

CITY OF COATESVILLE CHESTER COUNTY 15-301

LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND EXAMINATION REPORT

FOR THE THREE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2007

CONTENTS

Page
Background1
Independent Auditor's Report
Financial Section:
2005 Form MS-965 With Adjustments7
2006 Form MS-965 With Adjustments10
2007 Form MS-965 With Adjustments
Notes To Forms MS-965 With Adjustments16
Findings And Recommendations:
Finding No. 1 - Failure To Properly Prepare Forms MS-965
Finding No. 2 - Documentation Supporting Transfers Was Not Available For Examination25
Finding No. 3 - Nonpermissible Expenditures
Comment
Summary Of Exit Conference
Report Distribution

CITY OF COATESVILLE CHESTER COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND BACKGROUND FOR THE THREE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2007

Background

The Liquid Fuels Tax Municipal Allocation Law, Title 72 P.S. § 2615.5, provides municipalities other than counties (townships, boroughs, cities, towns, home rule, and optional plan governments) with an annual allocation of liquid fuels taxes from the state's Motor License Fund to be used for the maintenance and repair of streets, roads, and bridges for which the municipality is responsible. The allocation of these funds to municipalities is based: (1) 50 percent on the municipality's proportion of local road mileage to the total local road mileage in the state, and (2) 50 percent on the proportion of a municipality's population to the total population in the state.

The Vehicle Code, Title 75 P.S. § 9511, provides municipalities with annual maintenance payments to be received from the Motor License Fund for functionally local highways that were transferred to a municipality from the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

Each municipality must deposit the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund money and annual maintenance payments that it receives into a special fund called the Municipal Liquid Fuels Tax Fund or State Fund. A municipality may not deposit any other monies into this fund except when the municipality does not have enough money in the special fund to meet the payments called for by its current annual budget for road and bridge purposes. In this case, the municipality may borrow money or transfer money from its General Fund to its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund.

The Department of Transportation has been given the regulatory authority for the administration of these funds. To qualify for its annual allocation, each municipality shall submit the following documents and information to the Department of Transportation:

- 1. Evidence that the treasurer is bonded in accordance with the law, or that its treasurer is a bank requiring no bond by January 31 of each year.
- 2. A report indicating the manner in which its liquid fuels tax allocation was expended in the previous year (Form MS-965) by January 31 of each year.
- 3. A report of elected and appointed officials by January 31 of each year.
- 4. A Survey of Financial Condition by March 15 of each year.

Department of Transportation *Publication 9* contains the policies and procedures that govern the use of Liquid Fuels Tax Fund money. However, if there is a difference between *Publication 9* and any legislation, the legislation shall govern.



Independent Auditor's Report

The Honorable Allen D. Biehler, P.E. Secretary Department of Transportation Harrisburg, PA 17120

We examined the accompanying Forms MS-965 With Adjustments for the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund of the City of Coatesville, Chester County, for the three years ended December 31, 2007. The municipality's management is responsible for the Forms MS-965. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Forms MS-965 With Adjustments based on our examination.

Our examination was conducted in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants and the standards applicable to attestation engagements contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. An examination includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the City of Coatesville, Chester County's Forms MS-965 for the three years ended December 31, 2007 and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our examination provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

We are mandated by Section 403 of *The Fiscal Code*, 72 P.S. § 403, to audit each municipality's Liquid Fuels Tax Fund to ensure that funds received are expended in accordance with applicable laws and regulations. *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States include attestation engagements as a separate type of audit. An attestation engagement performed pursuant to *Government Auditing Standards* involves additional standards that exceed the standards provided by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. Accordingly, this attestation engagement complies with both *Government Auditing Standards* and Section 403 of *The Fiscal Code*.

As described in Note 4, the adjustments included on the Forms MS-965 With Adjustments are made by the Department of the Auditor General.

As discussed in Finding No. 2, the city did not maintain documentation, such as invoices, to support transfers of \$26,298.04 from its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund to its General Fund during 2005. Additionally, as discussed in Finding No. 3, the municipality expended \$27,504.38 from its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund for the lighting of parking lots, security lights, and power to city hall, which are nonpermissible expenditures. This amount includes \$4,000.27 in 2005, \$4,721.53 in 2006, and \$18,782.58 in 2007.

Independent Auditor's Report (Continued)

In our opinion, except for the matters discussed in the preceding paragraph, the Forms MS-965 With Adjustments present, in all material respects, the information required by the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation for the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund of the City of Coatesville, Chester County, for the three years ended December 31, 2007, in conformity with the criteria set forth in Note 1.

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we are required to report findings of significant deficiencies in internal control, violations of provisions of contracts or grant agreements, and abuse that are material to the Forms MS-965 and any fraud and illegal acts that are more than inconsequential that come to our attention during our examination. We are also required to obtain the views of management on those matters. We performed our examination to express an opinion on whether the Forms MS-965 are presented in accordance with the criteria described above and not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the internal control over reporting on the Forms MS-965 or on compliance and other matters; accordingly, we express no such opinions.

A control deficiency exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent or detect misstatements on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a control deficiency, or combination of control deficiencies, that adversely affects the City of Coatesville, Chester County's ability to initiate, authorize, record, process, or report data reliably in accordance with the applicable criteria such that there is more than a remote likelihood that a misstatement of the City of Coatesville, Chester County's Forms MS-965 that is more than inconsequential will not be prevented or detected by the City of Coatesville, Chester County's internal control. We consider the deficiencies described in the findings below to be significant deficiencies in internal control over reporting on the Forms MS-965:

- Failure To Properly Prepare Forms MS-965.
- Documentation Supporting Transfers Was Not Available For Examination.

Independent Auditor's Report (Continued)

A material weakness is a significant deficiency or combination of significant deficiencies that results in more than a remote likelihood that a material misstatement of the Forms MS-965 will not be prevented or detected by the City of Coatesville, Chester County's internal control. Our consideration of the internal control over reporting on the Forms MS-965 would not necessarily disclose all matters in the internal control that might be significant deficiencies and, accordingly, would not necessarily disclose all significant deficiencies that are also considered to be material weaknesses. We consider all the significant deficiencies described above to be material weaknesses.

The results of our tests disclosed the following instance of noncompliance that is required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*:

• Nonpermissible Expenditures.

We are concerned in light of the municipality's failure to correct a previously reported finding regarding nonpermissible expenditures. During our current examination, we noted that the municipality failed to properly prepare Forms MS-965, failed to maintain documentation to support expenditures, and paid nonpermissible expenditures from its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund. The municipality should strive to implement the recommendations and corrective actions noted in this report.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation and the management of the City of Coatesville, Chester County, and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

September 18, 2008

JACK WAGNER Auditor General



CITY OF COATESVILLE CHESTER COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND 2005 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 1 WITH ADJUSTMENTS

Expenditure Summary	Reported		Adjustments (Note 4)		Adjusted Amount	
Major equipment purchases	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Minor equipment purchases		-		-		-
Agility projects		-		-		-
Cleaning streets and gutters		-		-		-
Winter maintenance services		16,335.03		(3,216.25)		13,118.78
Traffic control devices		10,360.34		(879.15)		9,481.19
Street lighting		133,336.89		(8,279.78)		125,057.11
Storm sewers and drains		-		-		-
Repairs of tools and machinery		5,298.77		-		5,298.77
Maintenance and repair of						
roads and bridges		-		-		-
Highway construction and						
rebuilding projects		-		-		-
Miscellaneous		(879.15)		879.15		-
Total (To Section 2, Line 5)	\$	164,451.88	\$	(11,496.03)	\$	152,955.85

CITY OF COATESVILLE CHESTER COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND 2005 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 2 WITH ADJUSTMENTS

Fund Balance	Reported		AdjustmentsReported(Note 4)		 Adjusted Amount
1. Balance, January 1, 2005	\$	17,076.41	\$	-	\$ 17,076.41
Receipts: 2. State allocation 2a. Turnback allocation 2b. Interest on investments (Note 3) 2c. Miscellaneous		163,250.17 - 897.44 11,496.03		- - - (11,496.03)	 163,250.17 - 897.44 -
3. Total receipts		175,643.64		(11,496.03)	 164,147.61
4. Total funds available		192,720.05		(11,496.03)	 181,224.02
5. Expenditures (Section 1)		164,451.88		(11,496.03)	 152,955.85
6. Balance, December 31, 2005	\$	28,268.17	\$		\$ 28,268.17

CITY OF COATESVILLE CHESTER COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND 2005 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 3 WITH ADJUSTMENTS

Equipment Balance	Reported		Adjustments		Adjusted Amount	
1. Prior year equipment balance	\$	17,076.41	\$	-	\$	17,076.41
 Add: Current year equipment allocation (20% of Lines 2 + 2a, Section 2) 	1	32,650.03		-		32,650.03
3. PENNDOT approved adjustments						
4. Total funds available for equipment acquisition		49,726.44		-		49,726.44
5. Less: Major equipment expenditures				_		
6. Remainder		49,726.44		_		49,726.44
7. Equipment balance available for subsequent year (Lesser of Line 6 or Section 2 balance, but not less than zero)	\$	28,268.17	\$	_	\$	28,268.17

CITY OF COATESVILLE CHESTER COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND 2006 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 1 WITH ADJUSTMENTS

Expenditure Summary	Reported		Adjustments (Note 4)		Adjusted Amount	
Major equipment purchases	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Minor equipment purchases		-		-		-
Agility projects		-		-		-
Cleaning streets and gutters		-		-		-
Winter maintenance services		11,900.39		6,525.05		18,425.44
Traffic control devices		13,549.21		-		13,549.21
Street lighting		124,983.57		-		124,983.57
Storm sewers and drains		-		-		-
Repairs of tools and machinery		-		-		-
Maintenance and repair of						
roads and bridges		4,776.67		-		4,776.67
Highway construction and						
rebuilding projects		-		-		-
Miscellaneous		-		-		-
Total (To Section 2, Line 5)	\$	155,209.84	\$	6,525.05	\$	161,734.89

CITY OF COATESVILLE CHESTER COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND 2006 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 2 WITH ADJUSTMENTS

Fund Balance	Reported		Adjustments (Note 4)		Adjusted Amount	
1. Balance, January 1, 2006	\$	21,743.12	\$	6,525.05	\$	28,268.17
Receipts: 2. State allocation 2a. Turnback allocation		174,739.99		-		174,739.99
2b. Interest on investments (Note 3) 2c. Miscellaneous (Note 5)		- 992.35 17,417.23		-		992.35 17,417.23
3. Total receipts		193,149.57				193,149.57
4. Total funds available		214,892.69		6,525.05		221,417.74
5. Expenditures (Section 1)		155,209.84		6,525.05		161,734.89
6. Balance, December 31, 2006	\$	59,682.85	\$		\$	59,682.85

CITY OF COATESVILLE CHESTER COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND 2006 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 3 WITH ADJUSTMENTS

Equipment Balance	Reported		Adjustments (Note 4)		Adjusted Amount	
1. Prior year equipment balance	\$	21,743.12	\$	6,525.05	\$	28,268.17
 Add: Current year equipment allocation (20% of Lines 2 + 2a, Section 2) 	1	34,948.00		-		34,948.00
3. PENNDOT approved adjustments						
4. Total funds available for equipment acquisition		56,691.12		6,525.05		63,216.17
5. Less: Major equipment expenditures				_		_
6. Remainder		56,691.12		6,525.05		63,216.17
 7. Equipment balance available for subsequent year (Lesser of Line 6 or Section 2 balance, but not less than zero) 	\$	56,691.12	\$	2,991.73	\$	59,682.85

CITY OF COATESVILLE CHESTER COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND 2006 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 3 WITH ADJUSTMENTS

Expenditure Summary	Reported		Adjustments Reported (Note 4)		Adjusted Amount	
Major equipment purchases	\$	-	\$ -	\$	-	
Minor equipment purchases		-	-		-	
Agility projects		-	-		-	
Cleaning streets and gutters		-	-		-	
Winter maintenance services		28,164.29	-		28,164.29	
Traffic control devices		3,953.55	-		3,953.55	
Street lighting		101,551.28	-		101,551.28	
Storm sewers and drains		-	-		-	
Repairs of tools and machinery		-	-		-	
Maintenance and repair of						
roads and bridges		-	-		-	
Highway construction and						
rebuilding projects		-	-		-	
Miscellaneous (Note 6)			 6,408.43		6,408.43	
Total (To Section 2, Line 5)	\$	133,669.12	\$ 6,408.43	\$	140,077.55	

CITY OF COATESVILLE CHESTER COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND 2007 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 2 WITH ADJUSTMENTS

Fund Balance	Reported		AdjustmentsReported(Note 4)		 Adjusted Amount
1. Balance, January 1, 2007	\$ 59,682.85		\$	-	\$ 59,682.85
Receipts: 2. State allocation 2a. Turnback allocation 2b. Interest on investments (Note 3)		181,248.68 - 2,840.09		- -	181,248.68 - 2,840.09
2c. Miscellaneous (Note 5)				19,644.58	19,644.58
3. Total receipts		184,088.77		19,644.58	 203,733.35
4. Total funds available		243,771.62		19,644.58	 263,416.20
5. Expenditures (Section 1)		133,669.12		6,408.43	 140,077.55
6. Balance, December 31, 2007	\$	110,102.50	\$	13,236.15	\$ 123,338.65

CITY OF COATESVILLE CHESTER COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND 2007 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 3 WITH ADJUSTMENTS

Equipment Balance	Reported		Adjustments		Adjusted Amount
1. Prior year equipment balance	\$	59,682.85	\$	-	\$ 59,682.85
2. Add: Current year equipment allocation (20% of Lines 2 + 2a, Section 2)	1	36,249.74		-	36,249.74
3. PENNDOT approved adjustments					
4. Total funds available for equipment acquisition		95,932.59		-	95,932.59
5. Less: Major equipment expenditures					
6. Remainder		95,932.59		-	 95,932.59
 Equipment balance available for subsequent year (Lesser of Line 6 or Section 2 balance, but not less than zero) 	\$	95,932.59	\$		\$ 95,932.59

1. <u>Criteria</u>

Section 1

This section of Form MS-965 With Adjustments provides a summary of Liquid Fuels Tax Fund expenditures by category. Categories requiring explanation include:

- Major equipment purchases are purchases of road machinery and road equipment that cost in excess of \$4,000.00.
- Minor equipment purchases are purchases of road machinery and road equipment that cost \$4,000.00 or less.
- Agility projects are exchanges of services with the Department of Transportation.

Section 2

This section of Form MS-965 With Adjustments provides information on the fund balance. Categories requiring explanation include:

- The state allocation is generally received from the Department of Transportation during the first week in April of each year. The amount the municipality receives is based half on its population and half on its road mileage.
- The turnback allocation is generally received from the Department of Transportation during the first week in April of each year. A municipality receives a yearly turnback allocation based on road mileage for all roads that were transferred to the municipality from the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania through the Highway Transfer Program.
- Expenditures include the total transferred from Section 1.

Section 3

This section of Form MS-965 With Adjustments determines if the municipality expended Liquid Fuels Tax Fund money in excess of the permissible amount for equipment and the balance that the municipality may carry forward for the purchase of equipment to the subsequent year.

1. <u>Criteria (Continued)</u>

Section 3 (Continued)

Department of Transportation *Publication 9* requires that the amount expended for equipment purchases in a given year not exceed the sum of the equipment balance carried forward from the previous year and 20 percent of the current year's Liquid Fuels Tax Fund allocation and, if applicable, 20 percent of the turnback allocation plus other Department of Transportation approved adjustments.

If the municipality spent in excess of the amount listed on Line 4, the excess must be reimbursed to the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund.

The equipment balance to be carried forward for the subsequent year is the lesser of the amount on Line 6 or the ending fund balance on Line 6 of Section 2, but not less than zero.

Basis Of Presentation

The financial activities of the municipality are accounted for in separate funds. The Liquid Fuels Tax Fund is used to account for state aid revenues from the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation used primarily for building and improving local roads and bridges. The Forms MS-965 have been prepared in accordance with reporting requirements prescribed by the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation as a result of the Fuels Tax Act 655, dated 1956 and as amended, which does not constitute a complete presentation of the entity's assets, liabilities, expenses, and fund balance. Accordingly, the presentation of Forms MS-965 With Adjustments is restricted to the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund, which represents a segment of the entity.

Basis Of Accounting

The accompanying Forms MS-965 With Adjustments are prepared in accordance with reporting requirements prescribed by the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation. Under this method, revenues are recognized when received and expenditures are recorded when paid.

1. <u>Criteria (Continued)</u>

General Fixed Assets

General fixed assets are recognized as expenditures at the time of purchase. No depreciation has been provided on the heavy equipment used to maintain and repair roads and bridges.

2. Deposits

The Third Class City Code, Title 53 P.S § 36804.1, authorizes the city to deposit its funds in the following:

- Deposits in savings accounts or time deposits, other than certificates of deposit or share accounts of institutions having their principal place of business in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) or other like insurance. For any amount above the insured maximum, the depository shall pledge approved collateral.
- Certificates of deposit purchased from institutions insured by the FDIC or other like insurance to the extent that such accounts are so insured. For any amounts in excess of the insured maximum, such deposits shall be collateralized by a pledge or assignment of assets. Certificates of deposit may not exceed 20 percent of a bank's total capital surplus or 20 percent of a savings and loan's or savings bank's assets minus liabilities.

Deposits consist of receipts and deposits in a financial institution. Pennsylvania statutes require all deposits to be insured and, for any amount above the insured maximum, to be secured with approved collateral as defined.

There were no deposits exposed to custodial credit risk as of December 31, 2007. Custodial credit risk, as defined by GASB No. 40, includes deposits that are not covered by depository insurance and the deposits are uncollateralized, collateralized with securities held by the pledging financial institution, or collateralized with securities held by the pledging financial institution's trust department or agent but not in the municipality's name.

2. <u>Deposits (Continued)</u>

Fund Balance

The fund balance as of December 31, 2007 consists of the following:

Cash

\$123,338.65

3. Interest On Investments

Our examination disclosed that the municipality deposited idle liquid fuels tax money in an interest-bearing account which earned \$897.44 during 2005, \$992.35 during 2006, and \$2,840.09 during 2007, thus providing additional funds for road maintenance and repairs.

4. Adjustments

<u>2005 - Section 1</u>

An adjustment of (3,216.25) was made to "Winter maintenance services" because check No. 5677, which was issued in 2004, was incorrectly reported as an expenditure in 2005.

Adjustments were made to "Traffic control devices" and "Miscellaneous" because a credit to a transfer of \$879.15 was misclassified.

An adjustment of \$(8,279.78) was made to "Street lighting" because check No. 7105, which was issued in 2004, was incorrectly reported as an expenditure in 2005.

2005 - Section 2

An adjustment of \$(11,496.03) was made to "Miscellaneous" receipts because voided checks written in 2004 were misclassified as receipts.

4. Adjustments (Continued)

2006 - Section 1

An adjustment of \$6,525.05 was made to "Winter maintenance services" because these expenditures were understated.

2006 - Section 2

An adjustment of \$6,525.05 was made to "Balance, January 1, 2006" because an incorrect fund balance was reported.

2006 - Section 3

An adjustment of \$6,525.05 was made to "Prior year equipment balance" because an incorrect equipment balance was reported.

2007 - Section 1

An adjustment of \$6,408.43 was made to "Miscellaneous" because a partial repayment of a temporary loan was not reported.

2007 - Section 2

An adjustment of \$19,644.58 was made to "Miscellaneous" receipts because a temporary loan was not reported.

5. <u>Miscellaneous Receipts</u>

The following miscellaneous receipts were deposited into the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund during the examination period:

Source	Description	2006	2007
General Fund General Fund	Reimbursement (see Comment) Temporary loan (see Note 6)	\$17,417.23	\$ - 19,644.58
Totals		\$17,417.23	\$19,644.58

6. <u>Temporary Loan</u>

On September 9, 2007, the municipality loaned \$19,644.58 from its General Fund to its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund. On September 9, 2007, the municipality transferred \$6,408.43 from its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund to its General Fund to partially repay the loan. As of December 31, 2007, \$13,236.15 was due the General Fund.



Finding No. 1 - Failure To Properly Prepare Forms MS-965

Our examination disclosed that there were numerous errors made in the preparation of the municipality's 2005 and 2006 and 2007 Forms MS-965. These adjustments, which are disclosed in Note 4, are as follows:

2005 - Section 1

- An adjustment of \$(3,216.25) was made to "Winter maintenance services" because check No. 5677, which was issued in 2004, was incorrectly reported as an expenditure in 2005.
- Adjustments were made to "Traffic control devices" and "Miscellaneous" because a credit to a transfer of \$879.15 was misclassified.
- An adjustment of \$(8,279.78) was made to "Street lighting" because check No. 7105, which was issued in 2004, was incorrectly reported as an expenditure in 2005.

2005 - Section 2

• An adjustment of \$(11,496.03) was made to "Miscellaneous" receipts because voided checks written in 2004 were misclassified as receipts.

2006 - Section 1

• An adjustment of \$6,525.05 was made to "Winter maintenance services" because these expenditures were understated.

2006 - Section 2

• An adjustment of \$6,525.05 was made to "Balance, January 1, 2006" because an incorrect fund balance was reported.

2006 - Section 3

• An adjustment of \$6,525.05 was made to "Prior year equipment balance" because an incorrect equipment balance was reported.

Finding No. 1 - Failure To Properly Prepare Forms MS-965 (Continued)

2007 - Section 1

• An adjustment of \$6,408.43 was made to "Miscellaneous" because a partial repayment of a temporary loan was not reported.

2007 - Section 2

• An adjustment of \$19,644.58 was made to "Miscellaneous" receipts because a temporary loan was not reported.

Good internal controls ensure that the municipality complete its Forms MS-965 accurately and completely. The failure to properly complete Forms MS-965 increases the risk that errors or irregularities may occur and remain undetected.

Recommendation

We recommend that the municipality ensure that its Forms MS-965 are complete and accurate.

Management's Response

The finance director stated:

We agree with the finding.

Auditor's Conclusion

The municipal officials should comply with our recommendations as stated above.

Finding No. 2 - Documentation Supporting Transfers Was Not Available For Examination

Our examination disclosed that the municipality transferred a total of \$152,955.85 from its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund to its General Fund during 2005. However, the municipality only maintained documentation, such as invoices, to support \$126,657.81. There were no invoices to support the remaining \$26,298.04.

Good internal control procedures ensure that there is documentation to support all transfers.

The Liquid Fuels Tax Municipal Allocation Law, 72 P.S. § 2615.4, provides, in part, that monies herein allocated may be used only for construction, reconstruction, maintenance and repairs of such public roads or streets, including bridges, culverts and drainage structures, for which they are legally responsible. Also permitted are transfers involving acquisition, maintenance, repairs and operation of street signs, traffic signs, traffic signal control systems, road equipment, and snow fences.

The Department of Transportation has been statutorily authorized to promulgate regulations concerning the administration of Liquid Fuels Tax Fund money and has determined that certain items, including transfers made without supporting documentation, are outside the scope of permissible transfers.

Without adequate documentation, we could not determine if the transfers were permissible according to the Liquid Fuels Tax Municipal Allocation Law and the Department of Transportation's Regulations.

The failure to maintain documentation to support transfers could result in the municipality having to reimburse \$26,298.04 to its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund.

Finding No. 2 - Documentation Supporting Transfers Was Not Available For Examination (Continued)

Recommendations

We recommend that the municipality reimburse \$26,298.04 to its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund upon official notification by the Department of Transportation.

We further recommend that the municipality ensure good internal control over transfers by maintaining adequate documentation to support all expenditures.

Management's Response

The finance director stated:

Not all supporting documentation was available at the time of audit.

Auditor's Conclusion

The municipal officials should ensure that documentation is maintained to support all expenditures.

Finding No. 3 - Nonpermissible Expenditures

Our examination disclosed that the city expended \$27,504.38 from the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund for the lighting of parking lots, security lights, and power to city hall, which are nonpermissible expenditures. This amount includes \$4,000.27 in 2005, \$4,721.53 in 2006, and \$18,782.58 in 2007.

The Liquid Fuels Tax Municipal Allocation Law, 72 P.S. § 2615.4, provides, in part, that monies herein allocated may be used only for construction, reconstruction, maintenance, and repairs of such public roads or streets, including bridges, culverts and drainage structures, for which they are legally responsible. Also permitted are expenditures involving acquisition, maintenance, repairs and operation of street signs, traffic signs, traffic signal control systems, road equipment, and snow fences.

The Department of Transportation has been statutorily authorized to promulgate regulations concerning the administration of Liquid Fuels Tax Fund money and has determined that certain items, including lighting for parking lots, security lights, and power to city hall, are outside the scope of permissible expenditures.

The failure to follow the Liquid Fuels Tax Municipal Allocation Law and the Department of Transportation's Regulations as noted above could result in the municipality having to reimburse \$27,504.38 to its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund.

A similar finding was also written in our prior report.

Recommendations

We recommend that the municipality reimburse \$27,504.38 to its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund upon official notification by the Department of Transportation.

We again recommend that, in the future, the municipality comply with the Liquid Fuels Tax Municipal Allocation Law and the Department of Transportation's Regulations regarding permissible expenditures.

Finding No. 3 - Nonpermissible Expenditures (Continued)

Management's Response

The finance director stated:

We are willing to work with the Department of Transportation to review locations for permissible street lighting expenditures.

Auditor's Conclusion

During our next examination we will determine if the municipality complied with our recommendations.

Comment - Summary Of Prior Audit Recommendations

In our prior report we recommended that the Department of Transportation review our audit finding to determine if the municipality should reimburse \$17,417.23 to its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund. This amount consists of \$9,837.50 for nonpermissible expenditures and \$7,579.73 for a duplicate transfer from the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund to the General Fund. A finding for nonpermissible expenditures was also written in our current report (see Finding No. 3).

During our current examination we noted that the municipality reimbursed \$17,417.23 to its Liquid Fuels Tax fund on July 3, 2007.

In our prior report we also recommended that the city review all transfers to avoid duplicate payments.

During our current examination we noted that the city complied with our recommendation.

CITY OF COATESVILLE CHESTER COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND SUMMARY OF EXIT CONFERENCE FOR THE THREE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2007

An exit conference was held September 18, 2008. Those participating were:

CITY OF COATESVILLE

Mr. Donald McKenzie, Finance Director

DEPARTMENT OF THE AUDITOR GENERAL

Mr. Vernon J. Brennan, Auditor

The results of the examination were presented and discussed in their entirety.

CITY OF COATESVILLE CHESTER COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND REPORT DISTRIBUTION FOR THE THREE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2007

This report was initially distributed to:

The Honorable Allen D. Biehler, P.E. Secretary Department of Transportation

> City of Coatesville Chester County One City Hall Place Coatesville, PA 19320

The Honorable Karen Jorgenson

President of Council

Mr. Donald McKenzie

Finance Director

This report is a matter of public record. Copies of this report may be obtained from the Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General, Office of Communications, 318 Finance Building, Harrisburg, PA 17120. To view this report online or to contact the Department of the Auditor General, please access our web site at www.auditorgen.state.pa.us.