

# PROTHONOTARY NORTHUMBERLAND COUNTY EXAMINATION REPORT FOR THE PERIOD JANUARY 1, 2005 TO NOVEMBER 20, 2006

Released September 2013

### **COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA**

EUGENE A. DEPASQUALE - AUDITOR GENERAL

**DEPARTMENT OF THE AUDITOR GENERAL** 





### PROTHONOTARY

NORTHUMBERLAND COUNTY

**EXAMINATION REPORT** 

FOR THE PERIOD

JANUARY 1, 2005 TO NOVEMBER 20, 2006



Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General Harrisburg, PA 17120-0018 Facebook: Pennsylvania Auditor General Twitter: @PAAuditorGen

EUGENE A. DEPASQUALE AUDITOR GENERAL

<u>Independent Auditor's Report</u>

The Honorable Daniel P. Meuser Secretary Pennsylvania Department of Revenue Harrisburg, PA 17128

We have examined the accompanying statement of receipts and disbursements (Statement) of the Prothonotary, Northumberland County, Pennsylvania (County Officer), for the period January 1, 2005 to November 20, 2006, pursuant to the requirements of Sections 401(b) and 401(d) of *The Fiscal Code*, 72 P.S § 401(b) and § 401(d). This Statement is the responsibility of the county office's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on this Statement based on our examination.

Our examination was conducted in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants and the standards applicable to attestation engagements contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. An examination includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the Statement and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our examination provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

We are mandated by Sections 401(b) and 401(d) of *The Fiscal Code* to audit the accounts of each county officer to determine whether all moneys collected on behalf of the Commonwealth have been correctly assessed, reported and promptly remitted. *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States include attestation engagements as a separate type of audit. An attestation engagement performed pursuant to *Government Auditing Standards* involves additional standards that exceed the standards provided by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. Accordingly, this attestation engagement complies with both *Government Auditing Standards* and Sections 401(b) and 401(d) of *The Fiscal Code*.

In our opinion, the Statement referred to above presents, in all material respects, the operations of the County Officer as it pertains to receipts made on behalf of the Commonwealth for the period January 1, 2005 to November 20, 2006, in conformity with the criteria set forth in Note 1.

### <u>Independent Auditor's Report (Continued)</u>

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we are required to report findings of significant deficiencies in internal control, violations of provisions of contracts or grant agreements, and abuse that are material to the Statement and any fraud and illegal acts that are more than inconsequential that come to our attention during our examination. We are also required to obtain the views of management on those matters. We performed our examination to express an opinion on whether the Statement is presented in accordance with the criteria described above and not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the internal control over reporting on the Statement or on compliance and other matters; accordingly, we express no such opinions.

A control deficiency exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent or detect misstatements on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a control deficiency, or combination of control deficiencies, that adversely affects the County Officer's ability to initiate, authorize, record, process, or report data reliably in accordance with the applicable criteria such that there is more than a remote likelihood that a misstatement of the County Officer's Statement that is more than inconsequential will not be prevented or detected by the County Officer's internal control. We consider the deficiency described in the finding below to be a significant deficiency in internal control over the reporting on the Statement:

### • Bank Deposit Slips Not Validated.

A material weakness is a significant deficiency or combination of significant deficiencies that results in more than a remote likelihood that a material misstatement of the Statement will not be prevented or detected by the County Officer's internal control. Our consideration of the internal control over reporting on the Statement would not necessarily disclose all matters in the internal control that might be significant deficiencies and, accordingly, would not necessarily disclose all significant deficiencies that are also considered to be material weaknesses. We consider the significant deficiency described above to be a material weakness

The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

### <u>Independent Auditor's Report (Continued)</u>

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the Pennsylvania Department of Revenue, the Administrative Office of Pennsylvania Courts, and the County Officer and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

January 4, 2013

EUGENE A. DEPASQUALE

Eugent: O-Pager

**Auditor General** 

### **CONTENTS**

	Page
Financial Section:	
Statement Of Receipts And Disbursements	1
Notes To The Statement Of Receipts And Disbursements	2
Finding And Recommendations:	
Finding - Bank Deposit Slips Not Validated	4
Report Distribution	5

### PROTHONOTARY NORTHUMBERLAND COUNTY STATEMENT OF RECEIPTS AND DISBURSEMENTS FOR THE PERIOD

### JANUARY 1, 2005 TO NOVEMBER 20, 2006

	• .	
v	accustes.	
n	eceipts:	
• •	CCCIPES.	

Writ Taxes	\$ 1,480
Divorce Complaint Surcharges	4,690
Judicial Computer System/Access To Justice Fees	31,295
Protection From Abuse Surcharges and Contempt Fines	1,438
Criminal Charge Information System Fees	1,515
Total Receipts (Note 2)	40,418
Commissions (Note 3)	 (44)
Net Receipts	40,374
Disbursements to Commonwealth (Note 4)	 (40,374)
Balance due Commonwealth (County) per settled reports (Note 5)	-
Examination adjustments	 
Adjusted balance due Commonwealth (County) for the period January 1, 2005 to November 20, 2006	\$ 

Notes to the Statement of Receipts and Disbursements are an integral part of this report.

## PROTHONOTARY NORTHUMBERLAND COUNTY NOTES TO THE STATEMENT OF RECEIPTS AND DISBURSEMENTS FOR THE PERIOD JANUARY 1, 2005 TO NOVEMBER 20, 2006

### 1. Criteria

The Statement of Receipts and Disbursements provides a summary of receipts and disbursements by category. The categories and the amounts of taxes, surcharges, fines, and fees assessed are based on Pennsylvania laws and regulations.

The Statement was prepared in accordance with reporting requirements prescribed by the Pennsylvania Department of Revenue. Under this method, only the Commonwealth portion of cash receipts and disbursements are presented, revenues are recognized when received, and expenditures are recognized when paid.

### 2. Receipts

Receipts consist of monies collected on behalf of the Department of Revenue and the Administrative Office of Pennsylvania Courts. These include monies collected for the following taxes, surcharges, fees, and fines:

- Writ Taxes represent a \$.50 or \$.25 tax imposed on taxable instruments filed with the Prothonotary.
- Divorce Complaint Surcharges represent a \$10 surcharge imposed on all divorce decrees.
- Judicial Computer System/Access To Justice Fees represent a \$10 fee imposed for the filing of any legal paper to initiate a civil action or proceeding.
- Protection From Abuse Surcharges represent a \$25 surcharge imposed against defendants when a protection order is granted as a result of a hearing. Effective May 9, 2006, the surcharge was increased to \$100. Protection From Abuse Contempt Fines represent fines of not less than \$100 nor more than \$1,000 imposed against a defendant who is found to be in violation of a protection from abuse order. Effective May 9, 2006, the fine was increased to a minimum of \$300 and maximum of \$1,000.

### PROTHONOTARY NORTHUMBERLAND COUNTY NOTES TO THE STATEMENT OF RECEIPTS AND DISBURSEMENTS FOR THE PERIOD

JANUARY 1, 2005 TO NOVEMBER 20, 2006

### 2. Receipts (Continued)

• Criminal Charge Information System Fees represent a fee imposed on all custody cases. Of the fee imposed, 80% is payable to the Administrative Office of Pennsylvania Courts (AOPC) and 20% is payable to the County in which the action took place. The fee was \$6 for the period January 1, 2005 to December 31, 2005, \$6.50 for the period January 1, 2006 to December 31, 2007. The statement of receipts and disbursements only reflects the portion collected on behalf of the AOPC.

### 3. Commissions

Acting in the capacity of an agent for the Commonwealth, the Prothonotary is authorized to collect a commission of 3 percent on the Commonwealth portion of Writ Taxes. Accordingly, commissions owed the county are not included in the balance due the Commonwealth.

### 4. Disbursements

Total disbursements are comprised as follows:

Prothonotary checks issued to:

Department of Revenue	\$	38,859
Adminstrative Office of Pennsylvania Courts		1,515
Total	\$	40,374

### 5. <u>Balance Due Commonwealth (County) For The Period January 1, 2005 To November 20, 2006</u>

This balance reflects a summary of monthly transmittal reports as settled by the Department of Revenue. The balance also reflects a summary of receipts disbursed directly to the Administrative Office of Pennsylvania Courts.

### 6. <u>County Officer Serving During Examination Period</u>

Suzanne Vastine-Smith served as Prothonotary during the period January 1, 2005 to November 20, 2006.

# PROTHONOTARY NORTHUMBERLAND COUNTY FINDING AND RECOMMENDATIONS FOR THE PERIOD JANUARY 1, 2005 TO NOVEMBER 20, 2006

### Finding - Bank Deposit Slips Not Validated

Our examination of the Prothonotary's accounting records disclosed that the office copy of the bank deposit slip was not validated by the bank in 3 of the 33 deposits tested. The office received a validated receipt from the bank for the three exceptions, but this only confirmed the total amount deposited and not the actual make up of the deposit (i.e. cash and check mix).

Good internal accounting controls require that the amount of each check and the total amount of cash deposited are identified on the deposit slip. The office copy of each deposit should be brought to the bank to be validated. If the bank cannot validate the deposit slip, the office should obtain a deposit ticket from the bank that validates total cash and the total deposit. After the office receives the validation from the bank, it should be reconciled to the receipts by someone other than the person preparing or making the deposit.

Without a good system of internal controls over funds received by the office, the possibility of funds being lost or misappropriated increases significantly.

The office was not aware of the internal control weaknesses caused by not obtaining a validated deposit slip or deposit ticket from the bank.

### Recommendations

We recommend that the office obtain a validation from the bank as to the mix of cash and checks deposited. We further recommend that the validation is reconciled to receipts by someone other than the person preparing or making the deposit.

#### Management's Response

The current County officer responded as follows:

All deposit slips for all bank accounts are now validated.

# PROTHONOTARY NORTHUMBERLAND COUNTY REPORT DISTRIBUTION FOR THE PERIOD JANUARY 1, 2005 TO NOVEMBER 20, 2006

This report was initially distributed to:

The Honorable Daniel P. Meuser Secretary Pennsylvania Department of Revenue

The Honorable Zygmont Pines Court Administrator of Pennsylvania Supreme Court of Pennsylvania Administrative Office of Pennsylvania Courts

The Honorable M. Kathleen Strausser Prothonotary

The Honorable Anthony Phillips Controller

The Honorable Vinny Clausi Chairperson of the Board of Commissioners

This report is a matter of public record and is available online at <a href="http://www.auditorgen.state.pa.us">http://www.auditorgen.state.pa.us</a>. Media questions about the report can be directed to the Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General, Office of Communications, 231 Finance Building, Harrisburg, PA 17120; via email to: <a href="mailto:news@auditorgen.state.pa.us">news@auditorgen.state.pa.us</a>.