

BOROUGH OF CHAMBERSBURG FRANKLIN COUNTY 28-401

LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND EXAMINATION REPORT

FOR THE PERIOD
JANUARY 1, 2010 TO DECEMBER 31, 2011

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA

EUGENE A. DEPASQUALE - AUDITOR GENERAL

DEPARTMENT OF THE AUDITOR GENERAL





Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General Harrisburg, PA 17120-0018 Facebook: Pennsylvania Auditor General Twitter: @PAAuditorGen

EUGENE A. DEPASQUALE AUDITOR GENERAL

Independent Auditor's Report

The Honorable Barry J. Schoch, P.E. Secretary
Department of Transportation
Harrisburg, PA 17120

We examined the accompanying Forms MS-965 With Adjustments for the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund of the Borough of Chambersburg, Franklin County, for the period January 1, 2010 to December 31, 2011. The municipality's management is responsible for the Forms MS-965. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Forms MS-965 With Adjustments based on our examination.

Our examination was conducted in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants and the standards applicable to attestation engagements contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. An examination includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the Borough of Chambersburg, Franklin County's Forms MS-965 for the period January 1, 2010 to December 31, 2011 and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our examination provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

We are mandated by Section 403 of *The Fiscal Code*, 72 P.S. § 403, to audit each municipality's Liquid Fuels Tax Fund to ensure that funds received are expended in accordance with applicable laws and regulations. *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States include attestation engagements as a separate type of audit. An attestation engagement performed pursuant to *Government Auditing Standards* involves additional standards that exceed the standards provided by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. Accordingly, this attestation engagement complies with both *Government Auditing Standards* and Section 403 of *The Fiscal Code*.

As described in Note 4, the adjustments included on the Forms MS-965 With Adjustments are made by the Department of the Auditor General.

<u>Independent Auditor's Report (Continued)</u>

As discussed in the Findings and Recommendations section of this report:

- The municipality expended \$109,584.89 during 2011 from the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund for nonpermissible expenditures (Finding No. 1).
- During 2011 the municipality expended \$8,864.18 for the paving of Elrock Drive and Norland/Wilson Avenue without maintaining documentation for price quotations (Finding No. 2).
- During 2011 the municipality expended \$5,848.00 for paving in excess of one inch on Orchard Drive and South Main Street Intersection without obtaining the approval of the Department of Transportation (Finding No. 3).
- The municipality expended \$5,017.73 in excess of the approved amount on project No. 11-28401-04 (Finding No. 4).

In our opinion, except for the matters discussed in the preceding paragraph, the Forms MS-965 With Adjustments present, in all material respects, the information required by the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation for the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund of the Borough of Chambersburg, Franklin County, for the January 1, 2010 to December 31, 2011, in conformity with the criteria set forth in Note 1.

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we are required to report findings of significant deficiencies in internal control, violations of provisions of contracts or grant agreements, and abuse that are material to the Forms MS-965 and any fraud and illegal acts that are more than inconsequential that come to our attention during our examination. We are also required to obtain the views of management on those matters. We performed our examination to express an opinion on whether the Forms MS-965 are presented in accordance with the criteria described above and not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the internal control over reporting on the Forms MS-965 or on compliance and other matters; accordingly, we express no such opinions.

Independent Auditor's Report (Continued)

A control deficiency exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent or detect misstatements on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a control deficiency, or combination of control deficiencies, that adversely affects the Borough of Chambersburg, Franklin County's ability to initiate, authorize, record, process, or report data reliably in accordance with the applicable criteria such that there is more than a remote likelihood that a misstatement of the Borough of Chambersburg, Franklin County's Forms MS-965 that is more than inconsequential will not be prevented or detected by the Borough of Chambersburg, Franklin County's internal control.

A material weakness is a significant deficiency or combination of significant deficiencies that results in more than a remote likelihood that a material misstatement of the Forms MS-965 will not be prevented or detected by the Borough of Chambersburg, Franklin County's internal control.

Our consideration of internal control over reporting on the Forms MS-965 was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and would not necessarily identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be significant deficiencies or material weaknesses. We did not identify any significant deficiencies or material weaknesses, as defined above, in internal control over reporting on the Forms MS-965.

The results of our tests disclosed the following instances of noncompliance that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*:

- Nonpermissible Expenditures.
- Documentation For Price Quotations Was Not Available For Examination.
- Failure To Obtain Project Approval.
- Liquid Fuels Money Overexpended On Project.

Independent Auditor's Report (Continued)

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation and the management of the Borough of Chambersburg, Franklin County, and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

February 13, 2013

EUGENE A. DEPASQUALE

Eugust: O-Pager

Auditor General

CONTENTS

	Page
Background	1
Financial Section:	
2010 Form MS-965 With Adjustments	2
2011 Form MS-965 With Adjustments	5
Notes To Forms MS-965 With Adjustments	8
Findings And Recommendations:	
Finding No. 1 - Nonpermissible Expenditures	12
Finding No. 2 - Documentation For Price Quotations Was Not Available For Examination	14
Finding No. 3 - Failure To Obtain Project Approval	16
Finding No. 4 - Liquid Fuels Money Overexpended On Project	17
Summary Of Exit Conference	18
Report Distribution	10

BOROUGH OF CHAMBERSBURG FRANKLIN COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND BACKGROUND FOR THE PERIOD JANUARY 1, 2010 TO DECEMBER 31, 2011

Background

The Liquid Fuels Tax Municipal Allocation Law, Title 72 P.S. § 2615.5, provides municipalities other than counties (townships, boroughs, cities, towns, home rule, and optional plan governments) with an annual allocation of liquid fuels taxes from the state's Motor License Fund to be used for the maintenance and repair of streets, roads, and bridges for which the municipality is responsible. The allocation of these funds to municipalities is based: (1) 50 percent on the municipality's proportion of local road mileage to the total local road mileage in the state, and (2) 50 percent on the proportion of a municipality's population to the total population in the state.

The Vehicle Code, Title 75 P.S. § 9511, provides municipalities with annual maintenance payments to be received from the Motor License Fund for functionally local highways that were transferred to a municipality from the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

Each municipality must deposit the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund money and annual maintenance payments that it receives into a special fund called the Municipal Liquid Fuels Tax Fund or State Fund. A municipality may not deposit any other monies into this fund except when the municipality does not have enough money in the special fund to meet the payments called for by its current annual budget for road and bridge purposes. In this case, the municipality may borrow money or transfer money from its General Fund to its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund.

The Department of Transportation has been given the regulatory authority for the administration of these funds. To qualify for its annual allocation, each municipality shall submit the following documents and information to the Department of Transportation:

- 1. Evidence that the treasurer is bonded in accordance with the law, or that its treasurer is a bank requiring no bond by January 31 of each year.
- 2. A report indicating the manner in which its liquid fuels tax allocation was expended in the previous year (Form MS-965) by January 31 of each year.
- 3. A report of elected and appointed officials by January 31 of each year.
- 4. A Survey of Financial Condition by March 15 of each year.

Department of Transportation *Publication 9* contains the policies and procedures that govern the use of Liquid Fuels Tax Fund money. However, if there is a difference between *Publication 9* and any legislation, the legislation shall govern.

BOROUGH OF CHAMBERSBURG FRANKLIN COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND 2010 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 1 WITH ADJUSTMENTS

Expenditure Summary	Reported	 Adjustments (Note 4)	Adjusted Amount
Major equipment purchases	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Minor equipment purchases	-	-	-
Computer/Computer related training	-	-	-
Agility projects	-	-	-
Cleaning streets and gutters	-	-	-
Winter maintenance services	-	-	-
Traffic control devices	-	-	-
Street lighting	-	-	-
Storm sewers and drains	-	-	-
Repairs of tools and machinery	-	-	-
Maintenance and repair of			
roads and bridges	-	-	-
Highway construction and			
rebuilding projects	823,432.92	(223,432.92)	600,000.00
Miscellaneous			
Total (To Section 2, Line 5)	\$ 823,432.92	\$ (223,432.92)	\$ 600,000.00

BOROUGH OF CHAMBERSBURG FRANKLIN COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND 2010 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 2 WITH ADJUSTMENTS

Fund Balance	Reported	Adjustments	Adjusted Amount
1. Balance, January 1, 2010	\$ 1,096,594.08	\$ -	\$ 1,096,594.08
Receipts: 2. State allocation 2a. Turnback allocation	361,918.86	<u>-</u>	361,918.86
2b. Interest on investments (Note 3) 2c. Miscellaneous	2,128.66	-	2,128.66
3. Total receipts	364,047.52		364,047.52
4. Total funds available	1,460,641.60	<u>-</u>	1,460,641.60
5. Expenditures (Section 1)	823,432.92	(223,432.92)	600,000.00
6. Balance, December 31, 2010	\$ 637,208.68	\$ 223,432.92	\$ 860,641.60

Notes to Forms MS-965 With Adjustments are an integral part of this report.

BOROUGH OF CHAMBERSBURG FRANKLIN COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND 2010 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 3 WITH ADJUSTMENTS

Equipment Balance		Reported	Adjı	ıstments	Adjusted Amount
1. Prior year equipment balance	\$	310,673.30	\$	-	\$ 310,673.30
2. Add: Current year equipment allocation (20% of Lines 2 + 2a, Section 2)	1	72,383.77		-	72,383.77
3. PENNDOT approved adjustments					
4. Total funds available for equipment acquisition		383,057.07		-	383,057.07
5. Less: Major equipment expenditures					
6. Remainder		383,057.07		_	383,057.07
7. Equipment balance available for subsequent year (Lesser of Line 6 or Section 2 balance, but not less than zero)	\$	383,057.07	\$	_	\$ 383,057.07

BOROUGH OF CHAMBERSBURG FRANKLIN COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND 2011 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 1 WITH ADJUSTMENTS

Expenditure Summary		Reported		Adjustments (Note 4)		Adjusted Amount
Major equipment purchases	\$		\$		\$	
	Ψ	-	Ψ	_	φ	_
Minor equipment purchases		-		-		-
Computer/Computer related training		-		-		-
Agility projects		-		-		-
Cleaning streets and gutters		77,289.17		-		77,289.17
Winter maintenance services		-		128,407.81		128,407.81
Traffic control devices		99,798.14		-		99,798.14
Street lighting		124,058.52				124,058.52
Storm sewers and drains		79,367.17		-		79,367.17
Repairs of tools and machinery		-		-		-
Maintenance and repair of						
roads and bridges		184,168.87		(133,425.54)		50,743.33
Highway construction and						
rebuilding projects		435,318.13		5,017.73		440,335.86
Miscellaneous	_	-				
Total (To Section 2, Line 5)	\$ 1	,000,000.00	\$	_	\$	1,000,000.00

BOROUGH OF CHAMBERSBURG FRANKLIN COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND 2011 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 2 WITH ADJUSTMENTS

Fund Balance	Reported		Adjustments Reported (Note 4)		Adjusted Amount	
1. Balance, January 1, 2011	\$	637,208.68	\$	223,432.92	\$	860,641.60
Receipts:		270 402 65				270 402 65
2. State allocation2a. Turnback allocation		370,482.65		-		370,482.65
2b. Interest on investments (Note 3)		606.17		_		606.17
2c. Miscellaneous		-				-
3. Total receipts		371,088.82				371,088.82
4. Total funds available		1,008,297.50		223,432.92		1,231,730.42
5. Expenditures (Section 1)		1,000,000.00				1,000,000.00
6. Balance, December 31, 2011	\$	8,297.50	\$	223,432.92	\$	231,730.42

Notes to Forms MS-965 With Adjustments are an integral part of this report.

BOROUGH OF CHAMBERSBURG FRANKLIN COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND 2011 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 3 WITH ADJUSTMENTS

Equipment Balance		Reported	 Adjustments	Adjusted Amount
1. Prior year equipment balance	\$	383,057.07	\$ -	\$ 383,057.07
2. Add: Current year equipment allocation (20% of Lines 2 + 2a, Section 2)	n	74,096.53	-	74,096.53
3. PENNDOT approved adjustments			 	
4. Total funds available for equipment acquisition		457,153.60	-	457,153.60
5. Less: Major equipment expenditures			 	
6. Remainder		457,153.60		 457,153.60
7. Equipment balance available for subsequent year (Lesser of Line 6 or Section 2 balance, but not less than zero)	\$	8,297.50	\$ 223,432.92	\$ 231,730.42

1. Criteria

Section 1

This section of Form MS-965 With Adjustments provides a summary of Liquid Fuels Tax Fund expenditures by category. Categories requiring explanation include:

- Major equipment purchases are purchases of road machinery and road equipment that cost in excess of \$4,000.00 prior to 2012 and in excess of \$10,000.00 during 2012 and subsequent years.
- Minor equipment purchases are purchases of road machinery and road equipment that cost \$4,000.00 or less prior to 2012 and \$10,000.00 or less during 2012 and subsequent years.
- Agility projects are exchanges of services with the Department of Transportation.

Section 2

This section of Form MS-965 With Adjustments provides information on the fund balance. Categories requiring explanation include:

- The state allocation is generally received from the Department of Transportation during the first week in April of each year. The amount the municipality receives is based half on its population and half on its road mileage.
- The turnback allocation is generally received from the Department of Transportation during the first week in April of each year. A municipality receives a yearly turnback allocation based on road mileage for all roads that were transferred to the municipality from the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania through the Highway Transfer Program.
- Expenditures include the total transferred from Section 1.

1. <u>Criteria (Continued)</u>

Section 3

This section of Form MS-965 With Adjustments determines if the municipality expended Liquid Fuels Tax Fund money in excess of the permissible amount for equipment and the balance that the municipality may carry forward for the purchase of equipment to the subsequent year.

Department of Transportation *Publication 9* requires that the amount expended for equipment purchases in a given year not exceed the sum of the equipment balance carried forward from the previous year and 20 percent of the current year's Liquid Fuels Tax Fund allocation and, if applicable, 20 percent of the turnback allocation plus other Department of Transportation approved adjustments.

If the municipality spent in excess of the amount listed on Line 4, the excess must be reimbursed to the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund.

The equipment balance to be carried forward for the subsequent year is the lesser of the amount on Line 6 or the ending fund balance on Line 6 of Section 2, but not less than zero.

Basis Of Presentation

The financial activities of the municipality are accounted for in separate funds. The Liquid Fuels Tax Fund is used to account for state aid revenues from the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation used primarily for building and improving local roads and bridges. The Forms MS-965 have been prepared in accordance with reporting requirements prescribed by the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation as a result of the Fuels Tax Act 655, dated 1956 and as amended, which does not constitute a complete presentation of the entity's assets, liabilities, expenses, and fund balance. Accordingly, the presentation of Forms MS-965 With Adjustments is restricted to the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund, which represents a segment of the entity.

Basis Of Accounting

The accompanying Forms MS-965 With Adjustments are prepared in accordance with reporting requirements prescribed by the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation. Under this method, revenues are recognized when received and expenditures are recorded when paid.

1. Criteria (Continued)

General Fixed Assets

General fixed assets are recognized as expenditures at the time of purchase. No depreciation has been provided on the heavy equipment used to maintain and repair roads and bridges.

2. Deposits

The Borough Code, Title 53 P.S § 46316, authorizes the borough to deposit its funds in the following:

- Deposits in savings accounts or time deposits, other than certificates of deposit or share accounts of institutions having their principal place of business in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) or other like insurance. For any amount above the insured maximum, the depository shall pledge approved collateral.
- Certificates of deposit purchased from institutions insured by the FDIC or other like insurance to the extent that such accounts are so insured. For any amounts in excess of the insured maximum, such deposits shall be collateralized by a pledge or assignment of assets. Certificates of deposit may not exceed 20 percent of a bank's total capital surplus or 20 percent of a savings and loan's or savings bank's assets minus liabilities.

Deposits consist of receipts and deposits in a financial institution. Pennsylvania statutes require all deposits to be insured and, for any amount above the insured maximum, to be secured with approved collateral as defined.

There were no deposits exposed to custodial credit risk as of December 31, 2011. Custodial credit risk, as defined by GASB No. 40, includes deposits that are not covered by depository insurance and the deposits are uncollateralized, collateralized with securities held by the pledging financial institution, or collateralized with securities held by the pledging financial institution's trust department or agent but not in the municipality's name.

2. <u>Deposits (Continued)</u>

Fund Balance

The fund balance as of December 31, 2011 consists of the following

Cash \$231,730.42

3. <u>Interest On Investments</u>

Our examination disclosed that the municipality deposited idle liquid fuels tax money in interest-bearing accounts which earned \$2,128.66 during 2010, and \$606.17 during 2011, thus providing additional funds for road maintenance and repairs.

4. Adjustments

2010 - Section 1

An adjustment of \$(223,432.92) was made to "Highway construction and rebuilding projects" because these expenditures were overstated.

2011 - Section 1

Adjustments were made to "Winter maintenance services," "Maintenance and repair of roads and bridges," and "Highway construction and rebuilding projects" because expenditures of \$133,425.54 were misclassified.

2011 - Section 2

An adjustment of \$223,432.92 was made to "Balance, January 1, 2011" to reflect the adjustment made to the fund balance in 2010 - Section 1.

Finding No. 1 - Nonpermissible Expenditures

Our examination disclosed that the municipality expended \$109,584.89 during 2011 from the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund for the following nonpermissible items:

<u>Description</u>	Amount
Equipment rental from the borough	\$107,070.99
Purchase and planting of trees	2,096.00
Retail directional sign	170.21
Meals for road crew	<u>247.69</u>
Total	<u>\$109,584.89</u>

The Liquid Fuels Tax Municipal Allocation Law, 72 P.S. § 2615.4, provides, in part, that monies herein allocated may be used only for construction, reconstruction, maintenance, and repairs of such public roads or streets, including bridges, culverts and drainage structures, for which they are legally responsible. Also permitted are expenditures involving acquisition, maintenance, repairs and operation of street signs, traffic signs, traffic signal control systems, road equipment, and snow fences.

The Department of Transportation has been statutorily authorized to promulgate regulations concerning the administration of Liquid Fuels Tax Fund money and has determined that certain items, including internal equipment rentals, purchase and planting of trees, retail directional signs, and meals, are outside the scope of permissible expenditures.

The failure to follow the Liquid Fuels Tax Municipal Allocation Law and the Department of Transportation's Regulations as noted above could result in the municipality having to reimburse \$109,584.89 to its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund.

Recommendations

We recommend that the municipality reimburse \$109,584.89 to its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund upon official notification by the Department of Transportation.

We further recommend that, in the future, the municipality comply with the Liquid Fuels Tax Municipal Allocation Law and the Department of Transportation's Regulations regarding permissible expenditures.

Finding No. 1 - Nonpermissible Expenditures (Continued)

Management's Response

The municipal officials offered no formal response at this time.

Finding No. 2 - Documentation For Price Quotations Was Not Available For Examination

Our examination disclosed that during 2011 the borough expended \$8,864.18 for the paving of Elrock Drive and Norland/Wilson Avenue. The borough was required to obtain three written or telephonic price quotations for these expenditures. However, documentation for price quotations was not available for examination. The purchases were as follows:

Invoice <u>Number</u>	Invoice <u>Date</u>	Check Number	Check <u>Date</u>	Amount
1106083 1107047	06/30/11 07/25/11	125500 125839	06/30/11 08/05/11	\$3,320.68 <u>5,543.50</u>
Total				\$8,864.18

The above expenditures were not made in compliance with the contract requirements of *The Borough Code*, 53 P.S. § 46402(a.1), (also found at § 1402(a.1) of *The Borough Code* as published by the Local Government Commission), which states, in part:

Written or telephonic price quotations from at least three qualified and responsible contractors shall be requested for all contracts that exceed four thousand dollars (\$4,000.00) but are less than the amount [in excess of \$10,000.00] requiring advertisement and competitive bidding or, in lieu of price quotations, a memorandum shall be kept on file showing that fewer than three qualified contractors exist in the market area within which it is practicable to obtain quotations. A written record of telephonic price quotations shall be made and shall contain at least the date of the quotation, the name of the contractor and the contractor's representative, the construction, reconstruction, repair, maintenance or work which was the subject of the quotation and the price. Written price quotations, written records of telephonic price quotations and memoranda shall be retained for a period of three years.

Finding No. 2 - Documentation For Price Quotations Was Not Available For Examination (Continued)

In addition, the above expenditures were not made in compliance with the Department of Transportation's *Publication 9*, Appendix D, Section 449.7(d), which states, in part:

...For material estimates between \$4,000 and \$10,000, three telephone price quotes shall be obtained prior to the selection of a supplier. In lieu of the price quotations, a memorandum shall be kept on file showing that fewer than three qualified contractors service the market area within which it is practicable to obtain quotations. If the cost during the year of an unadvertised item or group of like items ordinarily supplied by a single supplier exceeds \$10,000 or if the cost was between \$4,000 and \$10,000 and three price quotes were not obtained, the entire cost shall be ineligible for payment from the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund unless it can be shown to the satisfaction of the Secretary [of the Department of Transportation] that it was reasonably believed that the cost of the year's requirements of that item or group of like items would not exceed \$10,000 or be between \$4,000 and \$10,000 as specified above.

The failure to comply with *The Borough Code* and the Department of Transportation's *Publication 9* could result in the borough having to reimburse \$8,864.18 to its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund.

Recommendations

We recommend that the borough reimburse \$8,864.18 to its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund upon official notification by the Department of Transportation.

We further recommend that, in the future, the borough comply with *The Borough Code* and the Department of Transportation's *Publication 9*. For 2012 the thresholds for obtaining price quotations increased to purchases between \$10,000.00 and \$18,500.00.

Management's Response

The borough officials offered no formal response at this time.

Finding No. 3 - Failure To Obtain Project Approval

Our examination disclosed that the municipality expended \$5,848.00 for paving in excess of one inch on Orchard Drive and South Main Street Intersection without obtaining the approval of the Department of Transportation. Before paving of one inch in thickness or greater is done the municipality must obtain the approval of the Department of Transportation. However, the municipality did not file an application with the Department of Transportation for the project and also failed to submit specifications for approval.

The Department of Transportation's *Publication 9* contains the policies and procedures for the administration of the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund. *Publication 9*, Chapter Two, Section 2.7.2, states that nonpermissible expenditures include "Construction and reconstruction projects without prior PENNDOT approval."

Additionally, Publication 9, Chapter Two, Section 2.8, states, in part:

The following criteria is used to determine when a project is required for work on local roads utilizing Liquid Fuels Tax Funds, when construction, reconstruction and/or resurfacing work exceeds one inch or more in depth as well as other activities.

Bituminous Surface: One inch thickness or greater

Other: Reconstruction, such as removal and replacement of

one inch or more of existing road metal, including base and surface. This would include cold-mill

recycling and reclamation work.

The failure to comply with the Department of Transportation's *Publication 9* could result in the municipality having to reimburse \$5,848.00 to its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund.

Recommendations

We recommend that the municipality reimburse \$5,848.00 to its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund upon official notification by the Department of Transportation.

We further recommend that before the municipality expends money on a project, it applies for and obtains prior approval for the project, when the project is completed it obtains approval of the completed work.

Management's Response

The municipal officials offered no formal response at this time.

Finding No. 4 - Liquid Fuels Money Overexpended On Project

Our examination disclosed that the municipality expended \$273,944.70 of Liquid Fuels Tax Fund money on construction project No. 11-28401-04. However, the amount approved to be expended from the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund for this project was \$268,926.97. The difference of \$5,017.73 should have been paid directly from the General Fund.

The Department of Transportation's Regulations do not permit a municipality to expend Liquid Fuels Tax Fund money in excess of the amount approved on Municipal Services Completion Report Form MS-999.

The failure to comply with the Department of Transportation's Regulations could result in the municipality having to reimburse \$5,017.73 to its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund.

Recommendations

We recommend that the municipality reimburse \$5,017.73 to its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund upon official notification by the Department of Transportation.

We further recommend that, in the future, the municipality expend only the approved amount of Liquid Fuels Tax Fund money on road construction projects.

Management's Response

The municipal officials offered no formal response at this time.

BOROUGH OF CHAMBERSBURG FRANKLIN COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND SUMMARY OF EXIT CONFERENCE FOR THE PERIOD JANUARY 1, 2010 TO DECEMBER 31, 2011

An exit conference was held February 1, 2013. Those participating were:

BOROUGH OF CHAMBERSBURG

Mr. Jeffrey M. Stonehill, Borough Manager

Ms. Rachel Krum, Acting Finance Director

Mr. David Finch, Assistant Borough Manager

Mr. Phillip Wolgemuth, Land Use and Development Director

DEPARTMENT OF THE AUDITOR GENERAL

Ms. Tammy Fleisher, Auditor

The results of the examination were presented and discussed in their entirety.

BOROUGH OF CHAMBERSBURG FRANKLIN COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND REPORT DISTRIBUTION FOR THE PERIOD JANUARY 1, 2010 TO DECEMBER 31, 2011

This report was initially distributed to:

The Honorable Barry J. Schoch, P.E. Secretary
Department of Transportation

Borough of Chambersburg Franklin County 100 South Second Street Chambersburg, PA 17201

The Honorable William F. McLaughlin President of Council

Mr. Jeffrey M. Stonehill Borough Manager

Ms. Rachel Krum Acting Finance Director

This report is a matter of public record and is available online at http://www.auditorgen.state.pa.us. Media questions about the report can be directed to the Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General, Office of Communications, 231 Finance Building, Harrisburg, PA 17120; via email to: news@auditorgen.state.pa.us.