

TOWNSHIP OF SUGARCREEK ARMSTRONG COUNTY 03-224

LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND EXAMINATION REPORT

FOR THE THREE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2007

CONTENTS

<u>Page</u>
Background1
Independent Auditor's Report
Financial Section:
2005 Form MS-965 With Adjustments
2006 Form MS-965 With Adjustments
2007 Form MS-965 With Adjustments
Notes To Forms MS-965 With Adjustments
Finding And Recommendations:
Finding - Purchases Over \$10,000.00 In Noncompliance With Advertising And Bidding Requirements
Comment
Summary Of Exit Conference
Report Distribution 25

TOWNSHIP OF SUGARCREEK ARMSTRONG COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND BACKGROUND FOR THE THREE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2007

Background

The Liquid Fuels Tax Municipal Allocation Law, Title 72 P.S. § 2615.5, provides municipalities other than counties (townships, boroughs, cities, towns, home rule, and optional plan governments) with an annual allocation of liquid fuels taxes from the state's Motor License Fund to be used for the maintenance and repair of streets, roads, and bridges for which the municipality is responsible. The allocation of these funds to municipalities is based: (1) 50 percent on the municipality's proportion of local road mileage to the total local road mileage in the state, and (2) 50 percent on the proportion of a municipality's population to the total population in the state.

The Vehicle Code, Title 75 P.S. § 9511, provides municipalities with annual maintenance payments to be received from the Motor License Fund for functionally local highways that were transferred to a municipality from the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

Each municipality must deposit the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund money and annual maintenance payments that it receives into a special fund called the Municipal Liquid Fuels Tax Fund or State Fund. A municipality may not deposit any other monies into this fund except when the municipality does not have enough money in the special fund to meet the payments called for by its current annual budget for road and bridge purposes. In this case, the municipality may borrow money or transfer money from its General Fund to its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund.

The Department of Transportation has been given the regulatory authority for the administration of these funds. To qualify for its annual allocation, each municipality shall submit the following documents and information to the Department of Transportation:

- 1. Evidence that the treasurer is bonded in accordance with the law, or that its treasurer is a bank requiring no bond by January 31 of each year.
- 2. A report indicating the manner in which its liquid fuels tax allocation was expended in the previous year (Form MS-965) by January 31 of each year.
- 3. A report of elected and appointed officials by January 31 of each year.
- 4. A Survey of Financial Condition by March 15 of each year.

Department of Transportation *Publication 9* contains the policies and procedures that govern the use of Liquid Fuels Tax Fund money. However, if there is a difference between *Publication 9* and any legislation, the legislation shall govern.



Independent Auditor's Report

The Honorable Allen D. Biehler, P.E. Secretary
Department of Transportation
Harrisburg, PA 17120

We examined the accompanying Forms MS-965 With Adjustments for the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund of the Township of Sugarcreek, Armstrong County, for the three years ended December 31, 2007. The municipality's management is responsible for the Forms MS-965. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Forms MS-965 With Adjustments based on our examination.

Our examination was conducted in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants and the standards applicable to attestation engagements contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. An examination includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the Township of Sugarcreek, Armstrong County's Forms MS-965 for the three years ended December 31, 2007 and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our examination provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

We are mandated by Section 403 of *The Fiscal Code*, 72 P.S. § 403, to audit each municipality's Liquid Fuels Tax Fund to ensure that funds received are expended in accordance with applicable laws and regulations. *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States include attestation engagements as a separate type of audit. An attestation engagement performed pursuant to *Government Auditing Standards* involves additional standards that exceed the standards provided by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. Accordingly, this attestation engagement complies with both *Government Auditing Standards* and Section 403 of *The Fiscal Code*.

As described in Note 4, the adjustments included on the Forms MS-965 With Adjustments are made by the Department of the Auditor General.

As discussed in the Finding and Recommendations section of this report, the municipality expended \$9,588.60 in 2005 and \$12,441.62 in 2007 for MC-70 road oil and cinders without advertising for bids.

Independent Auditor's Report (Continued)

In our opinion, except for the matter discussed in the preceding paragraph, the Forms MS-965 With Adjustments present, in all material respects, the information required by the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation for the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund of the Township of Sugarcreek, Armstrong County, for the three years ended December 31, 2007, in conformity with the criteria set forth in Note 1.

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we are required to report findings of significant deficiencies in internal control, violations of provisions of contracts or grant agreements, and abuse that are material to the Forms MS-965 and any fraud and illegal acts that are more than inconsequential that come to our attention during our examination. We are also required to obtain the views of management on those matters. We performed our examination to express an opinion on whether the Forms MS-965 are presented in accordance with the criteria described above and not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the internal control over reporting on the Forms MS-965 or on compliance and other matters; accordingly, we express no such opinions.

A control deficiency exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent or detect misstatements on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a control deficiency, or combination of control deficiencies, that adversely affects the Township of Sugarcreek, Armstrong County's ability to initiate, authorize, record, process, or report data reliably in accordance with the applicable criteria such that there is more than a remote likelihood that a misstatement of the Township of Sugarcreek, Armstrong County's Forms MS-965 that is more than inconsequential will not be prevented or detected by the Township of Sugarcreek, Armstrong County's internal control.

A material weakness is a significant deficiency or combination of significant deficiencies that results in more than a remote likelihood that a material misstatement of the Forms MS-965 will not be prevented or detected by the Township of Sugarcreek, Armstrong County's internal control.

Our consideration of internal control over reporting on the Forms MS-965 was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and would not necessarily identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be significant deficiencies or material weaknesses. We did not identify any significant deficiencies or material weaknesses, as defined above, in internal control over reporting on the Forms MS-965.

<u>Independent Auditor's Report (Continued)</u>

The results of our tests disclosed the following instance of noncompliance that is required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*:

• Purchases Over \$10,000.00 In Noncompliance With Advertising And Bidding Requirements.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation and the management of the Township of Sugarcreek, Armstrong County, and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

September 12, 2008

JACK WAGNER Auditor General



TOWNSHIP OF SUGARCREEK ARMSTRONG COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND 2005 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 1 WITH ADJUSTMENTS

Expenditure Summary	 Reported	Adjustments (Note 4)		Adjusted Amount	
Major equipment purchases	\$ 1,000.00	\$	(1,000.00)	\$	-
Minor equipment purchases	-		1,000.00		1,000.00
Computer/Computer related training	_		-		-
Agility projects	-		-		-
Cleaning streets and gutters	-		-		-
Winter maintenance services	12,703.40		-		12,703.40
Traffic control devices	_		-		-
Street lighting	_		-		-
Storm sewers and drains	_		-		-
Repairs of tools and machinery	9,908.66		1,048.10		10,956.76
Maintenance and repair of					
roads and bridges	58,422.76		19,683.26		78,106.02
Highway construction and					
rebuilding projects	13,000.00		(13,000.00)		-
Miscellaneous (Bank service charges)	 7,787.71		(7,731.36)		56.35
Total (To Section 2, Line 5)	\$ 102,822.53	\$	-	\$	102,822.53

TOWNSHIP OF SUGARCREEK ARMSTRONG COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND 2005 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 2 WITH ADJUSTMENTS

Fund Balance	Reported		Reported Adjustments		Adjusted Amount	
1. Balance, January 1, 2005	\$ 6,550.76		\$	-	\$	6,550.76
Receipts:						
2. State allocation		94,426.67		-		94,426.67
2a. Turnback allocation		12,050.00		-		12,050.00
2b. Interest on investments (Note 3)		562.61		-		562.61
2c. Miscellaneous (Note 5)		91.20		-		91.20
3. Total receipts		107,130.48				107,130.48
4. Total funds available		113,681.24				113,681.24
5. Expenditures (Section 1)		102,822.53				102,822.53
6. Balance, December 31, 2005	\$	10,858.71	\$		\$	10,858.71

TOWNSHIP OF SUGARCREEK ARMSTRONG COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND 2005 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 3 WITH ADJUSTMENTS

Equipment Balance	Reported		Reported		Reported		Adjustments (Note 4)		Adjusted Amount	
1. Prior year equipment balance	\$	6,550.76	\$	-	\$	6,550.76				
2. Add: Current year equipment allocation (20% of Lines 2 + 2a, Section 2)	1	21,295.33		-		21,295.33				
3. PENNDOT approved adjustments		-		-		-				
Mathematical error		(6,550.76)		6,550.76						
4. Total funds available for equipment acquisition		21,295.33		6,550.76		27,846.09				
5. Less: Major equipment expenditures		1,000.00		(1,000.00)						
6. Remainder		20,295.33		7,550.76		27,846.09				
7. Equipment balance available for subsequent year (Lesser of Line 6 or Section 2 balance, but not less than zero)	\$	10,858.71	\$	<u>-</u>	\$	10,858.71				

TOWNSHIP OF SUGARCREEK ARMSTRONG COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND 2006 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 1 WITH ADJUSTMENTS

Expenditure Summary	 Reported	Adjustments (Note 4)		Adjusted Amount	
Major equipment purchases	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-
Minor equipment purchases	-		-		-
Computer/Computer related training	-		-		-
Agility projects	-		-		-
Cleaning streets and gutters	-		-		-
Winter maintenance services	8,954.24		-		8,954.24
Traffic control devices	-		-		-
Street lighting	-		-		-
Storm sewers and drains	-		-		-
Repairs of tools and machinery	7,871.29		-		7,871.29
Maintenance and repair of					
roads and bridges	64,403.31		26,067.13		90,470.44
Highway construction and					
rebuilding projects	22,481.78		(22,481.78)		-
Miscellaneous (Bank service charges)	3,713.35		(3,585.35)		128.00
Total (To Section 2, Line 5)	\$ 107,423.97	\$	-	\$	107,423.97

TOWNSHIP OF SUGARCREEK ARMSTRONG COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND 2006 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 2 WITH ADJUSTMENTS

Fund Balance	Reported		Reported Adjustments		Adjusted Amount		
1. Balance, January 1, 2006	\$ 10,858.71		\$	-	\$	10,858.71	
Receipts:							
2. State allocation		100,936.56		-		100,936.56	
2a. Turnback allocation		12,050.00		-		12,050.00	
2b. Interest on investments (Note 3)		779.47		-		779.47	
2c. Miscellaneous (Note 5)		2,128.00				2,128.00	
3. Total receipts		115,894.03				115,894.03	
4. Total funds available		126,752.74				126,752.74	
5. Expenditures (Section 1)		107,423.97				107,423.97	
6. Balance, December 31, 2006	\$	19,328.77	\$		\$	19,328.77	

TOWNSHIP OF SUGARCREEK ARMSTRONG COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND 2006 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 3 WITH ADJUSTMENTS

Equipment Balance	Reported		Reported Adjus		Adjusted Amount	
1. Prior year equipment balance	\$	10,858.71	\$	-	\$ 10,858.71	
2. Add: Current year equipment allocation (20% of Lines 2 + 2a, Section 2)	1	22,597.31		-	22,597.31	
3. PENNDOT approved adjustments		-		-	-	
Mathematical error		(10,858.71)		10,858.71	-	
4. Total funds available for equipment acquisition		22,597.31		10,858.71	33,456.02	
5. Less: Major equipment expenditures				_	 _	
6. Remainder		22,597.31		10,858.71	 33,456.02	
7. Equipment balance available for subsequent year (Lesser of Line 6 or Section 2 balance, but not less than zero)	\$	19,328.77	\$		\$ 19,328.77	

TOWNSHIP OF SUGARCREEK ARMSTRONG COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND 2007 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 1 WITH ADJUSTMENTS

Expenditure Summary	Reported		Reported Adjustments (Note 4)		Adjusted Amount	
Major equipment purchases	\$	10,231.00	\$	-	\$	10,231.00
Minor equipment purchases		-		-		-
Computer/Computer related training		-		-		-
Agility projects		-		-		-
Cleaning streets and gutters		-		-		-
Winter maintenance services		14,503.69		-		14,503.69
Traffic control devices		-		-		-
Street lighting		-		-		-
Storm sewers and drains		-		-		-
Repairs of tools and machinery		23,067.80		-		23,067.80
Maintenance and repair of						
roads and bridges		66,799.54		6,663.71		73,463.25
Highway construction and						
rebuilding projects		-		-		-
Miscellaneous		6,762.26		(6,762.26)		_
Total (To Section 2, Line 5)	\$	121,364.29	\$	(98.55)	\$	121,265.74

TOWNSHIP OF SUGARCREEK ARMSTRONG COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND 2007 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 2 WITH ADJUSTMENTS

Fund Balance	Reported		Adjustments (Note 4)		Adjusted Amount		
1. Balance, January 1, 2006	\$	19,328.77	\$	-	\$	19,328.77	
Receipts:							
2. State allocation		104,317.19		-		104,317.19	
2a. Turnback allocation		19,280.00		-		19,280.00	
2b. Interest on investments (Note 3)		748.79		-		748.79	
2c. Miscellaneous		98.55		(98.55)			
3. Total receipts		124,444.53		(98.55)		124,345.98	
4. Total funds available		143,773.30		(98.55)		143,674.75	
5. Expenditures (Section 1)		121,364.29		(98.55)		121,265.74	
6. Balance, December 31, 2006	\$	22,409.01	\$	-	\$	22,409.01	

TOWNSHIP OF SUGARCREEK ARMSTRONG COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND 2007 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 3 WITH ADJUSTMENTS

Equipment Balance	Reported		Reported		Reported Adjustments		Adjusted Amount	
1. Prior year equipment balance	\$	19,328.77	\$	-	\$	19,328.77		
2. Add: Current year equipment allocation (20% of Lines 2 + 2a, Section 2)		24,719.44		-		24,719.44		
3. PENNDOT approved adjustments		-		-		-		
Mathematical error		(19,328.77)		19,328.77				
4. Total funds available for equipment acquisition		24,719.44		19,328.77		44,048.21		
5. Less: Major equipment expenditures		10,231.00		<u>-</u>		10,231.00		
6. Remainder		14,488.44		19,328.77		33,817.21		
7. Equipment balance available for subsequent year (Lesser of Line 6 or Section 2 balance, but not less than zero)	\$	14,488.44	\$	7,920.57	\$	22,409.01		

1. Criteria

Section 1

This section of Form MS-965 With Adjustments provides a summary of Liquid Fuels Tax Fund expenditures by category. Categories requiring explanation include:

- Major equipment purchases are purchases of road machinery and road equipment that cost in excess of \$4,000.00.
- Minor equipment purchases are purchases of road machinery and road equipment that cost \$4,000.00 or less.
- Agility projects are exchanges of services with the Department of Transportation.

Section 2

This section of Form MS-965 With Adjustments provides information on the fund balance. Categories requiring explanation include:

- The state allocation is generally received from the Department of Transportation during the first week in April of each year. The amount the municipality receives is based half on its population and half on its road mileage.
- The turnback allocation is generally received from the Department of Transportation during the first week in April of each year. A municipality receives a yearly turnback allocation based on road mileage for all roads that were transferred to the municipality from the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania through the Highway Transfer Program.
- Expenditures include the total transferred from Section 1.

Section 3

This section of Form MS-965 With Adjustments determines if the municipality expended Liquid Fuels Tax Fund money in excess of the permissible amount for equipment and the balance that the municipality may carry forward for the purchase of equipment to the subsequent year.

1. <u>Criteria (Continued)</u>

Section 3 (Continued)

Department of Transportation *Publication 9* requires that the amount expended for equipment purchases in a given year not exceed the sum of the equipment balance carried forward from the previous year and 20 percent of the current year's Liquid Fuels Tax Fund allocation and, if applicable, 20 percent of the turnback allocation plus other Department of Transportation approved adjustments.

If the municipality spent in excess of the amount listed on Line 4, the excess must be reimbursed to the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund.

The equipment balance to be carried forward for the subsequent year is the lesser of the amount on Line 6 or the ending fund balance on Line 6 of Section 2, but not less than zero.

Basis Of Presentation

The financial activities of the municipality are accounted for in separate funds. The Liquid Fuels Tax Fund is used to account for state aid revenues from the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation used primarily for building and improving local roads and bridges. The Forms MS-965 have been prepared in accordance with reporting requirements prescribed by the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation as a result of the Fuels Tax Act 655, dated 1956 and as amended, which does not constitute a complete presentation of the entity's assets, liabilities, expenses, and fund balance. Accordingly, the presentation of Forms MS-965 With Adjustments is restricted to the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund, which represents a segment of the entity.

Basis Of Accounting

The accompanying Forms MS-965 With Adjustments are prepared in accordance with reporting requirements prescribed by the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation. Under this method, revenues are recognized when received and expenditures are recorded when paid.

1. Criteria (Continued)

General Fixed Assets

General fixed assets are recognized as expenditures at the time of purchase. No depreciation has been provided on the heavy equipment used to maintain and repair roads and bridges.

2. Deposits

The Second Class Township Code, Title 53 P.S. § 68204, authorizes the township to deposit its funds in the following:

- Deposits in savings accounts or time deposits, other than certificates of deposit or share accounts of institutions having their principal place of business in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) or other like insurance. For any amount above the insured maximum, the depository shall pledge approved collateral.
- Certificates of deposit purchased from institutions insured by the FDIC or other like insurance to the extent that such accounts are so insured. For any amounts in excess of the insured maximum, such deposits shall be collateralized by a pledge or assignment of assets. Certificates of deposit may not exceed 20 percent of a bank's total capital surplus or 20 percent of a savings and loan's or savings bank's assets minus liabilities.

Deposits consist of receipts and deposits in financial institutions. Pennsylvania statutes require all deposits to be insured and, for any amount above the insured maximum, to be secured with approved collateral as defined.

There were no deposits exposed to custodial credit risk as of December 31, 2007. Custodial credit risk, as defined by GASB No. 40, includes deposits that are not covered by depository insurance and the deposits are uncollateralized, collateralized with securities held by the pledging financial institution, or collateralized with securities held by the pledging financial institution's trust department or agent but not in the municipality's name.

2. <u>Deposits (Continued)</u>

Fund Balance

The fund balance as of December 31, 2007 consists of the following:

Cash \$22,409.01

3. <u>Interest On Investments</u>

Our examination disclosed that the municipality deposited idle liquid fuels tax money in an interest-bearing account which earned \$562.61 during 2005, \$779.47 during 2006, and \$748.79 during 2007 (see Comment).

4. Adjustments

2005 - Section 1

Adjustments were made to "Major equipment purchases" and "Minor equipment purchases" because expenditures of \$1,000.00 were misclassified.

An adjustment of \$1,048.10 was made to "Repairs of tools and machinery" because these expenditures were misclassified as miscellaneous.

An adjustment of \$19,683.26 was made to "Maintenance and repair of roads and bridges" because expenditures of \$13,000.00 were misclassified as highway construction and rebuilding projects and expenditures of \$6,683.26 were misclassified as miscellaneous.

An adjustment of \$(13,000.00) was made to "Highway construction and rebuilding projects" because expenditures for the maintenance and repair of roads and bridges were misclassified.

An adjustment of \$(7,731.36) was made to "Miscellaneous" because expenditures of \$1,048.10 for repairs of tools and machinery and \$6,683.26 for maintenance and repair of roads and bridges were misclassified.

2005 - Section 3

An adjustment of \$(1,000.00) was made to "Major equipment expenditures" to reflect the adjustment made to major equipment purchases in 2005 - Section 1.

4. Adjustments (Continued)

2006 - Section 1

Adjustments were made to "Maintenance and repair of roads and bridges," "Highway construction and rebuilding projects," and "Miscellaneous" because expenditures of \$26,067.13 were misclassified.

2007 - Section 1

An adjustment of \$6,663.71 was made to "Maintenance and repair of roads and bridges" because these expenditures were misclassified as miscellaneous.

An adjustment of \$(6,762.26) was made to "Miscellaneous" because expenditures of \$6,663.71 for maintenance and repair of roads and bridges were misclassified and check No. 3022 for \$98.55 was voided but was incorrectly reported as an expenditure.

2007 - Section 2

An adjustment of \$(98.55) was made to "Miscellaneous" because voided check No. 3022 was incorrectly reported as a receipt.

5. <u>Miscellaneous Receipts</u>

The following miscellaneous receipts were deposited into the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund during the examination period:

Source	Description	2005	2006
General Fund	Deposit in error	\$91.20	\$ -
F.E.M.A.	Reimbursement for hurricane damage	-	2,000.00
Financial institution	Refund of bank service charges		128.00
Totals		\$91.20	\$2,128.00

TOWNSHIP OF SUGARCREEK ARMSTRONG COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND FINDING AND RECOMMENDATIONS FOR THE THREE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2007

<u>Finding - Purchases Over \$10,000.00 In Noncompliance With Advertising And Bidding</u> Requirements

Our examination disclosed that in 2005 the township expended \$12,873.22 from the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund for the purchase of road oil. This amount consists of \$9,588.60 for MC-70 and \$3,284.62 for E-3. These items are considered to be like items. Because the total is over \$10,000.00, both E-3 and MC-70 should have been advertised and bid. However, the township bid for E-3 but not MC-70. Additionally, in 2007 the township expended \$12,441.62 from the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund for the purchase of cinders without advertising for bids.

The above purchases were not made in compliance with the advertising, bidding, and contract requirements of *The Second Class Township Code*, 53 P.S. § 68102(a), (also found at § 3102(a) of *The Second Class Township Code* as published by the Local Government Commission), which requires that purchases over \$10,000.00 must be advertised, bid, and awarded by contract. *The Second Class Township Code*, 53 P.S. § 68104(a), (also found at § 3104(a) of *The Second Class Township Code* as published by the Local Government Commission) further states that advertising, bidding and contract requirements should not be evaded through piecemeal purchases.

In addition, the above purchases were not made in compliance with the Department of Transportation's *Publication 9*, Appendix D, Section 449.7(d), which states, in part:

Each municipality shall make an annual estimate of its requirements of road construction and maintenance materials, and shall advertise for bids on all items and groups of like items ordinarily supplied by a single supplier . . . which are estimated to exceed \$10,000 for the year If the cost during the year of an unadvertised item or group of like items ordinarily supplied by a single supplier exceeds \$10,000 . . . the entire cost shall be ineligible for payment from the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund unless it can be shown to the satisfaction of the Secretary [of the Department of Transportation] that it was reasonably believed that the cost of the year's requirements of that item or group of like items would not exceed \$10,000 . . .

The failure to comply with *The Second Class Township Code* and the Department of Transportation's *Publication 9* could result in the township having to reimburse \$22,030.22 to its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund.

TOWNSHIP OF SUGARCREEK ARMSTRONG COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND FINDING AND RECOMMENDATIONS FOR THE THREE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2007

<u>Finding - Purchases Over \$10,000.00 In Noncompliance With Advertising And Bidding Requirements (Continued)</u>

Recommendations

We recommend that the township reimburse \$22,030.22 to its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund upon official notification by the Department of Transportation.

We further recommend that, in the future, the township comply with *The Second Class Township Code* and the Department of Transportation's *Publication 9* as noted in this finding.

Management's Response

The secretary/treasurer stated:

The finding resulting from this examination was without question an inadvertent oversight regarding advertising and bidding requirements. However, due to current harsh economic conditions at this time, any action or requirement for the township to reimburse the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund would create an undue hardship for the township. Sugarcreek Township is therefore requesting that PENNDOT waive any costs or penalties related to the finding contained in this report of examination. Be assured that preventive steps to prevent and disallow such mistakes in the future will be taken without delay.

Your favorable consideration of this matter would be appreciated by the Township of Sugarcreek.

Auditor's Conclusion

The Department of Transportation will determine if the municipality should reimburse \$22,030.22 to its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund.

TOWNSHIP OF SUGARCREEK ARMSTRONG COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND COMMENT FOR THE THREE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2007

Comment - Insufficient Interest Earned On Liquid Fuels Tax Fund Deposits

During our current examination period the municipality maintained its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund deposits in a checking account. As of December 31, 2007, the municipality was earning interest on this account at a rate of only .05 percent. The municipality should consider transferring its idle funds to a higher yielding account to increase interest earnings.

TOWNSHIP OF SUGARCREEK ARMSTRONG COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND SUMMARY OF EXIT CONFERENCE FOR THE THREE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2007

An exit conference was held September 12, 2008. Those participating were:

TOWNSHIP OF SUGARCREEK

Mr. Vincent L. King, Secretary/Treasurer

DEPARTMENT OF THE AUDITOR GENERAL

Mr. Robert D. Conterno, Auditor

The results of the examination were presented and discussed in their entirety.

TOWNSHIP OF SUGARCREEK
ARMSTRONG COUNTY
LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND
REPORT DISTRIBUTION
FOR THE THREE YEARS ENDED
DECEMBER 31, 2007

This report was initially distributed to:

The Honorable Allen D. Biehler, P.E. Secretary
Department of Transportation

Township of Sugarcreek Armstrong County 349 Morgan Road Cowansville, PA 16218

The Honorable Chad M. Crawford Chairman of the Board of Supervisors

Mr. Vincent L. King Secretary/Treasurer

This report is a matter of public record. Copies of this report may be obtained from the Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General, Office of Communications, 318 Finance Building, Harrisburg, PA 17120. To view this report online or to contact the Department of the Auditor General, please access our web site at www.auditorgen.state.pa.us.