ATTESTATION ENGAGEMENT

Township of Springhill Greene County, Pennsylvania 30-217 Liquid Fuels Tax Fund For the Period January 1, 2016 to December 31, 2017

January 2019



Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General

Eugene A. DePasquale • Auditor General



Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General Harrisburg, PA 17120-0018 Facebook: Pennsylvania Auditor General Twitter: @PAAuditorGen www.PaAuditor.gov

EUGENE A. DEPASQUALE AUDITOR GENERAL

Independent Auditor's Report

The Honorable Leslie Richards Secretary Department of Transportation Harrisburg, PA 17120

We examined the accompanying Forms MS-965 With Adjustments for the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund of the Township of Springhill, Greene County, for the period January 1, 2016 to December 31, 2017. The municipality's management is responsible for presenting the Forms MS-965 in accordance with the criteria set forth in Note 1. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Forms MS-965 With Adjustments based on our examination.

Our examination was conducted in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants and the standards applicable to attestation engagements contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the examination to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the Forms MS-965 are in accordance with the criteria described above, in all material respects. An examination involves performing procedures to obtain evidence about the Forms MS-965. The nature, timing and extent of the procedures selected depend on our judgement, including an assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the Forms MS-965, whether due to fraud or error. We believe that the evidence we obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

We are mandated by Section 403 of *The Fiscal Code*, 72 P.S. § 403, to audit each municipality's Liquid Fuels Tax Fund to ensure that funds received are expended in accordance with applicable laws and regulations. *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States include attestation engagements as a separate type of audit. An attestation engagement performed pursuant to *Government Auditing Standards* involves additional standards that exceed the standards provided by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. Accordingly, this attestation engagement complies with both *Government Auditing Standards* and Section 403 of *The Fiscal Code*.

As described in Note 4, the adjustments included on the Forms MS-965 With Adjustments are made by the Department of the Auditor General.

Independent Auditor's Report (Continued)

In our opinion, the Forms MS-965 With Adjustments present, in all material respects, the information required by the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation for the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund of the Township of Springhill, Greene County, for the period January 1, 2016 to December 31, 2017, in accordance with the criteria set forth in Note 1.

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we are required to report all deficiencies that are considered to be significant deficiencies or material weaknesses in internal control; fraud and noncompliance with provisions of laws or regulations that have a material effect on the Forms MS-965; and any other instances that warrant the attention of those charged with governance; noncompliance with provisions of contracts or grant agreements, and abuse that has a material effect on the Forms MS-965. We are also required to obtain and report the views of responsible officials concerning the findings, conclusions, and recommendations, as well as any planned corrective actions. We performed our examination to express an opinion on whether the Forms MS-965 are presented in accordance with the criteria described above and not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on internal control over reporting on the Forms MS-965 or on compliance and other matters; accordingly, we express no such opinions.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the Forms MS-965 will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over reporting on the Forms MS-965 was for the limited purpose of expressing an opinion on whether the Forms MS-965 are in accordance with the criteria described above and would not necessarily identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our engagement we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

Independent Auditor's Report (Continued)

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the Forms MS-965 are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of the Township of Springhill, Greene County's compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of amounts on the Forms MS-965. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our engagement, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*. However, we did note matters that, while not required to be included in this report by *Government Auditing Standards*, have been included in the findings below:

- Retroactive Expenditure.
- Nonpermissible Expenditure Recurring.
- Payroll Tax Not Remitted To Proper Authorities Recurring.
- Idle Funds Held In A Noninterest-Bearing Account Recurring.

The purpose of this report is to determine whether the municipality's Liquid Fuels Tax Fund money is spent in accordance with the laws and regulations identified in the Background section of this report and the Department of Transportation's *Publication 9*. This report is not suitable for any other purpose.

We appreciate the courtesy extended by the Township of Springhill, Greene County, to us during the course of our examination. If you have any questions, please feel free to contact Michael B. Kashishian, CPA, CGAP, CFE, Director, Bureau of County Audits, at 717-787-1363.

Eugnt: O-Pargue

December 12, 2018

Eugene A. DePasquale Auditor General

CONTENTS

<u>Page</u>

Background	1
Financial Section:	
2016 Form MS-965 With Adjustments	3
2017 Form MS-965 With Adjustments	6
Notes To Forms MS-965 With Adjustments	9
Findings And Recommendations:	
Finding No. 1 - Retroactive Expenditure	14
Finding No. 2 - Nonpermissible Expenditure - Recurring	15
Finding No. 3 - Payroll Tax Not Remitted To Proper Authorities - Recurring	17
Finding No. 4 - Idle Funds Held In A Noninterest-Bearing Account - Recurring	18
Summary Of Prior Examination Recommendations	19
Summary Of Exit Conference	20
Report Distribution	21

TOWNSHIP OF SPRINGHILL GREENE COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND BACKGROUND FOR THE PERIOD JANUARY 1, 2016 TO DECEMBER 31, 2017

Background

The Liquid Fuels Tax Municipal Allocation Law, Act 655 of 1956, as amended, (72 P.S. § 2615.5 et sec.), provides municipalities other than counties (townships, boroughs, cities, towns, home rule, and optional plan governments) with an annual allocation of liquid fuels taxes from the state's Motor License Fund to be used for the maintenance and repair of streets, roads, and bridges for which the municipality is responsible. The allocation of these funds to municipalities is based: (1) 50 percent on the municipality's proportion of local road mileage to the total local road mileage in the state, and (2) 50 percent on the proportion of a municipality's population to the total population in the state.

The Vehicle Code, Title 75 P.S. § 9511, provides municipalities with annual maintenance payments to be received from the Motor License Fund for functionally local highways that were transferred to a municipality from the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

Each municipality must deposit the allocation of Liquid Fuels Tax funds and annual maintenance payments that it receives into a special fund called either the Municipal Liquid Fuels Tax Fund or State Fund. A municipality may not deposit any other monies into this fund except when the municipality does not have enough money in the special fund to meet the payments called for by its current annual budget for road and bridge purposes. In such a case, the municipality may borrow money or transfer money from its General Fund to its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund.

The Department of Transportation has been given the regulatory authority for the administration of these funds. Department of Transportation's *Publication 9* includes the policies and procedures for the administration of Act 655, as amended, and the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund money. However, if there is a difference between *Publication 9* and any legislation, the legislation shall govern.

To qualify for the annual allocation of Liquid Fuels Tax funds, *Publication 9* indicates that each municipality shall:

- 1. Submit annual reports (MS-965, Actual Use Report, MS-965P, Project and Miscellaneous Receipts, and MS-965S, Record of Checks).
- 2. Make deposits and payments or expenditures in compliance with Act 655 of 1956, as amended. Failure to do so may result in not receiving allocations from PennDOT until all discrepancies are resolved. *Publication 9*, Section 2.6, includes information about investing Liquid Fuels Tax monies, using loan or bond proceeds, and types of receipts into the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund.

TOWNSHIP OF SPRINGHILL GREENE COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND BACKGROUND FOR THE PERIOD JANUARY 1, 2016 TO DECEMBER 31, 2017

Background (Continued)

- 3. Submit the Pennsylvania Department of Community and Economic Development's (DCED) Report of Elected and Appointed Officials by January 31st and the Survey of Financial Condition By March 15th.
- 4. Ensure resolution of all Contractor Responsibility Program (CRP) holds and blocks imposed by the Department of Revenue and the Department of Labor and Industry.
- 5. Ensure resolution of all reimbursements required as a result of audits performed by the Department of the Auditor General or monitoring reviews performed by the Department of Transportation's Financial Consultants.

TOWNSHIP OF SPRINGHILL GREENE COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND 2016 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 1 WITH ADJUSTMENTS

Expenditure Summary	Reported			djustments (Note 4)	 Adjusted Amount
Major equipment purchases	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -
Minor equipment purchases		1,446.01		-	1,446.01
Computer/Computer related training		-		-	-
Agility projects		-		-	-
Cleaning streets and gutters		-		-	-
Winter maintenance services		1,448.71		-	1,448.71
Traffic control devices		-		-	-
Street lighting		-		-	-
Storm sewers and drains		-	_	-	-
Repairs of tools and machinery		24,898.82		1,497.03	26,395.85
Maintenance and repair of roads and bridges		55,549.05	٠	1,318.09	56,867.14
Highway construction and rebuilding projects		-	_	-	-
Miscellaneous (Finding No. 2)		3,923.46		(2,750.26)	 1,173.20
Total (To Section 2, Line 5)	\$	87,266.05	\$	64.86	\$ 87,330.91

TOWNSHIP OF SPRINGHILL GREENE COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND 2016 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 2 WITH ADJUSTMENTS

Fund Balance	Reported		•	justments Note 4)	Adjusted Amount
1. Balance, January 1, 2016	\$	63,135.32	\$	146.77	\$ 63,282.09
 Receipts: 2. State allocation 2a. Turnback allocation 2b. Interest on investments (Note 3) 2c. Miscellaneous 		118,725.92 - - -		- - .42 -	 118,725.92 - .42 -
3. Total receipts		118,725.92		.42	 118,726.34
4. Total funds available		181,861.24		147.19	 182,008.43
5. Expenditures (Section 1)		87,266.05		64.86	 87,330.91
6. Balance, December 31, 2016	\$	94,595.19	\$	82.33	\$ 94,677.52

TOWNSHIP OF SPRINGHILL GREENE COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND 2016 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 3 WITH ADJUSTMENTS

Equipment Balance	Reported		Reported Adjustments			Adjusted Amount
1. Prior year equipment balance	\$	56,827.77	\$	-	\$	56,827.77
 Add: Current year equipment allocation (20% of Lines 2 + 2a, Section 2) 		23,745.18		-		23,745.18
3. PENNDOT approved adjustments						
4. Total funds available for equipment acquisition		80,572.95		-		80,572.95
5. Less: Major equipment expenditures						
6. Remainder		80,572.95		-		80,572.95
 Equipment balance available for subsequent year (Lesser of Line 6 or Section 2 balance, but not less than zero) 	\$	80,572.95	\$	_	\$	80,572.95
	Ψ	00,372.75	Ψ	_	Ψ	00,372.75

TOWNSHIP OF SPRINGHILL GREENE COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND 2017 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 1 WITH ADJUSTMENTS

Expenditure Summary	Reported		 Adjustments (Note 4)	 Adjusted Amount
Major equipment purchases	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -
Minor equipment purchases		574.20	-	574.20
Computer/Computer related training		-	-	-
Agility projects		-	-	-
Cleaning streets and gutters		-	-	-
Winter maintenance services		4,261.93	(1,181.74)	3,080.19
Traffic control devices		-	-	-
Street lighting		-	-	-
Storm sewers and drains		-	-	-
Repairs of tools and machinery		16,746.12	-	16,746.12
Maintenance and repair of				
roads and bridges		110,654.51	1,019.89	111,674.40
Highway construction and				
rebuilding projects		-	-	-
Miscellaneous (Bank service charges)		60,000.00	 (59,902.46)	 97.54
Total (To Section 2, Line 5)	\$	192,236.76	\$ (60,064.31)	\$ 132,172.45

TOWNSHIP OF SPRINGHILL GREENE COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND 2017 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 2 WITH ADJUSTMENTS

Fund Balance	Reported		 Adjustments (Note 4)	 Adjusted Amount
1. Balance, January 1, 2017	\$	94,741.96	\$ (64.44)	\$ 94,677.52
Receipts:				
2. State allocation		124,143.17	-	124,143.17
2a. Turnback allocation		-	-	-
2b. Interest on investments (Note 3)		402.56	.13	402.69
2c. Miscellaneous (Summay Of Prior Examination Recommendations)		62,432.85	 (60,000.00)	 2,432.85
3. Total receipts		186,978.58	 (59,999.87)	 126,978.71
4. Total funds available		281,720.54	 (60,064.31)	 221,656.23
5. Expenditures (Section 1)		192,236.76	 (60,064.31)	 132,172.45
6. Balance, December 31, 2017	\$	89,483.78	\$ 	\$ 89,483.78

TOWNSHIP OF SPRINGHILL GREENE COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND 2017 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 3 WITH ADJUSTMENTS

Equipment Balance	Reported		Reported		Adjustments		 Adjusted Amount
1. Prior year equipment balance	\$	80,572.95	\$	-	\$ 80,572.95		
 Add: Current year equipment allocation (20% of Lines 2 + 2a, Section 2) 		24,828.63		-	24,828.63		
3. PENNDOT approved adjustments				-	 		
4. Total funds available for equipment acquisition		105,401.58		-	105,401.58		
5. Less: Major equipment expenditures		-			 		
6. Remainder		105,401.58			 105,401.58		
 Equipment balance available for subsequent year (Lesser of Line 6 or Section 2 balance, but not less than zero) 	\$	89,483.78	\$	-	\$ 89,483.78		

1. <u>Criteria</u>

Section 1

This section of Form MS-965 With Adjustments provides a summary of Liquid Fuels Tax Fund expenditures by category. Categories requiring explanation include:

• Major equipment purchases are purchases of road machinery and road equipment with varying yearly costs in excess of the amounts indicated below:

2013	2014	2015/2016	2017
\$10,200.00	\$10,300.00	\$10,500.00	\$10,700.00

• Minor equipment purchases are purchases of road machinery and road equipment with varying yearly costs that are less than or equal to the amounts indicated below:

2013	2014	2015/2016	2017
\$10,200.00	\$10,300.00	\$10,500.00	\$10,700.00

• Agility projects are exchanges of services with the Department of Transportation.

Section 2

This section of Form MS-965 With Adjustments provides information on the fund balance. Categories requiring explanation include:

- The state allocation is available from the Department of Transportation in March of each year. The amount the municipality receives is based half on its population and half on its road mileage.
- Municipalities that transferred roads from the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania to the municipality through the Highway Transfer Program receive annual turnback allocations in March of each year from the Department of Transportation. Turnback allocations are based on the mileage of the roads transferred.

1. <u>Criteria (Continued)</u>

Section 2 (Continued)

• Expenditures include the total transferred from Section 1.

Section 3

This section of Form MS-965 With Adjustments determines if the municipality expended Liquid Fuels Tax Fund money in excess of the permissible amount for equipment and the balance that the municipality may carry forward for the purchase of equipment to the subsequent year.

Department of Transportation *Publication 9* requires that the amount expended for equipment purchases in a given year not exceed the sum of the equipment balance carried forward from the previous year and 20 percent of the current year's Liquid Fuels Tax Fund allocation and, if applicable, 20 percent of the turnback allocation plus other Department of Transportation approved adjustments.

If the municipality spent in excess of the amount listed on Line 4, the excess must be reimbursed to the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund.

The equipment balance to be carried forward for the subsequent year is the lesser of the amount on Line 6 or the ending fund balance on Line 6 of Section 2, but not less than zero.

Basis Of Presentation

The financial activities of the municipality are accounted for in separate funds. The Liquid Fuels Tax Fund is used to account for state aid revenues from the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation used primarily for building and improving local roads and bridges. The Forms MS-965 have been prepared in accordance with reporting requirements prescribed by the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation as a result of the Fuels Tax Act 655, dated 1956 and as amended, which does not constitute a complete presentation of the entity's assets, liabilities, expenses, and fund balance. Accordingly, the presentation of Forms MS-965 With Adjustments is restricted to the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund, which represents a segment of the entity.

1. <u>Criteria (Continued)</u>

Basis Of Accounting

The accompanying Forms MS-965 With Adjustments are prepared in accordance with reporting requirements prescribed by the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation. Under this method, revenues are recognized when received and expenditures are recorded when paid.

General Fixed Assets

General fixed assets are recognized as expenditures at the time of purchase. No depreciation has been provided on the heavy equipment used to maintain and repair roads and bridges.

2. Deposits

The Second Class Township Code, Title 53 P.S. § 68204, authorizes the township to deposit its funds in the following:

- Deposits in savings accounts or time deposits, other than certificates of deposit or share accounts, of institutions having their principal place of business in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) or other like insurance. For any amount above the insured maximum, the depository shall pledge approved collateral.
- Certificates of deposit purchased from institutions insured by the FDIC or other like insurance to the extent that such accounts are so insured. For any amounts in excess of the insured maximum, such deposits shall be collateralized by a pledge or assignment of assets. Certificates of deposit may not exceed 20 percent of a bank's total capital surplus or 20 percent of a savings and loans or savings bank's assets minus liabilities.

Deposits consist of receipts and deposits in financial institutions. Pennsylvania statutes require all deposits to be insured and, for any amount above the insured maximum, to be secured with approved collateral as defined.

2. <u>Deposits (Continued)</u>

There were no deposits exposed to custodial credit risk as of December 31, 2017. Custodial credit risk, as defined by GASB No. 40, as amended, includes deposits that are not covered by depository insurance and the deposits are uncollateralized, collateralized with securities held by the pledging financial institution, or collateralized with securities held by the pledging financial institution's trust department or agent but not in the municipality's name.

Fund Balance

The fund balance as of December 31, 2017, consists of the following:

Cash

\$89,483.78

3. <u>Interest On Investments</u>

Our examination disclosed that the municipality deposited idle liquid fuels tax money in interest-bearing accounts which earned \$.42 during 2016, and \$402.69 during 2017, thus providing additional funds for road maintenance and repairs.

4. <u>Adjustments</u>

2016 - Section 1

An adjustment of \$1,497.03 was made "Repairs of tools and machinery" because expenditures of \$1,527.83 were misclassified as miscellaneous and these expenditures were overstated by \$30.80.

An adjustment of \$1,318.09 was made to "Maintenance and repair of roads and bridges" because check No. 1428 for \$95.66 was not reported and expenditures of \$1,222.43 were misclassified as "Miscellaneous".

An adjustment of \$2,750.26 was made to "Miscellaneous" because expenditures of \$1,527.83 for repairs of tools and machinery and \$1,222.43 for maintenance and repair of roads and bridges were misclassified

4. <u>Adjustments (Continued)</u>

2016 - Section 2

An adjustment of \$146.77 was made to "Balance, January 1, 2016" because an incorrect fund balance was carried forward.

An adjustment of \$.42 was made to "Interest on investments" because interest earnings were understated.

2017 - Section 1

An adjustment of \$(1,181.74) was made to "Winter maintenance services" because an electronic funds transfer was voided but was included as an expenditure.

An adjustment of \$1,019.89 was made to "Maintenance and repair of roads and bridges" because a transfer of \$1,019.54 to the payroll account was not reported and these expenditures were understated by \$.30.

An adjustment of \$(59,902.46) was made to "Miscellaneous" because a transfer of \$60,000.00 between two Liquid Fuels Tax Fund accounts was incorrectly reported as an expenditure and bank service charges of \$97.54 were not reported.

2017 - Section 2

An adjustment of \$(64.44) was made to "Balance, January 1, 2017" because an incorrect fund balance was carried forward.

An adjustment of \$.13 was made to "Interest on investments" because interest earnings were understated.

An adjustment of \$60,000.00 was made to "Miscellaneous" because a transfer between Liquid Fuels Tax Fund accounts was incorrectly reported as a receipt.

Finding No. 1 - Retroactive Expenditure

Our examination disclosed that the municipality paid \$1,217.70 from its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund on March 14, 2016 for an expenditure incurred September 29, 2015, which is retroactive expenditure.

The Department of Transportation's *Publication 9* contains the policies and procedures for the administration of the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund. *Publication 9*, Chapter Two, Section 2.7.2, states, in relevant part:

Examples of what Liquid Fuels Tax Fund monies may not be expended for is:

16. Retroactive expenditures or repayment of other funds for prior period expenditures.

Because these expenditures were incurred on September 29, 2015 and were not paid until March 14, 2016, the expenditures incurred were not paid in a reasonable period of time. Therefore, the municipality did not comply with the Department of Transportation's *Publication 9*.

The failure to follow the Department of Transportation's *Publication 9* as noted above could result in the municipality having to reimburse \$1,217.70 to its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund.

Recommendations

We recommend that the municipality reimburse \$1,217.70 to its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund upon official notification by the Department of Transportation.

We further recommend that, in the future, the municipality complies with the Department of Transportation's *Publication 9* as noted above.

Management's Response

The municipal officials offered no formal response at this time.

Auditor's Conclusion

During our next examination we will determine if the municipality complied with our recommendations.

Finding No. 2 - Nonpermissible Expenditure - Recurring

Our current examination disclosed that the municipality expended \$1,173.20 during 2016 from the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund for a workman's compensation premium, which is a nonpermissible expenditure.

The Liquid Fuels Tax Municipal Allocation Law, 72 P.S. § 2615.4, provides, in part, that monies herein allocated may be used only for construction, reconstruction, maintenance, and repairs of such public roads or streets, including bridges, culverts and drainage structures, for which they are legally responsible. Also permitted are expenditures involving acquisition, maintenance, repairs and operation of street signs, traffic signs, traffic signal control systems, road equipment, and snow fences.

The Department of Transportation has been statutorily authorized to promulgate regulations concerning the administration of Liquid Fuels Tax Fund money and has determined that certain items, including workman's compensation premium, are outside the scope of permissible expenditures.

The failure to follow the Liquid Fuels Tax Municipal Allocation Law and the Department of Transportation's Regulations as noted above could result in the municipality having to reimburse \$1,173.20 to its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund.

A similar finding was also written in our prior report. However, we did not note any nonpermissible expenditure during 2017.

Recommendations

We recommend that the municipality reimburse \$1,173.20 to its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund upon official notification by the Department of Transportation.

We further recommend that, in the future, the municipality continues to comply with the Liquid Fuels Tax Municipal Allocation Law and the Department of Transportation's Regulations regarding permissible expenditures.

Finding No. 2 - Nonpermissible Expenditure - Recurring (Continued)

Management's Response

The municipal officials offered no formal response at this time.

Auditor's Conclusion

During our next examination we will determine if the municipality complied with our recommendations.

Finding No. 3 - Payroll Tax Not Remitted To Proper Authorities - Recurring

Our current examination disclosed that the municipality failed to remit payroll taxes withheld for state taxes to the Department of Revenue.

Good internal controls ensure that all payroll taxes withheld from employees' wages are remitted timely to the proper authorities.

The failure to timely remit payroll taxes to the proper authorities could result in the municipality having to pay interest and penalties on uncollected taxes.

A similar finding was also written in our prior report. However, we did not note any instances of payroll tax not being remitted to proper authorities during 2017.

Recommendation

We recommend that, in the future, the municipality withhold payroll taxes as prescribed by law.

Management's Response

The municipal officials offered no formal response at this time.

Auditor's Conclusion

During our next examination we will determine if the municipality complied with our recommendation.

Finding No. 4 - Idle Funds Held In A Noninterest-Bearing Account - Recurring

Our examination disclosed that liquid fuels tax money in excess of current needs was deposited in a noninterest-bearing checking account from January 2016 to April 2017 with an average balance of \$116,767.63 in 2016 and \$95,505.73 in 2017.

The Department of Transportation's Publication 9, Chapter Two, Section 2.6.1, states:

All monies in the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund account should be invested to earn interest until expended. . .

The Second Class Township Code, Section 3204, as published by the Local Government Commission, lists the types of permissible investments for township funds. If the township had invested the liquid fuels tax funds in interest-bearing accounts, certificates of deposit, or other investments permitted by *The Second Class Township Code*, additional money would have been earned for road maintenance and repairs.

A similar finding was also written in our prior report. However, the county opened an interestbearing account in April 2017.

Recommendation

We recommend that the township officials comply with the Department of Transportation's *Publication 9* by continuing to invest money in excess of current needs in investments outlined in *The Second Class Township Code* as published by the Local Government Commission.

Management's Response

The township officials offered no formal response at this time.

Auditor's Conclusion

During our next examination we will determine if the municipality complied with our recommendations.

TOWNSHIP OF SPRINGHILL GREENE COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND SUMMARY OF PRIOR EXAMINATION RECOMMENDATIONS FOR THE PERIOD JANUARY 1, 2016 TO DECEMBER 31, 2017

Summary Of Prior Examination Recommendations

In our prior report we recommended that the Department of Transportation review our examination finding to determine if the municipality should reimburse \$2,432.85 to its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund for a nonpermissible expenditure.

During our current examination we reviewed a letter dated June 9, 2017, from the Department of Transportation informing the municipality to reimburse \$2,432.85 to its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund. We noted that the municipality reimbursed this amount to its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund on July 7, 2017.

In our prior report we also recommended that:

- The municipality complies with the Liquid Fuels Tax Municipal Allocation Law and the Department of Transportation's Regulations regarding permissible expenditures.
- The municipality remit all payroll taxes to the proper authorities in a timely manner.
- The municipal officials comply with the Department of Transportation's *Publication 9* reassessing their fiscal policy and consider investing money in excess of current needs in investments outlined in *The Second Class Township Code* as published by the Local Government Commission.

During our current examination we noted that the municipality did not comply with these recommendations during 2016 (see Finding Nos. 2, 3, and 4) but did comply with our recommendations during 2017.

TOWNSHIP OF SPRINGHILL GREENE COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND SUMMARY OF EXIT CONFERENCE FOR THE PERIOD JANUARY 1, 2016 TO DECEMBER 31, 2017

An exit conference was held August 28, 2018. Those participating were:

TOWNSHIP OF SPRINGHILL

Ms. Lisa Gatrell, Secretary/Treasurer

DEPARTMENT OF THE AUDITOR GENERAL

Mrs. Michele Simpson, Auditor

The results of the examination were presented and discussed in their entirety.

TOWNSHIP OF SPRINGHILL GREENE COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND REPORT DISTRIBUTION FOR THE PERIOD JANUARY 1, 2016 TO DECEMBER 31, 2017

This report was initially distributed to:

The Honorable Leslie Richards

Secretary Department of Transportation

Township of Springhill

Greene County 10555 Deep Valley Road New Freeport, PA 15310

The Honorable Danny Yoss

Chairman of the Board of Supervisors

Ms. Lisa Gatrell

Secretary/Treasurer

This report is a matter of public record and is available online at <u>www.PaAuditor.gov</u>. Media questions about the report can be directed to the Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General, Office of Communications, 229 Finance Building, Harrisburg, PA 17120; via email to: <u>news@PaAuditor.gov</u>.