if the defendant is unable to be located; or they can be recalled for reissue, if the server has not exhausted all means of finding the defendant.

The failure to follow warrant procedures could result in uncollected fines and unpunished offenders. Additionally, the risk is increased for funds to be lost or misappropriated.

Adherence to the uniform internal control policies and procedures, as set forth in the Manual, would have ensured that there were adequate internal controls over warrants.

### Recommendations

We recommend that the district court review the tickler reports for warrants daily and take appropriate action as required by the Manual. We further recommend that the court review warrant control reports and notify police or other officials to return warrants that are unserved for 120 days for summary traffic and non-traffic cases as recommended by the Manual.

# DISTRICT COURT 60-3-01 PIKE COUNTY FINDING AND RECOMMENDATIONS FOR THE PERIOD JANUARY 1, 2016 TO DECEMBER 31, 2019

# Finding - Inadequate Arrest Warrant Return Procedures (Continued)

# Management's Response

No formal response was offered at this time.

### Auditor's Conclusion

During our next audit, we will determine if the district court complied with our recommendations.

# DISTRICT COURT 60-3-01 PIKE COUNTY REPORT DISTRIBUTION FOR THE PERIOD JANUARY 1, 2016 TO DECEMBER 31, 2019

This report was initially distributed to:

#### The Honorable C. Daniel Hassell Secretary

Pennsylvania Department of Revenue

#### The Honorable H. Geoffrey Moulton, Jr.

Court Administrator of Pennsylvania Supreme Court of Pennsylvania Administrative Office of Pennsylvania Courts

# The Honorable Deborah Fischer Magisterial District Judge

# The Honorable Matthew M. Osterberg

Chairperson of the Board of Commissioners

# Ms. Samantha G. Venditti

District Court Administrator

This report is a matter of public record and is available online at <u>www.PaAuditor.gov</u>. Media questions about the report can be directed to the Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General, Office of Communications, 229 Finance Building, Harrisburg, PA 17120; via email to: <u>news@PaAuditor.gov</u>.