



DISTRICT COURT 02-2-08

LANCASTER COUNTY

EXAMINATION REPORT

FOR THE PERIOD

JANUARY 1, 2005 TO DECEMBER 31, 2006

## CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
Independent Auditor's Report.....	1
Financial Section:	
Statement Of Receipts And Disbursements.....	5
Notes To The Statement Of Receipts And Disbursements.....	6
Finding And Recommendation:	
Finding - Required Computer Downtime Manual Receipt Procedures Were Not Always Followed.....	7
Comment.....	9
Report Distribution .....	11



## Independent Auditor's Report

Mr. Stephen H. Stetler  
Acting Secretary  
Pennsylvania Department of Revenue  
Harrisburg, PA 17128

We have examined the accompanying statement of receipts and disbursements (Statement) of District Court 02-2-08, Lancaster County, Pennsylvania (District Court), for the period January 1, 2005 to December 31, 2006, pursuant to the requirements of Section 401(c) of *The Fiscal Code*, 72 P.S. § 401(c). This Statement is the responsibility of the District Court's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on this Statement based on our examination.

Our examination was conducted in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants and the standards applicable to attestation engagements contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. An examination includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the Statement and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our examination provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

We are mandated by Section 401(c) of *The Fiscal Code* to audit the accounts of each district court to determine whether all moneys collected on behalf of the Commonwealth have been correctly assessed, reported and promptly remitted. *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States include attestation engagements as a separate type of audit. An attestation engagement performed pursuant to *Government Auditing Standards* involves additional standards that exceed the standards provided by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. Accordingly, this attestation engagement complies with both *Government Auditing Standards* and Section 401(c) of *The Fiscal Code*.

### Independent Auditor's Report (Continued)

In our opinion, the Statement referred to above presents, in all material respects, the operations of the District Court as it pertains to receipts made on behalf of the Commonwealth for the period ended December 31, 2006, in conformity with the criteria set forth in Note 1.

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we are required to report findings of significant deficiencies in internal control, violations of provisions of contracts or grant agreements, and abuse that are material to the Statement and any fraud and illegal acts that are more than inconsequential that come to our attention during our examination. We are also required to obtain the views of management on those matters. We performed our examination to express an opinion on whether the Statement is presented in accordance with the criteria described above and not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the internal control over reporting on the Statement or on compliance and other matters; accordingly, we express no such opinions.

A control deficiency exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent or detect misstatements on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a control deficiency, or combination of control deficiencies, that adversely affects the District Court's ability to initiate, authorize, record, process, or report data reliably in accordance with the applicable criteria such that there is more than a remote likelihood that a misstatement of the District Court's Statement that is more than inconsequential will not be prevented or detected by the District Court's internal control. We consider the deficiency described in the finding below to be a significant deficiency in internal control over the reporting on the Statement:

- Required Computer Downtime Manual Receipt Procedures Were Not Always Followed.

Independent Auditor's Report (Continued)


A material weakness is a significant deficiency or combination of significant deficiencies that results in more than a remote likelihood that a material misstatement of the Statement will not be prevented or detected by the District Court's internal control. Our consideration of the internal control over reporting on the Statement would not necessarily disclose all matters in the internal control that might be significant deficiencies and, accordingly, would not necessarily disclose all significant deficiencies that are also considered to be material weaknesses. However, we consider the deficiency listed above to be a material weakness.

The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the Pennsylvania Department of Revenue, the Administrative Office of Pennsylvania Courts, and the District Court and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

September 8, 2008

JACK WAGNER  
Auditor General



DISTRICT COURT 02-2-08  
LANCASTER COUNTY  
STATEMENT OF RECEIPTS AND DISBURSEMENTS  
FOR THE PERIOD  
JANUARY 1, 2005 TO DECEMBER 31, 2006

Receipts:

Department of Transportation		
Title 75 Fines	\$	205,763
Motor Carrier Road Tax Fines		25
Overweight Fines		400
Commercial Driver Fines		1,000
Littering Law Fines		231
Child Restraint Fines		319
Department of Revenue Court Costs		97,976
Crime Victims' Compensation Bureau Costs		15,867
Crime Commission Costs/Victim Witness Services Costs		11,465
Domestic Violence Costs		3,541
Department of Agriculture Fines		1,000
Fish and Boat Commission Fines		4,155
Game Commission Fines		7,250
Department of State Fines		1,000
Emergency Medical Service Fines		47,588
CAT/MCARE Fund Surcharges		154,669
Judicial Computer System Fees		52,899
Access to Justice Fees		11,809
Constable Service Surcharges		3,661
Department of Labor and Industry Fines		4,463
Firearm Education and Training Costs		10
Miscellaneous State Fines		150
		<hr/>
Total receipts (Note 2)	\$	625,241
Disbursements to Commonwealth (Note 3)		<hr/> (625,241)
Balance due Commonwealth (District Court) per settled reports (Note 4)		-
Examination adjustments		<hr/> -
Adjusted balance due Commonwealth (District Court) for the period January, 1 2005 to December 31, 2006	\$	<hr/> <hr/> -

Notes to the Statement of Receipts and Disbursements are an integral part of this report.



DISTRICT COURT 02-2-08  
LANCASTER COUNTY  
NOTES TO THE STATEMENT OF RECEIPTS AND DISBURSEMENTS  
FOR THE PERIOD  
JANUARY 1, 2005 TO DECEMBER 31, 2006

1. Criteria

The Statement of Receipts and Disbursements provides a summary of receipts and disbursements by category. The categories and the amounts of fines, costs, fees, and surcharges assessed are based on Pennsylvania laws and regulations.

The Statement was prepared in accordance with reporting requirements prescribed by the Pennsylvania Department of Revenue. Under this method, only the Commonwealth portion of cash receipts and disbursements are presented, revenues are recognized when received, and expenditures are recognized when paid.

2. Receipts

Receipts are comprised of fines, costs, fees, and surcharges collected on behalf of the Commonwealth. These fines, costs, fees, and surcharges represent collections made on traffic, non-traffic, civil, and criminal cases filed with the District Court.

3. Disbursements

Total disbursements are comprised as follows:

Checks issued to the Department of Revenue	<u>\$ 625,241</u>
--	-------------------

4. Balance Due Commonwealth (District Court) For The Period January 1, 2005 To December 31, 2006

This balance reflects the summary of monthly transmittal reports as settled by the Department of Revenue.

5. Magisterial District Judge Serving During Examination Period

Daniel B. Garrett served at District Court 02-2-08 for the period January 1, 2005 to December 31, 2006.

DISTRICT COURT 02-2-08  
LANCASTER COUNTY  
FINDING AND RECOMMENDATION  
FOR THE PERIOD  
JANUARY 1, 2005 TO DECEMBER 31, 2006

Finding - Required Computer Downtime Manual Receipt Procedures Were Not Always Followed

The Administrative Office of Pennsylvania Courts' (AOPC) policies require computer downtime manual receipts to be issued in the event of a temporary power loss to the district court's computer system. When the computer system is operating again, the computer downtime manual receipt is replaced by an official computer-generated receipt and included in the daily receipts. When the AOPC's policies are not followed, the risk that funds received by the District Court could be lost, stolen, or misappropriated increases.

Our examination disclosed that required computer downtime manual receipt procedures were not always followed. Of 59 receipts printed by the court, 57 were shredded along with the log book.

The *Magisterial District Judge Automated Office Clerical Procedures Manual* (Manual) establishes the uniform written internal control policies and procedures for all district courts. The Manual requires that downtime manual receipts be issued in the event of a temporary power loss to the computer system. When the computer system is not operational, the receipt and log sheet should be filled out for each receipt number and the initials of the employee receiving the payment should be documented on the log sheet. The receipts should be used in numerical order; the log sheet should be filled out using the appropriate receipt number; a copy of that receipt should be given to the remitter; and the second copy of the receipt should be kept, along with the associated log, in a secure location. When the computer system is running again, the second copy of the receipt should be attached to the new system-generated receipt and placed in the case file and the date the payment was entered into the system should be documented on the log sheet. Additionally, the Manual requires that when a manual receipt number is issued, the manual receipt number should be entered in the manual receipt number field when creating the computer receipt. This will link the manual receipt to the computer receipt.

Good internal accounting controls ensure that computer downtime manual receipts are accounted for and maintained.

This condition existed because the district court failed to establish and implement an adequate system of internal controls over computer downtime manual receipts. At the end of each year of the examination period the court destroyed the unissued receipts and log book. They then printed a new set of manual receipts and log for the next year.

Adherence to good internal accounting controls and the uniform internal control policies and procedures, as set forth in the Manual, would have ensured that there were adequate internal controls over collections.

DISTRICT COURT 02-2-08  
LANCASTER COUNTY  
FINDING AND RECOMMENDATION  
FOR THE PERIOD  
JANUARY 1, 2005 TO DECEMBER 31, 2006

Finding - Required Computer Downtime Manual Receipt Procedures Were Not Always  
Followed (Continued)

Recommendation

We recommend that the district court establish and implement an adequate system of internal controls over computer downtime manual receipts as noted above.

Management's Response

No formal response was offered at this time.


DISTRICT COURT 02-2-08  
LANCASTER COUNTY  
COMMENT  
FOR THE PERIOD  
JANUARY 1, 2005 TO DECEMBER 31, 2006

Comment - Compliance With Prior Audit Recommendation

During our prior examination, we recommended:

- That the office review warrant control reports and notify police or other officials to return warrants that are unserved for 60 days for summary traffic and non-traffic cases as required by the *Magisterial District Judge Automated Office Clerical Procedures Manual*.

During our current examination, we noted that the office complied with our recommendation.



DISTRICT COURT 02-2-08  
LANCASTER COUNTY  
REPORT DISTRIBUTION  
FOR THE PERIOD  
JANUARY 1, 2005 TO DECEMBER 31, 2006

This report was initially distributed to:

Mr. Stephen H. Stetler  
Acting Secretary  
Pennsylvania Department of Revenue

The Honorable Zygmunt Pines  
Court Administrator of Pennsylvania  
Administrative Office of Pennsylvania Courts

District Court 02-2-08  
Lancaster County  
690 Furnace Hills Pike  
Lititz, PA 17543

The Honorable Daniel B. Garrett	Magisterial District Judge
Mr. Mark M. Dalton	District Court Administrator
Mr. Walter Rogers	Acting Controller
The Honorable Dennis P. Stuckey	Chairman of the Board of Commissioners

This report is a matter of public record. Copies of this report may be obtained from the Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General, Office of Communications, 318 Finance Building, Harrisburg, PA 17120. To view this report online or to contact the Department of the Auditor General, please access our web site at [www.auditorgen.state.pa.us](http://www.auditorgen.state.pa.us).