

BOROUGH OF SNOW SHOE CENTRE COUNTY 14-408

LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND EXAMINATION REPORT

FOR THE TWO YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2008

CONTENTS

Page 1

Background	1
Independent Auditor's Report	3
Financial Section:	
2007 Form MS-965 With Adjustments	7
2008 Form MS-965 With Adjustments	10
Notes To Forms MS-965 With Adjustments	13
Findings And Recommendations:	
Finding No. 1 - Failure To Properly Prepare Forms MS-965	19
Finding No. 2 - Overexpended Equipment Purchase Tally	21
Finding No. 3 - Late Receipt Of Allocations	23
Comment	25
Summary Of Exit Conference	26
Report Distribution	27

BOROUGH OF SNOW SHOE CENTRE COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND BACKGROUND FOR THE TWO YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2008

Background

The Liquid Fuels Tax Municipal Allocation Law, Title 72 P.S. § 2615.5, provides municipalities other than counties (townships, boroughs, cities, towns, home rule, and optional plan governments) with an annual allocation of liquid fuels taxes from the state's Motor License Fund to be used for the maintenance and repair of streets, roads, and bridges for which the municipality is responsible. The allocation of these funds to municipalities is based: (1) 50 percent on the municipality's proportion of local road mileage to the total local road mileage in the state, and (2) 50 percent on the proportion of a municipality's population to the total population in the state.

The Vehicle Code, Title 75 P.S. § 9511, provides municipalities with annual maintenance payments to be received from the Motor License Fund for functionally local highways that were transferred to a municipality from the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

Each municipality must deposit the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund money and annual maintenance payments that it receives into a special fund called the Municipal Liquid Fuels Tax Fund or State Fund. A municipality may not deposit any other monies into this fund except when the municipality does not have enough money in the special fund to meet the payments called for by its current annual budget for road and bridge purposes. In this case, the municipality may borrow money or transfer money from its General Fund to its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund.

The Department of Transportation has been given the regulatory authority for the administration of these funds. To qualify for its annual allocation, each municipality shall submit the following documents and information to the Department of Transportation:

- 1. Evidence that the treasurer is bonded in accordance with the law, or that its treasurer is a bank requiring no bond by January 31 of each year.
- 2. A report indicating the manner in which its liquid fuels tax allocation was expended in the previous year (Form MS-965) by January 31 of each year.
- 3. A report of elected and appointed officials by January 31 of each year.
- 4. A Survey of Financial Condition by March 15 of each year.

Department of Transportation *Publication 9* contains the policies and procedures that govern the use of Liquid Fuels Tax Fund money. However, if there is a difference between *Publication 9* and any legislation, the legislation shall govern.



Independent Auditor's Report

The Honorable Allen D. Biehler, P.E. Secretary Department of Transportation Harrisburg, PA 17120

We examined the accompanying Forms MS-965 With Adjustments for the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund of the Borough of Snow Shoe, Centre County, for the two years ended December 31, 2008. The municipality's management is responsible for the Forms MS-965. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Forms MS-965 With Adjustments based on our examination.

Our examination was conducted in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants and the standards applicable to attestation engagements contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. An examination includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the Borough of Snow Shoe, Centre County's Forms MS-965 for the two years ended December 31, 2008 and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our examination provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

We are mandated by Section 403 of *The Fiscal Code*, 72 P.S. § 403, to audit each municipality's Liquid Fuels Tax Fund to ensure that funds received are expended in accordance with applicable laws and regulations. *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States include attestation engagements as a separate type of audit. An attestation engagement performed pursuant to *Government Auditing Standards* involves additional standards that exceed the standards provided by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. Accordingly, this attestation engagement complies with both *Government Auditing Standards* and Section 403 of *The Fiscal Code*.

As described in Note 4, the adjustments included on the Forms MS-965 With Adjustments are made by the Department of the Auditor General.

Independent Auditor's Report (Continued)

As discussed in Finding No. 2, the municipality expended \$496.50 in excess of the amount available for the purchase of equipment for the year ending December 31, 2008. Additionally, as discussed in the Comment section of this report, during the prior examination period the municipality expended \$1,714.77 in excess of the amount available for the purchase of equipment for the year ending December 31, 2006. The municipality reimbursed \$1,714.77 to its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund on February 20, 2009, which was subsequent to our examination period.

In our opinion, except for the matters discussed in the preceding paragraph, the Forms MS-965 With Adjustments present, in all material respects, the information required by the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation for the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund of the Borough of Snow Shoe, Centre County, for the two years ended December 31, 2008, in conformity with the criteria set forth in Note 1.

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we are required to report findings of significant deficiencies in internal control, violations of provisions of contracts or grant agreements, and abuse that are material to the Forms MS-965 and any fraud and illegal acts that are more than inconsequential that come to our attention during our examination. We are also required to obtain the views of management on those matters. We performed our examination to express an opinion on whether the Forms MS-965 are presented in accordance with the criteria described above and not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the internal control over reporting on the Forms MS-965 or on compliance and other matters; accordingly, we express no such opinions.

A control deficiency exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent or detect misstatements on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a control deficiency, or combination of control deficiencies, that adversely affects the Borough of Snow Shoe, Centre County's ability to initiate, authorize, record, process, or report data reliably in accordance with the applicable criteria such that there is more than a remote likelihood that a misstatement of the Borough of Snow Shoe, Centre County's Forms MS-965 that is more than inconsequential will not be prevented or detected by the Borough of Snow Shoe, Centre County's internal control. We consider the deficiency described in the finding below to be a significant deficiency in internal control over reporting on the Forms MS-965:

• Failure To Properly Prepare Forms MS-965.

Independent Auditor's Report (Continued)

A material weakness is a significant deficiency or combination of significant deficiencies that results in more than a remote likelihood that a material misstatement of the Forms MS-965 will not be prevented or detected by the Borough of Snow Shoe, Centre County's internal control. Our consideration of the internal control over reporting on the Forms MS-965 would not necessarily disclose all matters in the internal control that might be significant deficiencies and, accordingly, would not necessarily disclose all significant deficiencies that are also considered to be material weaknesses. We consider the significant deficiency described above to be a material weaknesses.

The results of our tests disclosed the following instance of noncompliance that is required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*:

• Overexpended Equipment Purchase Tally.

We also noted an other matter that, while not required to be included in this report by *Government Auditing Standards*, has been included in the finding below:

• Late Receipt Of Allocations.

We are concerned in light of the borough's failure to correct previously reported findings regarding an overexpenditure of the equipment purchase tally and the late receipt of allocations. The borough should strive to implement the recommendations and corrective actions noted in this report.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation and the management of the Borough of Snow Shoe, Centre County, and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

February 1, 2010

JACK WAGNER Auditor General



BOROUGH OF SNOW SHOE CENTRE COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND 2007 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 1 WITH ADJUSTMENTS

Expenditure Summary	F	Reported	Adju	stments	Adjusted Amount
Major equipment purchases	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -
Minor equipment purchases		-		-	-
Computer/Computer related training		-		-	-
Agility projects		-		-	-
Cleaning streets and gutters		-		-	-
Winter maintenance services		3,410.51		-	3,410.51
Traffic control devices		-		-	-
Street lighting		-		-	-
Storm sewers and drains		611.60		-	611.60
Repairs of tools and machinery		-		-	-
Maintenance and repair of					
roads and bridges		333.53		-	333.53
Highway construction and					
rebuilding projects		-		-	-
Miscellaneous					 -
Total (To Section 2, Line 5)	\$	4,355.64	\$	-	\$ 4,355.64

BOROUGH OF SNOW SHOE CENTRE COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND 2007 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 2 WITH ADJUSTMENTS

Fund Balance	Reported		Reported Adjustments		Adjusted Amount	
1. Balance, January 1, 2007	\$	17,520.67	\$	-	\$	17,520.67
Receipts: 2. State allocation 2a. Turnback allocation 2b. Interest on investments (Note 3) 2c. Miscellaneous		422.78		- - -		422.78
3. Total receipts		422.78		-		422.78
4. Total funds available		17,943.45		-		17,943.45
5. Expenditures (Section 1)		4,355.64		-		4,355.64
6. Balance, December 31, 2007	\$	13,587.81	\$	-	\$	13,587.81

BOROUGH OF SNOW SHOE CENTRE COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND 2007 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 3 WITH ADJUSTMENTS

Equipment Balance	F	Reported	djustments (Note 4)	Adjusted Amount
1. Prior year equipment balance	\$	1,700.78	\$ (1,700.78)	\$ -
 Add: Current year equipment allocation (20% of Lines 2 + 2a, Section 2) 	1	4,879.23	(4,879.23)	-
3. PENNDOT approved adjustments			 	
4. Total funds available for equipment acquisition		6,580.01	(6,580.01)	-
5. Less: Major equipment expenditures			 _	
6. Remainder		6,580.01	 (6,580.01)	 -
 Function For the second state of the second state of	\$	6,580.01	\$ (6,580.01)	\$ _

BOROUGH OF SNOW SHOE CENTRE COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND 2008 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 1 WITH ADJUSTMENTS

Expenditure Summary		Reported	Adjustments orted (Note 4)		Adjusted Amount	
Major equipment purchases	\$	9,791.20	\$	-	\$	9,791.20
Minor equipment purchases		-		-		-
Computer/Computer related training		-		-		-
Agility projects		-		-		-
Cleaning streets and gutters		-		-		-
Winter maintenance services	9,369.08		(256.63)			9,112.45
Traffic control devices		-		-		-
Street lighting		-		-		-
Storm sewers and drains		2,807.69		-		2,807.69
Repairs of tools and machinery		1,102.26	-			1,102.26
Maintenance and repair of						
roads and bridges		23,096.69		(20,000.00)		3,096.69
Highway construction and						
rebuilding projects		-		-		-
Miscellaneous		-		-		-
Total (To Section 2, Line 5)	\$	46,166.92	\$	(20,256.63)	\$	25,910.29

BOROUGH OF SNOW SHOE CENTRE COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND 2008 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 2 WITH ADJUSTMENTS

Fund Balance	 Reported	Adjustments (Note 4)		Adjusted Amount	
1. Balance, January 1, 2008	\$ 13,587.81	\$	-	\$	13,587.81
 Receipts: 2. State allocation (Finding No. 3) 2a. Turnback allocation 2b. Interest on investments (Note 3) 2c. Miscellaneous 	 24,354.46 - 114.19 22,119.05		22,119.05 (3.40) (22,119.05)		46,473.51 - 110.79 -
3. Total receipts	 46,587.70		(3.40)		46,584.30
4. Total funds available	 60,175.51		(3.40)		60,172.11
5. Expenditures (Section 1)	 46,166.92		(20,256.63)		25,910.29
6. Balance, December 31, 2008	\$ 14,008.59	\$	20,253.23	\$	34,261.82

BOROUGH OF SNOW SHOE CENTRE COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND 2008 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 3 WITH ADJUSTMENTS

Equipment Balance		Reported	djustments (Note 4)	 Adjusted Amount
1. Prior year equipment balance	\$	6,579.99	\$ (6,579.99)	\$ -
 Add: Current year equipment allocation (20% of Lines 2 + 2a, Section 2) 	1	4,870.89	4,423.81	9,294.70
3. PENNDOT approved adjustments			 	
4. Total funds available for equipment acquisition		11,450.88	(2,156.18)	9,294.70
5. Less: Major equipment expenditures		9,791.20	 	 9,791.20
6. Remainder		1,659.68	 (2,156.18)	 (496.50)
 Equipment balance available for subsequent year (Lesser of Line 6 or Section 2 balance, but not less than zero) 	\$	1,659.68	\$ (1,659.68)	\$

1. <u>Criteria</u>

Section 1

This section of Form MS-965 With Adjustments provides a summary of Liquid Fuels Tax Fund expenditures by category. Categories requiring explanation include:

- Major equipment purchases are purchases of road machinery and road equipment that cost in excess of \$4,000.00.
- Minor equipment purchases are purchases of road machinery and road equipment that cost \$4,000.00 or less.
- Agility projects are exchanges of services with the Department of Transportation.

Section 2

This section of Form MS-965 With Adjustments provides information on the fund balance. Categories requiring explanation include:

- The state allocation is generally received from the Department of Transportation during the first week in April of each year. The amount the municipality receives is based half on its population and half on its road mileage.
- The turnback allocation is generally received from the Department of Transportation during the first week in April of each year. A municipality receives a yearly turnback allocation based on road mileage for all roads that were transferred to the municipality from the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania through the Highway Transfer Program.
- Expenditures include the total transferred from Section 1.

Section 3

This section of Form MS-965 With Adjustments determines if the municipality expended Liquid Fuels Tax Fund money in excess of the permissible amount for equipment and the balance that the municipality may carry forward for the purchase of equipment to the subsequent year.

1. <u>Criteria (Continued)</u>

Section 3 (Continued)

Department of Transportation *Publication 9* requires that the amount expended for equipment purchases in a given year not exceed the sum of the equipment balance carried forward from the previous year and 20 percent of the current year's Liquid Fuels Tax Fund allocation and, if applicable, 20 percent of the turnback allocation plus other Department of Transportation approved adjustments.

If the municipality spent in excess of the amount listed on Line 4, the excess must be reimbursed to the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund.

The equipment balance to be carried forward for the subsequent year is the lesser of the amount on Line 6 or the ending fund balance on Line 6 of Section 2, but not less than zero.

Basis Of Presentation

The financial activities of the municipality are accounted for in separate funds. The Liquid Fuels Tax Fund is used to account for state aid revenues from the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation used primarily for building and improving local roads and bridges. The Forms MS-965 have been prepared in accordance with reporting requirements prescribed by the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation as a result of the Fuels Tax Act 655, dated 1956 and as amended, which does not constitute a complete presentation of the entity's assets, liabilities, expenses, and fund balance. Accordingly, the presentation of Forms MS-965 With Adjustments is restricted to the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund, which represents a segment of the entity.

Basis Of Accounting

The accompanying Forms MS-965 With Adjustments are prepared in accordance with reporting requirements prescribed by the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation. Under this method, revenues are recognized when received and expenditures are recorded when paid.

1. <u>Criteria (Continued)</u>

General Fixed Assets

General fixed assets are recognized as expenditures at the time of purchase. No depreciation has been provided on the heavy equipment used to maintain and repair roads and bridges.

2. <u>Deposits</u>

The Borough Code, Title 53 P.S § 46316, authorizes the borough to deposit its funds in the following:

- Deposits in savings accounts or time deposits, other than certificates of deposit or share accounts of institutions having their principal place of business in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) or other like insurance. For any amount above the insured maximum, the depository shall pledge approved collateral.
- Certificates of deposit purchased from institutions insured by the FDIC or other like insurance to the extent that such accounts are so insured. For any amounts in excess of the insured maximum, such deposits shall be collateralized by a pledge or assignment of assets. Certificates of deposit may not exceed 20 percent of a bank's total capital surplus or 20 percent of a savings and loan's or savings bank's assets minus liabilities.

Deposits consist of receipts and deposits in a financial institution. Pennsylvania statutes require all deposits to be insured and, for any amount above the insured maximum, to be secured with approved collateral as defined.

There were no deposits exposed to custodial credit risk as of December 31, 2008. Custodial credit risk, as defined by GASB No. 40, includes deposits that are not covered by depository insurance and the deposits are uncollateralized, collateralized with securities held by the pledging financial institution, or collateralized with securities held by the pledging financial institution's trust department or agent but not in the municipality's name.

2. <u>Deposits (Continued)</u>

Fund Balance

The fund balance as of December 31, 2008 consists of the following:

Cash	\$14,261.82
Certificate of deposit	20,000.00
Total	\$34,261.82

3. Interest On Investments

Our examination disclosed that the municipality deposited idle liquid fuels tax money in an interest-bearing account and certificates of deposit which earned \$422.78 during 2007, and \$110.79 during 2008, thus providing additional funds for road maintenance and repairs.

4. <u>Adjustments</u>

2007 - Section 3

An adjustment of \$(1,700.78) was made to "Prior year equipment balance" because an incorrect equipment balance was carried forward.

An adjustment of \$(4,879.23) was made to "Current year equipment allocation" because the 2007 state allocation from 2007 - Section 2, which is used to calculate this figure, was not received until 2008.

2008 - Section 1

An adjustment of \$(256.63) was made to "Winter maintenance services" because check No. 275 was voided but was included as an expenditure.

An adjustment of \$(20,000.00) was made to "Maintenance and repair of roads and bridges" because the purchase of a certificate of deposit was incorrectly reported as an expenditure.

4. Adjustments (Continued)

2008 - Section 2

Adjustments were made to "State allocation" and "Miscellaneous" because the 2007 state allocation of \$22,119.05 was incorrectly reported as a miscellaneous receipt.

An adjustment of (3.40) was made to "Interest on investments" because interest earnings were overstated.

2008 - Section 3

An adjustment of \$(6,579.99) was made to "Prior year equipment balance" because an incorrect equipment balance was carried forward.

An adjustment of \$4,423.81 was made to "Current year equipment allocation" because the 2007 state allocation was not received until 2008 and was incorrectly reported as a miscellaneous receipt in 2008 - Section 2. Therefore, it was not used in the calculation of this figure as required.

5. Lease-Purchase Agreement

On January 10, 2002, the municipality entered into a lease-purchase agreement with John Deere Credit Corporation to purchase a backhoe for \$51,641.95. The agreement was for a term of six years at an interest rate of 5.15 percent. Principal and interest payments of \$9,791.20 are due annually. Prior years' principal and interest payments from the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund were \$37,047.77 and \$6,908.23, respectively. Additionally, the municipality paid principal of \$4,844.80 and interest of \$155.20 from the General Fund.

During the current examination period the municipality paid principal of \$9,749.38 and interest of \$41.82 from the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund. These amounts are reflected in major equipment purchases on the 2008 Form MS-965 - Section 1. The agreement was paid-in-full on March 28, 2008.



Finding No. 1 – Failure To Properly Prepare Forms MS-965

Our examination disclosed that there were numerous errors made in the preparation of the municipality's 2007 and 2008 Forms MS-965. These adjustments, which are disclosed in Note 4, are as follows:

2007 - Section 3

- An adjustment of \$(1,700.78) was made to "Prior year equipment balance" because an incorrect equipment balance was carried forward.
- An adjustment of \$(4,879.23) was made to "Current year equipment allocation" because the 2007 state allocation from 2007 Section 2, which is used to calculate this figure, was not received until 2008.

2008 - Section 1

- An adjustment of \$(256.63) was made to "Winter maintenance services" because check No. 275 was voided but was included as an expenditure.
- An adjustment of \$(20,000.00) was made to "Maintenance and repair of roads and bridges" because the purchase of a certificate of deposit was incorrectly reported as an expenditure.

2008 - Section 2

- Adjustments were made to "State allocation" and "Miscellaneous" because the 2007 state allocation of \$22,119.05 was incorrectly reported as a miscellaneous receipt.
- An adjustment of \$(3.40) was made to "Interest on investments" because interest earnings were overstated.

2008 - Section 3

• An adjustment of \$(6,579.99) was made to "Prior year equipment balance" because an incorrect equipment balance was carried forward.

Finding No. 1 – Failure To Properly Prepare Forms MS-965 (Continued)

2008 - Section 3 (Continued)

• An adjustment of \$4,423.81 was made to "Current year equipment allocation" because the 2007 state allocation was not received until 2008 and was incorrectly reported as a miscellaneous receipt in 2008 - Section 2. Therefore, it was not used in the calculation of this figure as required.

Good internal controls ensure that the municipality complete its Forms MS-965 accurately and completely. The failure to properly complete Forms MS-965 increases the risk that errors or irregularities may occur and remain undetected.

Recommendation

We recommend that the municipality ensure that its Forms MS-965 are complete and accurate.

Management's Response

The municipal officials offered no formal response at this time.

Finding No. 2 - Overexpended Equipment Purchase Tally

Our examination disclosed that the municipality expended \$496.50 in excess of the amount available for the purchase of equipment for the year ending December 31, 2008, as follows:

2008

1.	Prior year equipment balance	\$ -
2.	Current year equipment allocation (20% of Lines 2 + 2A, Section 2)	9,294.70
3.	PENNDOT approved adjustments	
4.	Total funds available for equipment acquisition	9,294.70
5.	Less: Major equipment purchases	9,791.20
6.	Amount overexpended for equipment - 2008	\$ (496.50)

The Department of Transportation's, *Publication 9*, Appendix D, Section 449.11, requires that the amount expended for equipment purchases in a given year not exceed the sum of the equipment balance carried forward from the previous year, 20 percent of the current year's Liquid Fuels Tax Fund allocations, and any PENNDOT approved adjustments.

The failure to follow *Publication 9* could result in the municipality having to reimburse \$496.50 to its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund.

A similar finding was also written in our prior report.

Recommendations

We recommend that the municipality reimburse \$496.50 to its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund upon official notification by the Department of Transportation.

Further, we again recommend that the municipality only expend up to the approved amount on equipment expenditures in accordance with the Department of Transportation's *Publication 9*.

Finding No. 2 - Overexpended Equipment Purchase Tally (Continued)

Management's Response

The secretary/treasurer stated:

At the time borough council did not know there was a limit for equipment purchases.

Auditor's Conclusion

The municipal officials should ensure that they expend up to the approved amount on equipment expenditures in accordance with the Department of Transportation's *Publication 9*. During our next examination we will determine if the municipality complied with our recommendations.

Finding No. 3 - Late Receipt Of Allocations

Our examination disclosed that the 2007 and 2008 Liquid Fuels Tax Fund allocations of \$22,119.05 and \$24,354.46, respectively, which should have been distributed during the first week of April of each year, were not received until March 20, 2008 and June 9, 2008, respectively, because the municipality failed to comply with the Department of Transportation's Regulation 449.12, which requires the timely filing of particular documents and information, among them:

- Evidence that its treasurer is bonded in accordance with the law or that its treasurer is a bank requiring no bond.
- A report indicating the manner in which its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund was expended in the preceding year.
- Survey of financial condition.
- Roster of municipal officials.

Because the municipality failed to file documents and information timely as noted above, the municipality did not have use of the allocation for more than eleven months in 2007 and two months in 2008. Furthermore, had the allocations been received timely, money may have been available for investment purposes, potentially earning interest income which could have been used for road maintenance and repairs.

A similar finding was also written in our prior report.

Recommendation

We again recommend that, in the future, the municipality comply with the Department of Transportation's Regulation 449.12 to ensure that the allocations are received during the first week in April as outlined above.

Management's Response

The municipal officials stated:

Previous secretary/treasurer did not properly and/or timely file necessary paper work.

Finding No. 3 - Late Receipt Of Allocations (Continued)

Auditor's Conclusion

The municipal officials should ensure that all required documents and information are filed timely. During our next examination we will determine if the municipality complied with our recommendation.

Comment - Summary Of Prior Examination Recommendation

In our prior report we recommended that the Department of Transportation review our examination finding to determine if the municipality should reimburse \$1,714.77 to its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund for exceeding the amount available for the purchase of equipment for the year ending December 31, 2006. A similar finding was also written in our current report (see Finding No. 2).

During our current examination, we reviewed a letter dated February 11, 2009, from the Department of Transportation informing the municipality to reimburse \$1,714.77 to its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund. We noted that the municipality reimbursed this amount to its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund on February 20, 2009, which was subsequent to our examination period.

BOROUGH OF SNOW SHOE CENTRE COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND SUMMARY OF EXIT CONFERENCE FOR THE TWO YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2008

An exit conference was held February 1, 2010. Those participating were:

BOROUGH OF SNOW SHOE

Ms. Melanie J. Heichel, Secretary/Treasurer

DEPARTMENT OF THE AUDITOR GENERAL

Mr. Christopher Heglen, Auditor

The results of the examination were presented and discussed in their entirety.

BOROUGH OF SNOW SHOE CENTRE COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND REPORT DISTRIBUTION FOR THE TWO YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2008

This report was initially distributed to:

The Honorable Allen D. Biehler, P.E. Secretary Department of Transportation

> Borough of Snow Shoe Centre County P.O. Box 277 106 Railroad Street Snow Shoe, PA 16874

The Honorable William Dudish

President of Council

Ms. Melanie J. Heichel

Secretary/Treasurer

This report is a matter of public record. Copies of this report may be obtained from the Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General, Office of Communications, 318 Finance Building, Harrisburg, PA 17120. To view this report online or to contact the Department of the Auditor General, please access our web site at www.auditorgen.state.pa.us.