# ATTESTATION ENGAGEMENT

# Prothonotary Berks County, Pennsylvania For the Period October 1, 2016 to December 31, 2017

July 2019



Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General

Eugene A. DePasquale • Auditor General



Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General Harrisburg, PA 17120-0018 Facebook: Pennsylvania Auditor General Twitter: @PAAuditorGen www.PaAuditor.gov

EUGENE A. DEPASQUALE AUDITOR GENERAL

# Independent Auditor's Report

The Honorable C. Daniel Hassell Secretary Pennsylvania Department of Revenue Harrisburg, PA 17128

We have examined the accompanying statement of receipts and disbursements (Statement) of the Prothonotary, Berks County, Pennsylvania (County Officer), for the period October 1, 2016 to December 31, 2017, pursuant to the requirements of Sections 401(b) and 401(d) of *The Fiscal Code*, 72 P.S. § 401(b) and § 401(d). The County Office's management is responsible for presenting this Statement in accordance with the criteria set forth in Note 1. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on this Statement based on our examination.

Our examination was conducted in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants and the standards applicable to attestation engagements contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the examination to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the Statement is presented in accordance with the criteria described above, in all material respects. An examination involves performing procedures to obtain evidence about the statement of receipts and disbursements. The nature, timing and extent of the procedures selected depend on our judgement, including an assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the Statement, whether due to fraud or error. We believe that the evidence we obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

We are mandated by Sections 401(b) and 401(d) of *The Fiscal Code* to audit the accounts of each county officer to determine whether all moneys collected on behalf of the Commonwealth have been correctly assessed, reported and promptly remitted. *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States include attestation engagements as a separate type of audit. An attestation engagement performed pursuant to *Government Auditing Standards* involves additional standards that exceed the standards provided by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. Accordingly, this attestation engagement complies with both *Government Auditing Standards* and Sections 401(b) and 401(d) of *The Fiscal Code*.

### Independent Auditor's Report (Continued)

In our opinion, the Statement referred to above, for the period October 1, 2016 to December 31, 2017, is presented in accordance with the criteria set forth in Note 1, in all material respects.

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we are required to report all deficiencies that are considered to be significant deficiencies or material weaknesses in internal control; fraud and noncompliance with provisions of laws or regulations that have a material effect on the Statement; and any other instances that warrant the attention of those charged with governance; noncompliance with provisions of contracts or grant agreements, and abuse that has a material effect on the Statement. We are also required to obtain and report the views of responsible officials concerning the findings, conclusions, and recommendations, as well as any planned corrective actions. We performed our examination to express an opinion on whether the Statement is presented in accordance with the criteria described above and not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on internal control over reporting on the Statement or on compliance and other matters; accordingly, we express no such opinions.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the Statement will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the Statement will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over reporting on the Statement was for the limited purpose of expressing an opinion on whether the Statement is presented in accordance with the criteria described above and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over reporting on the Statement that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies and therefore, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist that were not identified. Given these limitations, during our engagement we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

#### Independent Auditor's Report (Continued)

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the Statement is free from material misstatement, we performed tests of the County Office's compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of Statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our engagement, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*. However, we did note another matter that, while not required to be included in this report by Government Auditing Standards, has been included in the finding below:

• Judicial Computer System/Access To Justice Fees Were Not Remitted To The Commonwealth.

The purpose of this report is to determine whether all moneys collected on behalf of the Commonwealth have been correctly assessed, reported and promptly remitted. This report is not suitable for any other purposes.

We appreciate the courtesy extended by the Prothonotary, Berks County, to us during the course of our examination. If you have any questions, please feel free to contact Michael B. Kashishian, CPA, CGAP, CFE, Director, Bureau of County Audits, at 717-787-1363.

Eugent. O-Pasper

June 11, 2019

Eugene A. DePasquale Auditor General

# CONTENTS

Financial Section:
Statement Of Receipts And Disbursements1
Notes To The Statement Of Receipts And Disbursements2
Exhibit 1 - Schedule Of Reporting Errors And Examination Adjustments4
Finding And Recommendations:
Finding - Judicial Computer System/Access To Justice Fees Were Not Remitted To The Commonwealth
Summary Of Prior Examination Recommendations7
Report Distribution

# Page

# PROTHONOTARY BERKS COUNTY STATEMENT OF RECEIPTS AND DISBURSEMENTS FOR THE PERIOD OCTOBER 1, 2016 TO DECEMBER 31, 2017

Receipts:

Writ Taxes	\$ 4,885
Divorce Complaint Surcharges	12,670
Judicial Computer System/Access To Justice Fees	294,406
Protection From Abuse Surcharges and Contempt Fines	1,850
Criminal Charge Information System Fees	 4,826
Total Receipts (Note 2)	318,637
Commissions (Note 3)	 (147)
Net Receipts	318,490
Disbursements to Commonwealth (Note 4)	 (318,490)
Balance due Commonwealth (County) per settled reports (Note 5)	-
Examination adjustments (Exhibit 1)	 9,554
Adjusted balance due Commonwealth (County) for the period October 1, 2016 to December 31, 2017	\$ 9,554

Notes to the Statement of Receipts and Disbursements are an integral part of this report.

# PROTHONOTARY BERKS COUNTY NOTES TO THE STATEMENT OF RECEIPTS AND DISBURSEMENTS FOR THE PERIOD OCTOBER 1, 2016 TO DECEMBER 31, 2017

#### 1. <u>Criteria</u>

The Statement of Receipts and Disbursements provides a summary of receipts and disbursements by category. The categories and the amounts of taxes, surcharges, fines, and fees assessed are based on Pennsylvania laws and regulations.

The Statement was prepared in accordance with reporting requirements prescribed by the Pennsylvania Department of Revenue. Under this method, only the Commonwealth portion of cash receipts and disbursements are presented, revenues are recognized when received, and expenditures are recognized when paid.

### 2. <u>Receipts</u>

Receipts consist of monies collected on behalf of the Department of Revenue and the Administrative Office of Pennsylvania Courts. These include monies collected for the following taxes, surcharges, fees, and fines:

- Writ Taxes represent a \$.50 or \$.25 tax imposed on taxable instruments filed with the Prothonotary.
- Divorce Complaint Surcharges represent a \$10 surcharge imposed on all divorce decrees.
- Judicial Computer System/Access To Justice Fees represent a \$35.50 fee imposed for the filing of any legal paper to initiate a civil action or proceeding. Effective October 30, 2017, Act 40 and Act 44 increased the fee to \$40.25.
- Protection From Abuse Surcharges represent a \$100 surcharge imposed against defendants when a protection order is granted as a result of a hearing. Protection From Abuse Contempt Fines represent fines of not less than \$300 nor more than \$1,000 imposed against a defendant who is found to be in violation of a protection from abuse order.
- Criminal Charge Information System Fees represent a fee imposed on all custody cases. Of the fee imposed, 80% is payable to the Administrative Office of Pennsylvania Courts (AOPC) and 20% is payable to the County in which the action took place. The fee was \$8 during the examination period of which \$6.40 belonged to the Commonwealth.

# PROTHONOTARY BERKS COUNTY NOTES TO THE STATEMENT OF RECEIPTS AND DISBURSEMENTS FOR THE PERIOD OCTOBER 1, 2016 TO DECEMBER 31, 2017

#### 3. <u>Commissions</u>

Acting in the capacity of an agent for the Commonwealth, the Prothonotary is authorized to collect a commission of 3 percent on the Commonwealth portion of Writ Taxes. Accordingly, commissions owed the county are not included in the balance due the Commonwealth.

#### 4. <u>Disbursements</u>

Total disbursements are comprised as follows:

Prothonotary checks issued to:

Department of Revenue	\$ 313,664
Adminstrative Office of Pennsylvania Courts	 4,826
Total	\$ 318,490

### 5. <u>Balance Due Commonwealth (County) For The Period October 1, 2016 To</u> December 31, 2017

This balance reflects a summary of monthly transmittal reports as settled by the Department of Revenue. The balance also reflects a summary of receipts disbursed directly to the Administrative Office of Pennsylvania Courts. It does not reflect adjustments disclosed by our examination. Refer to Exhibit 1.

6. <u>County Officer Serving During Examination Period</u>

Jonathan K. Del Collo served as Prothonotary during the period October 1, 2016 to December 31, 2017.

# PROTHONOTARY BERKS COUNTY EXHIBIT 1 FOR THE PERIOD OCTOBER 1, 2016 TO DECEMBER 31, 2017

# Exhibit 1 - Schedule Of Reporting Errors And Examination Adjustments

<u>Month</u>	<u>Year</u>	 Examination Adjustments		
October	2016	\$ 730.00	*	
Novermber	2016	\$ 449.50	*	
December	2016	\$ 370.50	*	
January	2017	\$ 319.50	*	
February	2017	\$ 395.15	*	
March	2017	\$ 684.35	*	
April	2017	\$ 461.50	*	
May	2017	\$ 683.00	*	
June	2017	\$ 887.50	*	
July	2017	\$ 639.00	*	
August	2017	\$ 1,029.50	*	
September	2017	\$ 781.00	*	
October	2017	\$ 952.00	*	
November	2017	\$ 639.00	*	
December	2017	\$ 532.50	*	
Total Adjustme	ents	\$ 9,554.00	=	

\* ATJ/JCS fees collected but not remitted to Commonwealth

# PROTHONOTARY BERKS COUNTY FINDING AND RECOMMENDATIONS FOR THE PERIOD OCTOBER 1, 2016 TO DECEMBER 31, 2017

# <u>Finding - Judicial Computer System/Access To Justice Fees Were Not Remitted To The</u> <u>Commonwealth</u>

Our examination disclosed that office did not remit Judicial Computer System/Access to Justice (JCS/ATJ) Fees assessed and collected on Protection From Abuse (PFA) payments to the Commonwealth. Instead, the office's accounting system recorded these fees as County funds and the fees were remitted to the County in error. Our testing found that the office collected \$9,554 in JCS/ATJ fees for the period October 1, 2016 to December 31, 2017, as detailed in Exhibit 1.

Title 42 Pa. C.S.A. § 3733 provides for the assessment of Judicial Computer System/Access to Justice (JCS/ATJ) Fees and these funds are to be remitted to the Department of Revenue for deposit into the appropriate accounts.

The office stated that this issue occurred because the office's computerized accounting system was incorrectly programmed to record these fees as County funds. The office did not properly monitor its computerized accounting system to ensure that the all fees on PFA payments were being correctly recorded and remitted to the Commonwealth.

The above-noted conditions resulted in the Department of Revenue not receiving Commonwealth monies totaling \$9,554 for the period October 1, 2016 to December 31, 2017.

#### Recommendations

We recommend that the office ensure that its computerized accounting system is programed to properly record and remit JCS/ATJ fees to the Commonwealth. We further recommend that the office remit the \$9,554 in JCS/ATJ fees collected during the period October 1, 2016 to December 31, 2017 to the Commonwealth.

#### Management's Response

The County Officer responded as follows

This office launched an investigation into why these fees were not remitted to the Commonwealth after examiners informed us of the deficiency. As a result of our investigation and after several interviews with County of Berks IT and Prothonotary staff, we have concluded that covert actions of the former Office Manager of the Prothonotary's Office caused these fees not to be remitted.

# PROTHONOTARY BERKS COUNTY FINDING AND RECOMMENDATIONS FOR THE PERIOD OCTOBER 1, 2016 TO DECEMBER 31, 2017

# <u>Finding - Judicial Computer System/Access To Justice Fees Were Not Remitted To The</u> <u>Commonwealth (Continued)</u>

#### Management's Response (Continued)

We determined that the former Office Manager had given explicit direction to County IT staff, unbeknownst to anyone in the Prothonotary's Office, not to activate the computerized cashiering system controls which would have resulted in the proper collection and remittance of these fees. Why the former Office Manager did this cannot be ascertained, as I terminated the former Office Manager on December 1, 2017 prior to launching this investigation.

This deficiency was corrected immediately upon being notified by examiners that these payments were not being remitted to the Commonwealth. These fees are now being properly remitted to the Commonwealth.

# Auditor's Conclusion

During our next examination, we will determine if the office complied with our recommendations.

# PROTHONOTARY BERKS COUNTY SUMMARY OF PRIOR EXAMINATION RECOMMENDATIONS FOR THE PERIOD OCTOBER 1, 2016 TO DECEMBER 31, 2017

#### Summary Of Prior Examination Recommendations

During our prior examination, we recommended that the office:

• Attempt to identify all existing liabilities associated with the office bank account and take appropriate action. Any unidentified funds should be accounted for under normal escheat procedures. Furthermore, we recommend that the office should ensure that reconciled cash equals unpaid obligations monthly.

During our current examination, we noted that the office complied with our recommendations.

# PROTHONOTARY BERKS COUNTY REPORT DISTRIBUTION FOR THE PERIOD OCTOBER 1, 2016 TO DECEMBER 31, 2017

This report was initially distributed to:

### The Honorable C. Daniel Hassell

Secretary Pennsylvania Department of Revenue

#### The Honorable Thomas B. Darr

Court Administrator of Pennsylvania Supreme Court of Pennsylvania Administrative Office of Pennsylvania Courts

### **The Honorable Jonathan K. Del Collo** Prothonotary

#### The Honorable Sandy Graffius Controller

# The Honorable Christian Y. Leinbach

Chairperson of the Board of Commissioners

This report is a matter of public record and is available online at <u>www.PaAuditor.gov.</u> Media questions about the report can be directed to the Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General, Office of Communications, 229 Finance Building, Harrisburg, PA 17120; via email to: <u>news@PaAuditor.gov</u>.