

TOWNSHIP OF ARMENIA BRADFORD COUNTY 08-202

LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND EXAMINATION REPORT

FOR THE PERIOD JANUARY 1, 2011 TO DECEMBER 31, 2012

### **COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA**

## **EUGENE A. DEPASQUALE - AUDITOR GENERAL**

**DEPARTMENT OF THE AUDITOR GENERAL** 





#### Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General Harrisburg, PA 17120-0018 Facebook: Pennsylvania Auditor General Twitter: @PAAuditorGen

EUGENE A. DEPASQUALE AUDITOR GENERAL

#### Independent Auditor's Report

The Honorable Barry J. Schoch, P.E. Secretary
Department of Transportation
Harrisburg, PA 17120

We examined the accompanying Forms MS-965 With Adjustments for the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund of the Township of Armenia, Bradford County, for the period January 1, 2011 to December 31, 2012. The municipality's management is responsible for the Forms MS-965. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Forms MS-965 With Adjustments based on our examination.

Our examination was conducted in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants and the standards applicable to attestation engagements contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. An examination includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the Township of Armenia, Bradford County's MS-965 for the period January 1, 2011 to December 31, 2012 and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our examination provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

We are mandated by Section 403 of *The Fiscal Code*, 72 P.S. § 403, to audit each municipality's Liquid Fuels Tax Fund to ensure that funds received are expended in accordance with applicable laws and regulations. *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States include attestation engagements as a separate type of audit. An attestation engagement performed pursuant to *Government Auditing Standards* involves additional standards that exceed the standards provided by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. Accordingly, this attestation engagement complies with both *Government Auditing Standards* and Section 403 of *The Fiscal Code*.

As described in Note 4, the adjustments included on the MS-965 With Adjustments are made by the Department of the Auditor General.

#### <u>Independent Auditor's Report (Continued)</u>

As discussed in Finding No. 1, the municipality expended \$8,499.33 during 2011 and \$7,074.10 during 2012 from the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund to purchase fuel in bulk quantities. However, records for the dispensation of these fuel purchases were not maintained. Additionally, as discussed in Finding No. 2, the municipality expended \$7,800.00 during 2011 for the purchase of a wheel loader and \$10,992.81 during 2012 for the purchase of stone from the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund. However, documentation for price quotations was not available for examination.

In our opinion, except for the matters discussed in the preceding paragraph, the Forms MS-965 With Adjustments present, in all material respects, the information required by the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation for the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund of the Township of Armenia, Bradford County, for the period January 1, 2011 to December 31, 2012, in conformity with the criteria set forth in Note 1.

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we are required to report findings of significant deficiencies in internal control, violations of provisions of contracts or grant agreements, and abuse that are material to the Forms MS-965 and any fraud and illegal acts that are more than inconsequential that come to our attention during our examination. We are also required to obtain the views of management on those matters. We performed our examination to express an opinion on whether the Forms MS-965 are presented in accordance with the criteria described above and not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the internal control over reporting on the Forms MS-965 or on compliance and other matters; accordingly, we express no such opinions.

A control deficiency exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent or detect misstatements on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a control deficiency, or combination of control deficiencies, that adversely affects the Township of Armenia, Bradford County's ability to initiate, authorize, record, process, or report data reliably in accordance with the applicable criteria such that there is more than a remote likelihood that a misstatement of the Township of Armenia, Bradford County's Forms MS-965 that is more than inconsequential will not be prevented or detected by the Township of Armenia, Bradford County's internal control. We consider the deficiency described in the finding below to be a significant deficiency in internal control over reporting on the Forms MS-965:

#### <u>Independent Auditor's Report (Continued)</u>

• Fuel Dispensation Records Not Maintained.

A material weakness is a significant deficiency or combination of significant deficiencies that results in more than a remote likelihood that a material misstatement of the Forms MS-965 will not be prevented or detected by the Township of Armenia, Bradford County's internal control. Our consideration of the internal control over reporting on the Forms MS-965 would not necessarily disclose all matters in the internal control that might be significant deficiencies and, accordingly, would not necessarily disclose all significant deficiencies that are also considered to be material weaknesses. We consider the significant deficiency described above to be a material weakness.

The results of our tests disclosed the following instance of noncompliance that is required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*:

Documentation For Price Quotations Was Not Available For Examination.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation and the management of the Township of Armenia, Bradford County, and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

June 20, 2013

EUGENE A. DEPASQUALE
Auditor General

Eugraf. O-Pager

### **CONTENTS**

<u>Pa</u>	ge
Background	1
Financial Section:	
2011 Form MS-965 With Adjustments	2
2012 Form MS-965 With Adjustments	5
Notes To Forms MS-965 With Adjustments	8
Findings And Recommendations:	
Finding No. 1 - Fuel Dispensation Records Not Maintained	3
Finding No. 2 - Documentation For Price Quotations Was Not Available For Examination	5
Comment	7
Summary Of Exit Conference 1	8
Report Distribution	9

# TOWNSHIP OF ARMENIA BRADFORD COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND BACKGROUND FOR THE PERIOD JANUARY 1, 2011 TO DECEMBER 31, 2012

#### **Background**

The Liquid Fuels Tax Municipal Allocation Law, Title 72 P.S. § 2615.5, provides municipalities other than counties (townships, boroughs, cities, towns, home rule, and optional plan governments) with an annual allocation of liquid fuels taxes from the state's Motor License Fund to be used for the maintenance and repair of streets, roads, and bridges for which the municipality is responsible. The allocation of these funds to municipalities is based: (1) 50 percent on the municipality's proportion of local road mileage to the total local road mileage in the state, and (2) 50 percent on the proportion of a municipality's population to the total population in the state.

The Vehicle Code, Title 75 P.S. § 9511, provides municipalities with annual maintenance payments to be received from the Motor License Fund for functionally local highways that were transferred to a municipality from the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

Each municipality must deposit the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund money and annual maintenance payments that it receives into a special fund called the Municipal Liquid Fuels Tax Fund or State Fund. A municipality may not deposit any other monies into this fund except when the municipality does not have enough money in the special fund to meet the payments called for by its current annual budget for road and bridge purposes. In this case, the municipality may borrow money or transfer money from its General Fund to its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund.

The Department of Transportation has been given the regulatory authority for the administration of these funds. To qualify for its annual allocation, each municipality shall submit the following documents and information to the Department of Transportation:

- 1. Evidence that the treasurer is bonded in accordance with the law, or that its treasurer is a bank requiring no bond by January 31 of each year.
- 2. A report indicating the manner in which its liquid fuels tax allocation was expended in the previous year (Form MS-965) by January 31 of each year.
- 3. A report of elected and appointed officials by January 31 of each year.
- 4. A Survey of Financial Condition by March 15 of each year.

Department of Transportation *Publication 9* contains the policies and procedures that govern the use of Liquid Fuels Tax Fund money. However, if there is a difference between *Publication 9* and any legislation, the legislation shall govern.

### TOWNSHIP OF ARMENIA BRADFORD COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND 2011 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 1 WITH ADJUSTMENTS

Expenditure Summary		Reported	Adjustments (Note 4)		Adjusted Amount	
Major equipment purchases	\$	7,800.00	\$	-	\$	7,800.00
Minor equipment purchases		-		-		-
Computer/Computer related training		-		-		-
Agility projects		-		-		-
Cleaning streets and gutters		-		-		-
Winter maintenance services		25,912.19		-		25,912.19
Traffic control devices		237.27		-		237.27
Street lighting		-		-		-
Storm sewers and drains		-		-		-
Repairs of tools and machinery		10,581.01		(19.13)		10,561.88
Maintenance and repair of						
roads and bridges		33,401.41		1,765.96		35,167.37
Highway construction and						
rebuilding projects		-		-		-
Miscellaneous		1,765.96		(1,765.96)		
Total (To Section 2, Line 5)	\$	79,697.84	\$	(19.13)	\$	79,678.71

### TOWNSHIP OF ARMENIA BRADFORD COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND 2011 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 2 WITH ADJUSTMENTS

Fund Balance		Reported	Adj	ustments	Adjusted Amount
1. Balance, January 1, 2011	\$ 22,951.86		\$	-	\$ 22,951.86
Receipts:					
2. State allocation		61,589.89		-	61,589.89
2a. Turnback allocation		15,480.00		-	15,480.00
2b. Interest on investments (Note 3)		17.77		-	17.77
2c. Miscellaneous (Note 5)		1,814.48			 1,814.48
3. Total receipts		78,902.14			78,902.14
4. Total funds available		101,854.00			101,854.00
5. Expenditures (Section 1)		79,697.84		(19.13)	79,678.71
6. Balance, December 31, 2011	\$	22,156.16	\$	19.13	\$ 22,175.29

### TOWNSHIP OF ARMENIA BRADFORD COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND 2011 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 3 WITH ADJUSTMENTS

Equipment Balance	]	Reported	Adju	stments	Adjusted Amount
1. Prior year equipment balance	\$	22,951.86	\$	-	\$ 22,951.86
2. Add: Current year equipment allocation (20% of Lines 2 + 2a, Section 2)	1	15,413.98		-	15,413.98
3. PENNDOT approved adjustments				<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>
4. Total funds available for equipment acquisition		38,365.84		-	38,365.84
5. Less: Major equipment expenditures		7,800.00			7,800.00
6. Remainder		30,565.84		-	 30,565.84
7. Equipment balance available for subsequent year (Lesser of Line 6 or Section 2 balance, but not less than zero)	\$	22,156.16	\$	19.13	\$ 22,175.29

Notes to Forms MS-965 With Adjustments are an integral part of this report.

### TOWNSHIP OF ARMENIA BRADFORD COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND 2012 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 1 WITH ADJUSTMENTS

Expenditure Summary	Reported		Adjustments		Adjusted Amount	
Major equipment purchases	\$	_	\$	-	\$	_
Minor equipment purchases		-		-		-
Computer/Computer related training		-		-		-
Agility projects		-		-		-
Cleaning streets and gutters		-		-		-
Winter maintenance services		3,037.50		-		3,037.50
Traffic control devices		15.99		-		15.99
Street lighting		-		-		-
Storm sewers and drains		-		-		-
Repairs of tools and machinery		26,977.14		-		26,977.14
Maintenance and repair of						
roads and bridges		41,302.31		-		41,302.31
Highway construction and						
rebuilding projects		-		-		-
Miscellaneous						
Total (To Section 2, Line 5)	\$	71,332.94	\$	_	\$	71,332.94

### TOWNSHIP OF ARMENIA BRADFORD COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND 2012 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 2 WITH ADJUSTMENTS

Fund Balance		Reported	3	ustments (ote 4)	 Adjusted Amount
1. Balance, January 1, 2012	\$ 22,156.10		\$	19.13	\$ 22,175.29
Receipts:					
2. State allocation		63,670.84		-	63,670.84
2a. Turnback allocation		15,480.00		-	15,480.00
2b. Interest on investments (Note 3)		24.79		-	24.79
2c. Miscellaneous (Note 5)		6,552.20		-	 6,552.20
3. Total receipts		85,727.83			85,727.83
4. Total funds available		107,883.99		19.13	107,903.12
5. Expenditures (Section 1)		71,332.94			71,332.94
6. Balance, December 31, 2012	\$	36,551.05	\$	19.13	\$ 36,570.18

### TOWNSHIP OF ARMENIA BRADFORD COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND 2012 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 3 WITH ADJUSTMENTS

Equipment Balance		Reported	•	justments Note 4)	Adjusted Amount
1. Prior year equipment balance	\$	22,156.16	\$	19.13	\$ 22,175.29
2. Add: Current year equipment allocation (20% of Lines 2 + 2a, Section 2)	n	15,830.17		-	15,830.17
3. PENNDOT approved adjustments				5,042.20	5,042.20
4. Total funds available for equipment acquisition		37,986.33		5,061.33	43,047.66
5. Less: Major equipment expenditures					
6. Remainder		37,986.33		5,061.33	43,047.66
7. Equipment balance available for subsequent year (Lesser of Line 6 or Section 2 balance, but not less than zero)	\$	36,551.05	\$	19.13	\$ 36,570.18

Notes to Forms MS-965 With Adjustments are an integral part of this report.

#### 1. Criteria

#### Section 1

This section of Form MS-965 With Adjustments provides a summary of Liquid Fuels Tax Fund expenditures by category. Categories requiring explanation include:

- Major equipment purchases are purchases of road machinery and road equipment that cost in excess of \$4,000.00 prior to 2012 and in excess of \$10,000.00 during 2012 and subsequent years.
- Minor equipment purchases are purchases of road machinery and road equipment that cost \$4,000.00 or less prior to 2012 and \$10,000.00 or less during 2012 and subsequent years.
- Agility projects are exchanges of services with the Department of Transportation.

#### Section 2

This section of Form MS-965 With Adjustments provides information on the fund balance. Categories requiring explanation include:

- The state allocation is generally received from the Department of Transportation during the first week in April of each year. The amount the municipality receives is based half on its population and half on its road mileage.
- The turnback allocation is generally received from the Department of Transportation during the first week in April of each year. A municipality receives a yearly turnback allocation based on road mileage for all roads that were transferred to the municipality from the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania through the Highway Transfer Program.
- Expenditures include the total transferred from Section 1.

#### Section 3

This section of Form MS-965 With Adjustments determines if the municipality expended Liquid Fuels Tax Fund money in excess of the permissible amount for equipment and the

#### 1. <u>Criteria (Continued)</u>

#### Section 3 (Continued)

balance that the municipality may carry forward for the purchase of equipment to the subsequent year.

Department of Transportation *Publication 9* requires that the amount expended for equipment purchases in a given year not exceed the sum of the equipment balance carried forward from the previous year and 20 percent of the current year's Liquid Fuels Tax Fund allocation and, if applicable, 20 percent of the turnback allocation plus other Department of Transportation approved adjustments.

If the municipality spent in excess of the amount listed on Line 4, the excess must be reimbursed to the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund.

The equipment balance to be carried forward for the subsequent year is the lesser of the amount on Line 6 or the ending fund balance on Line 6 of Section 2, but not less than zero.

#### **Basis Of Presentation**

The financial activities of the municipality are accounted for in separate funds. The Liquid Fuels Tax Fund is used to account for state aid revenues from the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation used primarily for building and improving local roads and bridges. The Forms MS-965 have been prepared in accordance with reporting requirements prescribed by the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation as a result of the Fuels Tax Act 655, dated 1956 and as amended, which does not constitute a complete presentation of the entity's assets, liabilities, expenses, and fund balance. Accordingly, the presentation of Forms MS-965 With Adjustments is restricted to the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund, which represents a segment of the entity.

### **Basis Of Accounting**

The accompanying Forms MS-965 With Adjustments are prepared in accordance with reporting requirements prescribed by the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation. Under this method, revenues are recognized when received and expenditures are recorded when paid.

#### 1. Criteria (Continued)

#### General Fixed Assets

General fixed assets are recognized as expenditures at the time of purchase. No depreciation has been provided on the heavy equipment used to maintain and repair roads and bridges.

#### 2. Deposits

The Second Class Township Code, Title 53 P.S. § 68204, authorizes the township to deposit its funds in the following:

- Deposits in savings accounts or time deposits, other than certificates of deposit or share accounts of institutions having their principal place of business in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) or other like insurance. For any amount above the insured maximum, the depository shall pledge approved collateral.
- Certificates of deposit purchased from institutions insured by the FDIC or other like insurance to the extent that such accounts are so insured. For any amounts in excess of the insured maximum, such deposits shall be collateralized by a pledge or assignment of assets. Certificates of deposit may not exceed 20 percent of a bank's total capital surplus or 20 percent of a savings and loan's or savings bank's assets minus liabilities.

Deposits consist of receipts and deposits in a financial institution. Pennsylvania statutes require all deposits to be insured and, for any amount above the insured maximum, to be secured with approved collateral as defined.

There were no deposits exposed to custodial credit risk as of December 31, 2012. Custodial credit risk, as defined by GASB No. 40, includes deposits that are not covered by depository insurance and the deposits are uncollateralized, collateralized with securities held by the pledging financial institution, or collateralized with securities held by the pledging financial institution's trust department or agent but not in the municipality's name.

#### 2. <u>Deposits (Continued)</u>

#### **Fund Balance**

The fund balance as of December 31, 2012 consists of the following:

Cash \$36,570.18

#### 3. <u>Interest On Investments</u>

Our examination disclosed that the municipality deposited idle liquid fuels tax money in an interest-bearing account which earned \$17.77 during 2011, and \$24.79 during 2012, thus providing additional funds for road maintenance and repairs.

#### 4. Adjustments

#### 2011 - Section 1

An adjustment of \$(19.13) was made to "Repairs of tools and machinery" because check No. 4381 was voided but was included as an expenditure.

Adjustments were made to "Maintenance and repair of roads and bridges" and "Miscellaneous" because expenditures of \$1,765.96 were misclassified.

#### 2012- Section 2

An adjustment of \$19.13 was made to "Balance, January 1, 2012" to reflect the adjustment made to the fund balance in 2011 - Section 2.

#### <u>2012 - Section 3</u>

An adjustment of \$19.13 was made to "Prior year equipment balance" to reflect the adjustment to the equipment balance in 2011 - Section 3.

#### 4. Adjustments (Continued)

### 2012 - Section 3 (Continued)

An adjustment of \$5,042.20 was made to "PENNDOT approved adjustments" because the proceeds from the sale of equipment were not reported as an approved adjustment.

### 5. <u>Miscellaneous Receipts</u>

The following miscellaneous receipts were deposited into the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund during the examination period:

Source	Description	2011	2012
General Fund Local business	Reimbursement (Comment) Refund for return of product	\$1,740.75 73.73	\$ -
Resident	Sale of equipment	-	5,042.20
Local business	Sale of scrap	-	610.00
Troy Township	Winter maintenance agreement	<del>-</del>	900.00
Total		<u>\$1,814.48</u>	\$6,552.20

#### Finding No. 1 - Fuel Dispensation Records Not Maintained

Our examination disclosed that the municipality expended \$8,499.33 during 2011 and \$7,074.10 during 2012 from the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund to purchase fuel in bulk quantities. However, records for the dispensation of these fuel purchases were not maintained.

To ensure good internal control of fuel purchases and usage, the municipality should maintain records listing the following information:

- Date.
- Number of gallons pumped.
- License number or identity of the vehicle.
- Intended use.
- Signature of the operator.

Without fuel dispensation records, there is no record that fuel was used for purposes permtted by the Liquid Fuels Tax Municipal Allocation Law. As a result, we could not determine if the expenditures made from the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund to purchase the fuel were permissible.

The failure to maintain records of fuel dispensation as noted above could result in the municipality having to reimburse \$15,573.43 to its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund.

#### Recommendations

We recommend that the municipality reimburse \$15,573.43 to its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund upon official notification by the Department of Transportation.

We further recommend that the municipality prepare detailed fuel usage reports to ensure good internal controls over fuel purchases and usage.

#### Finding No. 1 - Fuel Dispensation Records Not Maintained (Continued)

#### Management's Response

The treasurer stated:

No excuses. There was a change in administration and some things slipped through the cracks.

#### Auditor's Conclusion

The board of supervisors should ensure that fuel dispensation records are maintained. During our next examination we will determine if the municipality complied with our recommendations.

Finding No. 2 - Documentation For Price Quotations Was Not Available For Examination

Our examination disclosed that the township expended \$7,800.00 during 2011 for the purchase of a wheel loader and \$10,992.81 during 2012 for the purchase of stone from the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund. The township was required to obtain three written or telephonic price quotations for these expenditures. However, documentation for price quotations was not available for examination. The stone purchases were as follows

Invoice <u>Number</u>	Invoice <u>Date</u>	Check Number	Check <u>Date</u>	Amount
15480	01/10/12	4410	01/23/12	\$ 334.61
16143	05/17/12	4450	05/31/12	4,327.13
16213	05/30/12	4449	05/31/12	1,993.53
16383	06/27/12	4457	06/27/12	351.44
16473	07/12/12	4463	07/31/12	347.31
16629	08/09/12	4472	09/19/12	1,975.69
16779	09/07/12	4475	09/30/12	597.95
17131	11/23/12	4489	11/30/12	1,065.15
Total for stone				\$10,992.81

The above expenditures were not made in compliance with the contract requirements of *The Second Class Township Code*, 53 P.S. § 68102(b), (also found at § 3102(b) of *The Second Class Township Code* as published by the Local Government Commission), which states, in part:

Written or telephonic price quotations from at least three qualified and responsible contractors shall be requested for all contracts that exceed four thousand dollars (\$4,000.00) but are less than the amount [in excess of \$10,000.00] requiring advertisement and competitive bidding or, in lieu of price quotations, a memorandum shall be kept on file showing that fewer than three qualified contractors exist in the market area within which it is practicable to obtain quotations. A written record of telephonic price quotations shall be made and shall contain at least the date of the quotation, the name of the contractor and the contractor's representative, the construction, reconstruction, repair, maintenance or work which was the subject of the quotation and the price. Written price quotations, written records of telephonic price quotations and memoranda shall be retained for a period of three years.

## <u>Finding No. 2 - Documentation For Price Quotations Was Not Available For Examination</u> (Continued)

The thresholds for obtaining price quotations increased to purchases between \$10,000.00 and \$18,500.00 for 2012, \$10,200.00 and \$18,900.00 for 2013, and \$10,300.00 to \$19,100.00 for 2014.

The failure to comply with *The Second Class Township Code* could result in the township having to reimburse \$18,792.81 to its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund.

#### Recommendations

We recommend that the township reimburse \$18,792.81 to its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund upon official notification by the Department of Transportation.

We further recommend that, in the future, the township comply with *The Second Class Township Code* as noted in this finding.

#### Management's Response

The treasurer stated:

Though I had a misunderstanding with the gravel bids, I do understand and agree with the finding. Also phone quotes were obtained but not documented.

#### Auditor's Conclusion

The township should obtain and document price quotations when required by *The Second Class Township Code*. During our next examination we will determine if the municipality complied with our recommendations.

#### Comment - Summary Of 2005 Examination Recommendations

In our 2005 report we recommended that the Department of Transportation review our examination finding to determine if the municipality should reimburse \$6,963.00 to its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund for the purchase of fuel in bulk quantities without maintaining documentation for price quotations.

During our 2006-2007 examination we reviewed a letter dated January 4, 2008, from the Department of Transportation informing the municipality to reimburse \$6,963.00 to its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund. Additionally, a letter dated April 11, 2008 from the Department of Transportation outlined an installment plan as requested by the municipality. The installment plan was as follows:

		Amount	Date Deposited
December 31, 2008		\$1,740.75	11/06/08
December 31, 2009		1,740.75	08/21/09
December 31, 2010		1,740.75	11/09/10
December 31, 2011		1,740.75	12/08/11
	Total	<u>\$6,963.00</u>	

# TOWNSHIP OF ARMENIA BRADFORD COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND SUMMARY OF EXIT CONFERENCE FOR THE PERIOD JANUARY 1, 2011 TO DECEMBER 31, 2012

An exit conference was held June 20, 2013. Those participating were:

### **TOWNSHIP OF ARMENIA**

Mr. Gary W. Horning, Treasurer

### **DEPARTMENT OF THE AUDITOR GENERAL**

Mr. Jerome Werner, Auditor

The results of the examination were presented and discussed in their entirety.

# TOWNSHIP OF ARMENIA BRADFORD COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND REPORT DISTRIBUTION FOR THE PERIOD JANUARY 1, 2011 TO DECEMBER 31, 2012

This report was initially distributed to:

The Honorable Barry J. Schoch, P.E. Secretary
Department of Transportation

Township of Armenia Bradford County 795 Canton Street Troy, PA 16947

The Honorable Chad Harwick Chairman of the Board of Supervisors

Mr. Gary W. Horning Treasurer

This report is a matter of public record and is available online at <a href="http://www.auditorgen.state.pa.us">http://www.auditorgen.state.pa.us</a>. Media questions about the report can be directed to the Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General, Office of Communications, 231 Finance Building, Harrisburg, PA 17120; via email to: <a href="mailto:news@auditorgen.state.pa.us">news@auditorgen.state.pa.us</a>.