

### TOWNSHIP OF WASHINGTON FAYETTE COUNTY 26-223

LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND EXAMINATION REPORT

FOR THE PERIOD
JANUARY 1, 2009 TO DECEMBER 31, 2011

Released March 2013

### **COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA**

**EUGENE A. DEPASQUALE - AUDITOR GENERAL** 

**DEPARTMENT OF THE AUDITOR GENERAL** 





### TOWNSHIP OF WASHINGTON FAYETTE COUNTY 26-223

LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND EXAMINATION REPORT

FOR THE PERIOD JANUARY 1, 2009 TO DECEMBER 31, 2011

### **CONTENTS**

Page	<u> </u>
Background	
Independent Auditor's Report	
Financial Section:	
2009 Form MS-965 With Adjustments	
2010 Form MS-965 With Adjustments	
2011 Form MS-965 With Adjustments	
Notes To Forms MS-965 With Adjustments	
Findings And Recommendations:	
Finding No. 1 - Failure To Properly Prepare Forms MS-965	
Finding No. 2 - Overexpended Equipment Purchase Tally	
Summary Of Exit Conference	
Report Distribution	

# TOWNSHIP OF WASHINGTON FAYETTE COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND BACKGROUND FOR THE PERIOD JANUARY 1, 2009 TO DECEMBER 31, 2011

### **Background**

The Liquid Fuels Tax Municipal Allocation Law, Title 72 P.S. § 2615.5, provides municipalities other than counties (townships, boroughs, cities, towns, home rule, and optional plan governments) with an annual allocation of liquid fuels taxes from the state's Motor License Fund to be used for the maintenance and repair of streets, roads, and bridges for which the municipality is responsible. The allocation of these funds to municipalities is based: (1) 50 percent on the municipality's proportion of local road mileage to the total local road mileage in the state, and (2) 50 percent on the proportion of a municipality's population to the total population in the state.

The Vehicle Code, Title 75 P.S. § 9511, provides municipalities with annual maintenance payments to be received from the Motor License Fund for functionally local highways that were transferred to a municipality from the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

Each municipality must deposit the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund money and annual maintenance payments that it receives into a special fund called the Municipal Liquid Fuels Tax Fund or State Fund. A municipality may not deposit any other monies into this fund except when the municipality does not have enough money in the special fund to meet the payments called for by its current annual budget for road and bridge purposes. In this case, the municipality may borrow money or transfer money from its General Fund to its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund.

The Department of Transportation has been given the regulatory authority for the administration of these funds. To qualify for its annual allocation, each municipality shall submit the following documents and information to the Department of Transportation:

- 1. Evidence that the treasurer is bonded in accordance with the law, or that its treasurer is a bank requiring no bond by January 31 of each year.
- 2. A report indicating the manner in which its liquid fuels tax allocation was expended in the previous year (Form MS-965) by January 31 of each year.
- 3. A report of elected and appointed officials by January 31 of each year.
- 4. A Survey of Financial Condition by March 15 of each year.

Department of Transportation *Publication 9* contains the policies and procedures that govern the use of Liquid Fuels Tax Fund money. However, if there is a difference between *Publication 9* and any legislation, the legislation shall govern.





### Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General Harrisburg, PA 17120-0018 Facebook: Pennsylvania Auditor General Twitter: @PAAuditorGen

EUGENE A. DEPASQUALE AUDITOR GENERAL

### **Independent Auditor's Report**

The Honorable Barry J. Schoch, P.E. Secretary
Department of Transportation
Harrisburg, PA 17120

We examined the accompanying Forms MS-965 With Adjustments for the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund of the Township of Washington, Fayette County, for the period January 1, 2009 to December 31, 2011. The municipality's management is responsible for the Forms MS-965. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Forms MS-965 With Adjustments based on our examination.

Our examination was conducted in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants and the standards applicable to attestation engagements contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. An examination includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the Township of Washington, Fayette County's Forms MS-965 for the period January 1, 2009 to December 31, 2011 and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our examination provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

We are mandated by Section 403 of *The Fiscal Code*, 72 P.S. § 403, to audit each municipality's Liquid Fuels Tax Fund to ensure that funds received are expended in accordance with applicable laws and regulations. *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States include attestation engagements as a separate type of audit. An attestation engagement performed pursuant to *Government Auditing Standards* involves additional standards that exceed the standards provided by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. Accordingly, this attestation engagement complies with both *Government Auditing Standards* and Section 403 of *The Fiscal Code*.

As described in Note 4, the adjustments included on the Forms MS-965 With Adjustments are made by the Department of the Auditor General.

As discussed in Finding No. 2, the municipality expended \$17,950.35 during 2010 and \$3,755.84 during 2011 in excess of the approved amount for equipment. The municipality reimbursed \$19,129.26 to its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund on February 7, 2012, which was subsequent to our examination period.

### **Independent Auditor's Report (Continued)**

In our opinion, except for the matter discussed in the preceding paragraph, the Forms MS-965 With Adjustments present, in all material respects, the information required by the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation for the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund of the Township of Washington, Fayette County, for the period January 1, 2009 to December 31, 2011, in conformity with the criteria set forth in Note 1.

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we are required to report findings of significant deficiencies in internal control, violations of provisions of contracts or grant agreements, and abuse that are material to the Forms MS-965 and any fraud and illegal acts that are more than inconsequential that come to our attention during our examination. We are also required to obtain the views of management on those matters. We performed our examination to express an opinion on whether the Forms MS-965 are presented in accordance with the criteria described above and not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the internal control over reporting on the Forms MS-965 or on compliance and other matters; accordingly, we express no such opinions.

A control deficiency exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent or detect misstatements on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a control deficiency, or combination of control deficiencies, that adversely affects the Township of Washington, Fayette County's ability to initiate, authorize, record, process, or report data reliably in accordance with the applicable criteria such that there is more than a remote likelihood that a misstatement of the Township of Washington, Fayette County's Forms MS-965 that is more than inconsequential will not be prevented or detected by the Township of Washington, Fayette County's internal control. We consider the deficiency described in the finding below to be a significant deficiency in internal control over reporting on the Forms MS-965:

• Failure To Properly Prepare Forms MS-965.

### **Independent Auditor's Report (Continued)**

A material weakness is a significant deficiency or combination of significant deficiencies that results in more than a remote likelihood that a material misstatement of the Forms MS-965 will not be prevented or detected by the Township of Washington, Fayette County's internal control. Our consideration of the internal control over reporting on the Forms MS-965 would not necessarily disclose all matters in the internal control that might be significant deficiencies and, accordingly, would not necessarily disclose all significant deficiencies that are also considered to be material weaknesses. We consider the significant deficiency described above to be a material weakness.

The results of our tests disclosed the following instance of noncompliance that is required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*:

• Overexpended Equipment Purchase Tally.

We are concerned in light of the municipality's failure to correct a previously reported finding regarding the failure to properly prepare its Form MS-965. During our current examination the municipality failed to properly prepare its Forms MS-965 and overexpended its equipment purchase tally. The municipality should strive to implement the recommendations and corrective actions noted in this report.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation and the management of the Township of Washington, Fayette County, and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

July 16, 2012

EUGENE A. DEPASQUALE

Eugent O-Purper

Auditor General



### TOWNSHIP OF WASHINGTON FAYETTE COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND 2009 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 1 WITH ADJUSTMENTS

Expenditure Summary	Reported		Reported Adjustments		Adjusted Amount	
Major equipment purchases	\$	26,271.53	\$	_	\$	26,271.53
Minor equipment purchases	Ψ	-	Ψ	_	Ψ	-
Computer/Computer related training		_		_		_
Agility projects		_		_		_
Cleaning streets and gutters		_		_		_
Winter maintenance services		27,445.32		_		27,445.32
Traffic control devices		-		_		_
Street lighting		-		_		_
Storm sewers and drains		-		_		_
Repairs of tools and machinery		-		-		_
Maintenance and repair of						
roads and bridges		-		-		_
Highway construction and						
rebuilding projects		25,106.97		-		25,106.97
Miscellaneous						<u>-</u>
Total (To Section 2, Line 5)	\$	78,823.82	\$	-	\$	78,823.82

### TOWNSHIP OF WASHINGTON FAYETTE COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND 2009 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 2 WITH ADJUSTMENTS

Fund Balance	Reported		Reported Adjustments (Note 4)		Adjusted Amount	
1. Balance, January 1, 2009	\$	165,270.28	\$	-	\$	165,270.28
Receipts:						
2. State allocation		103,430.74		-		103,430.74
2a. Turnback allocation		19,520.00		-		19,520.00
2b. Interest on investments (Note 3)		2,573.59		9.87		2,583.46
2c. Miscellaneous (Note 5)		8,445.62		2.81		8,448.43
3. Total receipts		133,969.95		12.68		133,982.63
4. Total funds available		299,240.23		12.68		299,252.91
5. Expenditures (Section 1)		78,823.82				78,823.82
6. Balance, December 31, 2009	\$	220,416.41	\$	12.68	\$	220,429.09

### TOWNSHIP OF WASHINGTON FAYETTE COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND 2009 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 3 WITH ADJUSTMENTS

Equipment Balance	Reported		Reported		Reported		Reported		Adjustments (Note 4)		Adjusted Amount	
1. Prior year equipment balance	\$	24,537.00	\$	(2,576.93)	\$	21,960.07						
2. Add: Current year equipment allocation (20% of Lines 2 + 2a, Section 2)	1	24,590.15		-		24,590.15						
3. PENNDOT approved adjustments												
4. Total funds available for equipment acquisition		49,127.15		(2,576.93)		46,550.22						
5. Less: Major equipment expenditures		26,271.53				26,271.53						
6. Remainder		22,855.62		(2,576.93)		20,278.69						
7. Equipment balance available for subsequent year (Lesser of Line 6 or Section 2 balance, but not less than zero)	\$	22,855.62	\$	(2,576.93)	\$	20,278.69						

Notes To Forms MS-965 With Adjustments are an integral part of this report.

### TOWNSHIP OF WASHINGTON FAYETTE COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND 2010 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 1 WITH ADJUSTMENTS

Expenditure Summary	Reported		Adjustments (Note 4)		Adjusted Amount	
Major equipment purchases	\$	32,000.00	\$	30,000.00	\$	62,000.00
Minor equipment purchases		-		-		, _
Computer/Computer related training		-		-		_
Agility projects		-		-		-
Cleaning streets and gutters		-		-		_
Winter maintenance services		30,607.39		(8,445.62)		22,161.77
Traffic control devices		-		-		-
Street lighting		-		-		-
Storm sewers and drains		-		-		-
Repairs of tools and machinery		-		-		-
Maintenance and repair of						
roads and bridges		-		-		-
Highway construction and						
rebuilding projects		-		-		-
Miscellaneous (Note 6)		_		8,445.62		8,445.62
Total (To Section 2, Line 5)	\$	62,607.39	\$	30,000.00	\$	92,607.39

### TOWNSHIP OF WASHINGTON FAYETTE COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND 2010 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 2 WITH ADJUSTMENTS

Fund Balance	Reported		Adjustments (Note 4)		Adjusted Amount	
1. Balance, January 1, 2010	\$ 220,416.41		\$	12.68	\$	220,429.09
Receipts:						
2. State allocation		99,334.80		-		99,334.80
2a. Turnback allocation		19,520.00		-		19,520.00
2b. Interest on investments (Note 3)		4,686.24		(2,222.15)		2,464.09
2c. Miscellaneous (Note 5)				3,735.68		3,735.68
3. Total receipts		123,541.04		1,513.53		125,054.57
4. Total funds available		343,957.45		1,526.21		345,483.66
5. Expenditures (Section 1)		62,607.39		30,000.00		92,607.39
6. Balance, December 31, 2010	\$	281,350.06	\$	(28,473.79)	\$	252,876.27

### TOWNSHIP OF WASHINGTON FAYETTE COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND 2010 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 3 WITH ADJUSTMENTS

Equipment Balance	Reported		Adjustments Reported (Note 4)		Adjusted Amount
1. Prior year equipment balance	\$	20,278.69	\$	-	\$ 20,278.69
2. Add: Current year equipment allocation (20% of Lines 2 + 2a, Section 2)	1	23,770.96		-	23,770.96
3. PENNDOT approved adjustments		<u>-</u>		<u>-</u>	
4. Total funds available for equipment acquisition		44,049.65		-	44,049.65
5. Less: Major equipment expenditures		32,000.00		30,000.00	62,000.00
6. Remainder		12,049.65		(30,000.00)	 (17,950.35)
7. Equipment balance available for subsequent year (Lesser of Line 6 or Section 2 balance, but not less than zero)	\$	12,049.65	\$	(12,049.65)	\$ <u>-</u>

Notes To Forms MS-965 With Adjustments are an integral part of this report.

### TOWNSHIP OF WASHINGTON FAYETTE COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND 2011 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 1 WITH ADJUSTMENTS

Expenditure Summary	Reported		Adjustments		Adjusted Amount	
Major equipment purchases	\$	60,000.00	\$	-	\$	60,000.00
Minor equipment purchases		-		-		-
Computer/Computer related training		_		-		-
Agility projects		-		-		-
Cleaning streets and gutters		_		-		-
Winter maintenance services		34,871.36		-		34,871.36
Traffic control devices		-		-		-
Street lighting		-		-		-
Storm sewers and drains		-		-		-
Repairs of tools and machinery		-		-		-
Maintenance and repair of						
roads and bridges		11,585.99		-		11,585.99
Highway construction and						
rebuilding projects		-		-		-
Miscellaneous		-		-		_
Total (To Section 2, Line 5)	\$	106,457.35	\$		\$	106,457.35

### TOWNSHIP OF WASHINGTON FAYETTE COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND 2011 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 2 WITH ADJUSTMENTS

Fund Balance	Reported		Reported Adjustments (Note 4)		Adjusted Amount	
1. Balance, January 1, 2011	\$	252,876.27	\$	-	\$	252,876.27
Receipts:						
2. State allocation		101,700.82		_		101,700.82
2a. Turnback allocation		19,520.00		-		19,520.00
2b. Interest on investments (Note 3)		1,086.79		1,062.38		2,149.17
2c. Miscellaneous (Note 5)		32,000.00		_	_	32,000.00
3. Total receipts		154,307.61		1,062.38		155,369.99
4. Total funds available		407,183.88		1,062.38		408,246.26
5. Expenditures (Section 1)		106,457.35				106,457.35
6. Balance, December 31, 2011	\$	300,726.53	\$	1,062.38	\$	301,788.91

### TOWNSHIP OF WASHINGTON FAYETTE COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND 2011 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 3 WITH ADJUSTMENTS

Equipment Balance		Reported	 Adjustments (Note 4)	Adjusted Amount
1. Prior year equipment balance	\$	14,626.58	\$ (14,626.58)	\$ -
2. Add: Current year equipment allocation (20% of Lines 2 + 2a, Section 2)	1	24,244.16	-	24,244.16
3. PENNDOT approved adjustments		21,129.26	10,870.74	32,000.00
4. Total funds available for equipment acquisition		60,000.00	(3,755.84)	56,244.16
5. Less: Major equipment expenditures		60,000.00		60,000.00
6. Remainder			 (3,755.84)	 (3,755.84)
7. Equipment balance available for subsequent year (Lesser of Line 6 or Section 2 balance, but not less than zero)	\$		\$ <u>-</u>	\$ <u>-</u>

Notes To Forms MS-965 With Adjustments are an integral part of this report.

### 1. Criteria

### Section 1

This section of Form MS-965 With Adjustments provides a summary of Liquid Fuels Tax Fund expenditures by category. Categories requiring explanation include:

- Major equipment purchases are purchases of road machinery and road equipment that cost in excess of \$4,000.00.
- Minor equipment purchases are purchases of road machinery and road equipment that cost \$4,000.00 or less.
- Agility projects are exchanges of services with the Department of Transportation.

### Section 2

This section of Form MS-965 With Adjustments provides information on the fund balance. Categories requiring explanation include:

- The state allocation is generally received from the Department of Transportation during the first week in April of each year. The amount the municipality receives is based half on its population and half on its road mileage.
- The turnback allocation is generally received from the Department of Transportation during the first week in April of each year. A municipality receives a yearly turnback allocation based on road mileage for all roads that were transferred to the municipality from the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania through the Highway Transfer Program.
- Expenditures include the total transferred from Section 1.

### Section 3

This section of Form MS-965 With Adjustments determines if the municipality expended Liquid Fuels Tax Fund money in excess of the permissible amount for equipment and the balance that the municipality may carry forward for the purchase of equipment to the subsequent year.

### 1. <u>Criteria (Continued)</u>

### Section 3 (Continued)

Department of Transportation *Publication 9* requires that the amount expended for equipment purchases in a given year not exceed the sum of the equipment balance carried forward from the previous year and 20 percent of the current year's Liquid Fuels Tax Fund allocation and, if applicable, 20 percent of the turnback allocation plus other Department of Transportation approved adjustments.

If the municipality spent in excess of the amount listed on Line 4, the excess must be reimbursed to the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund.

The equipment balance to be carried forward for the subsequent year is the lesser of the amount on Line 6 or the ending fund balance on Line 6 of Section 2, but not less than zero.

### **Basis Of Presentation**

The financial activities of the municipality are accounted for in separate funds. The Liquid Fuels Tax Fund is used to account for state aid revenues from the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation used primarily for building and improving local roads and bridges. The Forms MS-965 have been prepared in accordance with reporting requirements prescribed by the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation as a result of the Fuels Tax Act 655, dated 1956 and as amended, which does not constitute a complete presentation of the entity's assets, liabilities, expenses, and fund balance. Accordingly, the presentation of Forms MS-965 With Adjustments is restricted to the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund, which represents a segment of the entity.

### **Basis Of Accounting**

The accompanying Forms MS-965 With Adjustments are prepared in accordance with reporting requirements prescribed by the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation. Under this method, revenues are recognized when received and expenditures are recorded when paid.

### 1. Criteria (Continued)

### **General Fixed Assets**

General fixed assets are recognized as expenditures at the time of purchase. No depreciation has been provided on the heavy equipment used to maintain and repair roads and bridges.

### 2. Deposits

The Second Class Township Code, Title 53 P.S. § 68204, authorizes the township to deposit its funds in the following:

- Deposits in savings accounts or time deposits, other than certificates of deposit or share accounts of institutions having their principal place of business in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) or other like insurance. For any amount above the insured maximum, the depository shall pledge approved collateral.
- Certificates of deposit purchased from institutions insured by the FDIC or other like insurance to the extent that such accounts are so insured. For any amounts in excess of the insured maximum, such deposits shall be collateralized by a pledge or assignment of assets. Certificates of deposit may not exceed 20 percent of a bank's total capital surplus or 20 percent of a savings and loan's or savings bank's assets minus liabilities.

Deposits consist of receipts and deposits in financial institutions. Pennsylvania statutes require all deposits to be insured and, for any amount above the insured maximum, to be secured with approved collateral as defined.

There were no deposits exposed to custodial credit risk as of December 31, 2011. Custodial credit risk, as defined by GASB No. 40, includes deposits that are not covered by depository insurance and the deposits are uncollateralized, collateralized with securities held by the pledging financial institution, or collateralized with securities held by the pledging financial institution's trust department or agent but not in the municipality's name.

### 2. <u>Deposits (Continued)</u>

### Fund Balance

The fund balance as of December 31, 2011 consists of the following:

Cash	\$100,542.29
Certificates of deposit	201,246.62
Total	\$301,788.91

### 3. Interest On Investments

Our examination disclosed that the municipality deposited idle liquid fuels tax money in interest-bearing accounts and certificates of deposit which earned \$2,583.46 during 2009, \$2,464.09 during 2010, and \$2,149.17 during 2011, thus providing additional funds for road maintenance and repairs.

### 4. Adjustments

### 2009 - Section 2

An adjustment of \$9.87 was made to "Interest on investments" because interest earnings were understated.

An adjustment of \$2.81 was made to "Miscellaneous" because a grant from the General Fund of \$12.68 was not reported and these receipts were overstated by \$9.87.

### 2009 - Section 3

An adjustment of \$(2,576.93) was made to "Prior year equipment balance" because of a prior report adjustment that was not carried forward in the equipment balance.

### TOWNSHIP OF WASHINGTON FAYETTE COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND NOTES TO FORMS MS-965 WITH ADJUSTMENTS

### FOR THE PERIOD

### JANUARY 1, 2009 TO DECEMBER 31, 2011

### 4. Adjustments (Continued)

### 2010 - Section 1

An adjustment of \$30,000.00 was made to "Major equipment purchases" because check No. 113899 was not reported.

Adjustments were made to "Winter maintenance services" and "Miscellaneous" because expenditures of \$8,445.62 were misclassified.

### <u>2010 - Section 2</u>

An adjustment of \$12.68 was made to "Balance, January 1, 2010" to reflect the adjustment made to the fund balance in 2009 - Section 2.

An adjustment of \$(2,222.15) was made to "Interest on investments" because interest earnings were overstated.

An adjustment of \$3,735.68 was made to "Miscellaneous" because these receipts were not reported.

### 2010 - Section 3

An adjustment of \$30,000.00 was made to "Major equipment expenditures" to reflect the adjustment made to major equipment purchases in 2010 - Section 1.

### 2011 - Section 2

An adjustment of \$1,062.38 was made to "Interest on investments" because interest earnings were understated.

### 2011 - Section 3

An adjustment of \$(14,626.58) was made to "Prior year equipment balance" because an incorrect equipment balance was carried forward.

An adjustment of \$10,870.74 was made to "PENNDOT approved adjustments" because approved adjustments were understated.

### 5. <u>Miscellaneous Receipts</u>

The following miscellaneous receipts were deposited into the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund during the examination period:

Source	Description	2009	2010	2011
Commonwealth of Pennsylvania	Deposit in error (Note 6)	\$8,435.75	\$ -	\$ -
General Fund	Grant	12.68	235.68	-
General Fund	Reimbursement of truck purchase loan	-	-	32,000.00
Fayette County	County Aid		3,500.00	
Totals		\$8,448.43	\$3,735.68	\$32,000.00

### 6. <u>Deposit in Error</u>

On November 19, 2009, the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania electronically deposited \$8,435.75 into the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund in error. On January 20, 2010, the municipality transferred \$8,435.75, plus interest of \$9.87, from its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund to its General Fund to correct the deposit in error.

### 7. Lease-Purchase Agreement

On July 10, 2006, the municipality entered into a lease-purchase agreement with Allegheny Valley Bank to purchase a tractor for \$63,000.00. The agreement was for a term of ten years at an interest rate of 5.00 percent. Principal and interest payments are due annually. Payments for the first three years were scheduled at a discounted amount to assist the municipality with its financial difficulties. Payments for years four through ten remained constant in the amount of \$9,973.31. Prior years' interest payment from the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund was \$1,600.00. Additionally, the municipality paid principal of \$3,646.74 and interest of \$3,953.26 from the General Fund. Because the scheduled payment of \$1,600.00 in 2007 did not cover the interest due of \$3,157.53, the principal balance owed on the loan was increased by \$1,557.53.

During the current examination period the municipality paid principal of \$20,956.05 and interest of \$8,874.39 from the General Fund. The outstanding balance of the lease-purchase agreement as of December 31, 2011 was \$39,954.74, plus interest.

### 8. Lease-Purchase Agreement

On November 20, 2009, the municipality entered into a lease-purchase agreement with Wells Fargo Equipment Finance, Inc to purchase a 2008 Peterbilt dump truck for \$111,494.00. The agreement was for a term of four years at an interest rate of 4.66 percent. Principal and interest payments of \$27,892.60 are due annually.

During the current examination period the municipality paid principal of \$73,282.29 and interest of \$5,989.24 from the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund. These amounts are reflected in major equipment purchases on the 2009, 2010, and 2011 Forms MS-965 - Section 1. Additionally, the municipality paid principal of \$11,984.28 and a documentation fee of \$750.00 from the General Fund. The outstanding balance of the lease-purchase agreement as of December 31, 2011 was \$26,227.43, plus interest.

### 9. <u>Lease-Purchase Agreement</u>

On December 27, 2010, the municipality entered into a lease-purchase agreement with FNB Commercial Leasing to purchase a 2011 International dump truck for \$115,271.00. The agreement was for a term of four years at an interest rate of 4.36 percent. A principal and interest payment of \$42,000.00 is due the first year followed by three annual principal and interest payments of \$26,780.16.

During the current examination period the municipality paid principal of \$55,502.39 and interest of \$3,497.61 from the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund. These amounts are reflected in major equipment purchases on the 2010 and 2011 Forms MS-965 - Section 1. Additionally, the municipality paid principal of \$9,581.20 and interest of \$418.80 from the General Fund. Additionally, on May 9, 2011, the municipality transferred \$32,000.00 from its General Fund to its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund for the reimbursement of a payment made during 2010. The outstanding balance of the lease-purchase agreement as of December 31, 2011 was \$50,187.41, plus interest.

### Finding No. 1 - Failure To Properly Prepare Forms MS-965

Our examination disclosed that there were numerous errors made in the preparation of the municipality's 2009, 2010, and 2011 Forms MS-965. These adjustments, which are disclosed in Note 4, are as follows:

### 2009 - Section 2

- An adjustment of \$9.87 was made to "Interest on investments" because interest earnings were understated.
- An adjustment of \$2.81 was made to "Miscellaneous" because a grant from the General Fund of \$12.68 was not reported and these receipts were overstated by \$9.87.

### 2009 - Section 3

• An adjustment of \$(2,576.93) was made to "Prior year equipment balance" because of a prior report adjustment that was not carried forward in the equipment balance.

### 2010 - Section 1

- An adjustment of \$30,000.00 was made to "Major equipment purchases" because check No. 113899 was not reported.
- Adjustments were made to "Winter maintenance services" and "Miscellaneous" because expenditures of \$8,445.62 were misclassified.

### 2010 - Section 2

- An adjustment of \$12.68 was made to "Balance, January 1, 2010" to reflect the adjustment made to the fund balance in 2009 Section 2.
- An adjustment of \$(2,222.15) was made to "Interest on investments" because interest earnings were overstated.
- An adjustment of \$3,735.68 was made to "Miscellaneous" because these receipts were not reported.

### Finding No. 1 - Failure To Properly Prepare Forms MS-965 (Continued)

### 2010 - Section 3

• An adjustment of \$30,000.00 was made to "Major equipment expenditures" to reflect the adjustment made to major equipment purchases in 2010 - Section 1.

### 2011 - Section 2

• An adjustment of \$1,062.38 was made to "Interest on investments" because interest earnings were understated.

### 2011 - Section 3

- An adjustment of \$(14,626.58) was made to "Prior year equipment balance" because an incorrect equipment balance was carried forward.
- An adjustment of \$10,870.74 was made to "PENNDOT approved adjustments" because approved adjustments were understated.

Good internal controls ensure that the municipality completes its Forms MS-965 accurately and completely. The failure to properly complete Forms MS-965 increases the risk that errors or misappropriations may occur and remain undetected.

A similar finding was also written in our prior report.

### Recommendation

We again recommend that the municipality ensure that its Forms MS-965 are complete and accurate.

### Management's Response

The municipal officials offered no formal response at this time.

### Auditor's Conclusion

This is a recurring finding. We strongly recommend that the municipality comply with our recommendation.

### Finding No. 2 - Overexpended Equipment Purchase Tally

Our examination disclosed that the municipality expended \$21,706.19 in excess of the amount available for the purchase of equipment during the years ending December 31, 2010 and December 31, 2011, as follows:

<u>2010</u>		Actual	Totals
1.	Prior year equipment balance	\$20,278.69	
2.	Current year equipment allocation (20% of Lines 2 + 2A, Section 2)	23,770.96	
3.	PENNDOT approved adjustments	<u> </u>	
4.	Total funds available for equipment acquisition	44,049.65	
5.	Less: Major equipment purchases	62,000.00	
6.	Amount overexpended for equipment – 2010		\$(17,950.35)
<u>2011</u>			
1.	Prior year equipment balance	-	
2.	Current year equipment allocation (20% of Lines 2 + 2A, Section 2)	24,244.16	
3.	PENNDOT approved adjustments	32,000.00	
4.	Total funds available for equipment acquisition	56,244.16	
5.	Less: Major equipment purchases	60,000.00	
6.	Amount overexpended for equipment – 2011		(3,755.84)
Tw	o Year Total		\$(21,706.19)

The Department of Transportation's, *Publication 9*, Appendix D, Section 449.11, requires that the amount expended for equipment purchases in a given year not exceed the sum of the equipment balance carried forward from the previous year, 20 percent of the current year's Liquid Fuels Tax Fund allocations, and any approved adjustments.

### Finding No. 2 - Overexpended Equipment Purchase Tally (Continued)

The municipality reimbursed \$19,129.26 to its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund on February 7, 2012, which was subsequent to our examination period.

The failure to follow *Publication 9* could result in the municipality having to reimburse \$2,576.93 to its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund.

### Recommendations

We recommend that the municipality reimburse \$2,576.93 to its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund upon official notification by the Department of Transportation.

We further recommend that the municipality only expend up to the approved amount on equipment expenditures in accordance with the Department of Transportation's *Publication 9*.

### Management's Response

The municipal officials offered no formal response at this time.

# TOWNSHIP OF WASHINGTON FAYETTE COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND SUMMARY OF EXIT CONFERENCE FOR THE PERIOD JANUARY 1, 2009 TO DECEMBER 31, 2011

An exit conference was held July 16, 2012. Those participating were:

### **TOWNSHIP OF WASHINGTON**

The Honorable Jamie Miller, Supervisor/Roadmaster

Mrs. Amy B. Naulty, Secretary/Treasurer

### DEPARTMENT OF THE AUDITOR GENERAL

Ms. Erica DeStefano, Auditor

The results of the examination were presented and discussed in their entirety.



## TOWNSHIP OF WASHINGTON FAYETTE COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND REPORT DISTRIBUTION FOR THE PERIOD JANUARY 1, 2009 TO DECEMBER 31, 2011

This report was initially distributed to:

The Honorable Barry J. Schoch, P.E. Secretary
Department of Transportation

Township of Washington Fayette County 1390 Fayette Avenue Belle Vernon, PA 15012

The Honorable Charles E. Yusko Chairman of the Board of Supervisors

The Honorable Jamie Miller Supervisor/Roadmaster

Mrs. Amy B. Naulty Secretary/Treasurer

This report is a matter of public record. Copies of this report may be obtained from the Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General, Office of Communications, 318 Finance Building, Harrisburg, PA 17120. To view this report online or to contact the Department of the Auditor General, please access our web site at www.auditorgen.state.pa.us.