

BOROUGH OF SHENANDOAH SCHUYLKILL COUNTY 53-427

LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND EXAMINATION REPORT

FOR THE TWO YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2011

Released March 2013

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA

EUGENE A. DEPASQUALE - AUDITOR GENERAL

DEPARTMENT OF THE AUDITOR GENERAL





BOROUGH OF SHENANDOAH SCHUYLKILL COUNTY 53-427

LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND EXAMINATION REPORT

FOR THE TWO YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2011

CONTENTS

<u>Page</u>
Background
Independent Auditor's Report
Financial Section:
2010 Form MS-965 With Adjustments
2011 Form MS-965 With Adjustments
Notes To Forms MS-965 With Adjustments
Findings And Recommendations:
Finding No. 1 - Purchase Over \$10,000.00 In Noncompliance With Advertising And Bidding Requirements
Finding No. 2 - Documentation For Price Quotations Was Not Available For Examination
Finding No. 3 - Transfers To The General Fund For Anticipated Liquid Fuels Tax Fund Expenditures
Summary Of Exit Conference
Report Distribution 25

BOROUGH OF SHENANDOAH SCHUYLKILL COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND BACKGROUND FOR THE TWO YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2011

Background

The Liquid Fuels Tax Municipal Allocation Law, Title 72 P.S. § 2615.5, provides municipalities other than counties (townships, boroughs, cities, towns, home rule, and optional plan governments) with an annual allocation of liquid fuels taxes from the state's Motor License Fund to be used for the maintenance and repair of streets, roads, and bridges for which the municipality is responsible. The allocation of these funds to municipalities is based: (1) 50 percent on the municipality's proportion of local road mileage to the total local road mileage in the state, and (2) 50 percent on the proportion of a municipality's population to the total population in the state.

The Vehicle Code, Title 75 P.S. § 9511, provides municipalities with annual maintenance payments to be received from the Motor License Fund for functionally local highways that were transferred to a municipality from the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

Each municipality must deposit the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund money and annual maintenance payments that it receives into a special fund called the Municipal Liquid Fuels Tax Fund or State Fund. A municipality may not deposit any other monies into this fund except when the municipality does not have enough money in the special fund to meet the payments called for by its current annual budget for road and bridge purposes. In this case, the municipality may borrow money or transfer money from its General Fund to its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund.

The Department of Transportation has been given the regulatory authority for the administration of these funds. To qualify for its annual allocation, each municipality shall submit the following documents and information to the Department of Transportation:

- 1. Evidence that the treasurer is bonded in accordance with the law, or that its treasurer is a bank requiring no bond by January 31 of each year.
- 2. A report indicating the manner in which its liquid fuels tax allocation was expended in the previous year (Form MS-965) by January 31 of each year.
- 3. A report of elected and appointed officials by January 31 of each year.
- 4. A Survey of Financial Condition by March 15 of each year.

Department of Transportation *Publication 9* contains the policies and procedures that govern the use of Liquid Fuels Tax Fund money. However, if there is a difference between *Publication 9* and any legislation, the legislation shall govern.





Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General Harrisburg, PA 17120-0018 Facebook: Pennsylvania Auditor General Twitter: @PAAuditorGen

EUGENE A. DEPASQUALE AUDITOR GENERAL

Independent Auditor's Report

The Honorable Barry J. Schoch, P.E. Secretary
Department of Transportation
Harrisburg, PA 17120

We examined the accompanying Forms MS-965 With Adjustments for the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund of the Borough of Shenandoah, Schuylkill County, for the two years ended December 31, 2011. The municipality's management is responsible for the Forms MS-965. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Forms MS-965 With Adjustments based on our examination.

Our examination was conducted in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants and the standards applicable to attestation engagements contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. An examination includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the Borough of Shenandoah, Schuylkill County's Forms MS-965 for the two years ended December 31, 2011 and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our examination provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

We are mandated by Section 403 of *The Fiscal Code*, 72 P.S. § 403, to audit each municipality's Liquid Fuels Tax Fund to ensure that funds received are expended in accordance with applicable laws and regulations. *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States include attestation engagements as a separate type of audit. An attestation engagement performed pursuant to *Government Auditing Standards* involves additional standards that exceed the standards provided by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. Accordingly, this attestation engagement complies with both *Government Auditing Standards* and Section 403 of *The Fiscal Code*.

Independent Auditor's Report (Continued)

As discussed in Finding No. 1, the borough entered into a lease-purchase agreement with Dollar Bank Leasing Corporation to purchase a 2003 Elgin street sweeper for \$60,500.00 without advertising for bids. During 2011 the borough paid a down payment of \$10,000.00, plus \$100.00 in closing costs, from its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund. Additionally, as discussed in Finding No. 2, during 2010 the municipality expended \$6,438.24 from the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund for the purchase of cold patch. However, documentation for price quotations was not available for examination.

In our opinion, except for the matters discussed in the preceding paragraph, the Forms MS-965 With Adjustments present, in all material respects, the information required by the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation for the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund of the Borough of Shenandoah, Schuylkill County, for the two years ended December 31, 2011, in conformity with the criteria set forth in Note 1.

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we are required to report findings of significant deficiencies in internal control, violations of provisions of contracts or grant agreements, and abuse that are material to the Forms MS-965 and any fraud and illegal acts that are more than inconsequential that come to our attention during our examination. We are also required to obtain the views of management on those matters. We performed our examination to express an opinion on whether the Forms MS-965 are presented in accordance with the criteria described above and not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the internal control over reporting on the Forms MS-965 or on compliance and other matters; accordingly, we express no such opinions.

A control deficiency exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent or detect misstatements on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a control deficiency, or combination of control deficiencies, that adversely affects the Borough of Shenandoah, Schuylkill County's ability to initiate, authorize, record, process, or report data reliably in accordance with the applicable criteria such that there is more than a remote likelihood that a misstatement of the Borough of Shenandoah, Schuylkill County's Forms MS-965 that is more than inconsequential will not be prevented or detected by the Borough of Shenandoah, Schuylkill County's internal control.

Independent Auditor's Report (Continued)

A material weakness is a significant deficiency or combination of significant deficiencies that results in more than a remote likelihood that a material misstatement of the Forms MS-965 will not be prevented or detected by the Borough of Shenandoah, Schuylkill County's internal control.

Our consideration of internal control over reporting on the Forms MS-965 was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and would not necessarily identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be significant deficiencies or material weaknesses. We did not identify any significant deficiencies or material weaknesses, as defined above, in internal control over reporting on the Forms MS-965.

The results of our tests disclosed the following instances of noncompliance that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*:

- Purchase Over \$10,000.00 In Noncompliance With Advertising And Bidding Requirements.
- Documentation For Price Quotations Was Not Available For Examination.

We also noted an other matter that, while not required to be included in this report by *Government Auditing Standards*, has been included in the finding below:

• Transfers To The General Fund For Anticipated Liquid Fuels Tax Fund Expenditures.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation and the management of the Borough of Shenandoah, Schuylkill County, and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

June 27, 2012

EUGENE A. DEPASQUALE

Eugraf. O-Purper

Auditor General



BOROUGH OF SHENANDOAH SCHUYLKILL COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND 2010 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 1 WITH ADJUSTMENTS

Expenditure Summary	Reported		Reported Adjustments		Adjusted Amount	
Major equipment purchases	\$	20,981.44	\$	_	\$	20,981.44
Minor equipment purchases		, -		-		, -
Computer/Computer related training		_		-		_
Agility projects		_		-		_
Cleaning streets and gutters		-		-		-
Winter maintenance services		8,137.73		-		8,137.73
Traffic control devices		10,679.00		-		10,679.00
Street lighting		77,681.72		-		77,681.72
Storm sewers and drains		-		-		-
Repairs of tools and machinery		8,161.31		-		8,161.31
Maintenance and repair of						
roads and bridges		6,430.24		-		6,430.24
Highway construction and						
rebuilding projects		-		-		-
Miscellaneous (Bank service charges)		8.00		-		8.00
Total (To Section 2, Line 5)	\$	132,079.44	\$		\$	132,079.44

BOROUGH OF SHENANDOAH SCHUYLKILL COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND 2010 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 2 WITH ADJUSTMENTS

Fund Balance	Reported	Adjı	ustments	Adjusted Amount
1. Balance, January 1, 2010	\$ 89,183.22	\$	-	\$ 89,183.22
Receipts: 2. State allocation	103,055.73		-	103,055.73
2a. Turnback allocation2b. Interest on investments (Note 3)2c. Miscellaneous	230.66		- - -	- 230.66 -
3. Total receipts	103,286.39		_	103,286.39
4. Total funds available	 192,469.61			192,469.61
5. Expenditures (Section 1)	132,079.44			132,079.44
6. Balance, December 31, 2010	\$ 60,390.17	\$		\$ 60,390.17

BOROUGH OF SHENANDOAH SCHUYLKILL COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND 2010 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 3 WITH ADJUSTMENTS

Equipment Balance	Reported		Reported Adjustments		Adjusted Amount	
1. Prior year equipment balance	\$	89,183.22	\$	-	\$	89,183.22
2. Add: Current year equipment allocation (20% of Lines 2 + 2a, Section 2)	1	20,611.15		-		20,611.15
3. PENNDOT approved adjustments		<u>-</u>		-		
4. Total funds available for equipment acquisition		109,794.37		-		109,794.37
5. Less: Major equipment expenditures		20,981.44		_		20,981.44
6. Remainder		88,812.93		-		88,812.93
7. Equipment balance available for subsequent year (Lesser of Line 6 or Section 2 balance, but not less than zero)	\$	60,390.17	\$	<u>-</u>	\$	60,390.17

Notes To Forms MS-965 With Adjustments are an integral part of this report.

BOROUGH OF SHENANDOAH SCHUYLKILL COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND 2011 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 1 WITH ADJUSTMENTS

Expenditure Summary	Reported		Reported Adjustments		Adjusted Amount	
•		•				
Major equipment purchases	\$	21,081.44	\$	-	\$	21,081.44
Minor equipment purchases		-		-		-
Computer/Computer related training		-		-		-
Agility projects		-		-		-
Cleaning streets and gutters		-		-		-
Winter maintenance services		5,155.23		-		5,155.23
Traffic control devices		-		-		-
Street lighting		72,155.39		-		72,155.39
Storm sewers and drains		-		-		-
Repairs of tools and machinery		157.80		-		157.80
Maintenance and repair of						
roads and bridges		3,191.58		-		3,191.58
Highway construction and						
rebuilding projects		-		-		-
Miscellaneous (Bank service charges)		24.00		-		24.00
Total (To Section 2, Line 5)	\$	101,765.44	\$	-	\$	101,765.44

BOROUGH OF SHENANDOAH SCHUYLKILL COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND 2011 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 2 WITH ADJUSTMENTS

Fund Balance	Reported	Adjı	ustments	Adjusted Amount
1. Balance, January 1, 2011	\$ 60,390.17	\$	-	\$ 60,390.17
Receipts: 2. State allocation 2a. Turnback allocation	105,537.63		-	105,537.63
2b. Interest on investments (Note 3)2c. Miscellaneous	105.69		-	 105.69
3. Total receipts	105,643.32			105,643.32
4. Total funds available	 166,033.49			 166,033.49
5. Expenditures (Section 1)	101,765.44			101,765.44
6. Balance, December 31, 2011	\$ 64,268.05	\$		\$ 64,268.05

BOROUGH OF SHENANDOAH SCHUYLKILL COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND 2011 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 3 WITH ADJUSTMENTS

Equipment Balance	Reported		Reported Adjustments		Adjusted Amount
1. Prior year equipment balance	\$	60,390.17	\$	-	\$ 60,390.17
2. Add: Current year equipment allocation (20% of Lines 2 + 2a, Section 2)	1	21,107.53		-	21,107.53
3. PENNDOT approved adjustments				-	
4. Total funds available for equipment acquisition		81,497.70		-	81,497.70
5. Less: Major equipment expenditures		21,081.44		-	 21,081.44
6. Remainder		60,416.26		_	 60,416.26
7. Equipment balance available for subsequent year (Lesser of Line 6 or Section 2 balance, but not less than zero)	\$	60,416.26	\$	-	\$ 60,416.26

Notes To Forms MS-965 With Adjustments are an integral part of this report.

1. Criteria

Section 1

This section of Form MS-965 With Adjustments provides a summary of Liquid Fuels Tax Fund expenditures by category. Categories requiring explanation include:

- Major equipment purchases are purchases of road machinery and road equipment that cost in excess of \$4,000.00.
- Minor equipment purchases are purchases of road machinery and road equipment that cost \$4,000.00 or less.
- Agility projects are exchanges of services with the Department of Transportation.

Section 2

This section of Form MS-965 With Adjustments provides information on the fund balance. Categories requiring explanation include:

- The state allocation is generally received from the Department of Transportation during the first week in April of each year. The amount the municipality receives is based half on its population and half on its road mileage.
- The turnback allocation is generally received from the Department of Transportation during the first week in April of each year. A municipality receives a yearly turnback allocation based on road mileage for all roads that were transferred to the municipality from the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania through the Highway Transfer Program.
- Expenditures include the total transferred from Section 1.

Section 3

This section of Form MS-965 With Adjustments determines if the municipality expended Liquid Fuels Tax Fund money in excess of the permissible amount for equipment and the balance that the municipality may carry forward for the purchase of equipment to the subsequent year.

1. <u>Criteria (Continued)</u>

Section 3 (Continued)

Department of Transportation *Publication 9* requires that the amount expended for equipment purchases in a given year not exceed the sum of the equipment balance carried forward from the previous year and 20 percent of the current year's Liquid Fuels Tax Fund allocation and, if applicable, 20 percent of the turnback allocation plus other Department of Transportation approved adjustments.

If the municipality spent in excess of the amount listed on Line 4, the excess must be reimbursed to the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund.

The equipment balance to be carried forward for the subsequent year is the lesser of the amount on Line 6 or the ending fund balance on Line 6 of Section 2, but not less than zero.

Basis Of Presentation

The financial activities of the municipality are accounted for in separate funds. The Liquid Fuels Tax Fund is used to account for state aid revenues from the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation used primarily for building and improving local roads and bridges. The Forms MS-965 have been prepared in accordance with reporting requirements prescribed by the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation as a result of the Fuels Tax Act 655, dated 1956 and as amended, which does not constitute a complete presentation of the entity's assets, liabilities, expenses, and fund balance. Accordingly, the presentation of Forms MS-965 With Adjustments is restricted to the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund, which represents a segment of the entity.

Basis Of Accounting

The accompanying Forms MS-965 With Adjustments are prepared in accordance with reporting requirements prescribed by the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation. Under this method, revenues are recognized when received and expenditures are recorded when paid.

1. Criteria (Continued)

General Fixed Assets

General fixed assets are recognized as expenditures at the time of purchase. No depreciation has been provided on the heavy equipment used to maintain and repair roads and bridges.

2. Deposits

The Borough Code, Title 53 P.S § 46316, authorizes the borough to deposit its funds in the following:

- Deposits in savings accounts or time deposits, other than certificates of deposit or share accounts of institutions having their principal place of business in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) or other like insurance. For any amount above the insured maximum, the depository shall pledge approved collateral.
- Certificates of deposit purchased from institutions insured by the FDIC or other like insurance to the extent that such accounts are so insured. For any amounts in excess of the insured maximum, such deposits shall be collateralized by a pledge or assignment of assets. Certificates of deposit may not exceed 20 percent of a bank's total capital surplus or 20 percent of a savings and loan's or savings bank's assets minus liabilities.

Deposits consist of receipts and deposits in financial institutions. Pennsylvania statutes require all deposits to be insured and, for any amount above the insured maximum, to be secured with approved collateral as defined.

There were no deposits exposed to custodial credit risk as of December 31, 2011. Custodial credit risk, as defined by GASB No. 40, includes deposits that are not covered by depository insurance and the deposits are uncollateralized, collateralized with securities held by the pledging financial institution, or collateralized with securities held by the pledging financial institution's trust department or agent but not in the municipality's name.

2. <u>Deposits (Continued)</u>

Fund Balance

The fund balance as of December 31, 2011 consists of the following:

Cash \$64,268.05

3. Interest On Investments

Our examination disclosed that the municipality deposited idle liquid fuels tax money in interest-bearing accounts which earned \$230.66 during 2010, and \$105.69 during 2011, thus providing additional funds for road maintenance and repairs.

4. <u>Lease-Purchase Agreement</u>

On December 15, 2009, the municipality entered into a lease-purchase agreement with TCF Equipment Finance to purchase a 2009 Ford F-550 Reg Cab XL for \$59,956.00. The municipality made a down payment of \$10,000.00 from the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund in 2010, leaving a balance of \$49,956.00 to be financed. The agreement was for a term of 5 years at an interest rate of 4.13 percent. Principal and interest payments of \$10,981.44 are due annually.

During the current examination period the municipality paid principal of \$19,602.02 and interest of \$2,360.86 from the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund. These amounts and the down payment are reflected in major equipment purchases on the 2010 and 2011 Forms MS-965 - Section 1. The outstanding balance of the lease-purchase agreement as of December 31, 2011 was \$30,353.98, plus interest.

5. Lease-Purchase Agreement

On July 10, 2011, the municipality borrowed \$60,500.00 from Dollar Bank Leasing Corporation to purchase a 2003 Elgin street sweeper. The borough paid a down payment of \$10,000.00, plus \$100.00 in closing costs from its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund. These amounts are reflected in major equipment purchases on the 2011 Form MS-965 - Section 1. The remaining balance of \$50,400.00 was financed for a term of four years at an interest rate of 1.67 percent. Principal and interest payments of \$14,116.10 are due annually. The total amount to be repaid, including principal and interest, is \$56,464.40. Because the street sweeper was not properly bid, it is not eligible to be paid from the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund (Finding No. 1).

As of December 31, 2011, the amount to be repaid, including principal and interest, was \$56,464.40.



<u>Finding No. 1 - Purchase Over \$10,000.00 In Noncompliance With Advertising And Bidding</u> Requirements

Our examination disclosed that the borough entered into a lease-purchase agreement with Dollar Bank Leasing Corporation to purchase a 2003 Elgin street sweeper for \$60,500.00. The borough was required to advertise for bids for this purchase. However, the borough only obtained price quotations. During 2011 the borough paid a down payment of \$10,000.00, plus \$100.00 in closing costs, from its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund (Note 5).

The above purchase was not made in compliance with advertising, bidding, and contract requirements of *The Borough Code*, 53 P.S. § 46402(a), (also found at § 1402(a) of *The Borough Code* as published by the Local Government Commission), which requires that purchases made after September 8, 1990, over \$10,000.00 must be advertised, bid, and awarded by contract. *The Borough Code*, 53 P.S. § 46403(a), (also found at § 1403(a) of *The Borough Code* as published by the Local Government Commission) further states that advertising, bidding, and contract requirements should not be evaded through piecemeal purchases.

The failure to comply with *The Borough Code* could result in the borough having to reimburse \$10,100.00 to its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund.

Recommendations

We recommend that the borough reimburse \$10,100.00 to its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund upon official notification by the Department of Transportation.

We further recommend that, in the future, the borough comply with *The Borough Code* as noted in this finding.

Finding No. 1 - Purchase Over \$10,000.00 In Noncompliance With Advertising And Bidding Requirements (Continued)

Management's Response

The borough manager stated:

The Borough of Shenandoah had a need to replace an aging (27 year old) Athey street sweeper which was repeatedly broken down and costly to repair. While the borough explored options to purchase a new machine, the cost was prohibitive (excess of \$170,000.00). In an effort to provide street sweeping services, the borough solicited quotes for the possible acquisition of a used sweeper. It was difficult to find a quality used pre-owned sweeper with a limited warranty attached along with being within our budget. The borough was successful in locating one held by a vendor which was previously owned by a municipality. To avoid the risk of losing the sweeper, the borough entered into a lease-purchase agreement.

If the borough failed to comply with the bidding requirements, it was not intentional and is not representative of the Borough of Shenandoah. The audit history of the borough indicates that the Borough of Shenandoah has historically complied with The Borough Code and PennDOT regulations. The borough inadvertently assumed that a lease-purchase agreement similar to previous purchases through the COSTARS program was permissible.

Auditor's Conclusion

The Borough Code requires all purchases over \$10,000.00 to be advertised and bid. During our next examination we will determine if the borough complied with our recommendations.

Finding No. 2 - Documentation For Price Quotations Was Not Available For Examination

Our examination disclosed that the borough expended \$6,438.24 during 2010 from the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund for the purchase of cold patch. The borough was required to obtain three written or telephonic price quotations for this expenditure. However, documentation for price quotations was not available for examination. The cold patch purchases were as follows:

Invoice	Invoice	Check	Check	Amount
Number	<u>Date</u>	<u>Number</u>	<u>Date</u>	
1995647	03/05/10	15358	06/15/10	\$3,201.73
2010819	04/20/10	15438	08/10/10	3,236.51
Total				\$6,438.24

The above expenditures were not made in compliance with the contract requirements of *The Borough Code*, 53 P.S. § 46402(a.1), (also found at § 1402(a.1) of *The Borough Code* as published by the Local Government Commission), which states, in part:

Written or telephonic price quotations from at least three qualified and responsible contractors shall be requested for all contracts that exceed four thousand dollars (\$4,000.00) but are less than the amount [in excess of \$10,000.00] requiring advertisement and competitive bidding or, in lieu of price quotations, a memorandum shall be kept on file showing that fewer than three qualified contractors exist in the market area within which it is practicable to obtain quotations. A written record of telephonic price quotations shall be made and shall contain at least the date of the quotation, the name of the contractor and the contractor's representative, the construction, reconstruction, repair, maintenance or work which was the subject of the quotation and the price. Written price quotations, written records of telephonic price quotations and memoranda shall be retained for a period of three years.

Finding No. 2 - Documentation For Price Quotations Was Not Available For Examination (Continued)

In addition, the above expenditures were not made in compliance with the Department of Transportation's *Publication 9*, Appendix D, Section 449.7(d), which states, in part:

...For material estimates between \$4,000 and \$10,000, three telephone price quotes shall be obtained prior to the selection of a supplier. In lieu of the price quotations, a memorandum shall be kept on file showing that fewer than three qualified contractors service the market area within which it is practicable to obtain quotations. If the cost during the year of an unadvertised item or group of like items ordinarily supplied by a single supplier exceeds \$10,000 or if the cost was between \$4,000 and \$10,000 and three price quotes were not obtained, the entire cost shall be ineligible for payment from the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund unless it can be shown to the satisfaction of the Secretary [of the Department of Transportation] that it was reasonably believed that the cost of the year's requirements of that item or group of like items would not exceed \$10,000 or be between \$4,000 and \$10,000 as specified above.

The failure to comply with *The Borough Code* and the Department of Transportation's *Publication 9* could result in the borough having to reimburse \$6,438.24 to its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund.

During 2011 the borough did not make any purchases from its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund between \$4,000.00 and \$10,000.00 without obtaining price quotations.

Recommendations

We recommend that the borough reimburse \$6,438.24 to its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund upon official notification by the Department of Transportation.

We further recommend that, in the future, the borough continue to comply with *The Borough Code* and the Department of Transportation's *Publication 9* as noted in this finding.

Management's Response

The borough manager stated:

Beginning in 2011, the borough initiated a policy of soliciting price quotes each time cold patch was needed.

<u>Finding No. 3 - Transfers To The General Fund For Anticipated Liquid Fuels Tax Fund Expenditures</u>

Our examination disclosed that on January 7, 2011, the municipality transferred \$20,000.00 from the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund to the General Fund. As of this date, the General Fund had only incurred \$5,001.35 of Liquid Fuels Tax Fund related expenditures. Therefore, the amount transferred from the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund to the General Fund exceeded the expenditures incurred by \$14,998.65. The municipality issued General Fund check No. 15693 on March 10, 2011, for \$10,547.81 for street lighting, and check No. 15786 on May 11, 2011, for \$10,313.63 for street lighting, which are allowable liquid fuels expenditures.

The practice of depositing liquid fuels money into any account other than the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund account in anticipation of future expenditures is contrary to the Act of June 1, 1956, P.L. (1955) 1944, 72 P.S. § 2615.5, known as the Liquid Fuels Tax Municipal Allocation Law, which states:

. . .each city, borough, town and township, shall . . . Establish and maintain a special fund into which the moneys [liquid fuels tax funds] . . . shall be deposited and into which no other moneys may be deposited or commingled . . .

Good internal accounting controls ensure that disbursements are made from the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund for only expenditures incurred. When Liquid Fuels Tax Fund money is commingled with the General Fund, or other funds, the potential for Liquid Fuels Tax Fund money to be used for unauthorized purposes increases significantly.

Recommendation

We further recommend that the municipality only disburse funds from the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund for expenditures incurred.

Management's Response

The municipal officials offered no formal response at this time.

BOROUGH OF SHENANDOAH SCHUYLKILL COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND SUMMARY OF EXIT CONFERENCE FOR THE TWO YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2011

An exit conference was held June 27, 2012. Those participating were:

BOROUGH OF SHENANDOAH

Mr. Joseph Palubinsky, Borough Manager

DEPARTMENT OF THE AUDITOR GENERAL

Mr. Brian P. Dries, Auditor

Ms. Georgeane Walacavage, Auditor

The results of the examination were presented and discussed in their entirety.

BOROUGH OF SHENANDOAH SCHUYLKILL COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND REPORT DISTRIBUTION FOR THE TWO YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2011

This report was initially distributed to:

The Honorable Barry J. Schoch, P.E. Secretary
Department of Transportation

Borough of Shenandoah Schuylkill County 15 West Washington Street Shenandoah, PA 17976

The Honorable Leo Pietkiewicz President of Council

Mr. Joseph Palubinsky Borough Manager

This report is a matter of public record. Copies of this report may be obtained from the Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General, Office of Communications, 318 Finance Building, Harrisburg, PA 17120. To view this report online or to contact the Department of the Auditor General, please access our web site at www.auditorgen.state.pa.us.