

TOWNSHIP OF OLEY BERKS COUNTY 06-227

LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND EXAMINATION REPORT

FOR THE PERIOD
JANUARY 1, 2012 TO DECEMBER 31, 2012

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA

EUGENE A. DEPASQUALE - AUDITOR GENERAL

DEPARTMENT OF THE AUDITOR GENERAL





Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General Harrisburg, PA 17120-0018 Facebook: Pennsylvania Auditor General Twitter: @PAAuditorGen

EUGENE A. DEPASQUALE AUDITOR GENERAL

Independent Auditor's Report

The Honorable Barry J. Schoch, P.E. Secretary
Department of Transportation
Harrisburg, PA 17120

We examined the accompanying Form MS-965 With Adjustments for the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund of the Township of Oley, Berks County, for the period January 1, 2012 to December 31, 2012. The municipality's management is responsible for the Form MS-965. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Form MS-965 With Adjustments based on our examination.

Our examination was conducted in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants and the standards applicable to attestation engagements contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. An examination includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the Township of Oley, Berks County's Form MS-965 for the period January 1, 2012 to December 31, 2012 and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our examination provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

We are mandated by Section 403 of *The Fiscal Code*, 72 P.S. § 403, to audit each municipality's Liquid Fuels Tax Fund to ensure that funds received are expended in accordance with applicable laws and regulations. *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States include attestation engagements as a separate type of audit. An attestation engagement performed pursuant to *Government Auditing Standards* involves additional standards that exceed the standards provided by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. Accordingly, this attestation engagement complies with both *Government Auditing Standards* and Section 403 of *The Fiscal Code*.

As discussed in the Finding and Recommendation section of this report, the township expended \$5,585.85 during 2012 from the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund for four inch single yellow center line painting, which is a nonpermissible expenditure. Additionally, as discussed in the Comment section, during the prior examination period the township expended \$4,700.52 for four inch single yellow center line painting, which is a nonpermissible expenditure. The township reimbursed \$4,700.52 and \$5,388.85, respectively, to the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund on June 17, 2013 and October 25, 2013, respectively, which was subsequent to our examination period.

Independent Auditor's Report (Continued)

In our opinion, except for the matters discussed in the preceding paragraph, the Form MS-965 With Adjustments presents, in all material respects, the information required by the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation for the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund of the Township of Oley, Berks County, for the period January 1, 2012 to December 31, 2012, in conformity with the criteria set forth in Note 1.

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we are required to report findings of significant deficiencies in internal control, violations of provisions of contracts or grant agreements, and abuse that are material to the Form MS-965 and any fraud and illegal acts that are more than inconsequential that come to our attention during our examination. We are also required to obtain the views of management on those matters. We performed our examination to express an opinion on whether the Form MS-965 are presented in accordance with the criteria described above and not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the internal control over reporting on the Form MS-965 or on compliance and other matters; accordingly, we express no such opinions.

A control deficiency exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent or detect misstatements on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a control deficiency, or combination of control deficiencies, that adversely affects the Township of Oley, Berks County's ability to initiate, authorize, record, process, or report data reliably in accordance with the applicable criteria such that there is more than a remote likelihood that a misstatement of the Township of Oley, Berks County's Form MS-965 that is more than inconsequential will not be prevented or detected by the Township of Oley, Berks County's internal control.

A material weakness is a significant deficiency or combination of significant deficiencies that results in more than a remote likelihood that a material misstatement of the Form MS-965 will not be prevented or detected by the Township of Oley, Berks County's internal control.

Our consideration of internal control over reporting on the Form MS-965 was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and would not necessarily identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be significant deficiencies or material weaknesses. We did not identify any significant deficiencies or material weaknesses, as defined above, in internal control over reporting on the Form MS-965.

The results of our tests disclosed the following instance of noncompliance that is required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*:

• Nonpermissible Expenditures - Recurring.

Independent Auditor's Report (Continued)

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation and the management of the Township of Oley, Berks County, and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

November 12, 2013

EUGENE A. DEPASQUALE

Eugent O-Pager

Auditor General

CONTENTS

	Page
Background	1
Financial Section:	
2012 Form MS-965 With Adjustments	2
Notes To Form MS-965 With Adjustments	5
Finding And Recommendation:	
Finding - Nonpermissible Expenditure - Recurring	9
Comment	10
Summary Of Exit Conference	11
Report Distribution	12

TOWNSHIP OF OLEY BERKS COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND BACKGROUND FOR THE PERIOD JANUARY 1, 2012 TO DECEMBER 31, 2012

Background

The Liquid Fuels Tax Municipal Allocation Law, Title 72 P.S. § 2615.5, provides municipalities other than counties (townships, boroughs, cities, towns, home rule, and optional plan governments) with an annual allocation of liquid fuels taxes from the state's Motor License Fund to be used for the maintenance and repair of streets, roads, and bridges for which the municipality is responsible. The allocation of these funds to municipalities is based: (1) 50 percent on the municipality's proportion of local road mileage to the total local road mileage in the state, and (2) 50 percent on the proportion of a municipality's population to the total population in the state.

The Vehicle Code, Title 75 P.S. § 9511, provides municipalities with annual maintenance payments to be received from the Motor License Fund for functionally local highways that were transferred to a municipality from the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

Each municipality must deposit the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund money and annual maintenance payments that it receives into a special fund called the Municipal Liquid Fuels Tax Fund or State Fund. A municipality may not deposit any other monies into this fund except when the municipality does not have enough money in the special fund to meet the payments called for by its current annual budget for road and bridge purposes. In this case, the municipality may borrow money or transfer money from its General Fund to its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund.

The Department of Transportation has been given the regulatory authority for the administration of these funds. To qualify for its annual allocation, each municipality shall submit the following documents and information to the Department of Transportation:

- 1. Evidence that the treasurer is bonded in accordance with the law, or that its treasurer is a bank requiring no bond by January 31 of each year.
- 2. A report indicating the manner in which its liquid fuels tax allocation was expended in the previous year (Form MS-965) by January 31 of each year.
- 3. A report of elected and appointed officials by January 31 of each year.
- 4. A Survey of Financial Condition by March 15 of each year.

Department of Transportation *Publication 9* contains the policies and procedures that govern the use of Liquid Fuels Tax Fund money. However, if there is a difference between *Publication 9* and any legislation, the legislation shall govern.

TOWNSHIP OF OLEY BERKS COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND 2012 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 1 WITH ADJUSTMENTS

					Adjusted	
Expenditure Summary	Reported		Adjustments		Amount	
Major equipment purchases	\$	14,427.56	\$	_	\$	14,427.56
Minor equipment purchases	Ψ.	4,890.60	Ψ	_	4	4,890.60
Computer/Computer related training		-		-		-
Agility projects		-		-		-
Cleaning streets and gutters		-		-		-
Winter maintenance services		4,421.18		-		4,421.18
Traffic control devices		18,599.03		-		18,599.03
Street lighting		-		-		-
Storm sewers and drains		2,095.20		-		2,095.20
Repairs of tools and machinery		6,319.00		-		6,319.00
Maintenance and repair of						
roads and bridges		2,767.50		-		2,767.50
Highway construction and						
rebuilding projects		62,508.48		-		62,508.48
Miscellaneous				_		
Total (To Section 2, Line 5)	\$	116,028.55	\$	_	\$	116,028.55

TOWNSHIP OF OLEY BERKS COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND 2012 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 2 WITH ADJUSTMENTS

Fund Balance	Reported		Adjustments		Adjusted Amount		
1. Balance, January 1, 2012	\$	50.19	\$	-	\$	50.19	
Receipts:		140 405 17				140 405 17	
2. State allocation		149,485.17		-		149,485.17	
2a. Turnback allocation		18,560.00		-		18,560.00	
2b. Interest on investments (Note 3)		87.38		-		87.38	
2c. Miscellaneous							
3. Total receipts		168,132.55				168,132.55	
4. Total funds available		168,182.74		-		168,182.74	
5. Expenditures (Section 1)		116,028.55		-		116,028.55	
6. Balance, December 31, 2012	\$	52,154.19	\$		\$	52,154.19	

Notes to Form MS-965 With Adjustments are an integral part of this report.

TOWNSHIP OF OLEY BERKS COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND 2012 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 3 WITH ADJUSTMENTS

Equipment Balance	Reported		Adjustments		Adjusted Amount	
1. Prior year equipment balance	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
2. Add: Current year equipment allocation (20% of Lines 2 + 2a, Section 2)	n	33,609.03		-		33,609.03
3. PENNDOT approved adjustments						
4. Total funds available for equipment acquisition		33,609.03		-		33,609.03
5. Less: Major equipment expenditures		14,427.56				14,427.56
6. Remainder		19,181.47				19,181.47
7. Equipment balance available for subsequent year (Lesser of Line 6 or Section 2 balance, but not less than zero)	\$	19,181.47	\$		\$	19,181.47

Notes to Form MS-965 With Adjustments are an integral part of this report.

1. Criteria

Section 1

This section of Form MS-965 With Adjustments provides a summary of Liquid Fuels Tax Fund expenditures by category. Categories requiring explanation include:

- Major equipment purchases are purchases of road machinery and road equipment that cost in excess of \$4,000.00 prior to 2012 and in excess of \$10,000.00 during 2012 and subsequent years.
- Minor equipment purchases are purchases of road machinery and road equipment that cost \$4,000.00 or less prior to 2012 and \$10,000.00 or less during 2012 and subsequent years.
- Agility projects are exchanges of services with the Department of Transportation.

Section 2

This section of Form MS-965 With Adjustments provides information on the fund balance. Categories requiring explanation include:

- The state allocation is generally received from the Department of Transportation during the first week in April of each year. The amount the municipality receives is based half on its population and half on its road mileage.
- The turnback allocation is generally received from the Department of Transportation during the first week in April of each year. A municipality receives a yearly turnback allocation based on road mileage for all roads that were transferred to the municipality from the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania through the Highway Transfer Program.
- Expenditures include the total transferred from Section 1.

Section 3

This section of Form MS-965 With Adjustments determines if the municipality expended Liquid Fuels Tax Fund money in excess of the permissible amount for equipment and the

1. Criteria (Continued)

Section 3 (Continued)

balance that the municipality may carry forward for the purchase of equipment to the subsequent year.

Department of Transportation *Publication 9* requires that the amount expended for equipment purchases in a given year not exceed the sum of the equipment balance carried forward from the previous year and 20 percent of the current year's Liquid Fuels Tax Fund allocation and, if applicable, 20 percent of the turnback allocation plus other Department of Transportation approved adjustments.

If the municipality spent in excess of the amount listed on Line 4, the excess must be reimbursed to the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund.

The equipment balance to be carried forward for the subsequent year is the lesser of the amount on Line 6 or the ending fund balance on Line 6 of Section 2, but not less than zero.

Basis Of Presentation

The financial activities of the municipality are accounted for in separate funds. The Liquid Fuels Tax Fund is used to account for state aid revenues from the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation used primarily for building and improving local roads and bridges. The Form MS-965 has been prepared in accordance with reporting requirements prescribed by the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation as a result of the Fuels Tax Act 655, dated 1956 and as amended, which does not constitute a complete presentation of the entity's assets, liabilities, expenses, and fund balance. Accordingly, the presentation of Form MS-965 With Adjustments is restricted to the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund, which represents a segment of the entity.

Basis Of Accounting

The accompanying Form MS-965 With Adjustments is prepared in accordance with reporting requirements prescribed by the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation. Under this method, revenues are recognized when received and expenditures are recorded when paid.

1. Criteria (Continued)

General Fixed Assets

General fixed assets are recognized as expenditures at the time of purchase. No depreciation has been provided on the heavy equipment used to maintain and repair roads and bridges.

2. Deposits

The Second Class Township Code, Title 53 P.S. § 68204, authorizes the township to deposit its funds in the following:

- Deposits in savings accounts or time deposits, other than certificates of deposit or share accounts of institutions having their principal place of business in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) or other like insurance. For any amount above the insured maximum, the depository shall pledge approved collateral.
- Certificates of deposit purchased from institutions insured by the FDIC or other like insurance to the extent that such accounts are so insured. For any amounts in excess of the insured maximum, such deposits shall be collateralized by a pledge or assignment of assets. Certificates of deposit may not exceed 20 percent of a bank's total capital surplus or 20 percent of a savings and loan's or savings bank's assets minus liabilities.

Deposits consist of receipts and deposits in a financial institution. Pennsylvania statutes require all deposits to be insured and, for any amount above the insured maximum, to be secured with approved collateral as defined.

There were no deposits exposed to custodial credit risk as of December 31, 2012. Custodial credit risk, as defined by GASB No. 40, includes deposits that are not covered by depository insurance and the deposits are uncollateralized, collateralized with securities held by the pledging financial institution, or collateralized with securities held by the pledging financial institution's trust department or agent but not in the municipality's name.

2. <u>Deposits (Continued)</u>

Fund Balance

The fund balance consists of the following:

Cash \$52,154.19

3. <u>Interest On Investments</u>

Our examination disclosed that the municipality deposited idle liquid fuels tax money in an interest-bearing account which earned \$87.38 during 2012, thus providing additional funds for road maintenance and repairs.

TOWNSHIP OF OLEY BERKS COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND FINDING AND RECOMMENDATION FOR THE PERIOD JANUARY 1, 2012 TO DECEMBER 31, 2012

Finding - Nonpermissible Expenditure - Recurring

We cited the municipality for nonpermissible expenditures in our prior report for the period January 1, 2010 to December 31, 2011. However, our examination again disclosed that the municipality expended \$5,585.85 during 2012 from the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund for a four inch single yellow center line painting, which is a nonpermissible expenditure.

The Federal Highway Administration's *Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices*, Section 3B.01, states:

A solid single yellow line shall not be used as a center line marking on a two-way roadway.

This condition occurred because the municipality failed to comply with our prior examination recommendation to comply with the Federal Highway Administration's *Manual On Uniform Traffic Control Devices* regarding four inch single yellow center line painting.

The municipality reimbursed \$5,585.85 to the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund on October 25, 2013, which was subsequent to our examination period.

Recommendation

We again recommend that the municipality comply with the Federal Highway Administration's *Manual On Uniform Traffic Control Devices*.

Management's Response

The municipal official offered no formal response at this time.

Auditor's Conclusion

This is a recurring finding. We strongly recommend that the municipality comply with our recommendation.

TOWNSHIP OF OLEY BERKS COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND COMMENT FOR THE PERIOD JANUARY 1, 2012 TO DECEMBER 31, 2012

Comment - Summary Of Prior Examination Recommendation

In our prior report we recommended that the Department of Transportation review our examination finding to determine if the municipality should reimburse \$4,700.52 to its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund for four inch single yellow center line painting, which is a nonpermissible expenditure. A similar finding was also noted in our current report (see Finding).

During our current examination we reviewed a letter dated May 28, 2013, from the Department of Transportation informing the municipality to reimburse \$4,700.52 to its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund. We noted that the municipality reimbursed this amount to its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund on June 17, 2013, which was subsequent to our examination period.

TOWNSHIP OF OLEY BERKS COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND SUMMARY OF EXIT CONFERENCE FOR THE PERIOD JANUARY 1, 2012 TO DECEMBER 31, 2012

An exit conference was held November 12, 2013. Those participating were:

TOWNSHIP OF OLEY

Ms. Shirley M. Moyer, Secretary/Treasurer

DEPARTMENT OF THE AUDITOR GENERAL

Mr. Jeffrey J. Merlet, Auditor

The results of the examination were presented and discussed in their entirety.

TOWNSHIP OF OLEY BERKS COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND REPORT DISTRIBUTION FOR THE PERIOD JANUARY 1, 2012 TO DECEMBER 31, 2012

This report was initially distributed to:

The Honorable Barry J. Schoch, P.E. Secretary
Department of Transportation

Township of Oley Berks County 1 Rose Virginia Road P.O. Box 19 Oley, PA 19547-0019

The Honorable Jeffrey A. Spatz Chairman of the Board of Supervisors

Ms. Shirley M. Moyer Secretary/Treasurer

This report is a matter of public record and is available online at www.auditorgen.state.pa.us. Media questions about the report can be directed to the Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General, Office of Communications, 231 Finance Building, Harrisburg, PA 17120; via email to: news@auditorgen.state.pa.us.