

TOWNSHIP OF MOORE NORTHAMPTON COUNTY 48-211

LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND EXAMINATION REPORT

FOR THE TWO YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2011

Released March 2013

# **COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA**

**EUGENE A. DEPASQUALE - AUDITOR GENERAL** 

**DEPARTMENT OF THE AUDITOR GENERAL** 





TOWNSHIP OF MOORE NORTHAMPTON COUNTY 48-211

LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND EXAMINATION REPORT

FOR THE TWO YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2011

# CONTENTS

<u>Page</u>
Background
Independent Auditor's Report
Financial Section:
2010 Form MS-965 With Adjustments
2011 Form MS-965 With Adjustments
Notes To Forms MS-965 With Adjustments
Findings And Recommendations:
Finding No. 1 - Failure To Properly Prepare Forms MS-965
Finding No. 2 - Late Receipt Of Allocation
Summary Of Exit Conference
Report Distribution

# TOWNSHIP OF MOORE NORTHAMPTON COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND BACKGROUND FOR THE TWO YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2011

# **Background**

The Liquid Fuels Tax Municipal Allocation Law, Title 72 P.S. § 2615.5, provides municipalities other than counties (townships, boroughs, cities, towns, home rule, and optional plan governments) with an annual allocation of liquid fuels taxes from the state's Motor License Fund to be used for the maintenance and repair of streets, roads, and bridges for which the municipality is responsible. The allocation of these funds to municipalities is based: (1) 50 percent on the municipality's proportion of local road mileage to the total local road mileage in the state, and (2) 50 percent on the proportion of a municipality's population to the total population in the state.

The Vehicle Code, Title 75 P.S. § 9511, provides municipalities with annual maintenance payments to be received from the Motor License Fund for functionally local highways that were transferred to a municipality from the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

Each municipality must deposit the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund money and annual maintenance payments that it receives into a special fund called the Municipal Liquid Fuels Tax Fund or State Fund. A municipality may not deposit any other monies into this fund except when the municipality does not have enough money in the special fund to meet the payments called for by its current annual budget for road and bridge purposes. In this case, the municipality may borrow money or transfer money from its General Fund to its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund.

The Department of Transportation has been given the regulatory authority for the administration of these funds. To qualify for its annual allocation, each municipality shall submit the following documents and information to the Department of Transportation:

1. Evidence that the treasurer is bonded in accordance with the law, or that its treasurer is a bank requiring no bond by January 31 of each year.

Released (month and year)

- 2. A report indicating the manner in which its liquid fuels tax allocation was expended in the previous year (Form MS-965) by January 31 of each year.
- 3. A report of elected and appointed officials by January 31 of each year.
- 4. A Survey of Financial Condition by March 15 of each year.

Department of Transportation *Publication 9* contains the policies and procedures that govern the use of Liquid Fuels Tax Fund money. However, if there is a difference between *Publication 9* and any legislation, the legislation shall govern.





### Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General Harrisburg, PA 17120-0018 Facebook: Pennsylvania Auditor General Twitter: @PAAuditorGen

EUGENE A. DEPASQUALE AUDITOR GENERAL

## **Independent Auditor's Report**

The Honorable Barry J. Schoch, P.E. Secretary
Department of Transportation
Harrisburg, PA 17120

We examined the accompanying Forms MS-965 With Adjustments for the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund of the Township of Moore, Northampton County, for the two years ended December 31, 2011. The municipality's management is responsible for the Forms MS-965. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Forms MS-965 With Adjustments based on our examination.

Our examination was conducted in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants and the standards applicable to attestation engagements contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. An examination includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the Township of Moore, Northampton County's Forms MS-965 for the two years ended December 31, 2011 and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our examination provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

We are mandated by Section 403 of *The Fiscal Code*, 72 P.S. § 403, to audit each municipality's Liquid Fuels Tax Fund to ensure that funds received are expended in accordance with applicable laws and regulations. *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States include attestation engagements as a separate type of audit. An attestation engagement performed pursuant to *Government Auditing Standards* involves additional standards that exceed the standards provided by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. Accordingly, this attestation engagement complies with both *Government Auditing Standards* and Section 403 of *The Fiscal Code*.

As described in Note 4, the adjustments included on the Forms MS-965 With Adjustments are made by the Department of the Auditor General.

In our opinion, the Forms MS-965 With Adjustments present, in all material respects, the information required by the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation for the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund of the Township of Moore, Northampton County, for the two years ended December 31, 2011, in conformity with the criteria set forth in Note 1.

### **Independent Auditor's Report (Continued)**

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we are required to report findings of significant deficiencies in internal control, violations of provisions of contracts or grant agreements, and abuse that are material to the Forms MS-965 and any fraud and illegal acts that are more than inconsequential that come to our attention during our examination. We are also required to obtain the views of management on those matters. We performed our examination to express an opinion on whether the Forms MS-965 are presented in accordance with the criteria described above and not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the internal control over reporting on the Forms MS-965 or on compliance and other matters; accordingly, we express no such opinions.

A control deficiency exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent or detect misstatements on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a control deficiency, or combination of control deficiencies, that adversely affects the Township of Moore, Northampton County's ability to initiate, authorize, record, process, or report data reliably in accordance with the applicable criteria such that there is more than a remote likelihood that a misstatement of the Township of Moore, Northampton County's Forms MS-965 that is more than inconsequential will not be prevented or detected by the Township of Moore, Northampton County's internal control. We consider the deficiency described in the finding below to be a significant deficiency in internal control over reporting on the Forms MS-965:

### • Failure To Properly Prepare Forms MS-965.

A material weakness is a significant deficiency or combination of significant deficiencies that results in more than a remote likelihood that a material misstatement of the Forms MS-965 will not be prevented or detected by the Township of Moore, Northampton County's internal control. Our consideration of the internal control over reporting on the Forms MS-965 would not necessarily disclose all matters in the internal control that might be significant deficiencies and, accordingly, would not necessarily disclose all significant deficiencies that are also considered to be material weaknesses. We consider the significant deficiency described above to be a material weakness.

## **Independent Auditor's Report (Continued)**

The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*. However, we did note an other matter that, while not required to be included in this report by *Government Auditing Standards*, has been included in the finding below:

• Late Receipt Of Allocation.

We are concerned in light of the municipality's failure to correct a previously reported examination finding concerning the failure to properly prepare its Forms MS-965. During our current examination the municipality failed to properly prepare its Forms MS-965 and received its 2011 Liquid Fuels Tax Fund allocation late. The municipality should strive to implement the recommendations and corrective actions noted in this report.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation and the management of the Township of Moore, Northampton County, and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

March 23, 2012

EUGENE A. DEPASQUALE
Auditor General

Eugraf: O-Pagur



# TOWNSHIP OF MOORE NORTHAMPTON COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND 2010 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 1 WITH ADJUSTMENTS

Expenditure Summary	Reported	A	Adjustments (Note 4)	Adjusted Amount
<u> </u>	 <u> </u>		,	
Major equipment purchases	\$ 65,218.00	\$	(27,465.53)	\$ 37,752.47
Minor equipment purchases	510.00		-	510.00
Computer/Computer related training	-		-	-
Agility projects	-		-	-
Cleaning streets and gutters	6,464.20		-	6,464.20
Winter maintenance services	81,759.23		-	81,759.23
Traffic control devices	5,189.85		1,591.75	6,781.60
Street lighting	-		-	-
Storm sewers and drains	5,564.88		(2,032.50)	3,532.38
Repairs of tools and machinery	52,177.92		(1,837.75)	50,340.17
Maintenance and repair of				
roads and bridges	166,727.49		21,584.69	188,312.18
Highway construction and				
rebuilding projects	-		-	-
Miscellaneous (Bank service charges)	 		5.00	5.00
Total (To Section 2, Line 5)	\$ 383,611.57	\$	(8,154.34)	\$ 375,457.23

# TOWNSHIP OF MOORE NORTHAMPTON COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND 2010 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 2 WITH ADJUSTMENTS

Fund Balance	Reported		Reported Adjustments (Note 4)		Adjusted Amount		
1. Balance, January 1, 2010	\$	314,326.17	\$	36,406.95	\$	350,733.12	
Receipts: 2. State allocation		310,473.07		-		310,473.07	
<ul><li>2a. Turnback allocation</li><li>2b. Interest on investments (Note 3)</li><li>2c. Miscellaneous</li></ul>		285.59 285.19		(285.19)		285.59	
3. Total receipts		311,043.85		(285.19)		310,758.66	
4. Total funds available		625,370.02		36,121.76		661,491.78	
5. Expenditures (Section 1)		383,611.57		(8,154.34)		375,457.23	
6. Balance, December 31, 2010	\$	241,758.45	\$	44,276.10	\$	286,034.55	

# TOWNSHIP OF MOORE NORTHAMPTON COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND 2010 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 3 WITH ADJUSTMENTS

Equipment Balance		Reported	 Adjustments (Note 4)	Adjusted Amount
1. Prior year equipment balance	\$	48,625.36	\$ 280,490.92	\$ 329,116.28
2. Add: Current year equipment allocation (20% of Lines 2 + 2a, Section 2)	n	62,094.61	-	62,094.61
3. PENNDOT approved adjustments				
4. Total funds available for equipment acquisition		110,719.97	280,490.92	391,210.89
5. Less: Major equipment expenditures	,	65,218.00	 (27,465.53)	37,752.47
6. Remainder		45,501.97	307,956.45	353,458.42
7. Equipment balance available for subsequent year (Lesser of Line 6 or Section 2 balance, but not less than zero)	\$	45,501.97	\$ 240,532.58	\$ 286,034.55

# TOWNSHIP OF MOORE NORTHAMPTON COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND 2011 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 1 WITH ADJUSTMENTS

		<b>D</b> 1	A	djustments		Adjusted
Expenditure Summary		Reported		(Note 4)		Amount
Major equipment purchases	\$	33,214.59	\$	6,875.82	\$	40,090.41
Minor equipment purchases		1,638.29		-		1,638.29
Computer/Computer related training		-		-		-
Agility projects		-		-		-
Cleaning streets and gutters		9,520.00		-		9,520.00
Winter maintenance services		105,512.70		-		105,512.70
Traffic control devices		5,966.79		-		5,966.79
Street lighting		-		-		-
Storm sewers and drains		15,005.54		-		15,005.54
Repairs of tools and machinery		26,270.31		(13,156.06)		13,114.25
Maintenance and repair of						
roads and bridges		70,339.30		6,280.24		76,619.54
Highway construction and						
rebuilding projects		-		-		-
Miscellaneous (Bank service charges)		<u>-</u>		30.00		30.00
Total (To Section 2, Line 5)	\$	267,467.52	\$	30.00	\$	267,497.52
Total (10 Section 2, Line 3)	φ	201,401.32	φ	30.00	Ф	401,491.34

# TOWNSHIP OF MOORE NORTHAMPTON COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND 2011 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 2 WITH ADJUSTMENTS

Fund Balance	Reported	djustments (Note 4)	 Adjusted Amount
1. Balance, January 1, 2011	\$ 283,807.26	\$ 2,227.29	\$ 286,034.55
Receipts: 2. State allocation	310,473.07	7,287.70	317,760.77
<ul><li>2a. Turnback allocation</li><li>2b. Interest on investments (Note 3)</li><li>2c. Miscellaneous (Note 5)</li></ul>	 119.34 3,327.15	(83.14)	119.34 3,244.01
3. Total receipts	 313,919.56	7,204.56	321,124.12
4. Total funds available	 597,726.82	 9,431.85	607,158.67
5. Expenditures (Section 1)	 267,467.52	30.00	267,497.52
6. Balance, December 31, 2011	\$ 330,259.30	\$ 9,401.85	\$ 339,661.15

# TOWNSHIP OF MOORE NORTHAMPTON COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND 2011 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 3 WITH ADJUSTMENTS

Equipment Balance		Reported	 Adjustments (Note 4)	Adjusted Amount
1. Prior year equipment balance	\$	27,241.73	\$ 258,792.82	\$ 286,034.55
2. Add: Current year equipment allocation (20% of Lines 2 + 2a, Section 2)	1	62,094.61	1,457.54	63,552.15
3. PENNDOT approved adjustments		<u> </u>		
4. Total funds available for equipment acquisition		89,336.34	260,250.36	349,586.70
5. Less: Major equipment expenditures		33,214.59	6,875.82	40,090.41
6. Remainder		56,121.75	253,374.54	309,496.29
7. Equipment balance available for subsequent year (Lesser of Line 6 or Section 2 balance, but not less than zero)	\$	56,121.75	\$ 253,374.54	\$ 309,496.29

### 1. Criteria

### Section 1

This section of Form MS-965 With Adjustments provides a summary of Liquid Fuels Tax Fund expenditures by category. Categories requiring explanation include:

- Major equipment purchases are purchases of road machinery and road equipment that cost in excess of \$4,000.00.
- Minor equipment purchases are purchases of road machinery and road equipment that cost \$4,000.00 or less.
- Agility projects are exchanges of services with the Department of Transportation.

### Section 2

This section of Form MS-965 With Adjustments provides information on the fund balance. Categories requiring explanation include:

- The state allocation is generally received from the Department of Transportation during the first week in April of each year. The amount the municipality receives is based half on its population and half on its road mileage.
- The turnback allocation is generally received from the Department of Transportation during the first week in April of each year. A municipality receives a yearly turnback allocation based on road mileage for all roads that were transferred to the municipality from the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania through the Highway Transfer Program.
- Expenditures include the total transferred from Section 1.

# Section 3

This section of Form MS-965 With Adjustments determines if the municipality expended Liquid Fuels Tax Fund money in excess of the permissible amount for equipment and the balance that the municipality may carry forward for the purchase of equipment to the subsequent year.

### 1. <u>Criteria (Continued)</u>

### Section 3 (Continued)

Department of Transportation *Publication 9* requires that the amount expended for equipment purchases in a given year not exceed the sum of the equipment balance carried forward from the previous year and 20 percent of the current year's Liquid Fuels Tax Fund allocation and, if applicable, 20 percent of the turnback allocation plus other Department of Transportation approved adjustments.

If the municipality spent in excess of the amount listed on Line 4, the excess must be reimbursed to the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund.

The equipment balance to be carried forward for the subsequent year is the lesser of the amount on Line 6 or the ending fund balance on Line 6 of Section 2, but not less than zero.

### **Basis Of Presentation**

The financial activities of the municipality are accounted for in separate funds. The Liquid Fuels Tax Fund is used to account for state aid revenues from the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation used primarily for building and improving local roads and bridges. The Forms MS-965 have been prepared in accordance with reporting requirements prescribed by the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation as a result of the Fuels Tax Act 655, dated 1956 and as amended, which does not constitute a complete presentation of the entity's assets, liabilities, expenses, and fund balance. Accordingly, the presentation of Forms MS-965 With Adjustments is restricted to the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund, which represents a segment of the entity.

### **Basis Of Accounting**

The accompanying Forms MS-965 With Adjustments are prepared in accordance with reporting requirements prescribed by the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation. Under this method, revenues are recognized when received and expenditures are recorded when paid.

### 1. Criteria (Continued)

### General Fixed Assets

General fixed assets are recognized as expenditures at the time of purchase. No depreciation has been provided on the heavy equipment used to maintain and repair roads and bridges.

# 2. Deposits

The Second Class Township Code, Title 53 P.S. § 68204, authorizes the township to deposit its funds in the following:

- Deposits in savings accounts or time deposits, other than certificates of deposit or share accounts of institutions having their principal place of business in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) or other like insurance. For any amount above the insured maximum, the depository shall pledge approved collateral.
- Certificates of deposit purchased from institutions insured by the FDIC or other like insurance to the extent that such accounts are so insured. For any amounts in excess of the insured maximum, such deposits shall be collateralized by a pledge or assignment of assets. Certificates of deposit may not exceed 20 percent of a bank's total capital surplus or 20 percent of a savings and loan's or savings bank's assets minus liabilities.

Deposits consist of receipts and deposits in financial institutions. Pennsylvania statutes require all deposits to be insured and, for any amount above the insured maximum, to be secured with approved collateral as defined.

There were no deposits exposed to custodial credit risk as of December 31, 2011. Custodial credit risk, as defined by GASB No. 40, includes deposits that are not covered by depository insurance and the deposits are uncollateralized, collateralized with securities held by the pledging financial institution, or collateralized with securities held by the pledging financial institution's trust department or agent but not in the municipality's name.

## 2. <u>Deposits (Continued)</u>

### Fund Balance

The fund balance as of December 31, 2011 consists of the following:

Cash \$339,661.15

# 3. <u>Interest On Investments</u>

Our examination disclosed that the municipality deposited idle liquid fuels tax money in interest-bearing accounts which earned \$285.59 during 2010, and \$119.34 during 2011, thus providing additional funds for road maintenance and repairs.

### 4. Adjustments

### 2010 - Section 1

An adjustment of \$(27,465.53) was made to "Major equipment purchases" because expenditures for maintenance and repair of roads and bridges were misclassified.

An adjustment of \$1,591.75 was made to "Traffic control devices" because these expenditures were overstated by \$20.75 and check No. 1313 for \$1,612.50 was not reported.

An adjustment of \$(2,032.50) was made to "Storm sewers and drains" because these expenditures were overstated.

An adjustment of \$(1,837.75) was made to "Repairs of tools and machinery" because these expenditures were overstated.

An adjustment of \$21,584.69 was made to "Maintenance and repair of roads and bridges" because these expenditures were overstated by \$5,880.84 and \$27,465.53 of these expenditures were misclassified as major equipment purchases.

An adjustment of \$5.00 was made to "Miscellaneous" because a bank service charge was not reported.

## 4. Adjustments (Continued)

### 2010 - Section 2

An adjustment of \$36,406.95 was made to "Balance, January 1, 2010" because an incorrect fund balance was reported.

An adjustment of \$(285.19) was made to "Miscellaneous" because there were no miscellaneous receipts.

# <u>2010 - Section 3</u>

An adjustment of \$280,490.92 was made to "Prior year equipment balance" because an incorrect equipment balance was carried forward.

An adjustment of \$(27,465.53) was made to "Major equipment expenditures" to reflect the adjustment made to major equipment purchases in 2010 - Section 1.

# 2011 - Section 1

An adjustment of \$6,875.82 was made to "Major equipment purchases" because \$8,986.00 of expenditures related to check No. 1364 were misclassified as repairs of tools and machinery and check No. 1356 for \$2,110.18 for repairs of tools and machinery was misclassified.

An adjustment of \$(13,156.06) was made to "Repairs of tools and machinery" because \$2,110.18 of expenditures related to check No. 1356 were misclassified as major equipment purchases. Additionally, check No. 1364 for \$8,986.00 for major equipment purchases and check No. 1398 for \$6,280.24 for maintenance and repair of roads and bridges were misclassified.

An adjustment of \$6,280.24 was made to "Maintenance and repair of roads and bridges" because check No. 1398 was misclassified as repairs of tools and machinery.

An adjustment of \$30.00 was made to "Miscellaneous" because bank service charges were not reported.

## 4. Adjustments (Continued)

### 2011 - Section 2

An adjustment of \$2,227.29 was made to "Balance, January 1, 2011" because an incorrect fund balance was carried forward.

An adjustment of \$7,287.70 was made to "State allocation" because this receipt was understated.

An adjustment of \$(83.14) was made to "Miscellaneous" because these receipts were overstated.

### 2011 - Section 3

An adjustment of \$258,792.82 was made to "Prior year equipment balance" because an incorrect equipment balance was carried forward.

An adjustment of \$1,457.54 was made to "Current year equipment allocation" because the state allocation from 2011 - Section 2, which is used to calculate this figure, was understated.

An adjustment of \$6,875.82 was made to "Major equipment expenditures" to reflect the adjustment made to major equipment purchases in 2011 - Section 1.

# 5. <u>Miscellaneous Receipts</u>

The following miscellaneous receipts were deposited into the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund during the examination period:

Source	Description	2011
Vendor	Refund for overpayment (Note 6)	\$2,100.00
Vendor	Refund for overpayment (Note 7)	1,108.01
Financial institution	Refund for bank service charges	36.00
Total		\$3,244.01

### 6. Overpayment Of Invoice

On June 7, 2011, the municipality expended \$6,280.24 from the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund to pay vendor invoice No. R00114 for the rental of a skid steer and pick-up broom. However, the amount of the invoice was only \$4,180.24. On June 30, 2011, the municipality deposited \$2,100.00 into its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund that was received from the vendor to correct the overpayment of the invoice.

# 7. <u>Lease-Purchase Agreement</u>

On April 11, 2007, the municipality entered into a lease-purchase agreement with Municipal Capital Corporation to purchase a LeeBoy asphalt paver for \$46,886.00. The agreement was for a term of four years at an interest rate of 6.32 percent. Principal and interest payments of \$1,108.01 are due monthly. Prior years' principal and interest payments from the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund were \$14,655.36 and \$1,964.79, respectively. Additionally, the municipality paid principal of \$15,271.63 and interest of \$3,564.54 from the General Fund.

During the current examination period the municipality paid principal of \$18,067.02 and interest of \$780.31 from the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund. These amounts are reflected in major equipment purchases on the 2010 and 2011 Forms MS-965 - Section 1. The lease-purchase agreement was paid-in-full on April 5, 2011. On May 19, 2011, Municipal Capital Corporation refunded \$1,108.01 to the township for an overpayment of principal on the lease-purchase agreement.

## 8. <u>Lease-Purchase Agreement</u>

On August 12, 2008, the municipality entered into a lease-purchase agreement with DCFS USA LLC to purchase a 2008 Freightliner truck for \$117,063.00. The agreement was for a term of five years at an interest rate of 4.60 percent. Principal and interest payments of \$25,564.36 are due annually. Prior years' principal and interest payments from the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund were \$46,919.79 and \$4,208.94, respectively.

During the current examination period the municipality paid principal of \$45,703.09 and interest of \$5,425.64 from the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund. These amounts are reflected in major equipment purchases on the 2010 and 2011 Forms MS-965 - Section 1. The outstanding balance of the lease-purchase agreement as of December 31, 2011 was \$24,440.12, plus interest.



### Finding No. 1 - Failure To Properly Prepare Forms MS-965

Our examination disclosed that there were numerous errors made in the preparation of the municipality's 2010 and 2011 Forms MS-965. These adjustments, which are disclosed in Note 4, are as follows:

### 2010 - Section 1

- An adjustment of \$(27,465.53) was made to "Major equipment purchases" because expenditures for maintenance and repair of roads and bridges were misclassified.
- An adjustment of \$1,591.75 was made to "Traffic control devices" because these expenditures were overstated by \$20.75 and check No. 1313 for \$1,612.50 was not reported.
- An adjustment of \$(2,032.50) was made to "Storm sewers and drains" because these expenditures were overstated.
- An adjustment of \$(1,837.75) was made to "Repairs of tools and machinery" because these expenditures were overstated.
- An adjustment of \$21,584.69 was made to "Maintenance and repair of roads and bridges" because these expenditures were overstated by \$5,880.84 and \$27,465.53 of these expenditures were misclassified as major equipment purchases.
- An adjustment of \$5.00 was made to "Miscellaneous" because a bank service charge was not reported.

### 2010 - Section 2

- An adjustment of \$36,406.95 was made to "Balance, January 1, 2010" because an incorrect fund balance was reported.
- An adjustment of \$(285.19) was made to "Miscellaneous" because there were no miscellaneous receipts.

# Finding No. 1 - Failure To Properly Prepare Forms MS-965 (Continued)

### 2010 - Section 3

- An adjustment of \$280,490.92 was made to "Prior year equipment balance" because an incorrect equipment balance was carried forward.
- An adjustment of \$(27,465.53) was made to "Major equipment expenditures" to reflect the adjustment made to major equipment purchases in 2010 Section 1.

### 2011 - Section 1

- An adjustment of \$6,875.82 was made to "Major equipment purchases" because \$8,986.00 of expenditures related to check No. 1364 were misclassified as repairs of tools and machinery and check No. 1356 for \$2,110.18 for repairs of tools and machinery was misclassified.
- An adjustment of \$(13,156.06) was made to "Repairs of tools and machinery" because \$2,110.18 of expenditures related to check No. 1356 were misclassified as major equipment purchases. Additionally, check No. 1364 for \$8,986.00 for major equipment purchases and check No. 1398 for \$6,280.24 for maintenance and repair of roads and bridges were misclassified.
- An adjustment of \$6,280.24 was made to "Maintenance and repair of roads and bridges" because check No. 1398 was misclassified as repairs of tools and machinery.
- An adjustment of \$30.00 was made to "Miscellaneous" because bank service charges were not reported.

## Finding No. 1 - Failure To Properly Prepare Forms MS-965 (Continued)

### 2011 - Section 2

- An adjustment of \$2,227.29 was made to "Balance, January 1, 2011" because an incorrect fund balance was carried forward.
- An adjustment of \$7,287.70 was made to "State allocation" because this receipt was understated.
- An adjustment of \$(83.14) was made to "Miscellaneous" because these receipts were overstated.

### 2011 - Section 3

- An adjustment of \$258,792.82 was made to "Prior year equipment balance" because an incorrect equipment balance was carried forward.
- An adjustment of \$1,457.54 was made to "Current year equipment allocation" because the state allocation from 2011 Section 2, which is used to calculate this figure, was understated.
- An adjustment of \$6,875.82 was made to "Major equipment expenditures" to reflect the adjustment made to major equipment purchases in 2011 Section 1.

Good internal controls ensure that the municipality complete its Forms MS-965 accurately and completely. The failure to properly complete Forms MS-965 increases the risk that errors or misappropriations may occur and remain undetected.

A similar finding was also written in our prior report.

### Recommendation

We again recommend that the municipality ensure that its Forms MS-965 are complete and accurate.

# Finding No. 1 - Failure To Properly Prepare Forms MS-965 (Continued)

# Management's Response

The municipal officials stated:

We are working on getting the categories in the right place.

# Auditor's Conclusion

During our next examination we will determine if the municipality complied with our recommendation.

## Finding No. 2 - Late Receipt Of Allocation

Our examination disclosed that the 2011 Liquid Fuels Tax Fund allocation of \$317,760.77, which should have been distributed from the Department of Transportation to the municipality during the first week of April of that year, was not received until May 6, 2011, because the municipality failed to comply with the Department of Transportation's *Publication 9*, Chapter Two, Section 2.4, which states:

To qualify for the annual liquid fuels tax allocation, a municipality shall:

- Submit annual reports (MS-965, Actual Use Report, MS-965P, Project and Miscellaneous Receipts, and MS-965S, Record of Checks).
- Make deposits and payments or expenditures in compliance with the Act 655. Failure to do so may result in not receiving allocations from PENNDOT until all discrepancies are resolved.
- Submit the Pennsylvania Department of Community and Economic Development's (DCED) Report of Elected and Appointed Officials by January 31<sup>st</sup> and the Survey of Financial Condition by March 15<sup>th</sup>.
- Ensure resolution of all Contractor Responsibility Program (CRP) holds and blocks imposed by the Department of Revenue and the Department of Labor and Industry.

Because the municipality failed to file documents and information timely as noted above, the municipality did not have use of the 2011 allocation for a month. Furthermore, had the allocation been received timely, money may have been available for investment purposes, potentially earning interest income which could have been used for road maintenance and repairs.

### Recommendation

We recommend that, in the future, the municipality comply with the Department of Transportation's *Publication 9* to ensure that the allocations are received during the first week in April as outlined above.

# Finding No. 2 - Late Receipt Of Allocation (Continued)

# Management's Response

The municipal officials stated:

The form was filed late as an oversight.

# Auditor's Conclusion

The township officials should ensure that all documents and information are filed timely to ensure that the township receives its allocation during the first week in April. During our next examination we will determine if the township complied with our recommendation.

# TOWNSHIP OF MOORE NORTHAMPTON COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND SUMMARY OF EXIT CONFERENCE FOR THE TWO YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2011

An exit conference was held March 23, 2012. Those participating were:

# **TOWNSHIP OF MOORE**

The Honorable Richard K. Gable, Supervisor/Secretary

# **DEPARTMENT OF THE AUDITOR GENERAL**

Mrs. Sandra Gichiengo, Auditor

The results of the examination were presented and discussed in their entirety.



TOWNSHIP OF MOORE NORTHAMPTON COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND REPORT DISTRIBUTION FOR THE TWO YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2011

This report was initially distributed to:

The Honorable Barry J. Schoch, P.E. Secretary
Department of Transportation

Township of Moore Northampton County 2491 Community Drive Bath, PA 18014

The Honorable David Tashner, Sr. Chairman of the Board of Supervisors

The Honorable Richard K. Gable Supervisor/Secretary

This report is a matter of public record. Copies of this report may be obtained from the Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General, Office of Communications, 318 Finance Building, Harrisburg, PA 17120. To view this report online or to contact the Department of the Auditor General, please access our web site at www.auditorgen.state.pa.us.