



Released March 2013

# COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA

# EUGENE A. DEPASQUALE - AUDITOR GENERAL

# **DEPARTMENT OF THE AUDITOR GENERAL**





BOROUGH OF GETTYSBURG ADAMS COUNTY 01-407

LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND EXAMINATION REPORT

FOR THE TWO YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2011

# CONTENTS

Page
Background1
Independent Auditor's Report
Financial Section:
2010 Form MS-965 With Adjustments7
2011 Form MS-965 With Adjustments10
Notes To Forms MS-965 With Adjustments13
Findings And Recommendations:
Finding No. 1 - Failure To Obtain Project Approval19
Finding No. 2 - Nonpermissible Expenditures
Finding No. 3 - Documentation For Price Quotations Was Not Available For Examination
Comment
Summary Of Exit Conference
Report Distribution

# BOROUGH OF GETTYSBURG ADAMS COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND BACKGROUND FOR THE TWO YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2011

#### Background

The Liquid Fuels Tax Municipal Allocation Law, Title 72 P.S. § 2615.5, provides municipalities other than counties (townships, boroughs, cities, towns, home rule, and optional plan governments) with an annual allocation of liquid fuels taxes from the state's Motor License Fund to be used for the maintenance and repair of streets, roads, and bridges for which the municipality is responsible. The allocation of these funds to municipalities is based: (1) 50 percent on the municipality's proportion of local road mileage to the total local road mileage in the state, and (2) 50 percent on the proportion of a municipality's population to the total population in the state.

*The Vehicle Code*, Title 75 P.S. § 9511, provides municipalities with annual maintenance payments to be received from the Motor License Fund for functionally local highways that were transferred to a municipality from the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

Each municipality must deposit the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund money and annual maintenance payments that it receives into a special fund called the Municipal Liquid Fuels Tax Fund or State Fund. A municipality may not deposit any other monies into this fund except when the municipality does not have enough money in the special fund to meet the payments called for by its current annual budget for road and bridge purposes. In this case, the municipality may borrow money or transfer money from its General Fund to its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund.

The Department of Transportation has been given the regulatory authority for the administration of these funds. To qualify for its annual allocation, each municipality shall submit the following documents and information to the Department of Transportation:

- 1. Evidence that the treasurer is bonded in accordance with the law, or that its treasurer is a bank requiring no bond by January 31 of each year.
- 2. A report indicating the manner in which its liquid fuels tax allocation was expended in the previous year (Form MS-965) by January 31 of each year.
- 3. A report of elected and appointed officials by January 31 of each year.
- 4. A Survey of Financial Condition by March 15 of each year.

Department of Transportation *Publication 9* contains the policies and procedures that govern the use of Liquid Fuels Tax Fund money. However, if there is a difference between *Publication 9* and any legislation, the legislation shall govern.





Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General Harrisburg, PA 17120-0018 Facebook: Pennsylvania Auditor General Twitter: @PAAuditorGen

EUGENE A. DEPASQUALE AUDITOR GENERAL

# Independent Auditor's Report

The Honorable Barry J. Schoch, P.E. Secretary Department of Transportation Harrisburg, PA 17120

We examined the accompanying Forms MS-965 With Adjustments for the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund of the Borough of Gettysburg, Adams County, for the two years ended December 31, 2011. The municipality's management is responsible for the Forms MS-965. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Forms MS-965 With Adjustments based on our examination.

Our examination was conducted in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants and the standards applicable to attestation engagements contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. An examination includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the Borough of Gettysburg, Adams County's Forms MS-965 for the two years ended December 31, 2011 and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our examination provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

We are mandated by Section 403 of *The Fiscal Code*, 72 P.S. § 403, to audit each municipality's Liquid Fuels Tax Fund to ensure that funds received are expended in accordance with applicable laws and regulations. *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States include attestation engagements as a separate type of audit. An attestation engagement performed pursuant to *Government Auditing Standards* involves additional standards that exceed the standards provided by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. Accordingly, this attestation engagement complies with both *Government Auditing Standards* and Section 403 of *The Fiscal Code*.

As described in Note 4, the adjustments included on the Forms MS-965 With Adjustments are made by the Department of the Auditor General.

# Independent Auditor's Report (Continued)

As discussed in Finding No. 1, the municipality expended \$16,312.47 in 2010 and \$33,834.25 in 2011 for the Steinwehr Avenue Streetscape Project without approval from the Department of Transportation. The Steinwehr Avenue, Heart of Gettysburg Battlefield Neighborhood Improvement District reimbursed \$4,000.00 during 2010 and \$10,815.27 during 2011 to the municipality for its share of the payments for the project.

In our opinion, except for the matter discussed in the preceding paragraph, the Forms MS-965 With Adjustments present, in all material respects, the information required by the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation for the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund of the Borough of Gettysburg, Adams County, for the two years ended December 31, 2011, in conformity with the criteria set forth in Note 1.

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we are required to report findings of significant deficiencies in internal control, violations of provisions of contracts or grant agreements, and abuse that are material to the Forms MS-965 and any fraud and illegal acts that are more than inconsequential that come to our attention during our examination. We are also required to obtain the views of management on those matters. We performed our examination to express an opinion on whether the Forms MS-965 are presented in accordance with the criteria described above and not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the internal control over reporting on the Forms MS-965 or on compliance and other matters; accordingly, we express no such opinions.

A control deficiency exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent or detect misstatements on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a control deficiency, or combination of control deficiencies, that adversely affects the Borough of Gettysburg, Adams County's ability to initiate, authorize, record, process, or report data reliably in accordance with the applicable criteria such that there is more than a remote likelihood that a misstatement of the Borough of Gettysburg, Adams County's Forms MS-965 that is more than inconsequential will not be prevented or detected by the Borough of Gettysburg, Adams County's internal control.

# Independent Auditor's Report (Continued)

A material weakness is a significant deficiency or combination of significant deficiencies that results in more than a remote likelihood that a material misstatement of the Forms MS-965 will not be prevented or detected by the Borough of Gettysburg, Adams County's internal control.

Our consideration of internal control over reporting on the Forms MS-965 was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and would not necessarily identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be significant deficiencies or material weaknesses. We did not identify any significant deficiencies or material weaknesses, as defined above, in internal control over reporting on the Forms MS-965.

The results of our tests disclosed the following instances of noncompliance that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*:

- Failure To Obtain Project Approval.
- Nonpermissible Expenditures.
- Documentation For Price Quotations Was Not Available For Examination.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation and the management of the Borough of Gettysburg, Adams County, and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Eugent: O-Pusper

July 17, 2012

EUGENE A. DEPASQUALE Auditor General



# BOROUGH OF GETTYSBURG ADAMS COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND 2010 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 1 WITH ADJUSTMENTS

Expenditure Summary	Reported		Reported		AdjustmentsReported(Note 4)		Adjusted Amount	
Major equipment purchases	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-		
Minor equipment purchases		-		-		-		
Computer/Computer related training		-		-		-		
Agility projects		-		-		-		
Cleaning streets and gutters		-		-		-		
Winter maintenance services		7,935.48		-		7,935.48		
Traffic control devices		24,344.83		-		24,344.83		
Street lighting		87,053.14		-		87,053.14		
Storm sewers and drains		91.27		-		91.27		
Repairs of tools and machinery		211.90		-		211.90		
Maintenance and repair of								
roads and bridges		618.34		-		618.34		
Highway construction and								
rebuilding projects		-		16,312.47		16,312.47		
Miscellaneous		16,312.47		(16,312.47)		-		
Total (To Section 2, Line 5)	\$	136,567.43	\$		\$	136,567.43		

# BOROUGH OF GETTYSBURG ADAMS COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND 2010 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 2 WITH ADJUSTMENTS

Fund Balance	Reported		Reported		Adjustments (Note 4)		Adjusted Amount	
1. Balance, January 1, 2010	\$	133,568.34	\$	(2,494.26)	\$	131,074.08		
Receipts: 2. State allocation 2a. Turnback allocation 2b. Interest on investments (Note 3) 2c. Miscellaneous (Note 5)		127,098.71 - 397.72 8,186.00		23.35		127,098.71 - 421.07 8,186.00		
3. Total receipts		135,682.43		23.35		135,705.78		
4. Total funds available		269,250.77		(2,470.91)		266,779.86		
5. Expenditures (Section 1)		136,567.43		-		136,567.43		
6. Balance, December 31, 2010	\$	132,683.34	\$	(2,470.91)	\$	130,212.43		

# BOROUGH OF GETTYSBURG ADAMS COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND 2010 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 3 WITH ADJUSTMENTS

Equipment Balance		Reported	A	djustments	 Adjusted Amount
1. Prior year equipment balance	\$	129,819.54	\$	-	\$ 129,819.54
<ol> <li>Add: Current year equipment allocation (20% of Lines 2 + 2a, Section 2)</li> </ol>	1	25,419.74		-	25,419.74
3. PENNDOT approved adjustments					 
4. Total funds available for equipment acquisition		155,239.28		-	155,239.28
5. Less: Major equipment expenditures				-	 -
6. Remainder		155,239.28			 155,239.28
<ol> <li>Equipment balance available for subsequent year (Lesser of Line 6 or Section 2 balance, but not less than zero)</li> </ol>	\$	132,683.34	\$	(2,470.91)	\$ 130,212.43

# BOROUGH OF GETTYSBURG ADAMS COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND 2011 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 1 WITH ADJUSTMENTS

Expenditure Summary	Reported		Reported		0		Adjustments (Note 4)	Adjusted Amount	
Major equipment purchases	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-			
Minor equipment purchases		-		-		-			
Computer/Computer related training		-		-		-			
Agility projects		-		-		-			
Cleaning streets and gutters		-		-		-			
Winter maintenance services		18,056.28		-		18,056.28			
Traffic control devices		15,681.67		-		15,681.67			
Street lighting		101,234.72		-		101,234.72			
Storm sewers and drains		4,877.46		-		4,877.46			
Repairs of tools and machinery		-		-		-			
Maintenance and repair of									
roads and bridges		18,483.21		-		18,483.21			
Highway construction and									
rebuilding projects		-		33,834.25		33,834.25			
Miscellaneous		33,834.25		(33,834.25)		-			
Total (To Section 2, Line 5)	\$	192,167.59	\$	_	\$	192,167.59			

# BOROUGH OF GETTYSBURG ADAMS COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND 2011 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 2 WITH ADJUSTMENTS

Fund Balance	Reported		Adjustments (Note 4)		Adjusted Amount
1. Balance, January 1, 2011	\$	132,683.34	\$ (2,470.91)	\$	130,212.43
Receipts: 2. State allocation 2a. Turnback allocation 2b. Interest on investments (Note 3) 2c. Miscellaneous (Note 5)		130,172.32 - 279.85 65,961.05	 (23.35)		130,172.32 - 256.50 65,961.05
3. Total receipts		196,413.22	 (23.35)		196,389.87
4. Total funds available		329,096.56	 (2,494.26)		326,602.30
5. Expenditures (Section 1)		192,167.59	 -		192,167.59
6. Balance, December 31, 2011	\$	136,928.97	\$ (2,494.26)	\$	134,434.71

# BOROUGH OF GETTYSBURG ADAMS COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND 2011 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 3 WITH ADJUSTMENTS

Equipment Balance		Reported	A	djustments	 Adjusted Amount
1. Prior year equipment balance	\$	132,683.34	\$	(2,470.91)	\$ 130,212.43
<ol> <li>Add: Current year equipment allocation (20% of Lines 2 + 2a, Section 2)</li> </ol>	1	26,034.46		-	26,034.46
3. PENNDOT approved adjustments					 
4. Total funds available for equipment acquisition		158,717.80		(2,470.91)	156,246.89
5. Less: Major equipment expenditures				_	 
6. Remainder		158,717.80		(2,470.91)	 156,246.89
<ul><li>7. Equipment balance available for subsequent year (Lesser of Line 6 or Section 2 balance, but not less than zero)</li></ul>	\$	136,928.97	\$	(2,494.26)	\$ 134,434.71

### 1. <u>Criteria</u>

# Section 1

This section of Form MS-965 With Adjustments provides a summary of Liquid Fuels Tax Fund expenditures by category. Categories requiring explanation include:

- Major equipment purchases are purchases of road machinery and road equipment that cost in excess of \$4,000.00.
- Minor equipment purchases are purchases of road machinery and road equipment that cost \$4,000.00 or less.
- Agility projects are exchanges of services with the Department of Transportation.

# Section 2

This section of Form MS-965 With Adjustments provides information on the fund balance. Categories requiring explanation include:

- The state allocation is generally received from the Department of Transportation during the first week in April of each year. The amount the municipality receives is based half on its population and half on its road mileage.
- The turnback allocation is generally received from the Department of Transportation during the first week in April of each year. A municipality receives a yearly turnback allocation based on road mileage for all roads that were transferred to the municipality from the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania through the Highway Transfer Program.
- Expenditures include the total transferred from Section 1.

#### Section 3

This section of Form MS-965 With Adjustments determines if the municipality expended Liquid Fuels Tax Fund money in excess of the permissible amount for equipment and the balance that the municipality may carry forward for the purchase of equipment to the subsequent year.

#### 1. <u>Criteria (Continued)</u>

#### Section 3 (Continued)

Department of Transportation *Publication 9* requires that the amount expended for equipment purchases in a given year not exceed the sum of the equipment balance carried forward from the previous year and 20 percent of the current year's Liquid Fuels Tax Fund allocation and, if applicable, 20 percent of the turnback allocation plus other Department of Transportation approved adjustments.

If the municipality spent in excess of the amount listed on Line 4, the excess must be reimbursed to the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund.

The equipment balance to be carried forward for the subsequent year is the lesser of the amount on Line 6 or the ending fund balance on Line 6 of Section 2, but not less than zero.

#### **Basis Of Presentation**

The financial activities of the municipality are accounted for in separate funds. The Liquid Fuels Tax Fund is used to account for state aid revenues from the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation used primarily for building and improving local roads and bridges. The Forms MS-965 have been prepared in accordance with reporting requirements prescribed by the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation as a result of the Fuels Tax Act 655, dated 1956 and as amended, which does not constitute a complete presentation of the entity's assets, liabilities, expenses, and fund balance. Accordingly, the presentation of Forms MS-965 With Adjustments is restricted to the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund, which represents a segment of the entity.

#### **Basis Of Accounting**

The accompanying Forms MS-965 With Adjustments are prepared in accordance with reporting requirements prescribed by the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation. Under this method, revenues are recognized when received and expenditures are recorded when paid.

#### 1. <u>Criteria (Continued)</u>

#### General Fixed Assets

General fixed assets are recognized as expenditures at the time of purchase. No depreciation has been provided on the heavy equipment used to maintain and repair roads and bridges.

#### 2. <u>Deposits</u>

*The Borough Code*, Title 53 P.S § 46316, authorizes the borough to deposit its funds in the following:

- Deposits in savings accounts or time deposits, other than certificates of deposit or share accounts of institutions having their principal place of business in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) or other like insurance. For any amount above the insured maximum, the depository shall pledge approved collateral.
- Certificates of deposit purchased from institutions insured by the FDIC or other like insurance to the extent that such accounts are so insured. For any amounts in excess of the insured maximum, such deposits shall be collateralized by a pledge or assignment of assets. Certificates of deposit may not exceed 20 percent of a bank's total capital surplus or 20 percent of a savings and loan's or savings bank's assets minus liabilities.

Deposits consist of receipts and deposits in a financial institution. Pennsylvania statutes require all deposits to be insured and, for any amount above the insured maximum, to be secured with approved collateral as defined.

There were no deposits exposed to custodial credit risk as of December 31, 2011. Custodial credit risk, as defined by GASB No. 40, includes deposits that are not covered by depository insurance and the deposits are uncollateralized, collateralized with securities held by the pledging financial institution, or collateralized with securities held by the pledging financial institution's trust department or agent but not in the municipality's name.

#### 2. <u>Deposits (Continued)</u>

#### Fund Balance

The fund balance as of December 31, 2011 consists of the following:

Cash

#### \$134,434.71

#### 3. Interest On Investments

Our examination disclosed that the municipality deposited idle liquid fuels tax money in an interest-bearing account which earned \$421.07 during 2010, and \$256.50 during 2011, thus providing additional funds for road maintenance and repairs.

#### 4. Adjustments

#### 2010 - Section 1

Adjustments were made to "Highway construction and rebuilding projects" and "Miscellaneous" because expenditures of \$16,312.47 were misclassified.

#### 2010 - Section 2

An adjustment of (2,494.26) was made to "Balance, January 1, 2010" because of prior report adjustments that were not carried forward in the fund balance.

An adjustment of \$23.35 was made to "Interest on investments" because interest earnings were understated.

#### 2011 - Section 1

Adjustments were made to "Highway construction and rebuilding projects" and "Miscellaneous" because expenditures of \$33,834.25 were misclassified.

#### <u>2011 - Section 2</u>

An adjustment of (2,470.91) was made to "Balance, January 1, 2011" to reflect the adjustments made in 2010 - Section 2.

#### 4. Adjustments (Continued)

#### 2011 - Section 2 (Continued)

An adjustment of \$(23.35) was made to "Interest on investments" because interest earnings were overstated.

#### 2011 - Section 3

An adjustment of (2,470.91) was made to "Prior year equipment balance" to reflect the adjustment made to the equipment balance in 2010 - Section 3.

#### 5. <u>Miscellaneous Receipts</u>

The following miscellaneous receipts were deposited into the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund during the examination period:

Source	Description	2010	2011
Community Development			
Block Grant Fund	Reimbursement (Finding No. 3)	\$4,186.00	\$ -
Local organization	Reimbursement for loan payments		
C C	(Note 6)	4,000.00	10,815.27
General Fund	Reimbursement (Comment)	-	40,658.57
General Fund	Reimbursement (Finding No. 2)		14,487.21
Totals		\$8,186.00	\$65,961.05

#### 6. <u>General Obligation Note</u>

On February 8, 2010, the municipality borrowed \$320,200.00 from the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania for a streetscape project on Steinwehr Avenue. The municipality failed to obtain the approval of the Department of Transportation for this project (Finding No. 1). The term of the loan is for ten years at an interest rate of 1.625 percent. The municipality is responsible for 70 percent of the general obligation note and the Steinwehr Avenue, Heart of Gettysburg Battlefield Neighborhood Improvement District is responsible for 30 percent of the general obligation note. Principal and interest payments of \$17,521.78 are due semi-annually.

#### 6. <u>General Obligation Note (Continued)</u>

During the current examination period the municipality paid principal of \$43,034.84 and interest of \$7,111.88 from the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund. These amounts are reflected in highway construction and rebuilding projects on the 2010 and 2011 Forms MS-965 - Section 1. Additionally, the Steinwehr Avenue, Heart of Gettysburg Battlefield Neighborhood Improvement District reimbursed \$4,000.00 during 2010 and \$10,815.27 during 2011 to the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund for its share of the payments. The outstanding balance as of December 31, 2011 was \$277,165.16, plus interest.

#### Finding No. 1 - Failure To Obtain Project Approval

Our examination disclosed that the municipality expended \$16,312.47 in 2010 and \$33,834.25 in 2011 for the Steinwehr Avenue Streetscape Project (Note 6). The municipality was required to obtain the approval of the Department of Transportation. However, the municipality did not file an application with the Department of Transportation for the project and also failed to submit specifications for approval.

The Department of Transportation's *Publication 9* contains the policies and procedures for the administration of the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund. *Publication 9*, Chapter Two, Section 2.7.2, states that nonpermissible expenditures include "Construction and reconstruction projects without prior PENNDOT approval."

Additionally, Publication 9, Chapter Two, Section 2.8, states, in part:

The following criteria is used to determine when a project is required for work on local roads utilizing Liquid Fuels Tax Funds, when construction, reconstruction and/or resurfacing work exceeds one inch or more in depth as well as other activities.

Bituminous Surface:	One inch thickness or greater
Concrete Surface:	50 square yards or more
Traffic Signals and Lighting:	New installations

The Steinwehr Avenue, Heart of Gettysburg Battlefield Neighborhood Improvement District reimbursed \$4,000.00 during 2010 and \$10,815.27 during 2011 to the municipality for its share of the payments.

The failure to comply with the Department of Transportation's *Publication 9* could result in the municipality having to reimburse \$35,331.45 to its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund.

#### Recommendations

We recommend that the municipality reimburse \$35,331.45 to its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund upon official notification by the Department of Transportation.

We further recommend that before the municipality expends money on a project, it applies for and obtains prior approval for the project, and when the project is completed it obtains approval of the completed work.

### Finding No. 1 - Failure To Obtain Project Approval (Continued)

#### Management's Response

The finance director stated:

Our consultant told us that liquid fuels dollars could be used to repay the loan. This decision to apply for the loan based on the advice of our consultant occurred two managers prior to the current one. We are awaiting additional information from our consultant.

#### Auditor's Conclusion

The municipality should comply with the Department of Transportation's *Publication 9* as cited above. During our next examination we will determine if the municipality complied with our recommendations.

#### Finding No. 2 - Nonpermissible Expenditures

Our examination disclosed that the municipality expended \$11,290.88 and \$3,196.33 during 2011 from the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund for the purchase of labor and materials for a sanitary sewer lateral relocation and labor and materials for reworking the electrical lines at Baltimore and Steinwehr Avenues, respectively, which are nonpermissible expenditures.

The Liquid Fuels Tax Municipal Allocation Law, 72 P.S. § 2615.4, provides, in part, that monies herein allocated may be used only for construction, reconstruction, maintenance, and repairs of such public roads or streets, including bridges, culverts and drainage structures, for which they are legally responsible. Also permitted are expenditures involving acquisition, maintenance, repairs and operation of street signs, traffic signs, traffic signal control systems, road equipment, and snow fences.

The Department of Transportation has been statutorily authorized to promulgate regulations concerning the administration of Liquid Fuels Tax Fund money and has determined that certain items, including labor and materials for a sanitary sewer lateral relocation and labor and materials for reworking the electrical lines, are outside the scope of permissible expenditures.

On December 29, 2011, the municipality reimbursed \$14,487.21 to the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund.

#### Recommendation

We recommend that, in the future, the municipality comply with the Liquid Fuels Tax Municipal Allocation Law and the Department of Transportation's Regulations regarding permissible expenditures.

#### Management's Response

The finance director stated:

The borough was instructed by our local representatives that liquid fuels funds could be used for those expenses. The current local representative told us we could not use liquid fuels funds and we then reimbursed the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund from our General Fund.

#### Auditor's Conclusion

During our next examination we will determine if the municipality complied with our recommendation.

#### Finding No. 3 - Documentation For Price Quotations Was Not Available For Examination

Our examination disclosed that on April 13, 2010, the borough expended \$4,186.00 from the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund for the purchase of ADA pedestrian push buttons. The borough was required to obtain three written telephonic price quotations for these expenditures. However, documentation for price quotations was not available for examination.

The above expenditure was not made in compliance with the contract requirements of *The Borough Code*, 53 P.S. § 46402(a.1), (also found at § 1402(a.1) of *The Borough Code* as published by the Local Government Commission), which states, in part:

Written or telephonic price quotations from at least three qualified and responsible contractors shall be requested for all contracts that exceed four thousand dollars (\$4,000.00) but are less than the amount [in excess of \$10,000.00] requiring advertisement and competitive bidding or, in lieu of price quotations, a memorandum shall be kept on file showing that fewer than three qualified contractors exist in the market area within which it is practicable to obtain quotations. A written record of telephonic price quotations shall be made and shall contain at least the date of the quotation, the name of the contractor and the contractor's representative, the construction, reconstruction, repair, maintenance or work which was the subject of the quotation and the price. Written price quotations, written records of telephonic price quotations and memoranda shall be retained for a period of three years.

On April 28, 2010, the borough reimbursed \$4,186.00 to the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund.

#### Recommendation

We recommend that, in the future, the borough comply with *The Borough Code* as noted in this finding.

#### Management's Response

The finance director stated:

A coding error was made by our public works director. When the error was discovered we reimbursed the liquid fuels fund.

# Finding No. 3 - Documentation For Price Quotations Was Not Available For Examination (Continued)

#### Auditor's Conclusion

*The Borough Code* requires purchases between \$4,000.00 and \$10,000.00 to be advertised and bid. During our next examination we will determine if the municipality complied with our recommendation.

#### Comment - Summary Of Prior Examination Recommendations

In our prior report we recommended that the Department of Transportation review our examination findings to determine if the municipality should reimburse \$90,370.77 to its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund for a failure to timely submit a final completion report. Additionally, included in the \$90,370.77 were expenditures of \$40,658.57 for a failure to advertise for bids.

During our current examination we reviewed a letter dated November 23, 2011, from the Department of Transportation informing the municipality to reimburse \$40,658.57 to its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund. The letter further stated that reimbursement of the remaining \$49,712.20 would not be required. We noted that the municipality reimbursed \$40,658.57 to its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund on December 12, 2011.

In our prior report we also recommended:

- That the municipality comply with the Department of Transportation's *Publication 9* by submitting a Final Completion Report Form MS-999 for completed projects in a timely manner.
- That the municipality comply with *The Borough Code* and the Department of Transportation's *Publication 9* by advertising for bids for all purchases over \$10,000.00.
- That the municipality comply with the Department of Transportation's *Publication 9* to ensure that allocations are received during the first week in April.

During our current examination we noted that the municipality complied with our recommendations.

# BOROUGH OF GETTYSBURG ADAMS COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND SUMMARY OF EXIT CONFERENCE FOR THE TWO YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2011

An exit conference was held July 17, 2012. Those participating were:

# BOROUGH OF GETTYSBURG

Ms. Florence A. Ford, Borough Manager/Treasurer Ms. Ramona K. Overton, Finance Director/Assistant Treasurer

# DEPARTMENT OF THE AUDITOR GENERAL

Ms. Tammy Fleisher, Auditor Mr. Ryan Shrauder, Auditor

The results of the examination were presented and discussed in their entirety.



### BOROUGH OF GETTYSBURG ADAMS COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND REPORT DISTRIBUTION FOR THE TWO YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2011

This report was initially distributed to:

The Honorable Barry J. Schoch, P.E. Secretary Department of Transportation

> Borough of Gettysburg Adams County 59 East High Street Gettysburg, PA 17325

The Honorable John D. Butterfield	President of Council
Ms. Florence A. Ford	Borough Manager/Treasurer
Ms. Ramona K. Overton	Finance Director/Assistant Treasurer

This report is a matter of public record. Copies of this report may be obtained from the Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General, Office of Communications, 318 Finance Building, Harrisburg, PA 17120. To view this report online or to contact the Department of the Auditor General, please access our web site at www.auditorgen.state.pa.us.