

TOWNSHIP OF SUGARCREEK ARMSTRONG COUNTY 03-224

LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND EXAMINATION REPORT

FOR THE THREE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2010

CONTENTS

<u>Page</u>
Background1
Independent Auditor's Report
Financial Section:
2008 Form MS-965 With Adjustments
2009 Form MS-965 With Adjustments
2010 Form MS-965 With Adjustments
Notes To Forms MS-965 With Adjustments
Findings And Recommendations:
Finding No. 1 - Failure To Properly Prepare Forms MS-965
Finding No. 2 - Road Bond Money Improperly Refunded From The Liquid Fuels Tax Fund
Comment
Summary Of Exit Conference
Report Distribution 31

TOWNSHIP OF SUGARCREEK ARMSTRONG COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND BACKGROUND FOR THE THREE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2010

Background

The Liquid Fuels Tax Municipal Allocation Law, Title 72 P.S. § 2615.5, provides municipalities other than counties (townships, boroughs, cities, towns, home rule, and optional plan governments) with an annual allocation of liquid fuels taxes from the state's Motor License Fund to be used for the maintenance and repair of streets, roads, and bridges for which the municipality is responsible. The allocation of these funds to municipalities is based: (1) 50 percent on the municipality's proportion of local road mileage to the total local road mileage in the state, and (2) 50 percent on the proportion of a municipality's population to the total population in the state.

The Vehicle Code, Title 75 P.S. § 9511, provides municipalities with annual maintenance payments to be received from the Motor License Fund for functionally local highways that were transferred to a municipality from the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

Each municipality must deposit the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund money and annual maintenance payments that it receives into a special fund called the Municipal Liquid Fuels Tax Fund or State Fund. A municipality may not deposit any other monies into this fund except when the municipality does not have enough money in the special fund to meet the payments called for by its current annual budget for road and bridge purposes. In this case, the municipality may borrow money or transfer money from its General Fund to its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund.

The Department of Transportation has been given the regulatory authority for the administration of these funds. To qualify for its annual allocation, each municipality shall submit the following documents and information to the Department of Transportation:

- 1. Evidence that the treasurer is bonded in accordance with the law, or that its treasurer is a bank requiring no bond by January 31 of each year.
- 2. A report indicating the manner in which its liquid fuels tax allocation was expended in the previous year (Form MS-965) by January 31 of each year.
- 3. A report of elected and appointed officials by January 31 of each year.
- 4. A Survey of Financial Condition by March 15 of each year.

Department of Transportation *Publication 9* contains the policies and procedures that govern the use of Liquid Fuels Tax Fund money. However, if there is a difference between *Publication 9* and any legislation, the legislation shall govern.





Department of the Auditor General Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17120-0018

JACK WAGNER AUDITOR GENERAL

Independent Auditor's Report

The Honorable Barry J. Schoch, P.E. Secretary
Department of Transportation
Harrisburg, PA 17120

We examined the accompanying Forms MS-965 With Adjustments for the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund of the Township of Sugarcreek, Armstrong County, for the three years ended December 31, 2010. The municipality's management is responsible for the Forms MS-965. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Forms MS-965 With Adjustments based on our examination.

Our examination was conducted in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants and the standards applicable to attestation engagements contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. An examination includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the Township of Sugarcreek, Armstrong County's Forms MS-965 for the three years ended December 31, 2010 and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our examination provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

We are mandated by Section 403 of *The Fiscal Code*, 72 P.S. § 403, to audit each municipality's Liquid Fuels Tax Fund to ensure that funds received are expended in accordance with applicable laws and regulations. *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States include attestation engagements as a separate type of audit. An attestation engagement performed pursuant to *Government Auditing Standards* involves additional standards that exceed the standards provided by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. Accordingly, this attestation engagement complies with both *Government Auditing Standards* and Section 403 of *The Fiscal Code*.

As described in Note 4, the adjustments included on the Forms MS-965 With Adjustments are made by the Department of the Auditor General.

Independent Auditor's Report (Continued)

As discussed in Finding No. 2, on December 18, 2010, the municipality refunded the proceeds of a road bond of \$20,600.00 from the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund that was originally deposited into the General Fund. Additionally, as discussed in the Comment section of this report, during our prior examination period the municipality expended \$22,030.22 from its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund without advertising for bids.

In our opinion, except for the matters discussed in the preceding paragraph, the Forms MS-965 With Adjustments present, in all material respects, the information required by the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation for the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund of the Township of Sugarcreek, Armstrong County, for the three years ended December 31, 2010, in conformity with the criteria set forth in Note 1.

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we are required to report findings of significant deficiencies in internal control, violations of provisions of contracts or grant agreements, and abuse that are material to the Forms MS-965 and any fraud and illegal acts that are more than inconsequential that come to our attention during our examination. We are also required to obtain the views of management on those matters. We performed our examination to express an opinion on whether the Forms MS-965 are presented in accordance with the criteria described above and not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the internal control over reporting on the Forms MS-965 or on compliance and other matters; accordingly, we express no such opinions.

A control deficiency exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent or detect misstatements on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a control deficiency, or combination of control deficiencies, that adversely affects the Township of Sugarcreek, Armstrong County's ability to initiate, authorize, record, process, or report data reliably in accordance with the applicable criteria such that there is more than a remote likelihood that a misstatement of the Township of Sugarcreek, Armstrong County's Forms MS-965 that is more than inconsequential will not be prevented or detected by the Township of Sugarcreek, Armstrong County's internal control. We consider the deficiency described in the finding below to be a significant deficiency in internal control over reporting on the Forms MS-965:

• Failure To Properly Prepare Forms MS-965.

Independent Auditor's Report (Continued)

A material weakness is a significant deficiency or combination of significant deficiencies that results in more than a remote likelihood that a material misstatement of the Forms MS-965 will not be prevented or detected by the Township of Sugarcreek, Armstrong County's internal control. Our consideration of the internal control over reporting on the Forms MS-965 would not necessarily disclose all matters in the internal control that might be significant deficiencies and, accordingly, would not necessarily disclose all significant deficiencies that are also considered to be material weaknesses. We consider the significant deficiency described above to be a material weakness.

The results of our tests disclosed the following instance of noncompliance that is required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*:

• Road Bond Money Improperly Refunded From The Liquid Fuels Tax Fund.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation and the management of the Township of Sugarcreek, Armstrong County, and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

November 30, 2011

JACK WAGNER Auditor General



TOWNSHIP OF SUGARCREEK ARMSTRONG COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND 2008 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 1 WITH ADJUSTMENTS

Expenditure Summary	Reported		Reported Adjustments (Note 4)		Adjusted Amount	
Major equipment purchases	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Minor equipment purchases		2,833.01		-		2,833.01
Computer/Computer related training		-		-		-
Agility projects		-		-		-
Cleaning streets and gutters		-		-		-
Winter maintenance services		13,403.25		-		13,403.25
Traffic control devices		-		-		-
Street lighting		-		-		-
Storm sewers and drains		-		-		-
Repairs of tools and machinery		25,742.55		-		25,742.55
Maintenance and repair of						
roads and bridges		86,207.76		12,916.91		99,124.67
Highway construction and						
rebuilding projects		-		-		-
Miscellaneous		12,916.91		(12,916.91)		
Total (To Section 2, Line 5)	\$	141,103.48	\$		\$	141,103.48

TOWNSHIP OF SUGARCREEK ARMSTRONG COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND 2008 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 2 WITH ADJUSTMENTS

Fund Balance	Reported	ted Adjustments		Adjusted Amount	
1. Balance, January 1, 2008	\$ 22,409.01	\$	-	\$	22,409.01
Receipts:					
2. State allocation	114,765.98		-		114,765.98
2a. Turnback allocation	19,280.00		-		19,280.00
2b. Interest on investments (Note 3)	491.66		-		491.66
2c. Miscellaneous (Note 5)	1,000.00		-		1,000.00
3. Total receipts	135,537.64		-		135,537.64
4. Total funds available	157,946.65		-		157,946.65
5. Expenditures (Section 1)	 141,103.48		-		141,103.48
6. Balance, December 31, 2008	\$ 16,843.17	\$	-	\$	16,843.17

TOWNSHIP OF SUGARCREEK ARMSTRONG COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND 2008 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 3 WITH ADJUSTMENTS

Equipment Balance		Reported	A	djustments	Adjusted Amount
1. Prior year equipment balance	\$	22,409.01	\$	-	\$ 22,409.01
2. Add: Current year equipment allocatio (20% of Lines 2 + 2a, Section 2)	n	26,809.20		-	26,809.20
3. PENNDOT approved adjustments Mathematical error		(22,409.01)		22,409.01	- -
4. Total funds available for equipment acquisition		26,809.20		22,409.01	49,218.21
5. Less: Major equipment expenditures		<u>-</u>			
6. Remainder		26,809.20		22,409.01	49,218.21
7. Equipment balance available for subsequent year (Lesser of Line 6 or Section 2 balance, but not less than zero)	\$	16,843.17	\$	-	\$ 16,843.17

Notes to Forms MS-965 With Adjustments are an integral part of this report.

TOWNSHIP OF SUGARCREEK ARMSTRONG COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND 2009 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 1 WITH ADJUSTMENTS

Expenditure Summary	Reported		Adjustments (Note 4)		Adjusted Amount	
Major equipment purchases	\$	-	\$	_	\$	-
Minor equipment purchases		-		-		-
Computer/Computer related training		-		-		-
Agility projects		-		-		-
Cleaning streets and gutters		-		-		-
Winter maintenance services		7,354.62		-		7,354.62
Traffic control devices		-		-		-
Street lighting		-		-		-
Storm sewers and drains		-		-		-
Repairs of tools and machinery		29,511.26		-		29,511.26
Maintenance and repair of						
roads and bridges		95,745.58		11,046.42		106,792.00
Highway construction and						
rebuilding projects		-		-		-
Miscellaneous (Note 6)		11,046.42		(10,999.42)		47.00
Total (To Section 2, Line 5)	\$	143,657.88	\$	47.00	\$	143,704.88

Notes to Forms MS-965 With Adjustments are an integral part of this report.

TOWNSHIP OF SUGARCREEK ARMSTRONG COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND 2009 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 2 WITH ADJUSTMENTS

Fund Balance	 Reported	ustments Note 4)	 Adjusted Amount	
1. Balance, January 1, 2009	\$ 16,843.17	\$ -	\$ 16,843.17	
Receipts:				
2. State allocation	110,403.16	-	110,403.16	
2a. Turnback allocation	19,280.00	-	19,280.00	
2b. Interest on investments (Note 3)	457.98	-	457.98	
2c. Miscellaneous				
(Refund for bank service charges)		 47.00	47.00	
3. Total receipts	130,141.14	47.00	130,188.14	
4. Total funds available	146,984.31	47.00	 147,031.31	
5. Expenditures (Section 1)	143,657.88	47.00	143,704.88	
6. Balance, December 31, 2009	\$ 3,326.43	\$ -	\$ 3,326.43	

TOWNSHIP OF SUGARCREEK ARMSTRONG COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND 2009 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 3 WITH ADJUSTMENTS

Equipment Balance		Reported	A	djustments	Adjusted Amount
1. Prior year equipment balance	\$	16,843.17	\$	-	\$ 16,843.17
2. Add: Current year equipment allocation (20% of Lines 2 + 2a, Section 2)	n	25,936.63		-	25,936.63
3. PENNDOT approved adjustments		-		-	-
Mathematical error		(16,843.17)		16,843.17	
4. Total funds available for equipment acquisition		25,936.63		16,843.17	42,779.80
5. Less: Major equipment expenditures					
6. Remainder		25,936.63		16,843.17	 42,779.80
7. Equipment balance available for subsequent year (Lesser of Line 6 or Section 2 balance, but not less than zero)	\$	3,326.43	\$		\$ 3,326.43

Notes to Forms MS-965 With Adjustments are an integral part of this report.

TOWNSHIP OF SUGARCREEK ARMSTRONG COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND 2010 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 1 WITH ADJUSTMENTS

Expenditure Summary	Reported		Reported Adjustments (Note 4)		Adjusted Amount	
Major equipment purchases	\$	17,914.48	\$	-	\$	17,914.48
Minor equipment purchases		-		-		-
Computer/Computer related training		-		-		-
Agility projects		-		-		-
Cleaning streets and gutters		-		-		-
Winter maintenance services		8,771.15		-		8,771.15
Traffic control devices		-		-		-
Street lighting		-		-		-
Storm sewers and drains		-		325.00		325.00
Repairs of tools and machinery		28,878.83		(30.00)		28,848.83
Maintenance and repair of						
roads and bridges		65,333.34		3,975.38		69,308.72
Highway construction and						
rebuilding projects		-		-		-
Miscellaneous (Note 6)		4,169.90		435,978.53		440,148.43
Total (To Section 2, Line 5)	\$	125,067.70	\$	440,248.91	\$	565,316.61

Notes to Forms MS-965 With Adjustments are an integral part of this report.

TOWNSHIP OF SUGARCREEK ARMSTRONG COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND 2010 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 2 WITH ADJUSTMENTS

Fund Balance	Reported	Adjustments (Note 4)		Adjusted Amount	
1. Balance, January 1, 2010	\$ 3,326.43	\$	-	\$	3,326.43
Receipts:					
2. State allocation	105,981.81		-		105,981.81
2a. Turnback allocation	19,280.00		-		19,280.00
2b. Interest on investments (Note 3)	2,622.09		-		2,622.09
2c. Miscellaneous (Note 5)	 30.00		447,684.40		447,714.40
3. Total receipts	127,913.90		447,684.40		575,598.30
4. Total funds available	 131,240.33		447,684.40		578,924.73
5. Expenditures (Section 1)	 125,067.70		440,248.91		565,316.61
6. Balance, December 31, 2010	\$ 6,172.63	\$	7,435.49	\$	13,608.12

TOWNSHIP OF SUGARCREEK ARMSTRONG COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND 2010 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 3 WITH ADJUSTMENTS

Equipment Balance	Reported		Reported		Reported		Reported Adjustment		 Adjusted Amount
1. Prior year equipment balance	\$	3,326.43	\$	-	\$ 3,326.43				
2. Add: Current year equipment allocation (20% of Lines 2 + 2a, Section 2)	1	25,052.36		-	25,052.36				
3. PENNDOT approved adjustments									
4. Total funds available for equipment acquisition		28,378.79		-	28,378.79				
5. Less: Major equipment expenditures		17,914.48			 17,914.48				
6. Remainder		10,464.31		-	10,464.31				
7. Equipment balance available for subsequent year (Lesser of Line 6 or Section 2 balance, but not less than zero)	\$	6,172.63	\$	4,291.68	\$ 10,464.31				

Notes to Forms MS-965 With Adjustments are an integral part of this report.

1. Criteria

Section 1

This section of Form MS-965 With Adjustments provides a summary of Liquid Fuels Tax Fund expenditures by category. Categories requiring explanation include:

- Major equipment purchases are purchases of road machinery and road equipment that cost in excess of \$4,000.00.
- Minor equipment purchases are purchases of road machinery and road equipment that cost \$4,000.00 or less.
- Agility projects are exchanges of services with the Department of Transportation.

Section 2

This section of Form MS-965 With Adjustments provides information on the fund balance. Categories requiring explanation include:

- The state allocation is generally received from the Department of Transportation during the first week in April of each year. The amount the municipality receives is based half on its population and half on its road mileage.
- The turnback allocation is generally received from the Department of Transportation during the first week in April of each year. A municipality receives a yearly turnback allocation based on road mileage for all roads that were transferred to the municipality from the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania through the Highway Transfer Program.
- Expenditures include the total transferred from Section 1.

Section 3

This section of Form MS-965 With Adjustments determines if the municipality expended Liquid Fuels Tax Fund money in excess of the permissible amount for equipment and the balance that the municipality may carry forward for the purchase of equipment to the subsequent year.

1. <u>Criteria (Continued)</u>

Section 3 (Continued)

Department of Transportation *Publication 9* requires that the amount expended for equipment purchases in a given year not exceed the sum of the equipment balance carried forward from the previous year and 20 percent of the current year's Liquid Fuels Tax Fund allocation and, if applicable, 20 percent of the turnback allocation plus other Department of Transportation approved adjustments.

If the municipality spent in excess of the amount listed on Line 4, the excess must be reimbursed to the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund.

The equipment balance to be carried forward for the subsequent year is the lesser of the amount on Line 6 or the ending fund balance on Line 6 of Section 2, but not less than zero.

Basis Of Presentation

The financial activities of the municipality are accounted for in separate funds. The Liquid Fuels Tax Fund is used to account for state aid revenues from the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation used primarily for building and improving local roads and bridges. The Forms MS-965 have been prepared in accordance with reporting requirements prescribed by the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation as a result of the Fuels Tax Act 655, dated 1956 and as amended, which does not constitute a complete presentation of the entity's assets, liabilities, expenses, and fund balance. Accordingly, the presentation of Forms MS-965 With Adjustments is restricted to the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund, which represents a segment of the entity.

Basis Of Accounting

The accompanying Forms MS-965 With Adjustments are prepared in accordance with reporting requirements prescribed by the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation. Under this method, revenues are recognized when received and expenditures are recorded when paid.

1. <u>Criteria (Continued)</u>

General Fixed Assets

General fixed assets are recognized as expenditures at the time of purchase. No depreciation has been provided on the heavy equipment used to maintain and repair roads and bridges.

2. <u>Deposits</u>

The Second Class Township Code, Title 53 P.S. § 68204, authorizes the township to deposit its funds in the following:

- Deposits in savings accounts or time deposits, other than certificates of deposit or share accounts of institutions having their principal place of business in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) or other like insurance. For any amount above the insured maximum, the depository shall pledge approved collateral.
- Certificates of deposit purchased from institutions insured by the FDIC or other like insurance to the extent that such accounts are so insured. For any amounts in excess of the insured maximum, such deposits shall be collateralized by a pledge or assignment of assets. Certificates of deposit may not exceed 20 percent of a bank's total capital surplus or 20 percent of a savings and loan's or savings bank's assets minus liabilities.

Deposits consist of receipts and deposits in financial institutions. Pennsylvania statutes require all deposits to be insured and, for any amount above the insured maximum, to be secured with approved collateral as defined.

There were no deposits exposed to custodial credit risk as of December 31, 2010. Custodial credit risk, as defined by GASB No. 40, includes deposits that are not covered by depository insurance and the deposits are uncollateralized, collateralized with securities held by the pledging financial institution, or collateralized with securities held by the pledging financial institution's trust department or agent but not in the municipality's name.

2. <u>Deposits (Continued)</u>

Fund Balance

The fund balance as of December 31, 2010 consists of the following:

Cash \$13,608.12

3. Interest On Investments

Our examination disclosed that the municipality deposited idle liquid fuels tax money in interest-bearing accounts which earned \$491.66 during 2008, \$457.98 during 2009, and \$2,622.09 during 2010, thus providing additional funds for road maintenance and repairs.

4. Adjustments

2008 - Section 1

Adjustments were made to "Maintenance and repair of roads and bridges" and "Miscellaneous" because expenditures of \$12,916.91 were misclassified.

2009 - Section 1

An adjustment of \$11,046.42 was made to "Maintenance and repair of roads and bridges" because these expenditures were misclassified as miscellaneous.

An adjustment of \$(10,999.42) was made to "Miscellaneous" because expenditures for maintenance and repair of roads and bridges of \$11,046.42 were misclassified and bank service charges of \$47.00 were not reported.

2009 - Section 2

An adjustment of \$47.00 was made to "Miscellaneous" because refunds for bank service charges were not reported.

4. Adjustments (Continued)

2010 - Section 1

An adjustment of \$325.00 was made to "Storm sewers and drains" because expenditures relating to check No. 3607 were not reported.

An adjustment of \$(30.00) was made to "Repairs of tools and machinery" because these expenditures were misclassified.

An adjustment of \$3,975.38 was made to "Maintenance and repair of roads and bridges" because expenditures of \$4,169.90 were misclassified as miscellaneous and miscellaneous expenditures of \$194.52 were misclassified.

An adjustment of \$435,978.53 was made to "Miscellaneous" expenditures because bank service charges of \$30.00 were misclassified as repairs of tools and machinery, expenditures of \$194.52 were misclassified as maintenance and repair of roads and bridges, expenditures of \$19,323.91 relating to check No. 3607 were not reported, electronic transfers of \$420,600.00 to the General Fund were not reported, and expenditures of \$4,169.90 for the maintenance and repair of roads and bridges were misclassified.

2010 - Section 2

An adjustment of \$447,684.40 was made to "Miscellaneous" because a deposit in error was not reported.

5. <u>Miscellaneous Receipts</u>

The following miscellaneous receipts were deposited into the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund during the examination period:

Source	Description	2008	2009	2010
Local vendor Financial	Refund Refund for bank	\$1,000.00	\$ -	\$ -
institution Commonwealth	service charges Deposit in error	-	47.00	30.00
<u>*</u>	(Note 7)			447,684.40
Totals		\$1,000.00	\$47.00	\$447,714.40

6. <u>Miscellaneous Expenditures</u>

The following miscellaneous expenditures were paid from the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund during the examination period:

Source	Description	2009	2010
Financial institution Investment firm	Bank service charges Correction of deposit in	\$47.00	\$ 103.79
investment inin	error (Note 7)	_	400,000.00
Vendor	Turnback expenditure (Note 7)	-	19,444.64
Bond fund	Refund of road bond (Finding No. 2)		20,600.00
Totals		\$47.00	\$440,148.43

7. <u>Deposit In Error</u>

On January 7, 2010, the municipality deposited a turnback grant of \$447,684.40 that was received from the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania into its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund in error. On June 21, 2010, the municipality transferred \$400,000.00 from its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund to its General Fund to correct part of the deposit in error.

The remaining \$47,684.40 was used as follows:

- 2010 The municipality expended \$19,444.64 for turnback expenditures.
- 2011 The municipality expended \$11,135.58 for turnback expenditures.
- 2011 The remaining \$17,104.18 remained in the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund because the turnback project was completed. It is the unexpended balance of the turnback grant.

Finding No. 1 - Failure To Properly Prepare Forms MS-965

Our examination disclosed that there were numerous errors made in the preparation of the municipality's 2008, 2009, and 2010 Forms MS-965. These adjustments are as follows:

2008 - Section 1

 Adjustments were made to "Maintenance and repair of roads and bridges" and "Miscellaneous" because expenditures of \$12,916.91 were misclassified.

2008 - Section 3

• There was a mathematical error of \$22,409.01 in this section.

2009 - Section 1

- An adjustment of \$11,046.42 was made to "Maintenance and repair of roads and bridges" because these expenditures were misclassified as miscellaneous.
- An adjustment of \$(10,999.42) was made to "Miscellaneous" because expenditures for maintenance and repair of roads and bridges of \$11,046.42 were misclassified and bank service charges of \$47.00 were not reported.

2009 - Section 2

• An adjustment of \$47.00 was made to "Miscellaneous" because refunds for bank service charges were not reported.

2009 - Section 3

• There was a mathematical error of \$16,843.17 in this section.

Finding No. 1 - Failure To Properly Prepare Forms MS-965 (Continued)

2010 - Section 1

- An adjustment of \$325.00 was made to "Storm sewers and drains" because expenditures relating to check No. 3607 were not reported.
- An adjustment of \$(30.00) was made to "Repairs of tools and machinery" because these expenditures were misclassified.
- An adjustment of \$3,975.38 was made to "Maintenance and repair of roads and bridges" because expenditures of \$4,169.90 were misclassified as miscellaneous and miscellaneous expenditures of \$194.52 were misclassified.
- An adjustment of \$435,978.53 was made to "Miscellaneous" expenditures because bank service charges of \$30.00 were misclassified as repairs of tools and machinery, expenditures of \$194.52 were misclassified as maintenance and repair of roads and bridges, expenditures of \$19,323.91 relating to check No. 3607 were not reported, electronic transfers of \$420,600.00 to the General Fund were not reported, and expenditures of \$4,169.90 for the maintenance and repair of roads and bridges were misclassified.

2010 - Section 2

• An adjustment of \$447,684.40 was made to "Miscellaneous" because a deposit in error was not reported.

Good internal controls ensure that the municipality complete its Forms MS-965 accurately and completely. The failure to properly complete Forms MS-965 increases the risk that errors or misappropriations may occur and remain undetected.

Finding No. 1 - Failure To Properly Prepare Forms MS-965 (Continued)

Recommendation

We recommend that the municipality ensure that its Forms MS-965 are complete and accurate.

Management's Response

The municipal officials stated:

We don't know why the MS-965 was done incorrectly. It was prepared by a previous municipal official.

Auditor's Conclusion

During our next examination we will determine if the municipality complied with our recommendation.

Finding No. 2 - Road Bond Money Improperly Refunded From The Liquid Fuels Tax Fund

Our examination disclosed that the municipality deposited the proceeds of a road bond of \$20,625.00 that was received from a lumber company into its General Fund. This amount consists of \$20,040.00 for the road bond, \$515.00 for a permit fee, and \$70.00 for filming. The bond was issued to cover any potential damage to township roads during lumber operations. On December 18, 2010, the municipality transferred \$20,600.00 from the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund to its Flood Damage Account. The municipality then refunded \$20,040.00 to the lumber company from the Flood Damage Account.

Because the bond proceeds were deposited into the General Fund, the \$20,040.00 should have been refunded to the lumber company from the General Fund. The failure to refund the money from the correct fund could result in the municipality having to reimburse \$20,600.00 to its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund.

Recommendations

We recommend that the municipality reimburse \$20,600.00 to its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund upon official notification by the Department of Transportation.

We further recommend that, in the future, the municipality refund road bond money from the fund where the proceeds were deposited.

Management's Response

The municipal officials stated:

The municipal officials don't know why the \$20,600.00 road bond was paid out of the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund when it was deposited into the General Fund. It was done by a previous municipal official.

We would like the Department of Transportation to consider waiving the reimbursement of this finding due to the township being diligent in paying back the prior 2005-2007 audit finding ahead of schedule. The current administration is making a great effort to assure compliance with Liquid Fuels Tax Fund regulations.

<u>Finding No. 2 - Road Bond Money Improperly Refunded From The Liquid Fuels Tax Fund</u> (Continued)

Auditor's Conclusion

The Department of Transportation will determine if the municipality is required to reimburse \$20,600.00 to its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund. During our next examination we will determine if the municipality complied with our recommendations.

Comment - Summary Of Prior Examination Recommendations

In our prior report we recommended that the Department of Transportation review our examination finding to determine if the municipality should reimburse \$22,030.22 to its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund for a failure to advertise for bids.

During our current examination we reviewed a letter dated March 18, 2009, from the Department of Transportation informing the municipality to reimburse \$22,030.22 to its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund. We also reviewed a letter dated March 3, 2011, from the Department of Transportation informing the municipality that a payment plan has been approved for the reimbursement of the \$22,030.22 as follows:

Due Date	Amount		
12/13/11 12/31/12	\$11,015.11 11,015.11		
Total	\$22,030.22		

As of December 31, 2010, \$22,030.22 was due the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund.

In our prior report we also recommended that the municipality comply with *The Second Class Township Code* and the Department of Transportation's *Publication 9* by advertising for bids for all purchases over \$10,000.00.

During our current examination we noted that the municipality complied with our recommendation.

TOWNSHIP OF SUGARCREEK ARMSTRONG COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND SUMMARY OF EXIT CONFERENCE FOR THE THREE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2010

An exit conference was held November 30, 2011. Those participating were:

TOWNSHIP OF SUGARCREEK

The Honorable Randy L. Toy, Supervisor/Roadmaster

Ms. Judy K. Rupp, Secretary/Treasurer

DEPARTMENT OF THE AUDITOR GENERAL

Mr. James P. Adams, Auditor

The results of the examination were presented and discussed in their entirety.



TOWNSHIP OF SUGARCREEK ARMSTRONG COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND REPORT DISTRIBUTION FOR THE THREE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2010

This report was initially distributed to:

The Honorable Barry J. Schoch, P.E. Secretary
Department of Transportation

Township of Sugarcreek Armstrong County 1807 State Route 268 East Brady, PA 16028

The Honorable Richard A. Olcus Chairman of the Board of Supervisors

The Honorable Randy L. Toy Supervisor/Roadmaster

Ms. Judy K. Rupp Secretary/Treasurer

This report is a matter of public record. Copies of this report may be obtained from the Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General, Office of Communications, 318 Finance Building, Harrisburg, PA 17120. To view this report online or to contact the Department of the Auditor General, please access our web site at www.auditorgen.state.pa.us.