

BOROUGH OF JERSEY SHORE LYCOMING COUNTY 41-403

LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND EXAMINATION REPORT

FOR THE TWO YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2009

CONTENTS

Page
Background1
Independent Auditor's Report
Financial Section:
2008 Form MS-965 With Adjustments7
2009 Form MS-965 With Adjustments10
Notes To Forms MS-965 With Adjustments13
Finding And Recommendations:
Finding No. 1 - Failure To Properly Prepare Forms MS-965
Finding No. 2 - Noncompliance With The Requirements Of The Pennsylvania Capital City Automotive And Equipment Contract
Summary Of Exit Conference
Report Distribution

BOROUGH OF JERSEY SHORE LYCOMING COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND BACKGROUND FOR THE TWO YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2009

Background

The Liquid Fuels Tax Municipal Allocation Law, Title 72 P.S. § 2615.5, provides municipalities other than counties (townships, boroughs, cities, towns, home rule, and optional plan governments) with an annual allocation of liquid fuels taxes from the state's Motor License Fund to be used for the maintenance and repair of streets, roads, and bridges for which the municipality is responsible. The allocation of these funds to municipalities is based: (1) 50 percent on the municipality's proportion of local road mileage to the total local road mileage in the state, and (2) 50 percent on the proportion of a municipality's population to the total population in the state.

The Vehicle Code, Title 75 P.S. § 9511, provides municipalities with annual maintenance payments to be received from the Motor License Fund for functionally local highways that were transferred to a municipality from the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

Each municipality must deposit the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund money and annual maintenance payments that it receives into a special fund called the Municipal Liquid Fuels Tax Fund or State Fund. A municipality may not deposit any other monies into this fund except when the municipality does not have enough money in the special fund to meet the payments called for by its current annual budget for road and bridge purposes. In this case, the municipality may borrow money or transfer money from its General Fund to its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund.

The Department of Transportation has been given the regulatory authority for the administration of these funds. To qualify for its annual allocation, each municipality shall submit the following documents and information to the Department of Transportation:

- 1. Evidence that the treasurer is bonded in accordance with the law, or that its treasurer is a bank requiring no bond by January 31 of each year.
- 2. A report indicating the manner in which its liquid fuels tax allocation was expended in the previous year (Form MS-965) by January 31 of each year.
- 3. A report of elected and appointed officials by January 31 of each year.
- 4. A Survey of Financial Condition by March 15 of each year.

Department of Transportation *Publication 9* contains the policies and procedures that govern the use of Liquid Fuels Tax Fund money. However, if there is a difference between *Publication 9* and any legislation, the legislation shall govern.





Department of the Auditor General Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17120-0018

JACK WAGNER AUDITOR GENERAL

Independent Auditor's Report

The Honorable Barry J. Schoch, P.E. Secretary Department of Transportation Harrisburg, PA 17120

We examined the accompanying Forms MS-965 With Adjustments for the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund of the Borough of Jersey Shore, Lycoming County, for the two years ended December 31, 2009. The municipality's management is responsible for the Forms MS-965. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Forms MS-965 With Adjustments based on our examination.

Our examination was conducted in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants and the standards applicable to attestation engagements contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. An examination includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the Borough of Jersey Shore, Lycoming County's Forms MS-965 for the two years ended December 31, 2009 and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our examination provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

We are mandated by Section 403 of *The Fiscal Code*, 72 P.S. § 403, to audit each municipality's Liquid Fuels Tax Fund to ensure that funds received are expended in accordance with applicable laws and regulations. *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States include attestation engagements as a separate type of audit. An attestation engagement performed pursuant to *Government Auditing Standards* involves additional standards that exceed the standards provided by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. Accordingly, this attestation engagement complies with both *Government Auditing Standards* and Section 403 of *The Fiscal Code*.

As described in Note 4, the adjustments included on the Forms MS-965 With Adjustments are made by the Department of the Auditor General.

Independent Auditor's Report (Continued)

As discussed in Finding No. 2 of this report, the municipality purchased two dump truck bodies for \$98,730.00. Of that amount the borough paid \$86,971.42 from the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund towards the purchase of the truck bodies. The borough officials indicated that the truck bodies were purchased from a vendor through the Pennsylvania Capital City Automotive and Equipment Contract (PACC), which is administered by the City of Harrisburg.

In our opinion, except for the matter discussed in the preceding paragraph, the Forms MS-965 With Adjustments present, in all material respects, the information required by the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation for the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund of the Borough of Jersey Shore, Lycoming County, for the two years ended December 31, 2009, in conformity with the criteria set forth in Note 1.

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we are required to report findings of significant deficiencies in internal control, violations of provisions of contracts or grant agreements, and abuse that are material to the Forms MS-965 and any fraud and illegal acts that are more than inconsequential that come to our attention during our examination. We are also required to obtain the views of management on those matters. We performed our examination to express an opinion on whether the Forms MS-965 are presented in accordance with the criteria described above and not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the internal control over reporting on the Forms MS-965 or on compliance and other matters; accordingly, we express no such opinions.

A control deficiency exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent or detect misstatements on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a control deficiency, or combination of control deficiencies, that adversely affects the Borough of Jersey Shore, Lycoming County's ability to initiate, authorize, record, process, or report data reliably in accordance with the applicable criteria such that there is more than a remote likelihood that a misstatement of the Borough of Jersey Shore, Lycoming County's Forms MS-965 that is more than inconsequential will not be prevented or detected by the Borough of Jersey Shore, Lycoming County's internal control. We consider the deficiencies described in the finding below to be a significant deficiency in internal control over reporting on the Forms MS-965:

Failure To Properly Prepare Forms MS-965.

Independent Auditor's Report (Continued)

A material weakness is a significant deficiency or combination of significant deficiencies that results in more than a remote likelihood that a material misstatement of the Forms MS-965 will not be prevented or detected by the Borough of Jersey Shore, Lycoming County's internal control. Our consideration of the internal control over reporting on the Forms MS-965 would not necessarily disclose all matters in the internal control that might be significant deficiencies and, accordingly, would not necessarily disclose all significant deficiencies that are also considered to be material weaknesses. We consider the significant deficiency described above to be a material weakness.

The results of our tests disclosed the following instance of noncompliance that is required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*:

• Noncompliance With The Requirements Of The Pennsylvania Capital City Automotive And Equipment Contract.

We are concerned in light of the municipality's failure to correct a previously reported finding regarding the preparation of the MS-965 report. The municipality should strive to implement the recommendations and corrective actions noted in this report.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation and the management of the Borough of Jersey Shore, Lycoming County, and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

February 15, 2011

JACK WAGNER Auditor General



BOROUGH OF JERSEY SHORE LYCOMING COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND 2008 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 1 WITH ADJUSTMENTS

Expenditure Summary	Reported		Adjustments (Note 4)		 Adjusted Amount
Major equipment purchases	\$	86,971.42	\$	9,028.74	\$ 96,000.16
Minor equipment purchases		11,737.24		(9,028.74)	2,708.50
Computer/Computer related training		1,000.00		-	1,000.00
Agility projects		-		-	-
Cleaning streets and gutters		-		-	-
Winter maintenance services		2,726.29		-	2,726.29
Traffic control devices		513.70		-	513.70
Street lighting		-		-	-
Storm sewers and drains		-		1,480.36	1,480.36
Repairs of tools and machinery		1,206.24		1,863.41	3,069.65
Maintenance and repair of					
roads and bridges		1,553.75		-	1,553.75
Highway construction and					
rebuilding projects		-		128,190.59	128,190.59
Miscellaneous		-		-	-
Total (To Section 2, Line 5)	\$	105,708.64	\$	131,534.36	\$ 237,243.00

BOROUGH OF JERSEY SHORE LYCOMING COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND 2008 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 2 WITH ADJUSTMENTS

Fund Balance	Reported		Reported Adjustments		Adjusted Amount		
1. Balance, January 1, 2008	\$	224,527.79	\$	-	\$	224,527.79	
Receipts: 2. State allocation 2a. Turnback allocation 2b. Interest on investments (Note 3) 2c. Miscellaneous		98,390.79 - 9,311.38 -		- - -		98,390.79 - 9,311.38 -	
3. Total receipts		107,702.17				107,702.17	
4. Total funds available		332,229.96				332,229.96	
5. Expenditures (Section 1)		105,708.64		131,534.36		237,243.00	
6. Balance, December 31, 2008	\$	226,521.32	\$	(131,534.36)	\$	94,986.96	

BOROUGH OF JERSEY SHORE LYCOMING COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND 2008 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 3 WITH ADJUSTMENTS

Equipment Balance		Reported	djustments (Note 4)	 Adjusted Amount
1. Prior year equipment balance	\$	86,973.42	\$ -	\$ 86,973.42
2. Add: Current year equipment allocation (20% of Lines 2 + 2a, Section 2)	n	19,678.16	-	19,678.16
3. PENNDOT approved adjustments			 	
4. Total funds available for equipment acquisition		106,651.58	-	106,651.58
5. Less: Major equipment expenditures		86,971.42	 9,028.74	 96,000.16
6. Remainder		19,680.16	 (9,028.74)	 10,651.42
7. Equipment balance available for subsequent year (Lesser of Line 6 or Section 2 balance, but not less than zero)	\$	19,680.16	\$ (9,028.74)	\$ 10,651.42

BOROUGH OF JERSEY SHORE LYCOMING COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND 2009 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 1 WITH ADJUSTMENTS

Expenditure Summary	Reported		Adjustments (Note 4)		Adjusted Amount	
Major equipment purchases	\$	_	\$	-	\$	-
Minor equipment purchases		-		-		-
Computer/Computer related training		-		-		-
Agility projects		-		-		-
Cleaning streets and gutters		-		-		-
Winter maintenance services		10,948.32		-		10,948.32
Traffic control devices		-		972.57		972.57
Street lighting		-		-		-
Storm sewers and drains		2,309.86		(1,480.36)		829.50
Repairs of tools and machinery		4,095.13		(1,863.41)		2,231.72
Maintenance and repair of						
roads and bridges		2,232.28		252.32		2,484.60
Highway construction and						
rebuilding projects		240,136.06		(128,190.59)		111,945.47
Miscellaneous		1,224.89		(1,224.89)		-
Total (To Section 2, Line 5)	\$	260,946.54	\$	(131,534.36)	\$	129,412.18

BOROUGH OF JERSEY SHORE LYCOMING COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND 2009 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 2 WITH ADJUSTMENTS

Fund Balance	Reported		Adjustments (Note 4)		Adjusted Amount	
1. Balance, January 1, 2009	\$	226,521.32	\$	(131,534.36)	\$	94,986.96
Receipts: 2. State allocation 2a. Turnback allocation 2b. Interest on investments (Note 3) 2c. Miscellaneous		94,755.27 - 4,735.66 -		- - - -		94,755.27 - 4,735.66 -
3. Total receipts		99,490.93		-		99,490.93
4. Total funds available		326,012.25		(131,534.36)		194,477.89
5. Expenditures (Section 1)		260,946.54		(131,534.36)		129,412.18
6. Balance, December 31, 2009	\$	65,065.71	\$		\$	65,065.71

BOROUGH OF JERSEY SHORE LYCOMING COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND 2009 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 3 WITH ADJUSTMENTS

Equipment Balance	Reported		Adjustments (Note 4)		Adjusted Amount	
1. Prior year equipment balance	\$	19,680.16	\$	(9,028.74)	\$	10,651.42
2. Add: Current year equipment allocation (20% of Lines 2 + 2a, Section 2)	1	18,951.05		-		18,951.05
3. PENNDOT approved adjustments		-				-
4. Total funds available for equipment acquisition		38,631.21		(9,028.74)		29,602.47
5. Less: Major equipment expenditures						-
6. Remainder		38,631.21		(9,028.74)		29,602.47
 Fusion Equipment balance available for subsequent year (Lesser of Line 6 or Section 2 balance, but not less than zero) 	\$	38,631.21	\$	(9,028.74)	\$	29,602.47
out not less than zero;	Ψ	30,031.21	Ψ	(),020.74)	Ψ	27,002.77

1. <u>Criteria</u>

Section 1

This section of Form MS-965 With Adjustments provides a summary of Liquid Fuels Tax Fund expenditures by category. Categories requiring explanation include:

- Major equipment purchases are purchases of road machinery and road equipment that cost in excess of \$4,000.00.
- Minor equipment purchases are purchases of road machinery and road equipment that cost \$4,000.00 or less.
- Agility projects are exchanges of services with the Department of Transportation.

Section 2

This section of Form MS-965 With Adjustments provides information on the fund balance. Categories requiring explanation include:

- The state allocation is generally received from the Department of Transportation during the first week in April of each year. The amount the municipality receives is based half on its population and half on its road mileage.
- The turnback allocation is generally received from the Department of Transportation during the first week in April of each year. A municipality receives a yearly turnback allocation based on road mileage for all roads that were transferred to the municipality from the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania through the Highway Transfer Program.
- Expenditures include the total transferred from Section 1.

Section 3

This section of Form MS-965 With Adjustments determines if the municipality expended Liquid Fuels Tax Fund money in excess of the permissible amount for equipment and the balance that the municipality may carry forward for the purchase of equipment to the subsequent year.

1. <u>Criteria (Continued)</u>

Section 3 (Continued)

Department of Transportation *Publication 9* requires that the amount expended for equipment purchases in a given year not exceed the sum of the equipment balance carried forward from the previous year and 20 percent of the current year's Liquid Fuels Tax Fund allocation and, if applicable, 20 percent of the turnback allocation plus other Department of Transportation approved adjustments.

If the municipality spent in excess of the amount listed on Line 4, the excess must be reimbursed to the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund.

The equipment balance to be carried forward for the subsequent year is the lesser of the amount on Line 6 or the ending fund balance on Line 6 of Section 2, but not less than zero.

Basis Of Presentation

The financial activities of the municipality are accounted for in separate funds. The Liquid Fuels Tax Fund is used to account for state aid revenues from the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation used primarily for building and improving local roads and bridges. The Forms MS-965 have been prepared in accordance with reporting requirements prescribed by the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation as a result of the Fuels Tax Act 655, dated 1956 and as amended, which does not constitute a complete presentation of the entity's assets, liabilities, expenses, and fund balance. Accordingly, the presentation of Forms MS-965 With Adjustments is restricted to the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund, which represents a segment of the entity.

Basis Of Accounting

The accompanying Forms MS-965 With Adjustments are prepared in accordance with reporting requirements prescribed by the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation. Under this method, revenues are recognized when received and expenditures are recorded when paid.

1. <u>Criteria (Continued)</u>

General Fixed Assets

General fixed assets are recognized as expenditures at the time of purchase. No depreciation has been provided on the heavy equipment used to maintain and repair roads and bridges.

2. <u>Deposits</u>

The Borough Code, Title 53 P.S § 46316, authorizes the borough to deposit its funds in the following:

- Deposits in savings accounts or time deposits, other than certificates of deposit or share accounts of institutions having their principal place of business in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) or other like insurance. For any amount above the insured maximum, the depository shall pledge approved collateral.
- Certificates of deposit purchased from institutions insured by the FDIC or other like insurance to the extent that such accounts are so insured. For any amounts in excess of the insured maximum, such deposits shall be collateralized by a pledge or assignment of assets. Certificates of deposit may not exceed 20 percent of a bank's total capital surplus or 20 percent of a savings and loan's or savings bank's assets minus liabilities.

Deposits consist of receipts and deposits in a financial institution. Pennsylvania statutes require all deposits to be insured and, for any amount above the insured maximum, to be secured with approved collateral as defined.

There were no deposits exposed to custodial credit risk as of December 31, 2009. Custodial credit risk, as defined by GASB No. 40, includes deposits that are not covered by depository insurance and the deposits are uncollateralized, collateralized with securities held by the pledging financial institution, or collateralized with securities held by the pledging financial institution's trust department or agent but not in the municipality's name.

2. <u>Deposits (Continued)</u>

Fund Balance

The fund balance as of December 31, 2009 consists of the following:

Cash

\$65,065.71

3. Interest On Investments

Our examination disclosed that the municipality deposited idle liquid fuels tax money in an interest-bearing account which earned \$9,311.38 during 2008, and \$4,735.66 during 2009, thus providing additional funds for road maintenance and repairs.

4. <u>Adjustments</u>

2008 - Section 1

Adjustments were made to "Major equipment purchases" and "Minor equipment purchases" because expenditures of \$9,028.74 were misclassified.

An adjustment of \$1,480.36 was made to "Storm sewers and drains" because check No. 272 was not reported.

An adjustment of \$1,863.41 was made to "Repairs of tools and machinery" because check No. 271 was not reported.

An adjustment of \$128,190.59 was made to "Highway construction and rebuilding projects" because check No. 269 was not reported.

2008 - Section 3

An adjustment of \$9,028.74 was made to "Major equipment expenditures" to reflect the adjustment made to major equipment purchases in 2008 – Section 1.

4. Adjustments (Continued)

2009 - Section 1

Adjustments were made to "Traffic control devices," "Maintenance and repair of roads and bridges," and "Miscellaneous" because expenditures of \$1,224.89 were misclassified.

An adjustment of \$(1,480.36) was made to "Storm sewers and drains" because check No. 272, which was issued in 2008, was erroneously reported as an expenditure in 2009.

An adjustment of \$(1,863.41) was made to "Repairs of tools and machinery" because check No. 271, which was issued in 2008, was erroneously reported as an expenditure in 2009.

An adjustment of \$(128,190.59) was made to "Highway construction and rebuilding projects" because check No. 269, which was issued in 2008, was erroneously reported as an expenditure in 2009.

2009 - Section 2

An adjustment of \$(131,534.36) was made to "Balance, January 1, 2009" to reflect the adjustments made in 2008 - Section 1.

2009 - Section 3

An adjustment of (9,028.74) was made to "Prior year equipment balance" to reflect the adjustment made in 2008 - Section 3.



Finding No. 1 - Failure To Properly Prepare Forms MS-965

Our examination disclosed that there were numerous errors made in the preparation of the municipality's 2008 and 2009 Form MS-965. These adjustments, which are disclosed in Note 4, are as follows:

2008 - Section 1

- Adjustments were made to "Major equipment purchases" and "Minor equipment purchases" because expenditures of \$9,028.74 were misclassified.
- An adjustment of \$1,480.36 was made to "Storm sewers and drains" because check No. 272 was not reported.
- An adjustment of \$1,863.41 was made to "Repairs of tools and machinery" because check No. 271 was not reported.
- An adjustment of \$128,190.59 was made to "Highway construction and rebuilding projects" because check No. 269 was not reported.

2008 - Section 3

• An adjustment of \$9,028.74 was made to "Major equipment expenditures" to reflect the adjustment made to major equipment purchases in 2008 – Section 1.

2009 - Section 1

- Adjustments were made to "Traffic control devices," "Maintenance and repair of roads and bridges," and "Miscellaneous" because expenditures of \$1,224.89 were misclassified.
- An adjustment of \$(1,480.36) was made to "Storm sewers and drains" because check No. 272, which was issued in 2008, was erroneously reported as an expenditure in 2009.

Finding No. 1 - Failure To Properly Prepare Forms MS-965 (Continued)

2009 - Section 1 (Continued)

- An adjustment of \$(1,863.41) was made to "Repairs of tools and machinery" because check No. 271, which was issued in 2008, was erroneously reported as an expenditure in 2009.
- An adjustment of \$(128,190.59) was made to "Highway construction and rebuilding projects" because check No. 269, which was issued in 2008, was erroneously reported as an expenditure in 2009.

2009 - Section 2

• An adjustment of \$(131,534.36) was made to "Balance, January 1, 2009" to reflect the adjustment made in 2008 - Section 1.

2009 - Section 3

• An adjustment of \$(9,028.74) was made to "Prior year equipment balance" to reflect the adjustments made in 2008 - Section 1 and 2008 Section 3.

Good internal controls ensure that the municipality completes its Forms MS-965 accurately and completely. The failure to properly complete Forms MS-965 increases the risk that errors or irregularities may occur and remain undetected.

This condition was cited in our 2006-2007 audit report.

Recommendation

We recommend that the municipality ensure that its Forms MS-965 are complete and accurate.

Management's Response

The municipal officials offered no formal response at this time.

Finding No. 1 - Failure To Properly Prepare Forms MS-965 (Continued)

Auditor's Conclusion

This is a recurring finding. We strongly recommend that the municipality comply with our recommendation.

Finding No. 2 - Noncompliance With The Requirements Of The Pennsylvania Capital City Automotive And Equipment Contract

Our examination revealed that the borough purchased two dump truck bodies for \$98,730.00. Of that amount the borough paid \$86,971.42 from the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund towards the purchase of the truck bodies. The borough officials indicated that the truck bodies were purchased from a vendor through the Pennsylvania Capital City Automotive and Equipment Contract (PACC), which is administered by the City of Harrisburg. However, there was no documentation available that supported the borough official's statement that the purchase was made from a vendor through PACC.

In order to participate in the PACC contract, the City of Harrisburg requires that a municipality pass a resolution stating that it is participating in the program and submit a letter of interest to the Bureau of Vehicle Management of the City of Harrisburg. There was no documentation available for examination that indicated that the borough submitted a letter of interest.

The Borough Code and Department of Transportation's *Publication 9* require that any purchases over \$10,000.00 not made through PACC be advertised and bid. There was no documentation available for examination that revealed that these purchases were advertised and bid.

The failure to comply with *The Borough Code* and the Department of Transportation's *Publication 9* could result in the borough having to reimburse \$86,971.42 to its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund.

This condition was cited in our 2004-2005 audit report.

Recommendations

We recommend that the borough reimburse \$86,971.42 to its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund upon official notification by the Department of Transportation.

We again recommend that, in the future, the borough comply with *The Borough Code* and the Department of Transportation's *Publication 9* as noted in this finding.

Finding No. 2 - Noncompliance With The Requirements Of The Pennsylvania Capital City Automotive And Equipment Contract (Continued)

Management's Response

The borough officials stated:

The Jersey Shore Borough had a complete overhaul of staff in 2007 and 2008. It was noted during the audit that the borough had purchased through the PACC program. The issue of passing a resolution occurred when the borough solicitor advised that a motion by council would be suffice.

Auditor's Conclusion

Although a motion by council may suffice as a resolution, the borough did not submit a letter of interest to the Bureau of Vehicle Management of the City of Harrisburg. During our next examination we will determine if the borough complied with our recommendation.

BOROUGH OF JERSEY SHORE LYCOMING COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND SUMMARY OF EXIT CONFERENCE FOR THE TWO YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2009

An exit conference was held February 15, 2011. Those participating were:

BOROUGH OF JERSEY SHORE

Mr. Shawn M. Lorson, Borough Manager

DEPARTMENT OF THE AUDITOR GENERAL

Mrs. Edith M. Carpenter, Auditor

The results of the examination were presented and discussed in their entirety.

BOROUGH OF JERSEY SHORE LYCOMING COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND REPORT DISTRIBUTION FOR THE TWO YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2009

This report was initially distributed to:

The Honorable Barry J. Schoch, P.E. Secretary Department of Transportation

Borough of Jersey Shore Lycoming County 232 Smith Street, P. O. Box 526 Jersey Shore, PA 17740

The Honorable Marguerite Dyroff

President of Council

Mr. Shawn M. Lorson

Borough Manager

This report is a matter of public record. Copies of this report may be obtained from the Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General, Office of Communications, 318 Finance Building, Harrisburg, PA 17120. To view this report online or to contact the Department of the Auditor General, please access our web site at www.auditorgen.state.pa.us.