



LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND EXAMINATION REPORT

FOR THE PERIOD JANUARY 1, 2011 TO DECEMBER 31, 2012

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA

EUGENE A. DEPASQUALE - AUDITOR GENERAL

DEPARTMENT OF THE AUDITOR GENERAL





Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General Harrisburg, PA 17120-0018 Facebook: Pennsylvania Auditor General Twitter: @PAAuditorGen

EUGENE A. DEPASQUALE AUDITOR GENERAL

Independent Auditor's Report

The Honorable Barry J. Schoch, P.E. Secretary Department of Transportation Harrisburg, PA 17120

We examined the accompanying Forms MS-965 With Adjustments for the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund of the Borough of Honey Brook, Chester County, for the period January 1, 2011 to December 31, 2012. The municipality's management is responsible for the Forms MS-965. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Forms MS-965 With Adjustments based on our examination.

Our examination was conducted in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants and the standards applicable to attestation engagements contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. An examination includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the Borough of Honey Brook, Chester County's Forms MS-965 for the period January 1, 2011 to December 31, 2012 and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our examination provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

We are mandated by Section 403 of *The Fiscal Code*, 72 P.S. § 403, to audit each municipality's Liquid Fuels Tax Fund to ensure that funds received are expended in accordance with applicable laws and regulations. *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States include attestation engagements as a separate type of audit. An attestation engagement performed pursuant to *Government Auditing Standards* involves additional standards that exceed the standards provided by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. Accordingly, this attestation engagement complies with both *Government Auditing Standards* and Section 403 of *The Fiscal Code*.

As described in Note 4, the adjustments included on the Forms MS-965 With Adjustments are made by the Department of the Auditor General.

Independent Auditor's Report (Continued)

In our opinion, the Forms MS-965 With Adjustments present, in all material respects, the information required by the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation for the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund of the Borough of Honey Brook, Chester County, for the period January 1, 2011 to December 31, 2012, in conformity with the criteria set forth in Note 1.

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we are required to report findings of significant deficiencies in internal control, violations of provisions of contracts or grant agreements, and abuse that are material to the Forms MS-965 and any fraud and illegal acts that are more than inconsequential that come to our attention during our examination. We are also required to obtain the views of management on those matters. We performed our examination to express an opinion on whether the Forms MS-965 are presented in accordance with the criteria described above and not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the internal control over reporting on the Forms MS-965 or on compliance and other matters; accordingly, we express no such opinions.

A control deficiency exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent or detect misstatements on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a control deficiency, or combination of control deficiencies, that adversely affects the Borough of Honey Brook, Chester County's ability to initiate, authorize, record, process, or report data reliably in accordance with the applicable criteria such that there is more than a remote likelihood that a misstatement of the Borough of Honey Brook, Chester County's Forms MS-965 that is more than inconsequential will not be prevented or detected by the Borough of Honey Brook, Chester County's internal control. We consider the deficiency described in the finding below to be a significant deficiency in internal control over reporting on the Forms MS-965:

• One Signature On Liquid Fuels Tax Fund Checks.

A material weakness is a significant deficiency or combination of significant deficiencies that results in more than a remote likelihood that a material misstatement of the Forms MS-965 will not be prevented or detected by the Borough of Honey Brook, Chester County's internal control. Our consideration of the internal control over reporting on the Forms MS-965 would not necessarily disclose all matters in the internal control that might be significant deficiencies and, accordingly, would not necessarily disclose all significant deficiencies that are also considered to be material weaknesses. We consider the significant deficiency described above to be a material weakness.

The results of our tests disclosed the following instance of noncompliance that is required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards:*

• Documentation For Price Quotations Was Not Available For Examination.

Independent Auditor's Report (Continued)

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation and the management of the Borough of Honey Brook, Chester County, and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Eugent: O-Purger

September 20, 2013

EUGENE A. DEPASQUALE Auditor General

CONTENTS

| Page |
|---|
| Background1 |
| Financial Section: |
| 2011 Form MS-965 With Adjustments2 |
| 2012 Form MS-965 With Adjustments5 |
| Notes To Forms MS-965 With Adjustments8 |
| Findings And Recommendations: |
| Finding No. 1 - One Signature On Liquid Fuels Tax Fund Checks |
| Finding No. 2 - Documentation For Price Quotations Was Not Available For Examination15 |
| Comment17 |
| Summary Of Exit Conference |
| Report Distribution |

BOROUGH OF HONEY BROOK CHESTER COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND BACKGROUND FOR THE PERIOD JANUARY 1, 2011 TO DECEMBER 31, 2012

Background

The Liquid Fuels Tax Municipal Allocation Law, Title 72 P.S. § 2615.5, provides municipalities other than counties (townships, boroughs, cities, towns, home rule, and optional plan governments) with an annual allocation of liquid fuels taxes from the state's Motor License Fund to be used for the maintenance and repair of streets, roads, and bridges for which the municipality is responsible. The allocation of these funds to municipalities is based: (1) 50 percent on the municipality's proportion of local road mileage to the total local road mileage in the state, and (2) 50 percent on the proportion of a municipality's population to the total population in the state.

The Vehicle Code, Title 75 P.S. § 9511, provides municipalities with annual maintenance payments to be received from the Motor License Fund for functionally local highways that were transferred to a municipality from the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

Each municipality must deposit the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund money and annual maintenance payments that it receives into a special fund called the Municipal Liquid Fuels Tax Fund or State Fund. A municipality may not deposit any other monies into this fund except when the municipality does not have enough money in the special fund to meet the payments called for by its current annual budget for road and bridge purposes. In this case, the municipality may borrow money or transfer money from its General Fund to its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund.

The Department of Transportation has been given the regulatory authority for the administration of these funds. To qualify for its annual allocation, each municipality shall submit the following documents and information to the Department of Transportation:

- 1. Evidence that the treasurer is bonded in accordance with the law, or that its treasurer is a bank requiring no bond by January 31 of each year.
- 2. A report indicating the manner in which its liquid fuels tax allocation was expended in the previous year (Form MS-965) by January 31 of each year.
- 3. A report of elected and appointed officials by January 31 of each year.
- 4. A Survey of Financial Condition by March 15 of each year.

Department of Transportation *Publication 9* contains the policies and procedures that govern the use of Liquid Fuels Tax Fund money. However, if there is a difference between *Publication 9* and any legislation, the legislation shall govern.

BOROUGH OF HONEY BROOK CHESTER COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND 2011 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 1 WITH ADJUSTMENTS

| Expenditure Summary | Reported | | Adjustments (Note 4) | | Adjusted Amount | |
|--------------------------------------|----------|------------|-------------------------|------------|--------------------|------------|
| Major equipment purchases | \$ | 50,254.46 | \$ | - | \$ | 50,254.46 |
| Minor equipment purchases | | 5,144.13 | | (1,979.03) | | 3,165.10 |
| Computer/Computer related training | | - | - | | | - |
| Agility projects | | - | | - | | - |
| Cleaning streets and gutters | | - | | - | | - |
| Winter maintenance services | | 3,667.15 | | 1,979.03 | | 5,646.18 |
| Traffic control devices | | - | | - | | - |
| Street lighting | | 21,252.37 | | - | | 21,252.37 |
| Storm sewers and drains | | - | | - | | - |
| Repairs of tools and machinery | | 91.63 | - | | | 91.63 |
| Maintenance and repair of | | | | | | |
| roads and bridges | | 6,423.97 | | - | | 6,423.97 |
| Highway construction and | | | | | | |
| rebuilding projects | | 31,440.00 | | - | | 31,440.00 |
| Miscellaneous (Bank service charges) | | 134.62 | | - | | 134.62 |
| Total (To Section 2, Line 5) | \$ | 118,408.33 | \$ | | \$ | 118,408.33 |

BOROUGH OF HONEY BROOK CHESTER COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND 2011 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 2 WITH ADJUSTMENTS

| Fund Balance | Reported | | Adjustments (Note 4) | | Adjusted Amount | |
|--------------------------------------|----------|------------|-------------------------|---------|--------------------|------------|
| 1. Balance, January 1, 2011 | \$ | 171,431.95 | \$ | 92.68 | \$ | 171,524.63 |
| Receipts: | | | | | | |
| 2. State allocation | | 26,121.57 | | - | | 26,121.57 |
| 2a. Turnback allocation | | 1,680.00 | | - | | 1,680.00 |
| 2b. Interest on investments (Note 3) | | 513.89 | | - | | 513.89 |
| 2c. Miscellaneous (Note 5) | | 692.68 | | (92.68) | | 600.00 |
| 3. Total receipts | | 29,008.14 | | (92.68) | | 28,915.46 |
| 4. Total funds available | | 200,440.09 | | | | 200,440.09 |
| 5. Expenditures (Section 1) | | 118,408.33 | | | | 118,408.33 |
| 6. Balance, December 31, 2011 | \$ | 82,031.76 | \$ | - | \$ | 82,031.76 |

BOROUGH OF HONEY BROOK CHESTER COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND 2011 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 3 WITH ADJUSTMENTS

| Equipment Balance | | Reported | ustments Jote 4) | Adjusted Amount |
|---|----|-----------|---------------------|------------------------|
| 1. Prior year equipment balance | \$ | 55,462.08 | \$ - | \$ 55,462.08 |
| 2. Add: Current year equipment allocation (20% of Lines 2 + 2a, Section 2) | 1 | 5,560.31 | - | 5,560.31 |
| 3. PENNDOT approved adjustments | | | 600.00 | 600.00 |
| 4. Total funds available for equipment acquisition | | 61,022.39 | 600.00 | 61,622.39 |
| 5. Less: Major equipment expenditures | | 50,254.46 | - | 50,254.46 |
| 6. Remainder | | 10,767.93 | 600.00 | 11,367.93 |
| Equipment balance available for subsequent year (Lesser of Line 6 or Section 2 balance, but not less than zero) | \$ | 10,767.93 | \$ 600.00 | \$ 11,367.93 |

BOROUGH OF HONEY BROOK CHESTER COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND 2012 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 1 WITH ADJUSTMENTS

| Expenditure Summary | Reported | | Adjustments (Note 4) | | Adjusted Amount | |
|------------------------------------|----------|-----------|-------------------------|------------|--------------------|-----------|
| Major equipment purchases | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| Minor equipment purchases | | 1,183.65 | | - | | 1,183.65 |
| Computer/Computer related training | | - | | - | | - |
| Agility projects | | - | | - | | - |
| Cleaning streets and gutters | | - | | - | | - |
| Winter maintenance services | 1,858.58 | | - | | | 1,858.58 |
| Traffic control devices | | 1,050.00 | | (525.00) | | 525.00 |
| Street lighting | | 21,393.20 | | (1,701.69) | | 19,691.51 |
| Storm sewers and drains | | - | | - | | - |
| Repairs of tools and machinery | | - | | - | | - |
| Maintenance and repair of | | | | | | |
| roads and bridges | | 2,572.25 | | - | | 2,572.25 |
| Highway construction and | | | | | | |
| rebuilding projects | | 14,422.00 | | - | | 14,422.00 |
| Miscellaneous (Note 6) | | _ | , | 525.00 | | 525.00 |
| Total (To Section 2, Line 5) | \$ | 42,479.68 | \$ | (1,701.69) | \$ | 40,777.99 |

BOROUGH OF HONEY BROOK CHESTER COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND 2012 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 2 WITH ADJUSTMENTS

| Fund Balance | Reported | | Adjustments (Note 4) | | Adjusted Amount | |
|--------------------------------------|----------|------------|-------------------------|------------|--------------------|------------|
| 1. Balance, January 1, 2012 | \$ | 82,031.76 | \$ | - | \$ | 82,031.76 |
| Receipts: | | | | | | |
| 2. State allocation | | 31,883.55 | | - | | 31,883.55 |
| 2a. Turnback allocation | | 1,680.00 | | 1,050.00 | | 2,730.00 |
| 2b. Interest on investments (Note 3) | | 311.64 | | - | | 311.64 |
| 2c. Miscellaneous (Note 5) | | 7,221.18 | | (1,050.00) | | 6,171.18 |
| 3. Total receipts | | 41,096.37 | | | | 41,096.37 |
| 4. Total funds available | | 123,128.13 | | - | | 123,128.13 |
| 5. Expenditures (Section 1) | | 42,479.68 | | (1,701.69) | | 40,777.99 |
| 6. Balance, December 31, 2012 | \$ | 80,648.45 | \$ | 1,701.69 | \$ | 82,350.14 |

BOROUGH OF HONEY BROOK CHESTER COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND 2012 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 3 WITH ADJUSTMENTS

| Equipment Balance | | Reported | justments Note 4) | Adjusted Amount |
|--|----|-----------|----------------------|--------------------|
| 1. Prior year equipment balance | \$ | 11,367.93 | \$ - | \$ 11,367.93 |
| Add: Current year equipment allocation (20% of Lines 2 + 2a, Section 2) | 1 | 6,712.71 | 210.00 | 6,922.71 |
| 3. PENNDOT approved adjustments | | | - | |
| 4. Total funds available for equipment acquisition | | 18,080.64 | 210.00 | 18,290.64 |
| 5. Less: Major equipment expenditures | | | - | _ |
| 6. Remainder | | 18,080.64 | 210.00 | 18,290.64 |
| 7. Equipment balance available for subsequent year (Lesser of Line 6 or Section 2 balance, but not less than zero) | \$ | 18,080.64 | \$ 210.00 | \$ 18,290.64 |

1. <u>Criteria</u>

Section 1

This section of Form MS-965 With Adjustments provides a summary of Liquid Fuels Tax Fund expenditures by category. Categories requiring explanation include:

- Major equipment purchases are purchases of road machinery and road equipment that cost in excess of \$4,000.00 prior to 2012 and in excess of \$10,000.00 during 2012 and subsequent years.
- Minor equipment purchases are purchases of road machinery and road equipment that cost \$4,000.00 or less prior to 2012 and \$10,000.00 or less during 2012 and subsequent years.
- Agility projects are exchanges of services with the Department of Transportation.

Section 2

This section of Form MS-965 With Adjustments provides information on the fund balance. Categories requiring explanation include:

- The state allocation is generally received from the Department of Transportation during the first week in April of each year. The amount the municipality receives is based half on its population and half on its road mileage.
- The turnback allocation is generally received from the Department of Transportation during the first week in April of each year. A municipality receives a yearly turnback allocation based on road mileage for all roads that were transferred to the municipality from the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania through the Highway Transfer Program.
- Expenditures include the total transferred from Section 1.

1. <u>Criteria (Continued)</u>

Section 3

This section of Form MS-965 With Adjustments determines if the municipality expended Liquid Fuels Tax Fund money in excess of the permissible amount for equipment and the balance that the municipality may carry forward for the purchase of equipment to the subsequent year.

Department of Transportation *Publication 9* requires that the amount expended for equipment purchases in a given year not exceed the sum of the equipment balance carried forward from the previous year and 20 percent of the current year's Liquid Fuels Tax Fund allocation and, if applicable, 20 percent of the turnback allocation plus other Department of Transportation approved adjustments.

If the municipality spent in excess of the amount listed on Line 4, the excess must be reimbursed to the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund.

The equipment balance to be carried forward for the subsequent year is the lesser of the amount on Line 6 or the ending fund balance on Line 6 of Section 2, but not less than zero.

Basis Of Presentation

The financial activities of the municipality are accounted for in separate funds. The Liquid Fuels Tax Fund is used to account for state aid revenues from the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation used primarily for building and improving local roads and bridges. The Forms MS-965 have been prepared in accordance with reporting requirements prescribed by the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation as a result of the Fuels Tax Act 655, dated 1956 and as amended, which does not constitute a complete presentation of the entity's assets, liabilities, expenses, and fund balance. Accordingly, the presentation of Forms MS-965 With Adjustments is restricted to the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund, which represents a segment of the entity.

Basis Of Accounting

The accompanying Forms MS-965 With Adjustments are prepared in accordance with reporting requirements prescribed by the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation. Under this method, revenues are recognized when received and expenditures are recorded when paid.

1. <u>Criteria (Continued)</u>

General Fixed Assets

General fixed assets are recognized as expenditures at the time of purchase. No depreciation has been provided on the heavy equipment used to maintain and repair roads and bridges.

2. <u>Deposits</u>

The Borough Code, Title 53 P.S § 46316, authorizes the borough to deposit its funds in the following:

- Deposits in savings accounts or time deposits, other than certificates of deposit or share accounts of institutions having their principal place of business in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) or other like insurance. For any amount above the insured maximum, the depository shall pledge approved collateral.
- Certificates of deposit purchased from institutions insured by the FDIC or other like insurance to the extent that such accounts are so insured. For any amounts in excess of the insured maximum, such deposits shall be collateralized by a pledge or assignment of assets. Certificates of deposit may not exceed 20 percent of a bank's total capital surplus or 20 percent of a savings and loan's or savings bank's assets minus liabilities.

Deposits consist of receipts and deposits in a financial institution. Pennsylvania statutes require all deposits to be insured and, for any amount above the insured maximum, to be secured with approved collateral as defined.

There were no deposits exposed to custodial credit risk as of December 31, 2012. Custodial credit risk, as defined by GASB No. 40, includes deposits that are not covered by depository insurance and the deposits are uncollateralized, collateralized with securities held by the pledging financial institution, or collateralized with securities held by the pledging financial institution's trust department or agent but not in the municipality's name.

2. <u>Deposits (Continued)</u>

Fund Balance

The fund balance as of December 31, 2012 consists of the following:

Cash

\$82,350.14

3. Interest On Investments

Our examination disclosed that the municipality deposited idle liquid fuels tax money in an interest-bearing account which earned \$513.89 during 2011, and \$311.64 during 2012, thus providing additional funds for road maintenance and repairs.

4. Adjustments

2011 - Section 1

Adjustments were made to "Minor equipment purchases" and "Winter maintenance services" because expenditures of \$1,979.03 were misclassified.

2011 - Section 2

An adjustment of \$92.68 was made to "Balance, January 1, 2011" because of prior report adjustments that were not carried forward in the fund balance.

An adjustment of \$(92.68) was made to "Miscellaneous" because these receipts were overstated.

2011 - Section 3

An adjustment of \$600.00 was made to "PENNDOT approved adjustments" because approved adjustments were understated.

4. Adjustments (Continued)

2012 - Section 1

Adjustments were made to "Traffic control devices" and "Miscellaneous" because expenditures of \$525.00 were misclassified.

An adjustment of (1,701.69) was made to "Street lighting" because check No. 1094 was voided but was included as an expenditure

2012 - Section 2

Adjustments were made to "Turnback allocation" and "Miscellaneous" because the 2003 turnback allocation of \$1,050.00 was misclassified.

2012 - Section 3

An adjustment of \$210.00 was made to "Current year equipment allocation" because the 2003 turnback allocation, which was received during 2012, was not included in the calculation of the current year equipment allocation.

5. <u>Miscellaneous Receipts</u>

The following miscellaneous receipts were deposited into the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund during the examination period:

| Source | Description | 2011 | 2012 |
|--------------------------|---|----------|------------|
| Local business Vendor | Sale of salt spreader Reimbursement of duplicate | \$600.00 | \$ - |
| | payment (Note 6) | - | 525.00 |
| General Fund | Reimbursement (Finding No. 2) | | 5,646.18 |
| Totals | | \$600.00 | \$6,171.18 |

6. Duplicate Payment

On July 16, 2012, the municipality paid invoice No. 2871 for \$525.00 twice from its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund with check Nos. 1079 and 1080. On September 28, 2012 the municipality deposited \$525.00 into its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund that was received from the vendor to correct the duplicate payment.

BOROUGH OF HONEY BROOK CHESTER COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS FOR THE PERIOD JANUARY 1, 2011 TO DECEMBER 31, 2012

Finding No. 1 - One Signature On Liquid Fuels Tax Fund Checks

Our examination disclosed that only one signature was required to authorize checks drawn on the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund. When only one signature is required there is a significant risk of unauthorized disbursements, errors, or misappropriations occurring and remaining undetected. To decrease this risk, good internal controls require that at least two authorized signatures be required to authorize checks drawn on the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund.

Recommendation

We recommend that the municipality improve internal control procedures over disbursements by requiring at least two signatures on all disbursements from the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund account.

Management's Response

The treasurer stated:

I agree with the finding.

Auditor's Conclusion

During our next examination we will determine if the municipality complied with our recommendation.

BOROUGH OF HONEY BROOK CHESTER COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS FOR THE PERIOD JANUARY 1, 2011 TO DECEMBER 31, 2012

Finding No. 2 - Documentation For Price Quotations Was Not Available For Examination

Our examination disclosed that the borough expended \$5,646.18 during 2011 from the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund for the purchase of salt. The borough was required to obtain telephonic price quotations for these expenditures. However, documentation for price quotations was not available for examination. The salt purchases were as follows:

| Invoice | Invoice | Check | Check | Amount |
|---------------|----------|---------------|-------------|------------|
| <u>Number</u> | Date | <u>Number</u> | <u>Date</u> | |
| 71304 | 12/31/10 | 1029 | 01/17/11 | \$1,849.55 |
| 72740 | 01/31/11 | 1032 | 02/07/11 | 1,817.60 |
| 75993 | 12/15/11 | 1063 | 12/30/11 | 1,979.03 |
| 2011 Total | | | | \$5,646.18 |

The above expenditures were not made in compliance with the contract requirements of *The Borough Code*, 53 P.S. § 46402(a.1), (also found at § 1402(a.1) of *The Borough Code* as published by the Local Government Commission), which states, in part:

Written or telephonic price quotations from at least three qualified and responsible contractors shall be requested for all contracts that exceed four thousand dollars (\$4,000.00) but are less than the amount [in excess of \$10,000.00] requiring advertisement and competitive bidding or, in lieu of price quotations, a memorandum shall be kept on file showing that fewer than three qualified contractors exist in the market area within which it is practicable to obtain quotations. A written record of telephonic price quotations shall be made and shall contain at least the date of the quotation, the name of the contractor and the contractor's representative, the construction, reconstruction, repair, maintenance or work which was the subject of the quotation and the price. Written price quotations, written records of telephonic price quotations and memoranda shall be retained for a period of three years.

BOROUGH OF HONEY BROOK CHESTER COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS FOR THE PERIOD JANUARY 1, 2011 TO DECEMBER 31, 2012

Finding No. 2 - Documentation For Price Quotations Was Not Available For Examination (Continued)

On September 28, 2012, the borough reimbursed \$5,646.18 to the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund.

Recommendation

We recommend that, in the future, the borough comply with *The Borough Code* and the Department of Transportation's *Publication 9* as noted in this finding. The thresholds for advertising and bidding increased to purchases between \$10,000.00 and \$18,500.00 for 2012, \$10,200.00 and \$18,900.00 for 2013, and \$10,300.00 and \$19,100.00 for 2014.

Management's Response

The treasurer stated:

I agree with the finding.

Auditor's Conclusion

During our next examination we will determine if the municipality complied with our recommendation.

BOROUGH OF HONEY BROOK CHESTER COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND COMMENT FOR THE PERIOD JANUARY 1, 2011 TO DECEMBER 31, 2012

Comment - Summary Of 2003-2004 Audit Recommendation

In our 2003-2004 report we recommended that the Department of Transportation review our audit finding to determine if the municipality should reimburse \$1,050.00 to its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund for a failure to deposit its 2003 turnback allocation into its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund.

In our 2005-2007 examination we reviewed a letter dated May 5, 2006 from the Department of Transportation informing the municipality to reimburse \$1,050.00 to its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund. We noted that the municipality reimbursed this amount to its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund on April 4, 2012.

BOROUGH OF HONEY BROOK CHESTER COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND SUMMARY OF EXIT CONFERENCE FOR THE PERIOD JANUARY 1, 2011 TO DECEMBER 31, 2012

An exit conference was held September 20, 2013. Those participating were:

BOROUGH OF HONEY BROOK

Ms. Carrie Pike, Treasurer

DEPARTMENT OF THE AUDITOR GENERAL

Mr. Stephen P. Stoppie, Auditor

The results of the examination were presented and discussed in their entirety.

BOROUGH OF HONEY BROOK CHESTER COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND REPORT DISTRIBUTION FOR THE PERIOD JANUARY 1, 2011 TO DECEMBER 31, 2012

This report was initially distributed to:

The Honorable Barry J. Schoch, P.E. Secretary Department of Transportation

> Borough of Honey Brook Chester County 71 Pequea Avenue P. O. Box 249 Honey Brook, PA 19344

The Honorable Ron Rosciolo

President of Council

Ms. Carrie Pike

Treasurer

This report is a matter of public record and is available online at <u>www.auditorgen.state.pa.us</u>. Media questions about the report can be directed to the Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General, Office of Communications, 231 Finance Building, Harrisburg, PA 17120; via email to: <u>news@auditorgen.state.pa.us</u>.