

BOROUGH OF EAST WASHINGTON WASHINGTON COUNTY 62-415

> LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND EXAMINATION REPORT

FOR THE TWO YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2007

# CONTENTS

Page	
Background1	
Independent Auditor's Report	
Financial Section:	
2006 Form MS-965 With Adjustments7	
2007 Form MS-965 With Adjustments10	
Notes To Forms MS-965 With Adjustments13	
Findings And Recommendations:	
Finding No. 1 - Failure To Obtain Project Approval17	
Finding No. 2 - Related Party Transactions19	
Summary Of Exit Conference	
Report Distribution	

### BOROUGH OF EAST WASHINGTON WASHINGTON COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND BACKGROUND FOR THE TWO YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2007

### Background

The Liquid Fuels Tax Municipal Allocation Law, Title 72 P.S. § 2615.5, provides municipalities other than counties (townships, boroughs, cities, towns, home rule, and optional plan governments) with an annual allocation of liquid fuels taxes from the state's Motor License Fund to be used for the maintenance and repair of streets, roads, and bridges for which the municipality is responsible. The allocation of these funds to municipalities is based: (1) 50 percent on the municipality's proportion of local road mileage to the total local road mileage in the state, and (2) 50 percent on the proportion of a municipality's population to the total population in the state.

*The Vehicle Code*, Title 75 P.S. § 9511, provides municipalities with annual maintenance payments to be received from the Motor License Fund for functionally local highways that were transferred to a municipality from the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

Each municipality must deposit the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund money and annual maintenance payments that it receives into a special fund called the Municipal Liquid Fuels Tax Fund or State Fund. A municipality may not deposit any other monies into this fund except when the municipality does not have enough money in the special fund to meet the payments called for by its current annual budget for road and bridge purposes. In this case, the municipality may borrow money or transfer money from its General Fund to its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund.

The Department of Transportation has been given the regulatory authority for the administration of these funds. To qualify for its annual allocation, each municipality shall submit the following documents and information to the Department of Transportation:

- 1. Evidence that the treasurer is bonded in accordance with the law, or that its treasurer is a bank requiring no bond by January 31 of each year.
- 2. A report indicating the manner in which its liquid fuels tax allocation was expended in the previous year (Form MS-965) by January 31 of each year.
- 3. A report of elected and appointed officials by January 31 of each year.
- 4. A Survey of Financial Condition by March 15 of each year.

Department of Transportation *Publication 9* contains the policies and procedures that govern the use of Liquid Fuels Tax Fund money. However, if there is a difference between *Publication 9* and any legislation, the legislation shall govern.



### Independent Auditor's Report

The Honorable Allen D. Biehler, P.E. Secretary Department of Transportation Harrisburg, PA 17120

We examined the accompanying Forms MS-965 With Adjustments for the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund of the Borough of East Washington, Washington County, for the two years ended December 31, 2007. The municipality's management is responsible for the Forms MS-965. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Forms MS-965 With Adjustments based on our examination.

Our examination was conducted in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants and the standards applicable to attestation engagements contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. An examination includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the Borough of East Washington, Washington County's Forms MS-965 for the two years ended December 31, 2007 and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our examination provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

We are mandated by Section 403 of *The Fiscal Code*, 72 P.S. § 403, to audit each municipality's Liquid Fuels Tax Fund to ensure that funds received are expended in accordance with applicable laws and regulations. *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States include attestation engagements as a separate type of audit. An attestation engagement performed pursuant to *Government Auditing Standards* involves additional standards that exceed the standards provided by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. Accordingly, this attestation engagement complies with both *Government Auditing Standards* and Section 403 of *The Fiscal Code*.

As described in Note 4, the adjustments included on the Forms MS-965 With Adjustments are made by the Department of the Auditor General.

As discussed in Finding No. 1, in 2007 the municipality expended \$5,400.00 for road milling and \$9,600.00 for paving in excess of one inch without obtaining the approval of the Department of Transportation. Additionally, as discussed in Finding No. 2 the borough expended \$3,675.19 from the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund for vehicle repairs and roadside cleanup services to a company in which a borough councilman is the vice-president and the parents of the borough councilman own the company.

# Independent Auditor's Report (Continued)

In our opinion, except for the matters discussed in the preceding paragraph, the Forms MS-965 With Adjustments present, in all material respects, the information required by the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation for the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund of the Borough of East Washington, Washington County, for the two years ended December 31, 2007, in conformity with the criteria set forth in Note 1.

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we are required to report findings of significant deficiencies in internal control, violations of provisions of contracts or grant agreements, and abuse that are material to the Forms MS-965 and any fraud and illegal acts that are more than inconsequential that come to our attention during our examination. We are also required to obtain the views of management on those matters. We performed our examination to express an opinion on whether the Forms MS-965 are presented in accordance with the criteria described above and not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the internal control over reporting on the Forms MS-965 or on compliance and other matters; accordingly, we express no such opinions.

A control deficiency exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent or detect misstatements on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a control deficiency, or combination of control deficiencies, that adversely affects the Borough of East Washington, Washington County's ability to initiate, authorize, record, process, or report data reliably in accordance with the applicable criteria such that there is more than a remote likelihood that a misstatement of the Borough of East Washington, Washington County's Forms MS-965 that is more than inconsequential will not be prevented or detected by the Borough of East Washington, Washington County's internal control.

A material weakness is a significant deficiency or combination of significant deficiencies that results in more than a remote likelihood that a material misstatement of the Forms MS-965 will not be prevented or detected by the Borough of East Washington, Washington County's internal control.

Our consideration of internal control over reporting on the Forms MS-965 was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and would not necessarily identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be significant deficiencies or material weaknesses.

# Independent Auditor's Report (Continued)

We did not identify any significant deficiencies or material weaknesses, as defined above, in internal control over reporting on the Forms MS-965.

The results of our tests disclosed the following instances of noncompliance that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*:

- Failure To Obtain Project Approval.
- Related Party Transactions.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation and the management of the Borough of East Washington, Washington County, and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

February 10, 2009

JACK WAGNER Auditor General



# BOROUGH OF EAST WASHINGTON WASHINGTON COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND 2006 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 1 WITH ADJUSTMENTS

Expenditure Summary	Reported		Adjustments		Adjusted Amount	
Major equipment purchases	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Minor equipment purchases		-		-		-
Computer/Computer related training		-		-		-
Agility projects		-		-		-
Cleaning streets and gutters		1,490.00		-		1,490.00
Winter maintenance services		-		-		-
Traffic control devices		1,008.69		-		1,008.69
Street lighting		15,716.16		-		15,716.16
Storm sewers and drains		-		-		-
Repairs of tools and machinery		3,404.72		-		3,404.72
Maintenance and repair of						
roads and bridges		104.06		-		104.06
Highway construction and						
rebuilding projects		-		-		-
Miscellaneous		-		-		
Total (To Section 2, Line 5)	\$	21,723.63	\$	-	\$	21,723.63

# BOROUGH OF EAST WASHINGTON WASHINGTON COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND 2006 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 2 WITH ADJUSTMENTS

Fund Balance	Reported		Reported Adjustments		Adjusted Amount		
1. Balance, January 1, 2006	\$	7,258.65	\$	-	\$	7,258.65	
Receipts: 2. State allocation 2a. Turnback allocation 2b. Interest on investments (Note 3)		35,027.53 - 1,142.79		- - -		35,027.53 - 1,142.79	
<ul><li>2c. Miscellaneous</li><li>3. Total receipts</li></ul>		- 36,170.32				- 36,170.32	
4. Total funds available		43,428.97		-		43,428.97	
5. Expenditures (Section 1)		21,723.63		-		21,723.63	
6. Balance, December 31, 2006	\$	21,705.34	\$	-	\$	21,705.34	

# BOROUGH OF EAST WASHINGTON WASHINGTON COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND 2006 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 3 WITH ADJUSTMENTS

Equipment Balance	Reported		d Adjustments		Adjusted Amount		
1. Prior year equipment balance	\$	7,258.65	\$	-	\$	7,258.65	
<ul><li>2. Add: Current year equipment allocation (20% of Lines 2 + 2a, Section 2)</li></ul>	1	7,005.51		-		7,005.51	
3. PENNDOT approved adjustments							
4. Total funds available for equipment acquisition		14,264.16		-		14,264.16	
5. Less: Major equipment expenditures							
6. Remainder		14,264.16		-		14,264.16	
<ol> <li>Equipment balance available for subsequent year (Lesser of Line 6 or Section 2 balance, but not less than zero)</li> </ol>	\$	14,264.16	\$	_	\$	14,264.16	
	¥	,_00	Ŷ		*	,_ 0 10	

# BOROUGH OF EAST WASHINGTON WASHINGTON COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND 2007 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 1 WITH ADJUSTMENTS

Expenditure Summary	 Reported		Adjustments (Note 4)		Adjusted Amount	
Major equipment purchases	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	
Minor equipment purchases	-		-		-	
Computer/Computer related training	-		-		-	
Agility projects	-		-		-	
Cleaning streets and gutters	-		-		-	
Winter maintenance services	4,044.08		-		4,044.08	
Traffic control devices	989.39		-		989.39	
Street lighting	16,687.40		-		16,687.40	
Storm sewers and drains	-		-		-	
Repairs of tools and machinery	1,188.84		-		1,188.84	
Maintenance and repair of	15 000 00					
roads and bridges	15,000.00		(15,000.00)		-	
Highway construction and						
rebuilding projects	-		15,000.00		15,000.00	
Miscellaneous	 -				-	
Total (To Section 2, Line 5)	\$ 37,909.71	\$		\$	37,909.71	

# BOROUGH OF EAST WASHINGTON WASHINGTON COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND 2007 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 2 WITH ADJUSTMENTS

Fund Balance	Reported		Reported Adjustments		Adjusted Amount		
1. Balance, January 1, 2007	\$	21,705.34	\$	-	\$	21,705.34	
Receipts: 2. State allocation 2a. Turnback allocation 2b. Interest on investments (Note 3) 2c. Miscellaneous		36,186.53 - 1,524.49 -		- - -		36,186.53 - 1,524.49 -	
3. Total receipts		37,711.02		_		37,711.02	
4. Total funds available		59,416.36				59,416.36	
5. Expenditures (Section 1)		37,909.71		-		37,909.71	
6. Balance, December 31, 2007	\$	21,506.65	\$	_	\$	21,506.65	

# BOROUGH OF EAST WASHINGTON WASHINGTON COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND 2007 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 3 WITH ADJUSTMENTS

Equipment Balance	]	Reported	Adj	ustments		Adjusted Amount
1. Prior year equipment balance	\$	14,264.16	\$	-	\$	14,264.16
<ol> <li>Add: Current year equipment allocation (20% of Lines 2 + 2a, Section 2)</li> </ol>	1	7,237.31		-		7,237.31
3. PENNDOT approved adjustments						_
4. Total funds available for equipment acquisition		21,501.47		-		21,501.47
5. Less: Major equipment expenditures		-		_		
6. Remainder		21,501.47				21,501.47
<ol> <li>Equipment balance available for subsequent year (Lesser of Line 6 or Section 2 balance, but not less than zero)</li> </ol>	¢	21,501.47	¢		¢	21,501.47
out not less than zero)	Φ	21,301.47	Φ	_	φ	21,301.47

# 1. <u>Criteria</u>

### Section 1

This section of Form MS-965 With Adjustments provides a summary of Liquid Fuels Tax Fund expenditures by category. Categories requiring explanation include:

- Major equipment purchases are purchases of road machinery and road equipment that cost in excess of \$4,000.00.
- Minor equipment purchases are purchases of road machinery and road equipment that cost \$4,000.00 or less.
- Agility projects are exchanges of services with the Department of Transportation.

# Section 2

This section of Form MS-965 With Adjustments provides information on the fund balance. Categories requiring explanation include:

- The state allocation is generally received from the Department of Transportation during the first week in April of each year. The amount the municipality receives is based half on its population and half on its road mileage.
- The turnback allocation is generally received from the Department of Transportation during the first week in April of each year. A municipality receives a yearly turnback allocation based on road mileage for all roads that were transferred to the municipality from the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania through the Highway Transfer Program.
- Expenditures include the total transferred from Section 1.

# Section 3

This section of Form MS-965 With Adjustments determines if the municipality expended Liquid Fuels Tax Fund money in excess of the permissible amount for equipment and the balance that the municipality may carry forward for the purchase of equipment to the subsequent year.

### 1. <u>Criteria (Continued)</u>

### Section 3 (Continued)

Department of Transportation *Publication 9* requires that the amount expended for equipment purchases in a given year not exceed the sum of the equipment balance carried forward from the previous year and 20 percent of the current year's Liquid Fuels Tax Fund allocation and, if applicable, 20 percent of the turnback allocation plus other Department of Transportation approved adjustments.

If the municipality spent in excess of the amount listed on Line 4, the excess must be reimbursed to the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund.

The equipment balance to be carried forward for the subsequent year is the lesser of the amount on Line 6 or the ending fund balance on Line 6 of Section 2, but not less than zero.

### **Basis Of Presentation**

The financial activities of the municipality are accounted for in separate funds. The Liquid Fuels Tax Fund is used to account for state aid revenues from the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation used primarily for building and improving local roads and bridges. The Forms MS-965 have been prepared in accordance with reporting requirements prescribed by the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation as a result of the Fuels Tax Act 655, dated 1956 and as amended, which does not constitute a complete presentation of the entity's assets, liabilities, expenses, and fund balance. Accordingly, the presentation of Forms MS-965 With Adjustments is restricted to the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund, which represents a segment of the entity.

#### **Basis Of Accounting**

The accompanying Forms MS-965 With Adjustments are prepared in accordance with reporting requirements prescribed by the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation. Under this method, revenues are recognized when received and expenditures are recorded when paid.

### 1. <u>Criteria (Continued)</u>

### General Fixed Assets

General fixed assets are recognized as expenditures at the time of purchase. No depreciation has been provided on the heavy equipment used to maintain and repair roads and bridges.

### 2. <u>Deposits</u>

*The Borough Code*, Title 53 P.S § 46316, authorizes the borough to deposit its funds in the following:

- Deposits in savings accounts or time deposits, other than certificates of deposit or share accounts of institutions having their principal place of business in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) or other like insurance. For any amount above the insured maximum, the depository shall pledge approved collateral.
- Certificates of deposit purchased from institutions insured by the FDIC or other like insurance to the extent that such accounts are so insured. For any amounts in excess of the insured maximum, such deposits shall be collateralized by a pledge or assignment of assets. Certificates of deposit may not exceed 20 percent of a bank's total capital surplus or 20 percent of a savings and loan's or savings bank's assets minus liabilities.

Deposits consist of receipts and deposits in a financial institution. Pennsylvania statutes require all deposits to be insured and, for any amount above the insured maximum, to be secured with approved collateral as defined.

There were no deposits exposed to custodial credit risk as of December 31, 2007. Custodial credit risk, as defined by GASB No. 40, includes deposits that are not covered by depository insurance and the deposits are uncollateralized, collateralized with securities held by the pledging financial institution, or collateralized with securities held by the pledging financial institution's trust department or agent but not in the municipality's name.

### 2. <u>Deposits (Continued)</u>

### Fund Balance

The fund balance as of December 31, 2007 consists of the following:

Cash

\$21,506.65

# 3. Interest On Investments

Our examination disclosed that the municipality deposited idle liquid fuels tax money in an interest-bearing account which earned \$1,142.79 during 2006, and \$1,524.49 during 2007, thus providing additional funds for road maintenance and repairs.

### 4. Adjustments

2007 - Section 1

Adjustments were made to "Maintenance and repair of roads and bridges" and "Highway construction and rebuilding projects" because expenditures of \$15,000.00 were misclassified.

### Finding No. 1 - Failure To Obtain Project Approval

Our examination disclosed that in 2007 the municipality expended \$5,400.00 for road milling and \$9,600.00 for paving in excess of one inch without obtaining the approval of the Department of Transportation. Before this work was begun the municipality should have filed an application with the Department of Transportation for the project. The municipality did not file an application with the Department of Transportation for the project and also failed to submit specifications for approval.

The Department of Transportation's *Publication 9* contains the policies and procedures for the administration of the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund. *Publication 9*, Chapter Two, Section 2.7.2, states that nonpermissible expenditures include "Construction and reconstruction projects without prior PENNDOT approval."

Additionally, Publication 9, Chapter Two, Section 2.8, states, in part:

The following criteria is used to determine when a project is required for work on local roads utilizing Liquid Fuels Tax Funds, when construction, reconstruction and/or resurfacing work exceeds one inch or more in depth as well as other activities.

Bituminous Surface:	One inch thickness or greater
Other:	Reconstruction, such as removal and replacement of
	one inch or more of existing road metal, including
	base and surface. This would include cold-mill
	recycling and reclamation work.

The failure to comply with the Department of Transportation's *Publication 9* could result in the municipality having to reimburse \$15,000.00 to its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund.

#### Recommendations

We recommend that the municipality reimburse \$15,000.00 to its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund upon official notification by the Department of Transportation.

We further recommend that before the municipality spends money on a project, it applies for and obtains prior approval of the project, and when the project is completed it obtains approval of the completed work.

Finding No. 1 - Failure To Obtain Project Approval (Continued)

Management's Response

The municipal officials offered no formal response at this time.

#### Finding No. 2 - Related Party Transactions

Our examination disclosed that the borough expended \$3,675.19 from the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund to a company during 2006 for vehicle repair and roadside cleanup services. A borough councilman is vice-president of the company that provided these services and his parents own the company. Due to the borough councilman's financial interest in the company and the lack of an open and public award process, these transactions appear to violate *The Borough Code* and The Public Official and Employee Ethics Act. Therefore, they should not have been entered into by the borough.

The Borough Code, 53 P.S. § 46404, as amended, states, in part:

... no borough official, either elected or appointed, or township employee who knows, or who by the exercise of reasonable diligence could know, shall be interested to any appreciable degree, either directly or indirectly, in any purchase made or contract entered into or expenditure of money made by the borough or relating to the business of the borough, involving the expenditure by the borough of more than one thousand dollars (\$1000.00) in any calendar year, but this limitation shall not apply to cases where such officer or appointee of the borough is an employee of the person, firm or corporation to which the money is to be paid in a capacity with no possible influence on the transaction and in which he cannot be possibly benefited thereby either financially or otherwise. But in the case of a councilman or mayor, if he knows that he is within the exception just mentioned he shall so inform council and shall refrain from voting on the expenditure or any ordinance relating thereto, and shall in no manner participate therein....

This information is also published in § 1404 of *The Borough Code* as published by the Local Government Commission.

#### Finding No. 2 - Related Party Transactions (Continued)

The Public Official and Employee Ethics Act ("Ethics Act"), 65 P.S. 1103(f), states, in part:

No public official or public employee or his spouse or child or any business in which the person or his spouse or child is associated shall enter into any contract valued at \$500 or more with the governmental body with which the public official or public employee is associated or any subcontract valued at \$500 or more with any person who has been awarded a contract with the governmental body with which the public official or public employee is associated, unless the contract has been awarded through an open and public process, including prior public notice and subsequent public disclosure of all proposals considered and contracts awarded. In such a case, the public official or public employee shall not have any supervisory or overall responsibility for the implementation or administration of the contract.

Because these transactions violate *The Borough Code* and the Ethics Act, the borough could be required by the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation to reimburse \$3,675.19 to its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund.

Copies of this finding will be forwarded to the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation and the State Ethics Commission for their review and whatever action they may deem appropriate.

#### Recommendations

We recommend that the borough reimburse \$3,675.19 to its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund upon official notification by the Department of Transportation.

We further recommend that, in the future, the borough comply with *The Borough Code* and the Ethics Act as amended.

### Management's Response

The municipal officials offered no formal response at this time.

# BOROUGH OF EAST WASHINGTON WASHINGTON COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND SUMMARY OF EXIT CONFERENCE FOR THE TWO YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2007

An exit conference was held February 10, 2009. Those participating were:

# BOROUGH OF EAST WASHINGTON

Mrs. Heather Walsh, Secretary

# DEPARTMENT OF THE AUDITOR GENERAL

Mr. Paul Palombo, Auditor

The results of the examination were presented and discussed in their entirety.



### BOROUGH OF EAST WASHINGTON WASHINGTON COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND REPORT DISTRIBUTION FOR THE TWO YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2007

This report was initially distributed to:

The Honorable Allen D. Biehler, P.E. Secretary Department of Transportation

> Borough of East Washington Washington County 15 Thayer Street Washington, PA 15301

The Honorable Blake E. McCandless	President of Council
Mr. John McCoy	Treasurer
Mrs. Heather Walsh	Secretary

This report is a matter of public record. Copies of this report may be obtained from the Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General, Office of Communications, 318 Finance Building, Harrisburg, PA 17120. To view this report online or to contact the Department of the Auditor General, please access our web site at www.auditorgen.state.pa.us.