



Released March 2013

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA

EUGENE A. DEPASQUALE - AUDITOR GENERAL

DEPARTMENT OF THE AUDITOR GENERAL





TOWNSHIP OF CARBON HUNTINGDON COUNTY 31-203

LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND EXAMINATION REPORT

FOR THE PERIOD JANUARY 1, 2009 TO DECEMBER 31, 2010

CONTENTS

<u>Page</u>

Background1
Independent Auditor's Report
Financial Section:
2009 Form MS-965 With Adjustments7
2010 Form MS-965 With Adjustments10
Notes To Forms MS-965 With Adjustments13
Findings And Recommendations:
Finding No. 1 - Failure To Properly Prepare Forms MS-96519
Finding No. 2 - Late Receipt Of Allocation
Summary Of Exit Conference
Report Distribution

TOWNSHIP OF CARBON HUNTINGDON COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND BACKGROUND FOR THE PERIOD JANUARY 1, 2009 TO DECEMBER 31, 2010

Background

The Liquid Fuels Tax Municipal Allocation Law, Title 72 P.S. § 2615.5, provides municipalities other than counties (townships, boroughs, cities, towns, home rule, and optional plan governments) with an annual allocation of liquid fuels taxes from the state's Motor License Fund to be used for the maintenance and repair of streets, roads, and bridges for which the municipality is responsible. The allocation of these funds to municipalities is based: (1) 50 percent on the municipality's proportion of local road mileage to the total local road mileage in the state, and (2) 50 percent on the proportion of a municipality's population to the total population in the state.

The Vehicle Code, Title 75 P.S. § 9511, provides municipalities with annual maintenance payments to be received from the Motor License Fund for functionally local highways that were transferred to a municipality from the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

Each municipality must deposit the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund money and annual maintenance payments that it receives into a special fund called the Municipal Liquid Fuels Tax Fund or State Fund. A municipality may not deposit any other monies into this fund except when the municipality does not have enough money in the special fund to meet the payments called for by its current annual budget for road and bridge purposes. In this case, the municipality may borrow money or transfer money from its General Fund to its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund.

The Department of Transportation has been given the regulatory authority for the administration of these funds. To qualify for its annual allocation, each municipality shall submit the following documents and information to the Department of Transportation:

- 1. Evidence that the treasurer is bonded in accordance with the law, or that its treasurer is a bank requiring no bond by January 31 of each year.
- 2. A report indicating the manner in which its liquid fuels tax allocation was expended in the previous year (Form MS-965) by January 31 of each year.
- 3. A report of elected and appointed officials by January 31 of each year.
- 4. A Survey of Financial Condition by March 15 of each year.

Department of Transportation *Publication 9* contains the policies and procedures that govern the use of Liquid Fuels Tax Fund money. However, if there is a difference between *Publication 9* and any legislation, the legislation shall govern.





Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General Harrisburg, PA 17120-0018 Facebook: Pennsylvania Auditor General Twitter: @PAAuditorGen

EUGENE A. DEPASQUALE AUDITOR GENERAL

Independent Auditor's Report

The Honorable Barry J. Schoch, P.E. Secretary Department of Transportation Harrisburg, PA 17120

We examined the accompanying Forms MS-965 With Adjustments for the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund of the Township of Carbon, Huntingdon County, for the period January 1, 2009 to December 31, 2010. The municipality's management is responsible for the Forms MS-965. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Forms MS-965 With Adjustments based on our examination.

Our examination was conducted in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants and the standards applicable to attestation engagements contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. An examination includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the Township of Carbon, Huntingdon County's Forms MS-965 for the period January 1, 2009 to December 31, 2010 and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our examination provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

We are mandated by Section 403 of *The Fiscal Code*, 72 P.S. § 403, to audit each municipality's Liquid Fuels Tax Fund to ensure that funds received are expended in accordance with applicable laws and regulations. *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States include attestation engagements as a separate type of audit. An attestation engagement performed pursuant to *Government Auditing Standards* involves additional standards that exceed the standards provided by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. Accordingly, this attestation engagement complies with both *Government Auditing Standards* and Section 403 of *The Fiscal Code*.

As described in Note 4, the adjustments included on the Forms MS-965 With Adjustments are made by the Department of the Auditor General.

Independent Auditor's Report (Continued)

In our opinion, the Forms MS-965 With Adjustments present, in all material respects, the information required by the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation for the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund of the Township of Carbon, Huntingdon County, for the period January 1, 2009 to December 31, 2010, in conformity with the criteria set forth in Note 1.

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we are required to report findings of significant deficiencies in internal control, violations of provisions of contracts or grant agreements, and abuse that are material to the Forms MS-965 and any fraud and illegal acts that are more than inconsequential that come to our attention during our examination. We are also required to obtain the views of management on those matters. We performed our examination to express an opinion on whether the Forms MS-965 are presented in accordance with the criteria described above and not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the internal control over reporting on the Forms MS-965 or on compliance and other matters; accordingly, we express no such opinions.

A control deficiency exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent or detect misstatements on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a control deficiency, or combination of control deficiencies, that adversely affects the Township of Carbon, Huntingdon County's ability to initiate, authorize, record, process, or report data reliably in accordance with the applicable criteria such that there is more than a remote likelihood that a misstatement of the Township of Carbon, Huntingdon County's Forms MS-965 that is more than inconsequential will not be prevented or detected by the Township of Carbon, Huntingdon County's internal control. We consider the deficiency described in the finding below to be a significant deficiency in internal control over reporting on the Forms MS-965:

• Failure To Properly Prepare Forms MS-965.

Independent Auditor's Report (Continued)

A material weakness is a significant deficiency or combination of significant deficiencies that results in more than a remote likelihood that a material misstatement of the Forms MS-965 will not be prevented or detected by the Township of Carbon, Huntingdon County's internal control. Our consideration of the internal control over reporting on the Forms MS-965 would not necessarily disclose all matters in the internal control that might be significant deficiencies and, accordingly, would not necessarily disclose all significant deficiencies that are also considered to be material weaknesses. We consider the significant deficiency described above to be a material weakness.

The results of our tests disclosed the following instance of noncompliance that is required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards:*

• Late Receipt Of Allocation.

We are concerned in light of the municipality's failure to correct previously reported examination findings regarding a failure to properly prepare Forms MS-965 and a late receipt of allocation. During our current examination, the municipality failed to properly prepare its Forms MS-965 and received its 2010 allocation late. The municipality should strive to implement the recommendations and corrective actions noted in this audit report.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation and the management of the Township of Carbon, Huntingdon County, and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Eugn f. O-Pasyn

January 5, 2012

EUGENE A. DEPASQUALE Auditor General



TOWNSHIP OF CARBON HUNTINGDON COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND 2009 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 1 WITH ADJUSTMENTS

Expenditure Summary	Reported	djustments (Note 4)	Adjusted Amount
Major equipment purchases	\$ -	\$ 4,500.00	\$ 4,500.00
Minor equipment purchases	5,500.00	(4,500.00)	1,000.00
Computer/Computer related training	-	-	-
Agility projects	-	-	-
Cleaning streets and gutters	-	-	-
Winter maintenance services	626.40	-	626.40
Traffic control devices	347.80	-	347.80
Street lighting	-	-	-
Storm sewers and drains	-	-	-
Repairs of tools and machinery	1,403.92	-	1,403.92
Maintenance and repair of			
roads and bridges	4,707.87	(85.74)	4,622.13
Highway construction and			
rebuilding projects	-	-	-
Miscellaneous (Note 5)	 175.00	 1,300.17	1,475.17
Total (To Section 2, Line 5)	\$ 12,760.99	\$ 1,214.43	\$ 13,975.42

TOWNSHIP OF CARBON HUNTINGDON COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND 2009 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 2 WITH ADJUSTMENTS

Fund Balance	Reported		Reported		Adjustmentsted(Note 4)		Adjusted Amount	
1. Balance, January 1, 2009	\$	19,646.88	\$	(11,542.24)	\$	8,104.64		
Receipts:2. State allocations2a. Turnback allocation2b. Interest on investments (Note 3)2c. Miscellaneous (Note 6)		13,375.32 - 44.84 -		13,363.22 - - 1,295.21		26,738.54 - 44.84 1,295.21		
3. Total receipts		13,420.16		14,658.43		28,078.59		
4. Total funds available		33,067.04		3,116.19		36,183.23		
5. Expenditures (Section 1)		12,760.99		1,214.43		13,975.42		
6. Balance, December 31, 2009	\$	20,306.05	\$	1,901.76	\$	22,207.81		

TOWNSHIP OF CARBON HUNTINGDON COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND 2009 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 3 WITH ADJUSTMENTS

Equipment Balance		Reported	djustments (Note 4)		Adjusted Amount
1. Prior year equipment balance	\$	14,554.09	\$ (6,449.45)	\$	8,104.64
 Add: Current year equipment allocation (20% of Lines 2 + 2a, Section 2) 	1	2,675.06	2,672.65		5,347.71
3. PENNDOT approved adjustments			 		
4. Total funds available for equipment acquisition		17,229.15	(3,776.80)		13,452.35
5. Less: Major equipment expenditures			 4,500.00	,	4,500.00
6. Remainder		17,229.15	 (8,276.80)		8,952.35
 Equipment balance available for subsequent year (Lesser of Line 6 or Section 2 balance, but not less than zero) 	\$	17,229.15	\$ (8,276.80)	\$	8,952.35

TOWNSHIP OF CARBON HUNTINGDON COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND 2010 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 1 WITH ADJUSTMENTS

Expenditure Summary	 Reported	v	ustments Note 4)	 Adjusted Amount
Major equipment purchases	\$ -	\$	-	\$ -
Minor equipment purchases	-		-	-
Computer/Computer related training	-		-	-
Agility projects	-		-	-
Cleaning streets and gutters	315.00		-	315.00
Winter maintenance services	883.02		-	883.02
Traffic control devices	1,213.35		-	1,213.35
Street lighting	-		-	-
Storm sewers and drains	-		-	-
Repairs of tools and machinery	1,806.16		-	1,806.16
Maintenance and repair of				
roads and bridges	6,180.45		(23.84)	6,156.61
Highway construction and				
rebuilding projects	-		-	-
Miscellaneous (Bank service charges)	94.50		15.00	 109.50
Total (To Section 2, Line 5)	\$ 10,492.48	\$	(8.84)	\$ 10,483.64

TOWNSHIP OF CARBON HUNTINGDON COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND 2010 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 2 WITH ADJUSTMENTS

Fund Balance	Reported		Adjustments (Note 4)		Adjusted Amount		
1. Balance, January 1, 2010	\$	8,763.81	\$	13,444.00	\$	22,207.81	
Receipts: 2. State allocation 2a. Turnback allocation 2b. Interest on investments (Note 3) 2c. Miscellaneous		12,315.63 - 49.56 -		- (1.46) -		12,315.63 - 48.10	
3. Total receipts		12,365.19		(1.46)		12,363.73	
4. Total funds available		21,129.00		13,442.54		34,571.54	
5. Expenditures (Section 1)		10,492.48		(8.84)		10,483.64	
6. Balance, December 31, 2010	\$	10,636.52	\$	13,451.38	\$	24,087.90	

TOWNSHIP OF CARBON HUNTINGDON COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND 2010 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 3 WITH ADJUSTMENTS

Equipment Balance		Reported		justments Note 4)		Adjusted Amount
1. Prior year equipment balance	\$	8,763.81	\$	188.54	\$	8,952.35
2. Add: Current year equipment allocation (20% of Lines 2 + 2a, Section 2)	1	2,463.13		-		2,463.13
3. PENNDOT approved adjustments				-		
4. Total funds available for equipment acquisition		11,226.94		188.54		11,415.48
5. Less: Major equipment expenditures						-
6. Remainder		11,226.94		188.54		11,415.48
 Function For Subsequent Balance available for subsequent year (Lesser of Line 6 or Section 2 balance, but not less than zero) 	\$	10,636.52	\$	778.96	\$	11,415.48
out not less than zeroj	Ψ	10,050.52	Ψ	110.70	ψ	11,413.40

1. <u>Criteria</u>

Section 1

This section of Form MS-965 With Adjustments provides a summary of Liquid Fuels Tax Fund expenditures by category. Categories requiring explanation include:

- Major equipment purchases are purchases of road machinery and road equipment that cost in excess of \$4,000.00.
- Minor equipment purchases are purchases of road machinery and road equipment that cost \$4,000.00 or less.
- Agility projects are exchanges of services with the Department of Transportation.

Section 2

This section of Form MS-965 With Adjustments provides information on the fund balance. Categories requiring explanation include:

- The state allocation is generally received from the Department of Transportation during the first week in April of each year. The amount the municipality receives is based half on its population and half on its road mileage.
- The turnback allocation is generally received from the Department of Transportation during the first week in April of each year. A municipality receives a yearly turnback allocation based on road mileage for all roads that were transferred to the municipality from the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania through the Highway Transfer Program.
- Expenditures include the total transferred from Section 1.

Section 3

This section of Form MS-965 With Adjustments determines if the municipality expended Liquid Fuels Tax Fund money in excess of the permissible amount for equipment and the balance that the municipality may carry forward for the purchase of equipment to the subsequent year.

1. <u>Criteria (Continued)</u>

Section 3 (Continued)

Department of Transportation *Publication 9* requires that the amount expended for equipment purchases in a given year not exceed the sum of the equipment balance carried forward from the previous year and 20 percent of the current year's Liquid Fuels Tax Fund allocation and, if applicable, 20 percent of the turnback allocation plus other Department of Transportation approved adjustments.

If the municipality spent in excess of the amount listed on Line 4, the excess must be reimbursed to the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund.

The equipment balance to be carried forward for the subsequent year is the lesser of the amount on Line 6 or the ending fund balance on Line 6 of Section 2, but not less than zero.

Basis Of Presentation

The financial activities of the municipality are accounted for in separate funds. The Liquid Fuels Tax Fund is used to account for state aid revenues from the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation used primarily for building and improving local roads and bridges. The Forms MS-965 have been prepared in accordance with reporting requirements prescribed by the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation as a result of the Fuels Tax Act 655, dated 1956 and as amended, which does not constitute a complete presentation of the entity's assets, liabilities, expenses, and fund balance. Accordingly, the presentation of Forms MS-965 With Adjustments is restricted to the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund, which represents a segment of the entity.

Basis Of Accounting

The accompanying Forms MS-965 With Adjustments are prepared in accordance with reporting requirements prescribed by the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation. Under this method, revenues are recognized when received and expenditures are recorded when paid.

1. <u>Criteria (Continued)</u>

General Fixed Assets

General fixed assets are recognized as expenditures at the time of purchase. No depreciation has been provided on the heavy equipment used to maintain and repair roads and bridges.

2. <u>Deposits</u>

The Second Class Township Code, Title 53 P.S. § 68204, authorizes the township to deposit its funds in the following:

- Deposits in savings accounts or time deposits, other than certificates of deposit or share accounts of institutions having their principal place of business in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) or other like insurance. For any amount above the insured maximum, the depository shall pledge approved collateral.
- Certificates of deposit purchased from institutions insured by the FDIC or other like insurance to the extent that such accounts are so insured. For any amounts in excess of the insured maximum, such deposits shall be collateralized by a pledge or assignment of assets. Certificates of deposit may not exceed 20 percent of a bank's total capital surplus or 20 percent of a savings and loan's or savings bank's assets minus liabilities.

Deposits consist of receipts and deposits in a financial institution. Pennsylvania statutes require all deposits to be insured and, for any amount above the insured maximum, to be secured with approved collateral as defined.

There were no deposits exposed to custodial credit risk as of December 31, 2010. Custodial credit risk, as defined by GASB No. 40, includes deposits that are not covered by depository insurance and the deposits are uncollateralized, collateralized with securities held by the pledging financial institution, or collateralized with securities held by the pledging financial institution's trust department or agent but not in the municipality's name.

2. <u>Deposits (Continued)</u>

Fund Balance

The fund balance as of December 31, 2010 consists of the following:

Cash

\$24,087.90

3. Interest On Investments

Our examination disclosed that the municipality deposited idle liquid fuels tax money in interest-bearing accounts which earned \$44.84 during 2009, and \$48.10 during 2010, thus providing additional funds for road maintenance and repairs.

4. <u>Adjustments</u>

2009 - Section 1

Adjustments were made to "Major equipment purchases" and "Minor equipment purchases" because expenditures of \$4,500.00 were misclassified.

An adjustment of \$(85.74) was made to "Maintenance and repair of roads and bridges" because these expenditures were overstated.

An adjustment of \$1,300.17 was made to "Miscellaneous" because a payment in error was not reported (Note 6).

2009 - Section 2

An adjustment of \$(11,542.24) was made to "Balance, January 1, 2009" because of prior report adjustments that were not carried forward in the fund balance.

An adjustment of \$13,363.22 was made to "State allocation" because the 2008 allocation, which was received in 2009, was not reported.

An adjustment of \$1,295.21 was made to "Miscellaneous" because a reimbursement for a payment in error was not reported (Note 6).

4. Adjustments (Continued)

2009 - Section 3

An adjustment of \$(6,449.45) was made to "Prior year equipment balance" because of prior report adjustments that were not carried forward in the equipment balance.

An adjustment of \$2,672.65 was made to "Current year equipment allocation" because the state allocation from 2009 - Section 2, which is used to calculate this figure, was understated.

An adjustment of \$4,500.00 was made to "Major equipment expenditures" to reflect the adjustment made to major equipment purchases in 2009 – Section 1.

2010 - Section 1

An adjustment of \$(23.84) was made to "Maintenance and repair of roads and bridges" because check No. 1029 was voided but was included as an expenditure.

An adjustment of \$15.00 was made to "Miscellaneous" because bank service charges were understated.

2010 - Section 2

An adjustment of \$13,444.00 was made to "Balance, January 1, 2010" because an incorrect fund balance was reported.

An adjustment of (1.46) was made to "Interest on investments" because interest earnings were overstated.

2010 - Section 3

An adjustment of \$188.54 was made to "Prior year equipment balance" because an incorrect equipment balance was carried forward.

5. <u>Miscellaneous Expenditures</u>

The following miscellaneous expenditures were paid from the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund during the examination period:

Payee	Description	2009
Financial institution Internal Revenue Service	Bank service charges Payment in error (Note 6)	\$ 175.00 <u>1,300.17</u>
Totals		<u>\$1,475.17</u>

6. Payment In Error

On March 3, 2009, the municipality expended \$1,300.17 from its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund for federal payroll taxes in error. On April 28, 2009, the municipality deposited \$1,295.21, which was \$4.96 less than the payment in error, into its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund that was received from Internal Revenue Service to correct the payment in error.

Finding No. 1 - Failure To Properly Prepare Forms MS-965

Our examination disclosed that there were numerous errors made in the preparation of the municipality's 2009 and 2010 Forms MS-965. These adjustments, which are disclosed in Note 4, are as follows:

2009 - Section 1

- Adjustments were made to "Major equipment purchases" and "Minor equipment purchases" because expenditures of \$4,500.00 were misclassified.
- An adjustment of \$(85.74) was made to "Maintenance and repair of roads and bridges" because these expenditures were overstated.
- An adjustment of \$1,300.17 was made to "Miscellaneous" because a payment in error was not reported (Note 6).

2009 - Section 2

- An adjustment of \$(11,542.24) was made to "Balance, January 1, 2009" because of prior report adjustments that were not carried forward in the fund balance.
- An adjustment of \$13,363.22 was made to "State allocation" because the 2008 allocation, which was received in 2009, was not reported.
- An adjustment of \$1,295.21 was made to "Miscellaneous" because a reimbursement for a payment in error was not reported (Note 6).

2009 - Section 3

- An adjustment of \$(6,449.45) was made to "Prior year equipment balance" because of prior report adjustments that were not carried forward in the equipment balance.
- An adjustment of \$2,672.65 was made to "Current year equipment allocation" because the state allocation from 2009 Section 2, which is used to calculate this figure, was understated.
- An adjustment of \$4,500.00 was made to "Major equipment expenditures" to reflect the adjustment made to major equipment purchases in 2009 Section 1.

Finding No. 1 - Failure To Properly Prepare Forms MS-965 (Continued)

2010 - Section 1

- An adjustment of \$(23.84) was made to "Maintenance and repair of roads and bridges" because check No. 1029 was voided but was included as an expenditure.
- An adjustment of \$15.00 was made to "Miscellaneous" because bank service charges were understated.

2010 - Section 2

- An adjustment of \$13,444.00 was made to "Balance, January 1, 2010" because an incorrect fund balance was reported.
- An adjustment of \$(1.46) was made to "Interest on investments" because interest earnings were overstated.

2010 - Section 3

• An adjustment of \$188.54 was made to "Prior year equipment balance" because an incorrect equipment balance was carried forward.

Good internal controls ensure that the municipality complete its Forms MS-965 accurately and completely. The failure to properly complete Forms MS-965 increases the risk that errors or irregularities may occur and remain undetected.

Similar findings were also written in our 2005–2006 and 2007–2008 reports.

Recommendation

We again recommend that the municipality ensure that its Forms MS-965 are complete and accurate.

Finding No. 1 - Failure To Properly Prepare Forms MS-965 (Continued)

Management's Response

The secretary/treasurer stated:

It was caused by human error.

Auditor's Conclusion

This is a recurring finding. We strongly recommend that the municipal officials ensure that its Forms MS-965 are accurate and complete.

Finding No. 2 - Late Receipt Of Allocation

Our examination disclosed that the 2010 Liquid Fuels Tax Fund allocation of \$12,315.63, which should have been distributed from the Department of Transportation to the municipality during the first week of April of that year, was not received until June 28, 2010, because the municipality failed to comply with the Department of Transportation's *Publication 9*, Chapter Two, Section 2.4, which states:

To qualify for the annual liquid fuels tax allocation, a municipality shall:

- Submit annual reports (MS-965, Actual Use Report, MS-965P, Project and Miscellaneous Receipts, and MS-965S, Record of Checks).
- Make deposits and payments or expenditures in compliance with the Act 655. Failure to do so may result in not receiving allocations from PENNDOT until all discrepancies are resolved.
- Submit the Pennsylvania Department of Community and Economic Development's (DCED) Report of Elected and Appointed Officials by January 31st and the Survey of Financial Condition by March 15th.
- Ensure resolution of all Contractor Responsibility Program (CRP) holds and blocks imposed by the Department of Revenue and the Department of Labor and Industry.

Because the municipality failed to file documents and information timely as noted above, the municipality did not have use of the 2010 allocation for almost three months. Furthermore, had the allocation been received timely, money may have been available for investment purposes, potentially earning interest income which could have been used for road maintenance and repairs.

A similar finding was also written in our prior report. The 2008 Liquid Fuels Tax Fund allocation of \$13,363.22 was not received until February 9, 2009. It was included as an adjustment on the 2009 Form MS-965 Section 2 With Adjustments.

Finding No. 2 - Late Receipt Of Allocation (Continued)

Recommendation

We again recommend that, in the future, the municipality comply with the Department of Transportation's *Publication 9* to ensure that the allocations are received during the first week in April as outlined above.

Management's Response

The secretary/treasurer stated:

The forms were filed late.

Auditor's Conclusion

This is a recurring finding. We strongly recommend that the municipality comply with our recommendation.

TOWNSHIP OF CARBON HUNTINGDON COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND SUMMARY OF EXIT CONFERENCE FOR THE PERIOD JANUARY 1, 2009 TO DECEMBER 31, 2010

An exit conference was held January 5, 2012. Those participating were:

TOWNSHIP OF CARBON

Mrs. Penny C. Brode, Secretary/Treasurer

DEPARTMENT OF THE AUDITOR GENERAL

Michele A. Garman, CFE, Auditor

The results of the examination were presented and discussed in their entirety.

TOWNSHIP OF CARBON HUNTINGDON COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND REPORT DISTRIBUTION FOR THE PERIOD JANUARY 1, 2009 TO DECEMBER 31, 2010

This report was initially distributed to:

The Honorable Barry J. Schoch, P.E. Secretary Department of Transportation

> Township of Carbon Huntingdon County 20188 Little Valley Road Saxton, PA 16678

The Honorable Gary Black

Chairman of the Board of Supervisors

Mrs. Penny C. Brode

Secretary/Treasurer

This report is a matter of public record. Copies of this report may be obtained from the Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General, Office of Communications, 318 Finance Building, Harrisburg, PA 17120. To view this report online or to contact the Department of the Auditor General, please access our web site at www.auditorgen.state.pa.us.