### ATTESTATION ENGAGEMENT

### Township of Carbon

Huntingdon County, Pennsylvania 31-203

Liquid Fuels Tax Fund
For the Period
January 1, 2015 to December 31, 2016

April 2018



Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General

Eugene A. DePasquale • Auditor General



Commonwealth of Pennsylvania
Department of the Auditor General
Harrisburg, PA 17120-0018
Facebook: Pennsylvania Auditor General
Twitter: @PAAuditorGen
www.PaAuditor.gov

EUGENE A. DEPASQUALE AUDITOR GENERAL

Independent Auditor's Report

The Honorable Leslie Richards Secretary Department of Transportation Harrisburg, PA 17120

We examined the accompanying Forms MS-965 With Adjustments for the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund of the Township of Carbon, Huntingdon County, for the period January 1, 2015 to December 31, 2016. The municipality's management is responsible for presenting the Forms MS-965 in accordance with the criteria set forth in Note 1. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Forms MS-965 With Adjustments based on our examination.

Our examination was conducted in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants and the standards applicable to attestation engagements contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the examination to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the Forms MS-965 are presented in accordance with the criteria described above, in all material respects. An examination involves performing procedures to obtain evidence about the Forms MS-965. The nature, timing and extent of the procedures selected depend on our judgement, including an assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the Forms MS-965, whether due to fraud or error. We believe that the evidence we obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

We are mandated by Section 403 of *The Fiscal Code*, 72 P.S. § 403, to audit each municipality's Liquid Fuels Tax Fund to ensure that funds received are expended in accordance with applicable laws and regulations. *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States include attestation engagements as a separate type of audit. An attestation engagement performed pursuant to *Government Auditing Standards* involves additional standards that exceed the standards provided by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. Accordingly, this attestation engagement complies with both *Government Auditing Standards* and Section 403 of *The Fiscal Code*.

As described in Note 4, the adjustments included on the Forms MS-965 With Adjustments are made by the Department of the Auditor General.

### <u>Independent Auditor's Report (Continued)</u>

As discussed in Findings No. 1, the township expended \$12,715.22 during 2016 from the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund for bridge repairs, which affected a waterway. However, the township failed to obtain project approval and did not maintain documentation for price quotations.

In our opinion, except for the matters discussed in the preceding paragraph, the Forms MS-965 With Adjustments present, in all material respects, the information required by the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation for the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund of the Township of Carbon, Huntingdon County, for the period January 1, 2015 to December 31, 2016, in accordance with the criteria set forth in Note 1.

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we are required to report all deficiencies that are considered to be significant deficiencies or material weaknesses in internal control; fraud and noncompliance with provisions of laws or regulations that have a material effect on the Forms MS-965; and any other instances that warrant the attention of those charged with governance; noncompliance with provisions of contracts or grant agreements, and abuse that has a material effect on the Forms MS-965. We are also required to obtain and report the views of responsible officials concerning the findings, conclusions, and recommendations, as well as any planned corrective actions. We performed our examination to express an opinion on whether the Forms MS-965 are presented in accordance with the criteria described above and not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on internal control over reporting on the Forms MS-965 or on compliance and other matters; accordingly, we express no such opinions.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the Forms MS-965 will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over reporting on the Forms MS-965 was for the limited purpose of expressing an opinion on whether the Forms MS-965 are presented in accordance with the criteria described above and would not necessarily identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our engagement we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

### <u>Independent Auditor's Report (Continued)</u>

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the Forms MS-965 are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of Township of Carbon, Huntingdon County's compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of amounts on the Forms MS-965. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our engagement, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed the following instance of noncompliance that is required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*:

• Failure To Obtain Project Approval And Documentation For Price Quotations Was Not Available For Examination.

We also noted a matter that, while not required to be included in this report by *Government Auditing Standards*, has been included in the finding below:

• Late Receipt Of Allocations - Recurring.

The purpose of this report is to determine whether the municipality's Liquid Fuels Tax Fund money is spent in accordance with the laws and regulations identified in the Background section of this report and the Department of Transportation's *Publication 9*. This report is not suitable for any other purpose.

We appreciate the courtesy extended by the Township of Carbon, Huntingdon County, to us during the course of our examination. If you have any questions, please feel free to contact Michael B. Kashishian, CPA, CGAP, CFE, Director, Bureau of County Audits, at 717-787-1363.

March 9, 2018

Eugene A. DePasquale Auditor General

Eugnat: O-Page

### **CONTENTS**

<u>Pag</u>	<u>ge</u>
Background	1
Financial Section:	
2015 Form MS-965 With Adjustments	3
2016 Form MS-965 With Adjustments	6
Notes To Forms MS-965 With Adjustments	9
Findings And Recommendations:	
Finding No. 1 - Failure To Obtain Project Approval And Documentation For Price  Quotations Was Not Available For Examination	14
Finding No. 2 - Late Receipt Of Allocations - Recurring	17
Summary Of Exit Conference 1	19
Report Distribution	20

# TOWNSHIP OF CARBON HUNTINGDON COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND BACKGROUND FOR THE PERIOD JANUARY 1, 2015 TO DECEMBER 31, 2016

### Background

The Liquid Fuels Tax Municipal Allocation Law, Act 655 of 1956, as amended, (72 P.S. § 2615.5 et sec.), provides municipalities other than counties (townships, boroughs, cities, towns, home rule, and optional plan governments) with an annual allocation of liquid fuels taxes from the state's Motor License Fund to be used for the maintenance and repair of streets, roads, and bridges for which the municipality is responsible. The allocation of these funds to municipalities is based: (1) 50 percent on the municipality's proportion of local road mileage to the total local road mileage in the state, and (2) 50 percent on the proportion of a municipality's population to the total population in the state.

The Vehicle Code, Title 75 P.S. § 9511, provides municipalities with annual maintenance payments to be received from the Motor License Fund for functionally local highways that were transferred to a municipality from the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

Each municipality must deposit the allocation of Liquid Fuels Tax funds and annual maintenance payments that it receives into a special fund called either the Municipal Liquid Fuels Tax Fund or State Fund. A municipality may not deposit any other monies into this fund except when the municipality does not have enough money in the special fund to meet the payments called for by its current annual budget for road and bridge purposes. In such a case, the municipality may borrow money or transfer money from its General Fund to its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund.

The Department of Transportation has been given the regulatory authority for the administration of these funds. Department of Transportation's *Publication 9* includes the policies and procedures for the administration of Act 655, as amended, and the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund money. However, if there is a difference between *Publication 9* and any legislation, the legislation shall govern.

To qualify for the annual allocation of Liquid Fuels Tax funds, *Publication 9* indicates that each municipality shall:

- 1. Submit annual reports (MS-965, Actual Use Report, MS-965P, Project and Miscellaneous Receipts, and MS-965S, Record of Checks).
- 2. Make deposits and payments or expenditures in compliance with Act 655 of 1956, as amended. Failure to do so may result in not receiving allocations from PennDOT until all discrepancies are resolved. *Publication 9*, Section 2.6, includes information about investing Liquid Fuels Tax monies, using loan or bond proceeds, and types of receipts into the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund.

## TOWNSHIP OF CARBON HUNTINGDON COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND BACKGROUND FOR THE PERIOD JANUARY 1, 2015 TO DECEMBER 31, 2016

### Background (Continued)

- 3. Submit the Pennsylvania Department of Community and Economic Development's (DCED) Report of Elected and Appointed Officials by January 31<sup>st</sup> and the Survey of Financial Condition By March 15<sup>th</sup>.
- 4. Ensure resolution of all Contractor Responsibility Program (CRP) holds and blocks imposed by the Department of Revenue and the Department of Labor and Industry.
- 5. Ensure resolution of all reimbursements required as a result of audits performed by the Department of the Auditor General or monitoring reviews performed by the Department of Transportation's Financial Consultants.

### TOWNSHIP OF CARBON HUNTINGDON COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND 2015 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 1 WITH ADJUSTMENTS

Expenditure Summary	Reported		Adjustments (Note 4)		Adjusted Amount	
Major equipment purchases	\$	_	\$	-	\$	-
Minor equipment purchases		-		-		-
Computer/Computer related training		-		-		-
Agility projects		-		-		-
Cleaning streets and gutters		648.47		-		648.47
Winter maintenance services		1,859.31		-		1,859.31
Traffic control devices		-		-		-
Street lighting		-		-		-
Storm sewers and drains		-		-		-
Repairs of tools and machinery		2,073.16		-		2,073.16
Maintenance and repair of						
roads and bridges		3,158.51		-		3,158.51
Highway construction and						
rebuilding projects		-		-		-
Miscellaneous (Bank service charges)				118.53		118.53
Total (To Section 2, Line 5)	\$	7,739.45	\$	118.53	\$	7,857.98

Notes to Forms MS-965 With Adjustments are an integral part of this report.

### TOWNSHIP OF CARBON HUNTINGDON COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND 2015 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 2 WITH ADJUSTMENTS

Fund Balance	1 Balance Reported		Adjustments (Note 4)		Adjusted Amount	
1. Balance, January 1, 2015	\$ 12,732.02		\$	6.48	\$	12,738.50
Receipts: 2. State allocation 2a. Turnback allocation		14,583.87		(14,583.87)		- -
<ul><li>2b. Interest on investments (Note 3)</li><li>2c. Miscellaneous</li></ul>		1.55		-		1.55
3. Total receipts		14,585.42		(14,583.87)		1.55
4. Total funds available		27,317.44		(14,577.39)		12,740.05
5. Expenditures (Section 1)		7,739.45		118.53		7,857.98
6. Balance, December 31, 2015	\$	19,577.99	\$	(14,695.92)	\$	4,882.07

Notes to Forms MS-965 With Adjustments are an integral part of this report.

### TOWNSHIP OF CARBON HUNTINGDON COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND 2015 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 3 WITH ADJUSTMENTS

Equipment Balance	Reported		Adjustments Reported (Note 4)		•	Adjusted Amount	
1. Prior year equipment balance	\$	12,732.02	\$	(1,108.43)	\$	11,623.59	
2. Add: Current year equipment allocation (20% of Lines 2 + 2a, Section 2)		2,916.77		(2,916.77)		-	
3. PENNDOT approved adjustments				<u>-</u>			
4. Total funds available for equipment acquisition		15,648.79		(4,025.20)		11,623.59	
5. Less: Major equipment expenditures				<u>-</u>			
6. Remainder		15,648.79		(4,025.20)		11,623.59	
7. Equipment balance available for subsequent year (Lesser of Line 6 or Section 2 balance, but not less than zero)	\$	15,648.79	\$	(10,766.72)	\$	4,882.07	

### TOWNSHIP OF CARBON HUNTINGDON COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND 2016 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 1 WITH ADJUSTMENTS

Expenditure Summary	Reported		Adjustments (Note 4)		Adjusted Amount	
Major equipment purchases	\$	-	\$	\$ -		-
Minor equipment purchases		_		-		-
Computer/Computer related training		_		_		-
Agility projects		_		_		-
Cleaning streets and gutters		11.32		-		11.32
Winter maintenance services	1,184.81		-			1,184.81
Traffic control devices		-		-		-
Street lighting		-		-		-
Storm sewers and drains		-		-		-
Repairs of tools and machinery		4,018.30		-		4,018.30
Maintenance and repair of						
roads and bridges		14,439.38		(12,715.22)		1,724.16
Highway construction and						
rebuilding projects	-			12,715.22		12,715.22
Miscellaneous						
Total (To Section 2, Line 5)	\$	19,653.81	\$	_	\$	19,653.81

Notes to Forms MS-965 With Adjustments are an integral part of this report.

### TOWNSHIP OF CARBON HUNTINGDON COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND 2016 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 2 WITH ADJUSTMENTS

Fund Balance	Reported		Reported		 Adjustments (Note 4)	 Adjusted Amount
1. Balance, January 1, 2016	\$ 19,577.99		\$ (14,695.92)	\$ 4,882.07		
Receipts: 2. State allocation 2a. Turnback allocation 2b. Interest on investments (Note 3) 2c. Miscellaneous		16,633.79 - 30.21 -	14,583.87 - - -	31,217.66 - 30.21		
3. Total receipts		16,664.00	 14,583.87	 31,247.87		
4. Total funds available		36,241.99	 (112.05)	 36,129.94		
5. Expenditures (Section 1)		19,653.81	 	 19,653.81		
6. Balance, December 31, 2016	\$	16,588.18	\$ (112.05)	\$ 16,476.13		

Notes to Forms MS-965 With Adjustments are an integral part of this report.

### TOWNSHIP OF CARBON HUNTINGDON COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND 2016 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 3 WITH ADJUSTMENTS

Equipment Balance	Reported		Reported		Reported		Reported		Adjustn orted (Note		Adjusted Amount	
1. Prior year equipment balance	\$	15,648.79	\$	(10,766.72)	\$	4,882.07						
2. Add: Current year equipment allocation (20% of Lines 2 + 2a, Section 2)		3,326.76		2,916.77		6,243.53						
3. PENNDOT approved adjustments		<del>-</del>										
4. Total funds available for equipment acquisition		18,975.55		(7,849.95)		11,125.60						
5. Less: Major equipment expenditures				<u>-</u>								
6. Remainder		18,975.55		(7,849.95)		11,125.60						
7. Equipment balance available for subsequent year (Lesser of Line 6 or Section 2 balance, but not less than zero)	\$	16,588.18	_\$	(5,462.58)	\$	11,125.60						

### 1. Criteria

### Section 1

This section of Form MS-965 With Adjustments provides a summary of Liquid Fuels Tax Fund expenditures by category. Categories requiring explanation include:

• Major equipment purchases are purchases of road machinery and road equipment with varying yearly costs in excess of the amounts indicated below:

2012	2013	2014	2015/2016
Φ10,000,00	ф10 <b>2</b> 00 00	Φ10 200 00	¢10,500,00
\$10,000.00	\$10,200.00	\$10,300.00	\$10,500.00

 Minor equipment purchases are purchases of road machinery and road equipment with varying yearly costs that are less than or equal to the amounts indicated below:

2012	2013	2014	2015/2016
\$10,000.00	\$10,200.00	\$10,300.00	\$10,500.00

• Agility projects are exchanges of services with the Department of Transportation.

### Section 2

This section of Form MS-965 With Adjustments provides information on the fund balance. Categories requiring explanation include:

- The state allocation is available from the Department of Transportation in March of each year. The amount the municipality receives is based half on its population and half on its road mileage.
- Municipalities that transferred roads from the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania to the municipality through the Highway Transfer Program receive annual turnback allocations in March of each year from the Department of Transportation. Turnback allocations are based on the mileage of the roads transferred.

### 1. <u>Criteria (Continued)</u>

### Section 2 (Continued)

• Expenditures include the total transferred from Section 1.

### Section 3

This section of Form MS-965 With Adjustments determines if the municipality expended Liquid Fuels Tax Fund money in excess of the permissible amount for equipment and the balance that the municipality may carry forward for the purchase of equipment to the subsequent year.

Department of Transportation *Publication 9* requires that the amount expended for equipment purchases in a given year not exceed the sum of the equipment balance carried forward from the previous year and 20 percent of the current year's Liquid Fuels Tax Fund allocation and, if applicable, 20 percent of the turnback allocation plus other Department of Transportation approved adjustments.

If the municipality spent in excess of the amount listed on Line 4, the excess must be reimbursed to the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund.

The equipment balance to be carried forward for the subsequent year is the lesser of the amount on Line 6 or the ending fund balance on Line 6 of Section 2, but not less than zero.

### **Basis Of Presentation**

The financial activities of the municipality are accounted for in separate funds. The Liquid Fuels Tax Fund is used to account for state aid revenues from the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation used primarily for building and improving local roads and bridges. The Forms MS-965 have been prepared in accordance with reporting requirements prescribed by the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation as a result of the Fuels Tax Act 655, dated 1956 and as amended, which does not constitute a complete presentation of the entity's assets, liabilities, expenses, and fund balance. Accordingly, the presentation of Forms MS-965 With Adjustments is restricted to the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund, which represents a segment of the entity.

### 1. <u>Criteria (Continued)</u>

### **Basis Of Accounting**

The accompanying Forms MS-965 With Adjustments are prepared in accordance with reporting requirements prescribed by the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation. Under this method, revenues are recognized when received and expenditures are recorded when paid.

### General Fixed Assets

General fixed assets are recognized as expenditures at the time of purchase. No depreciation has been provided on the heavy equipment used to maintain and repair roads and bridges.

### 2. Deposits

The Second Class Township Code, Title 53 P.S. § 68204, authorizes the township to deposit its funds in the following:

- Deposits in savings accounts or time deposits, other than certificates of deposit or share accounts, of institutions having their principal place of business in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) or other like insurance. For any amount above the insured maximum, the depository shall pledge approved collateral.
- Certificates of deposit purchased from institutions insured by the FDIC or other
  like insurance to the extent that such accounts are so insured. For any amounts
  in excess of the insured maximum, such deposits shall be collateralized by a
  pledge or assignment of assets. Certificates of deposit may not exceed 20
  percent of a bank's total capital surplus or 20 percent of a savings and loan or
  savings bank's assets minus liabilities.

Deposits consist of receipts and deposits in a financial institution. Pennsylvania statutes require all deposits to be insured and, for any amount above the insured maximum, to be secured with approved collateral as defined.

### 2. <u>Deposits (Continued)</u>

There were no deposits exposed to custodial credit risk as of December 31, 2016. Custodial credit risk, as defined by GASB No. 40, as amended, includes deposits that are not covered by depository insurance and the deposits are uncollateralized, collateralized with securities held by the pledging financial institution, or collateralized with securities held by the pledging financial institution's trust department or agent but not in the municipality's name.

### Fund Balance

The fund balance as of December 31, 2016, consists of the following:

Cash \$16,476.13

### 3. Interest On Investments

Our examination disclosed that the municipality deposited idle liquid fuels tax money in interest-bearing accounts which earned \$1.55 during 2015, and \$30.21 during 2016, thus providing additional funds for road maintenance and repairs.

### 4. Adjustments

### 2015 - Section 1

An adjustment of \$118.53 was made to "Miscellaneous" because bank service charges were not reported.

### 2015 - Section 2

An adjustment of \$6.48 was made to "Balance, January 1, 2015" because an incorrect fund balance was reported.

An adjustment of \$(14,583.87) was made to "State allocation" because the 2015 allocation was not received until 2016 (see Finding No. 3).

### 4. Adjustments (Continued)

### 2015 - Section 3

An adjustment of \$(1,108.43) was made to "Prior year equipment balance" because an incorrect equipment balance was carried forward.

An adjustment of \$(2,916.77) was made to "Current year equipment allocation" because the state allocation of \$14,583.87 from 2015 - Section 2, which is used to calculate this figure, was not received until 2016 (see Finding No. 3).

### 2016 - Section 1

Adjustments were made to "Maintenance and repair of roads and bridges" and "Highway construction and rebuilding projects" because expenditures of \$12,715.22 were misclassified.

### 2016 - Section 2

An adjustment of \$(14,695.92) was made to "Balance, January 1, 2016" to reflect the adjustment made to the fund balance in 2015 - Section 2.

An adjustment of \$14,583.87 was made to "State allocation" because the 2015 allocation was not received until 2016 (see Finding No. 3).

### 2016 - Section 3

An adjustment of \$(10,766.72) was made to "Prior year equipment balance" to reflect the adjustment made to the equipment balance in 2015 - Section 3.

An adjustment of \$2,916.77 was made to "Current year equipment allocation" because the 2015 state allocation of \$14,583.87 from 2016 - Section 2, which is used to calculate this figure, was not received until 2016 (see Finding No. 3).

### <u>Finding No. 1 - Failure To Obtain Project Approval And Documentation For Price</u> <u>Quotations Was Not Available For Examination</u>

Our examination disclosed that during 2016, the municipality expended \$12,715.22 for bridge repairs, which affected a waterway. However, the municipality did not file an application with the Department of Transportation for the project and also failed to submit specifications for approval. Additionally, the township was required to obtain three written or telephonic price quotations for these expenditures. However, documentation for price quotations was not available for examination. The expenditures were as follows:

Invoice <u>Number</u>	Invoice <u>Date</u>	Check <u>Number</u>	Check <u>Date</u>	Amount
1391006	05/13/16	1309	05/30/16	\$1,445.22
Statement	06/03/16	1311	06/04/16	5,120.00
Statement	06/28/16	1315	07/09/16	6,150.00
Total				\$12,715.22

The Department of Transportation's *Publication 9* contains the policies and procedures for the administration of the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund. *Publication 9*, Chapter Two, Section 2.7.2, states that nonpermissible expenditures include "Construction and reconstruction projects without prior PENNDOT approval."

Additionally, *Publication 9*, Chapter Two, Section 2.8, states, in part:

The following criteria is used to determine when a project is required for work on local roads utilizing Liquid Fuels Tax Funds, when construction, reconstruction and/or resurfacing work exceeds one inch or more in depth as well as other activities.

Bridges:

For bridge maintenance/preservation activities, confer with Municipal Services to determine if project approval is required. All rehabilitations, replacements, or any other work that affects the carrying capacity of the structure or waterway areas require project approval

### Finding No. 1 - Failure To Obtain Project Approval And Documentation For Price Quotations Was Not Available For Examination (Continued)

Additionally, the above expenditures were not made in compliance with the contract requirements of *The Second Class Township Code*, 53 P.S. § 68102(b), (also found at § 3102(b) of *The Second Class Township Code* as published by the Local Government Commission), which states, in part:

Written or telephonic price quotations from at least three qualified and responsible contractors shall be requested for all contracts [during 2012] that exceed ten thousand dollars (\$10,000.00) but are less than the amount [in excess of \$18,500.00] requiring advertisement and competitive bidding or, in lieu of price quotations, a memorandum shall be kept on file showing that fewer than three qualified contractors exist in the market area within which it is practicable to obtain quotations. A written record of telephonic price quotations shall be made and shall contain at least the date of the quotation, the name of the contractor and the contractor's representative, the construction, reconstruction, repair, maintenance or work which was the subject of the quotation and the price. Written price quotations, written records of telephonic price quotations and memoranda shall be retained for a period of three years.

The thresholds for obtaining price quotations increased to purchases between \$10,500.00 and \$19,400.00 for 2015 and 2016, \$10,700.00 and \$19,700.00 for 2017, and \$10,900.00 and \$20,100.00 for 2018.

The failure to comply with the Department of Transportation's *Publication 9* and *The Second Class Township Code* could result in the municipality having to reimburse \$12,715.22 to its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund.

### Recommendations

We recommend that the municipality reimburse \$12,715.22 to its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund upon official notification by the Department of Transportation.

We further recommend that before the municipality expends money on a project, it applies for and obtains prior approval for the project, and when the project is completed it obtains approval of the completed work.

We also recommend that, in the future, the township complies with *The Second Class Township Code* as noted in this finding.

### Finding No. 1 - Failure To Obtain Project Approval And Documentation For Price Quotations Was Not Available For Examination (Continued)

### Management's Response

The municipal officials offered no formal response at this time.

### Auditor's Conclusion

During our next examination we will determine whether the township complied with our recommendations.

### Finding No. 2 - Late Receipt Of Allocations - Recurring

Our examination disclosed that the 2015 and 2016 Liquid Fuels Tax Fund allocations of \$14,583.87 and \$16,633.79, respectively, which should have been distributed from the Department of Transportation to the municipality during the first week of March of each year, were not received until January 25, 2016, and April 27, 2016, respectively, because the municipality failed to comply with the Department of Transportation's *Publication 9*, Chapter Two, Section 2.4, which states:

To qualify for the annual liquid fuels tax allocation, a municipality shall:

- Submit annual reports (MS-965, Actual Use Report, MS-965P, Project and Miscellaneous Receipts, and MS-965S, Record of Checks).
- Make deposits and payments or expenditures in compliance with the Act 655. Failure to do so may result in not receiving allocations from PENNDOT until all discrepancies are resolved.
- Submit the Pennsylvania Department of Community and Economic Development's (DCED) Report of Elected and Appointed Officials by January 31<sup>st</sup> and the Survey of Financial Condition by March 15<sup>th</sup>.
- Ensure resolution of all Contractor Responsibility Program (CRP) holds and blocks imposed by the Department of Revenue and the Department of Labor and Industry.

Because the municipality failed to file documents and information timely as noted above, the municipality did not have use of the 2015 allocation for almost 11 months and the 2016 allocation for more than 2 months. Furthermore, had the allocations been received timely, money may have been available for investment purposes, potentially earning interest income which could have been used for road maintenance and repairs.

A similar finding was also written in our prior two reports. However, the municipality received its 2017 Liquid Fuels Tax Fund allocation on time.

### Finding No. 2 - Late Receipt Of Allocations - Recurring (Continued)

### Recommendation

We recommend that, in the future, the municipality continues to comply with the Department of Transportation's *Publication 9* to ensure that the allocations are received during the first week in March as outlined above.

### Management's Response

The municipal officials offered no formal response at this time.

### Auditor's Conclusion

During our next examination we will determine whether the township complied with our recommendation.

## TOWNSHIP OF CARBON HUNTINGDON COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND SUMMARY OF EXIT CONFERENCE FOR THE PERIOD JANUARY 1, 2015 TO DECEMBER 31, 2016

An exit conference was held January 24, 2018. Those participating were:

### **TOWNSHIP OF CARBON**

Ms. Penny Brode, Secretary/Treasurer

### **DEPARTMENT OF THE AUDITOR GENERAL**

Mr. David R. Brown, Audit Supervisor

The results of the examination were presented and discussed in their entirety.

TOWNSHIP OF CARBON
HUNTINGDON COUNTY
LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND
REPORT DISTRIBUTION
FOR THE PERIOD
JANUARY 1, 2015 TO DECEMBER 31, 2016

This report was initially distributed to:

### The Honorable Leslie Richards

Secretary
Department of Transportation

Township of Carbon Huntingdon County 20188 Little Valley Road Saxton, PA 16678

The Honorable Gary L. Black Chairman of the Board of Supervisors

**Ms. Penny Brode** Secretary/Treasurer

This report is a matter of public record and is available online at <a href="www.PaAuditor.gov">www.PaAuditor.gov</a>. Media questions about the report can be directed to the Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General, Office of Communications, 229 Finance Building, Harrisburg, PA 17120; via email to: <a href="mailto:news@PaAuditor.gov">news@PaAuditor.gov</a>.