

TOWNSHIP OF MONROE JUNIATA COUNTY 34-208

LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND EXAMINATION REPORT

FOR THE TWO YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2008

CONTENTS

Page
Background1
Independent Auditor's Report
Financial Section:
2007 Form MS-965 With Adjustments7
2008 Form MS-965 With Adjustments10
Notes To Forms MS-965 With Adjustments13
Findings And Recommendations:
Finding No. 1 - Fuel Dispensation Records Not Maintained19
Finding No. 2 - Liquid Fuels Money Loaned To General Fund
Finding No. 3 - Liquid Fuels Money Overexpended On Project
Finding No. 4 - Nonpermissible Expenditure22
Comment
Summary Of Exit Conference
Report Distribution

TOWNSHIP OF MONROE JUNIATA COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND BACKGROUND FOR THE TWO YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2008

Background

The Liquid Fuels Tax Municipal Allocation Law, Title 72 P.S. § 2615.5, provides municipalities other than counties (townships, boroughs, cities, towns, home rule, and optional plan governments) with an annual allocation of liquid fuels taxes from the state's Motor License Fund to be used for the maintenance and repair of streets, roads, and bridges for which the municipality is responsible. The allocation of these funds to municipalities is based: (1) 50 percent on the municipality's proportion of local road mileage to the total local road mileage in the state, and (2) 50 percent on the proportion of a municipality's population to the total population in the state.

The Vehicle Code, Title 75 P.S. § 9511, provides municipalities with annual maintenance payments to be received from the Motor License Fund for functionally local highways that were transferred to a municipality from the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

Each municipality must deposit the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund money and annual maintenance payments that it receives into a special fund called the Municipal Liquid Fuels Tax Fund or State Fund. A municipality may not deposit any other monies into this fund except when the municipality does not have enough money in the special fund to meet the payments called for by its current annual budget for road and bridge purposes. In this case, the municipality may borrow money or transfer money from its General Fund to its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund.

The Department of Transportation has been given the regulatory authority for the administration of these funds. To qualify for its annual allocation, each municipality shall submit the following documents and information to the Department of Transportation:

- 1. Evidence that the treasurer is bonded in accordance with the law, or that its treasurer is a bank requiring no bond by January 31 of each year.
- 2. A report indicating the manner in which its liquid fuels tax allocation was expended in the previous year (Form MS-965) by January 31 of each year.
- 3. A report of elected and appointed officials by January 31 of each year.
- 4. A Survey of Financial Condition by March 15 of each year.

Department of Transportation *Publication 9* contains the policies and procedures that govern the use of Liquid Fuels Tax Fund money. However, if there is a difference between *Publication 9* and any legislation, the legislation shall govern.



Independent Auditor's Report

The Honorable Allen D. Biehler, P.E. Secretary Department of Transportation Harrisburg, PA 17120

We examined the accompanying Forms MS-965 With Adjustments for the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund of the Township of Monroe, Juniata County, for the two years ended December 31, 2008. The municipality's management is responsible for the Forms MS-965. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Forms MS-965 With Adjustments based on our examination.

Our examination was conducted in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants and the standards applicable to attestation engagements contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. An examination includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the Township of Monroe, Juniata County's Forms MS-965 for the two years ended December 31, 2008 and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our examination provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

We are mandated by Section 403 of *The Fiscal Code*, 72 P.S. § 403, to audit each municipality's Liquid Fuels Tax Fund to ensure that funds received are expended in accordance with applicable laws and regulations. *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States include attestation engagements as a separate type of audit. An attestation engagement performed pursuant to *Government Auditing Standards* involves additional standards that exceed the standards provided by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. Accordingly, this attestation engagement complies with both *Government Auditing Standards* and Section 403 of *The Fiscal Code*.

As described in Note 4, the adjustments included on the Forms MS-965 With Adjustments are made by the Department of the Auditor General.

Independent Auditor's Report (Continued)

As discussed in Finding No. 1, the township used the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund account to purchase \$1,655.41 of fuel in bulk quantities. However, records of dispensation for these fuel purchases were not maintained. Additionally, as discussed in Finding No. 2, the township loaned \$15,000.00 on December 1, 2007 from the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund to the General Fund. As of December 31, 2008, this amount had not been reimbursed to the township's Liquid Fuels Tax Fund. Also, as discussed in Finding No. 3, the township expended \$4,868.03 in excess of the approved amount for road project No. 08-34208-1C00. Furthermore, as discussed in Finding No. 4, the township expended \$1,484.70 from the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund for heating oil, which is a nonpermissible expenditure.

In our opinion, except for the matters discussed in the preceding paragraph, the Forms MS-965 With Adjustments present, in all material respects, the information required by the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation for the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund of the Township of Monroe, Juniata County, for the two years ended December 31, 2008, in conformity with the criteria set forth in Note 1.

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we are required to report findings of significant deficiencies in internal control, violations of provisions of contracts or grant agreements, and abuse that are material to the Forms MS-965 and any fraud and illegal acts that are more than inconsequential that come to our attention during our examination. We are also required to obtain the views of management on those matters. We performed our examination to express an opinion on whether the Forms MS-965 are presented in accordance with the criteria described above and not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the internal control over reporting on the Forms MS-965 or on compliance and other matters; accordingly, we express no such opinions.

A control deficiency exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent or detect misstatements on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a control deficiency, or combination of control deficiencies, that adversely affects the Township of Monroe, Juniata County's ability to initiate, authorize, record, process, or report data reliably in accordance with the applicable criteria such that there is more than a remote likelihood that a misstatement of the Township of Monroe, Juniata County's Forms MS-965 that is more than inconsequential will not be prevented or detected by the Township of Monroe, Juniata County's internal control. We consider the deficiency described in the finding below to be a significant deficiency in internal control over reporting on the Forms MS-965:

• Fuel Dispensation Records Not Maintained.

Independent Auditor's Report (Continued)

A material weakness is a significant deficiency or combination of significant deficiencies that results in more than a remote likelihood that a material misstatement of the Forms MS-965 will not be prevented or detected by the Township of Monroe, Juniata County's internal control. Our consideration of the internal control over reporting on the Forms MS-965 would not necessarily disclose all matters in the internal control that might be significant deficiencies and, accordingly, would not necessarily disclose all significant deficiencies that are also considered to be material weaknesses. However, we believe that the significant deficiency described above is a material weakness.

The results of our tests disclosed the following instances of noncompliance that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*:

- Liquid Fuels Money Loaned To General Fund.
- Liquid Fuels Money Overexpended On Project.
- Nonpermissible Expenditure.

We are concerned in light of the township's failure to correct a prior examination finding for loaning Liquid Fuels Tax Fund money to the General Fund. During our current examination the township failed to maintain fuel dispensation records, loaned liquid fuels money to the General Fund, overexpended liquid fuels money on a project, and used liquid fuels money to purchase heating oil, which is a nonpermissible expenditure. The municipality should strive to implement the recommendations and corrective actions noted in this report.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation and the management of the Township of Monroe, Juniata County, and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

March 11, 2010

JACK WAGNER Auditor General



TOWNSHIP OF MONROE JUNIATA COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND 2007 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 1 WITH ADJUSTMENTS

Expenditure Summary	Reported		Adjustments (Note 4)		Adjusted Amount	
Major equipment purchases	\$	23,202.17	\$	-	\$	23,202.17
Minor equipment purchases		-		-		-
Computer/Computer related training		1,000.00		-		1,000.00
Agility projects		-		-		-
Cleaning streets and gutters		-		-		-
Winter maintenance services		12,265.41		-		12,265.41
Traffic control devices		-		-		-
Street lighting		-		-		-
Storm sewers and drains		189.50		(189.50)		-
Repairs of tools and machinery		7,399.42		-		7,399.42
Maintenance and repair of						
roads and bridges		22,945.55		-		22,945.55
Highway construction and						
rebuilding projects		133,764.92		-		133,764.92
Miscellaneous (Note 5)		15,000.00		189.50		15,189.50
Total (To Section 2, Line 5)	\$	215,766.97	\$	-	\$	215,766.97

TOWNSHIP OF MONROE JUNIATA COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND 2007 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 2 WITH ADJUSTMENTS

Fund Balance	Reported		Reported		Reported		Reported Adjustments		Adjusted Amount		
1. Balance, January 1, 2007	\$	215,855.28	\$	-	\$	215,855.28					
Receipts: 2. State allocation 2a. Turnback allocation 2b. Interest on investments (Note 3) 2c. Miscellaneous		93,248.86 - 6,098.52 -		- - - -		93,248.86 - 6,098.52 -					
3. Total receipts		99,347.38				99,347.38					
4. Total funds available		315,202.66		-		315,202.66					
5. Expenditures (Section 1)		215,766.97		-		215,766.97					
6. Balance, December 31, 2007	\$	99,435.69	\$	_	\$	99,435.69					

TOWNSHIP OF MONROE JUNIATA COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND 2007 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 3 WITH ADJUSTMENTS

Equipment Balance		Reported	Adju	stments	 Adjusted Amount
1. Prior year equipment balance	\$	174,071.78	\$	-	\$ 174,071.78
 Add: Current year equipment allocation (20% of Lines 2 + 2a, Section 2) 	n	18,649.77		-	18,649.77
3. PENNDOT approved adjustments					 -
4. Total funds available for equipment acquisition		192,721.55		-	192,721.55
5. Less: Major equipment expenditures		23,202.17			 23,202.17
6. Remainder		169,519.38		-	 169,519.38
7. Equipment balance available for subsequent year (Lesser of Line 6 or Section 2 balance, but not less than zero)	\$	99,435.69	\$		\$ 99,435.69

TOWNSHIP OF MONROE JUNIATA COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND 2008 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 1 WITH ADJUSTMENTS

Expenditure Summary	Reported		Adjustments		Adjusted Amount	
Major equipment purchases	\$	23,202.17	\$	-	\$	23,202.17
Minor equipment purchases		-		-		-
Computer/Computer related training		-		-		-
Agility projects		-		-		-
Cleaning streets and gutters		-		-		-
Winter maintenance services		25,713.46		-		25,713.46
Traffic control devices		-		-		-
Street lighting		-		-		-
Storm sewers and drains		-		-		-
Repairs of tools and machinery		5,329.17		-		5,329.17
Maintenance and repair of						
roads and bridges		36,822.37		-		36,822.37
Highway construction and						
rebuilding projects		-		-		-
Miscellaneous (Note 5)		298.00		-		298.00
Total (To Section 2, Line 5)	\$	91,365.17	\$	-	\$	91,365.17

TOWNSHIP OF MONROE JUNIATA COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND 2008 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 2 WITH ADJUSTMENTS

Fund Balance	Reported		Reported		Reported		Adjustments		Adjusted Amount	
1. Balance, January 1, 2008	\$	99,435.69	\$	-	\$	99,435.69				
Receipts: 2. State allocation 2a. Turnback allocation 2b. Interest on investments (Note 3) 2c. Miscellaneous		102,618.34 - 2,424.26 -		- - - -		102,618.34 - 2,424.26 -				
3. Total receipts		105,042.60				105,042.60				
4. Total funds available		204,478.29				204,478.29				
5. Expenditures (Section 1)		91,365.17		-		91,365.17				
6. Balance, December 31, 2008	\$	113,113.12	\$	-	\$	113,113.12				

TOWNSHIP OF MONROE JUNIATA COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND 2008 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 3 WITH ADJUSTMENTS

Equipment Balance		Reported	Adj	ustments	 Adjusted Amount
1. Prior year equipment balance	\$	99,435.69	\$	-	\$ 99,435.69
 Add: Current year equipment allocation (20% of Lines 2 + 2a, Section 2) 	1	20,523.67		-	20,523.67
3. PENNDOT approved adjustments				-	
4. Total funds available for equipment acquisition		119,959.36		-	119,959.36
5. Less: Major equipment expenditures		23,202.17			 23,202.17
6. Remainder		96,757.19		-	 96,757.19
7. Equipment balance available for subsequent year (Lesser of Line 6 or Section 2 balance, but not less than zero)	\$	96,757.19	\$	_	\$ 96,757.19

1. <u>Criteria</u>

Section 1

This section of Form MS-965 With Adjustments provides a summary of Liquid Fuels Tax Fund expenditures by category. Categories requiring explanation include:

- Major equipment purchases are purchases of road machinery and road equipment that cost in excess of \$4,000.00.
- Minor equipment purchases are purchases of road machinery and road equipment that cost \$4,000.00 or less.
- Agility projects are exchanges of services with the Department of Transportation.

Section 2

This section of Form MS-965 With Adjustments provides information on the fund balance. Categories requiring explanation include:

- The state allocation is generally received from the Department of Transportation during the first week in April of each year. The amount the municipality receives is based half on its population and half on its road mileage.
- The turnback allocation is generally received from the Department of Transportation during the first week in April of each year. A municipality receives a yearly turnback allocation based on road mileage for all roads that were transferred to the municipality from the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania through the Highway Transfer Program.
- Expenditures include the total transferred from Section 1.

Section 3

This section of Form MS-965 With Adjustments determines if the municipality expended Liquid Fuels Tax Fund money in excess of the permissible amount for equipment and the balance that the municipality may carry forward for the purchase of equipment to the subsequent year.

1. <u>Criteria (Continued)</u>

Section 3 (Continued)

Department of Transportation *Publication 9* requires that the amount expended for equipment purchases in a given year not exceed the sum of the equipment balance carried forward from the previous year and 20 percent of the current year's Liquid Fuels Tax Fund allocation and, if applicable, 20 percent of the turnback allocation plus other Department of Transportation approved adjustments.

If the municipality spent in excess of the amount listed on Line 4, the excess must be reimbursed to the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund.

The equipment balance to be carried forward for the subsequent year is the lesser of the amount on Line 6 or the ending fund balance on Line 6 of Section 2, but not less than zero.

Basis Of Presentation

The financial activities of the municipality are accounted for in separate funds. The Liquid Fuels Tax Fund is used to account for state aid revenues from the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation used primarily for building and improving local roads and bridges. The Forms MS-965 have been prepared in accordance with reporting requirements prescribed by the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation as a result of the Fuels Tax Act 655, dated 1956 and as amended, which does not constitute a complete presentation of the entity's assets, liabilities, expenses, and fund balance. Accordingly, the presentation of Forms MS-965 With Adjustments is restricted to the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund, which represents a segment of the entity.

Basis Of Accounting

The accompanying Forms MS-965 With Adjustments are prepared in accordance with reporting requirements prescribed by the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation. Under this method, revenues are recognized when received and expenditures are recorded when paid.

1. <u>Criteria (Continued)</u>

General Fixed Assets

General fixed assets are recognized as expenditures at the time of purchase. No depreciation has been provided on the heavy equipment used to maintain and repair roads and bridges.

2. <u>Deposits</u>

The Second Class Township Code, Title 53 P.S. § 68204, authorizes the township to deposit its funds in the following:

- Deposits in savings accounts or time deposits, other than certificates of deposit or share accounts of institutions having their principal place of business in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) or other like insurance. For any amount above the insured maximum, the depository shall pledge approved collateral.
- Certificates of deposit purchased from institutions insured by the FDIC or other like insurance to the extent that such accounts are so insured. For any amounts in excess of the insured maximum, such deposits shall be collateralized by a pledge or assignment of assets. Certificates of deposit may not exceed 20 percent of a bank's total capital surplus or 20 percent of a savings and loans or savings bank's assets minus liabilities.

Deposits consist of receipts and deposits in financial institutions. Pennsylvania statutes require all deposits to be insured and, for any amount above the insured maximum, to be secured with approved collateral as defined.

There were no deposits exposed to custodial credit risk as of December 31, 2008. Custodial credit risk, as defined by GASB No. 40, includes deposits that are not covered by depository insurance and the deposits are uncollateralized, collateralized with securities held by the pledging financial institution, or collateralized with securities held by the pledging financial institution's trust department or agent but not in the municipality's name.

2. <u>Deposits (Continued)</u>

Fund Balance

The fund balance as of December 31, 2008 consists of the following:

Cash

\$113,113.12

3. Interest On Investments

Our examination disclosed that the municipality deposited idle liquid fuels tax money in interest-bearing accounts which earned \$6,098.52 during 2007, and \$2,424.26 during 2008, thus providing additional funds for road maintenance and repairs.

4. Adjustments

<u>2007 - Section 1</u>

Adjustments were made to "Storm sewers and drains" and "Miscellaneous" because expenditures of \$189.50 were misclassified.

5. <u>Miscellaneous Expenditures</u>

The following miscellaneous expenditures were paid from the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund during the examination period:

Payee	Description	2007	2008
General Fund Financial institution	Loan (Finding No. 2) Bank service charges	\$15,000.00 189.50	\$ - 298.00
Totals		\$15,189.50	\$298.00

6. Lease-Purchase Agreement

On June 29, 2006, the municipality entered into a lease-purchase agreement with John Deere Credit Corporation to purchase a 2006 310SG backhoe for \$67,596.00. The agreement was for a term of two years at an interest rate of 5.75 percent. Principal and interest payments of \$23,202.17 are due annually. A prior year principal payment from the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund was \$25,000.00.

During the current examination period the municipality paid principal of \$42,596.00 and interest of \$3,808.34 from the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund. These amounts are reflected in major equipment purchases on the 2007 and 2008 Forms MS-965 - Section 1. The loan was paid-in-full on June 15, 2008.



Finding No. 1 - Fuel Dispensation Records Not Maintained

Our examination disclosed that the municipality used the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund account to purchase \$1,655.41 of fuel in bulk quantities during 2008. However, records for the dispensation of these fuel purchases were not maintained.

To ensure good internal control of fuel purchases and usage, the municipality should maintain records listing the following information:

- Date.
- Number of gallons pumped.
- License number or identity of the vehicle.
- Intended use.
- Signature of the operator.

Without fuel dispensation records, there is no record that fuel was used for purposes permitted by the Liquid Fuels Tax Municipal Allocation Law. As a result, we could not determine if the expenditures made from the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund to purchase the fuel were permissible.

The failure to maintain records of fuel dispensation as noted above could result in the municipality having to reimburse \$1,655.41 to its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund.

Recommendations

We recommend that the municipality reimburse \$1,655.41 to its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund upon official notification by the Department of Transportation.

We further recommend that the municipality prepare detailed fuel usage reports to ensure good internal controls over fuel purchases and usage.

Management's Response

The municipal officials offered no formal response at this time.

Finding No. 2 - Liquid Fuels Money Loaned To General Fund

Our examination disclosed that the municipality loaned \$15,000.00 on December 1, 2007 from the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund to the General Fund. As of December 31, 2008, the \$15,000.00 had not been reimbursed to the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund.

Liquid Fuels Tax Fund money appropriated to the municipality in accordance with 72 P.S. § 2615.4 of the Liquid Fuels Tax Municipal Allocation Law can only be expended for purposes authorized by 72 P.S. § 2615.4. The authorized purposes described by 72 P.S. § 2615.4 do not include loaning money from the municipality's Liquid Fuels Tax Fund to the General Fund or any other fund.

If the liquid fuels tax money was maintained in the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund during the entire examination period, money may have been available for investment purposes, potentially earning additional interest which could have been used for road maintenance and repairs.

The failure to follow the Liquid Fuels Tax Municipal Allocation Law as noted above could result in the municipality having to reimburse \$15,000.00 to its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund.

Similar findings were also written in our 2003-2004 and 2005-2006 reports.

Recommendations

We recommend that the municipality reimburse \$15,000.00 to its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund upon official notification by the Department of Transportation.

Further, we again recommend that, in the future, the municipality discontinue the practice of loaning liquid fuels money to other funds or accounts.

Management's Response

The municipal officials offered no formal response at this time.

Auditor's Conclusion

This is a recurring finding. We strongly recommend that the municipality comply with our recommendations.

Finding No. 3 - Liquid Fuels Money Overexpended On Project

Our examination disclosed that the municipality expended \$7,500.81 of Liquid Fuels Tax Fund money on project No. 08-34208-1C00. However, the amount approved to be expended from the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund for this project was \$2,632.78. The difference of \$4,868.03 should have been paid directly from the General Fund.

The Department of Transportation's Regulations do not permit a municipality to expend Liquid Fuels Tax Fund money in excess of the amount approved on Municipal Services Completion Report Form MS-999.

The failure to comply with the Department of Transportation's Regulations could result in the municipality having to reimburse \$4,868.03 to its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund.

Recommendations

We recommend that the municipality reimburse \$4,868.03 to its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund upon official notification by the Department of Transportation.

We further recommend that, in the future, the municipality expend only the approved amount of Liquid Fuels Tax Fund money on road construction projects.

Management's Response

The municipal officials offered no formal response at this time.

Finding No. 4 - Nonpermissible Expenditure

Our examination disclosed that the municipality expended \$617.70 during 2007 and \$867.00 during 2008 from the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund for heating oil, which is a nonpermissible expenditure.

The Liquid Fuels Tax Municipal Allocation Law, 72 P.S. § 2615.4, provides, in part, that monies herein allocated may be used only for construction, reconstruction, maintenance, and repairs of such public roads or streets, including bridges, culverts and drainage structures, for which they are legally responsible. Also permitted are expenditures involving acquisition, maintenance, repairs and operation of street signs, traffic signs, traffic signal control systems, road equipment, and snow fences.

The Department of Transportation has been statutorily authorized to promulgate regulations concerning the administration of Liquid Fuels Tax Fund money and has determined that certain items, including heating oil, are outside the scope of permissible expenditures.

The failure to follow the Liquid Fuels Tax Municipal Allocation Law and the Department of Transportation's Regulations as noted above could result in the municipality having to reimburse \$1,484.70 to its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund.

Recommendations

We recommend that the municipality reimburse \$1,484.70 to its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund upon official notification by the Department of Transportation.

We further recommend that, in the future, the municipality comply with the Liquid Fuels Tax Municipal Allocation Law and the Department of Transportation's Regulations regarding permissible expenditures.

Management's Response

The municipal officials offered no formal response at this time.

Comment - Summary Of Prior Examination Recommendation

In our prior report we recommended that the municipality comply with the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Management Directive 210.11 by obtaining images of both the front and back of canceled checks from its financial institution.

During our current examination we noted that the municipality complied with our recommendation.

TOWNSHIP OF MONROE JUNIATA COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND SUMMARY OF EXIT CONFERENCE FOR THE TWO YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2008

An exit conference was held March 11, 2010. Those participating were:

TOWNSHIP OF MONROE

Mr. Larry E. Niemond, Secretary/Treasurer

DEPARTMENT OF THE AUDITOR GENERAL

Mrs. Diane A. Bowman, Auditor

The results of the examination were presented and discussed in their entirety.

TOWNSHIP OF MONROE JUNIATA COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND REPORT DISTRIBUTION FOR THE TWO YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2008

This report was initially distributed to:

The Honorable Allen D. Biehler, P.E. Secretary Department of Transportation

> Township of Monroe Juniata County P. O. Box 126 Richfield, PA 17086

The Honorable George P. Sheaffer

Chairman of the Board of Supervisors

Mr. Larry E. Niemond

Secretary/Treasurer

This report is a matter of public record. Copies of this report may be obtained from the Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General, Office of Communications, 318 Finance Building, Harrisburg, PA 17120. To view this report online or to contact the Department of the Auditor General, please access our web site at www.auditorgen.state.pa.us.