

TOWNSHIP OF WEST VINCENT CHESTER COUNTY 15-253

LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND EXAMINATION REPORT

FOR THE THREE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2009

CONTENTS

<u>Page</u>
Background1
Independent Auditor's Report
Financial Section:
2007 Form MS-965 With Adjustments
2008 Form MS-965 With Adjustments
2009 Form MS-965 With Adjustments
Notes To Forms MS-965 With Adjustments
Findings And Recommendations:
Finding No. 1 - Failure To Obtain Project Approval
Finding No. 2 - Liquid Fuels Money Overexpended On Project
Finding No. 3 - Late Receipt Of Allocations
Comment
Summary Of Exit Conference
Report Distribution

TOWNSHIP OF WEST VINCENT CHESTER COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND BACKGROUND FOR THE THREE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2009

Background

The Liquid Fuels Tax Municipal Allocation Law, Title 72 P.S. § 2615.5, provides municipalities other than counties (townships, boroughs, cities, towns, home rule, and optional plan governments) with an annual allocation of liquid fuels taxes from the state's Motor License Fund to be used for the maintenance and repair of streets, roads, and bridges for which the municipality is responsible. The allocation of these funds to municipalities is based: (1) 50 percent on the municipality's proportion of local road mileage to the total local road mileage in the state, and (2) 50 percent on the proportion of a municipality's population to the total population in the state.

The Vehicle Code, Title 75 P.S. § 9511, provides municipalities with annual maintenance payments to be received from the Motor License Fund for functionally local highways that were transferred to a municipality from the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

Each municipality must deposit the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund money and annual maintenance payments that it receives into a special fund called the Municipal Liquid Fuels Tax Fund or State Fund. A municipality may not deposit any other monies into this fund except when the municipality does not have enough money in the special fund to meet the payments called for by its current annual budget for road and bridge purposes. In this case, the municipality may borrow money or transfer money from its General Fund to its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund.

The Department of Transportation has been given the regulatory authority for the administration of these funds. To qualify for its annual allocation, each municipality shall submit the following documents and information to the Department of Transportation:

- 1. Evidence that the treasurer is bonded in accordance with the law, or that its treasurer is a bank requiring no bond by January 31 of each year.
- 2. A report indicating the manner in which its liquid fuels tax allocation was expended in the previous year (Form MS-965) by January 31 of each year.
- 3. A report of elected and appointed officials by January 31 of each year.
- 4. A Survey of Financial Condition by March 15 of each year.

Department of Transportation *Publication 9* contains the policies and procedures that govern the use of Liquid Fuels Tax Fund money. However, if there is a difference between *Publication 9* and any legislation, the legislation shall govern.





Department of the Auditor General Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17120-0018

JACK WAGNER AUDITOR GENERAL

<u>Independent Auditor's Report</u>

The Honorable Allen D. Biehler, P.E. Secretary
Department of Transportation
Harrisburg, PA 17120

We examined the accompanying Forms MS-965 With Adjustments for the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund of the Township of West Vincent, Chester County, for the three years ended December 31, 2009. The municipality's management is responsible for the Forms MS-965. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Forms MS-965 With Adjustments based on our examination.

Our examination was conducted in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants and the standards applicable to attestation engagements contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. An examination includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the Township of West Vincent, Chester County's Forms MS-965 for the three years ended December 31, 2009 and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our examination provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

We are mandated by Section 403 of *The Fiscal Code*, 72 P.S. § 403, to audit each municipality's Liquid Fuels Tax Fund to ensure that funds received are expended in accordance with applicable laws and regulations. *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States include attestation engagements as a separate type of audit. An attestation engagement performed pursuant to *Government Auditing Standards* involves additional standards that exceed the standards provided by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. Accordingly, this attestation engagement complies with both *Government Auditing Standards* and Section 403 of *The Fiscal Code*.

As described in Note 4, the adjustments included on the Forms MS-965 With Adjustments are made by the Department of the Auditor General.

<u>Independent Auditor's Report (Continued)</u>

As discussed in Finding No. 1, the municipality expended \$92,155.57 in 2007, \$231,335.36 in 2008, and \$21,083.64 in 2009 for paving over one inch in thickness on over 20 roads without obtaining the approval of the Department of Transportation. Additionally, as discussed in Finding No. 2, the municipality expended \$58,593.70 from its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund in excess of the approved amount for project No. 05152531.

In our opinion, except for the matters discussed in the preceding paragraph, the Forms MS-965 With Adjustments present, in all material respects, the information required by the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation for the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund of the Township of West Vincent, Chester County, for the three years ended December 31, 2009, in conformity with the criteria set forth in Note 1.

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we are required to report findings of significant deficiencies in internal control, violations of provisions of contracts or grant agreements, and abuse that are material to the Forms MS-965 and any fraud and illegal acts that are more than inconsequential that come to our attention during our examination. We are also required to obtain the views of management on those matters. We performed our examination to express an opinion on whether the Forms MS-965 are presented in accordance with the criteria described above and not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the internal control over reporting on the Forms MS-965 or on compliance and other matters; accordingly, we express no such opinions.

A control deficiency exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent or detect misstatements on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a control deficiency, or combination of control deficiencies, that adversely affects the Township of West Vincent, Chester County's ability to initiate, authorize, record, process, or report data reliably in accordance with the applicable criteria such that there is more than a remote likelihood that a misstatement of the Township of West Vincent, Chester County's Forms MS-965 that is more than inconsequential will not be prevented or detected by the Township of West Vincent, Chester County's internal control.

Independent Auditor's Report (Continued)

A material weakness is a significant deficiency or combination of significant deficiencies that results in more than a remote likelihood that a material misstatement of the Forms MS-965 will not be prevented or detected by the Township of West Vincent, Chester County's internal control.

Our consideration of internal control over reporting on the Forms MS-965 was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and would not necessarily identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be significant deficiencies or material weaknesses. We did not identify any significant deficiencies or material weaknesses, as defined above, in internal control over reporting on the Forms MS-965.

The results of our tests disclosed the following instances of noncompliance that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*:

- Failure To Obtain Project Approval.
- Liquid Fuels Money Overexpended On Project.

We also noted an other matter that, while not required to be included in this report by *Government Auditing Standards*, has been included in the finding below:

• Late Receipt Of Allocations.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation and the management of the Township of West Vincent, Chester County, and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

June 16, 2010

JACK WAGNER Auditor General



TOWNSHIP OF WEST VINCENT CHESTER COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND 2007 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 1 WITH ADJUSTMENTS

Expenditure Summary	Reported		Adjustments (Note 4)		Adjusted Amount	
Major equipment purchases	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Minor equipment purchases		-		-		_
Computer/Computer related training		-		-		_
Agility projects		-		-		_
Cleaning streets and gutters		-		-		-
Winter maintenance services		-		-		-
Traffic control devices		504.17		-		504.17
Street lighting		-		-		-
Storm sewers and drains		-		-		-
Repairs of tools and machinery		-		-		-
Maintenance and repair of						
roads and bridges		92,155.57		(92,155.57)		-
Highway construction and						
rebuilding projects		-		92,155.57		92,155.57
Miscellaneous						
Total (To Section 2, Line 5)	\$	92,659.74	\$		\$	92,659.74

TOWNSHIP OF WEST VINCENT CHESTER COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND 2007 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 2 WITH ADJUSTMENTS

Fund Balance	Reported		Reported		Reported		Reported		Adjustments (Note 4)		Adjusted Amount		
1. Balance, January 1, 2007	\$	94,735.43	\$	1,218.26	\$	95,953.69							
Receipts:		122 000 20				122 000 20							
2. State allocation		122,809.30		-		122,809.30							
2a. Turnback allocation		4,480.00		246.00		4,480.00							
2b. Interest on investments (Note 3) 2c. Miscellaneous		4,121.93		246.99 		4,368.92							
3. Total receipts		131,411.23		246.99		131,658.22							
4. Total funds available		226,146.66		1,465.25		227,611.91							
5. Expenditures (Section 1)		92,659.74				92,659.74							
6. Balance, December 31, 2007	\$	133,486.92	\$	1,465.25	\$	134,952.17							

TOWNSHIP OF WEST VINCENT CHESTER COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND 2007 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 3 WITH ADJUSTMENTS

Equipment Balance	Reported		Reported		Reported		Reported		Adjustments		Adjusted Amount		
1. Prior year equipment balance	\$	27,615.68	\$	-	\$	27,615.68							
2. Add: Current year equipment allocation (20% of Lines 2 + 2a, Section 2)	l	25,457.86		-		25,457.86							
3. PENNDOT approved adjustments						<u>-</u>							
4. Total funds available for equipment acquisition		53,073.54		-		53,073.54							
5. Less: Major equipment expenditures													
6. Remainder		53,073.54				53,073.54							
7. Equipment balance available for subsequent year (Lesser of Line 6 or Section 2 balance,													
but not less than zero)	\$	53,073.54	\$		\$	53,073.54							

TOWNSHIP OF WEST VINCENT CHESTER COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND 2008 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 1 WITH ADJUSTMENTS

Expenditure Summary	Reported		Reported Adjustments (Note 4)		Adjusted Amount	
Major equipment purchases	\$	_	\$	-	\$	-
Minor equipment purchases		-		-		-
Computer/Computer related training		_		-		-
Agility projects		-		-		-
Cleaning streets and gutters		_		-		-
Winter maintenance services		-		3,851.78		3,851.78
Traffic control devices		1,770.66		-		1,770.66
Street lighting		-		-		-
Storm sewers and drains		-		-		-
Repairs of tools and machinery		-		-		-
Maintenance and repair of						
roads and bridges		256,296.68		(235,187.14)		21,109.54
Highway construction and						
rebuilding projects		-		231,335.36		231,335.36
Miscellaneous				_		
Total (To Section 2, Line 5)	\$	258,067.34	\$		\$	258,067.34

TOWNSHIP OF WEST VINCENT CHESTER COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND 2008 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 2 WITH ADJUSTMENTS

Fund Balance	Reported		Adj Reported (N		Adjusted Amount		
1. Balance, January 1, 2008	\$	133,486.72	\$	1,465.45	\$	134,952.17	
Receipts: 2. State allocation 2a. Turnback allocation 2b. Interest on investments (Note 3) 2c. Miscellaneous		140,810.71 4,480.00 4,050.73		3.28		140,810.71 4,480.00 4,054.01	
3. Total receipts		149,341.44		3.28		149,344.72	
4. Total funds available		282,828.16		1,468.73		284,296.89	
5. Expenditures (Section 1)		258,067.34				258,067.34	
6. Balance, December 31, 2008	\$	24,760.82	\$	1,468.73	\$	26,229.55	

TOWNSHIP OF WEST VINCENT CHESTER COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND 2008 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 3 WITH ADJUSTMENTS

Equipment Balance	Reported		Reported		Reported		Reported Adjustme		Adjusted Amount	
1. Prior year equipment balance	\$	53,073.54	\$	-	\$	53,073.54				
2. Add: Current year equipment allocation (20% of Lines 2 + 2a, Section 2)	1	29,058.14		-		29,058.14				
3. PENNDOT approved adjustments		 .								
4. Total funds available for equipment acquisition		82,131.68		-		82,131.68				
5. Less: Major equipment expenditures										
6. Remainder		82,131.68		-		82,131.68				
7. Equipment balance available for subsequent year (Lesser of Line 6 or Section 2 balance,	¢	24.760.92	¢	1 469 72	ф	26 220 55				
but not less than zero)	3	24,760.82	\$	1,468.73	\$	26,229.55				

TOWNSHIP OF WEST VINCENT CHESTER COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND 2009 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 1 WITH ADJUSTMENTS

Expenditure Summary	Reported		Reported Adjustments (Note 4)		Adjusted Amount	
Major equipment purchases	\$	26,488.43	\$	_	\$	26,488.43
Minor equipment purchases		-		_		_
Computer/Computer related training		-		-		-
Agility projects		-		-		-
Cleaning streets and gutters		-		-		_
Winter maintenance services		-		-		_
Traffic control devices		3,870.91		-		3,870.91
Street lighting		-		-		-
Storm sewers and drains		-		-		-
Repairs of tools and machinery		-		-		-
Maintenance and repair of						
roads and bridges		21,083.64		(21,083.64)		-
Highway construction and						
rebuilding projects		-		21,083.64		21,083.64
Miscellaneous						
Total (To Section 2, Line 5)	\$	51,442.98	\$		\$	51,442.98

TOWNSHIP OF WEST VINCENT CHESTER COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND 2009 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 2 WITH ADJUSTMENTS

Fund Balance	Reported		Reported Adjustments (Note 4)		Adjusted Amount	
1. Balance, January 1, 2009	\$	24,760.82	\$	1,468.73	\$	26,229.55
Receipts:						
2. State allocation		141,100.00		.64		141,100.64
2a. Turnback allocation		4,480.00		-		4,480.00
2b. Interest on investments (Note 3)		410.66		(6.76)		403.90
2c. Miscellaneous (Note 5)		750.00		-		750.00
3. Total receipts		146,740.66		(6.12)		146,734.54
4. Total funds available		171,501.48		1,462.61		172,964.09
5. Expenditures (Section 1)		51,442.98				51,442.98
6. Balance, December 31, 2009	\$	120,058.50	\$	1,462.61	\$	121,521.11

TOWNSHIP OF WEST VINCENT CHESTER COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND 2009 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 3 WITH ADJUSTMENTS

Equipment Balance	Reported		Reported		Adjustments (Note 4)		Adjusted Amount
1. Prior year equipment balance	\$	24,760.82	\$	1,468.73	\$ 26,229.55		
2. Add: Current year equipment allocation (20% of Lines 2 + 2a, Section 2)	1	29,116.12		-	29,116.12		
3. PENNDOT approved adjustments		29,116.12		(29,116.12)			
4. Total funds available for equipment acquisition		82,993.06		(27,647.39)	55,345.67		
5. Less: Major equipment expenditures		26,488.43			26,488.43		
6. Remainder		56,504.63		(27,647.39)	 28,857.24		
7. Equipment balance available for subsequent year (Lesser of Line 6 or Section 2 balance, but not less than zero)	\$	56,504.63	\$	(27,647.39)	\$ 28,857.24		

1. Criteria

Section 1

This section of Form MS-965 With Adjustments provides a summary of Liquid Fuels Tax Fund expenditures by category. Categories requiring explanation include:

- Major equipment purchases are purchases of road machinery and road equipment that cost in excess of \$4,000.00.
- Minor equipment purchases are purchases of road machinery and road equipment that cost \$4,000.00 or less.
- Agility projects are exchanges of services with the Department of Transportation.

Section 2

This section of Form MS-965 With Adjustments provides information on the fund balance. Categories requiring explanation include:

- The state allocation is generally received from the Department of Transportation during the first week in April of each year. The amount the municipality receives is based half on its population and half on its road mileage.
- The turnback allocation is generally received from the Department of Transportation during the first week in April of each year. A municipality receives a yearly turnback allocation based on road mileage for all roads that were transferred to the municipality from the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania through the Highway Transfer Program.
- Expenditures include the total transferred from Section 1.

Section 3

This section of Form MS-965 With Adjustments determines if the municipality expended Liquid Fuels Tax Fund money in excess of the permissible amount for equipment and the balance that the municipality may carry forward for the purchase of equipment to the subsequent year.

1. <u>Criteria (Continued)</u>

Section 3 (Continued)

Department of Transportation *Publication 9* requires that the amount expended for equipment purchases in a given year not exceed the sum of the equipment balance carried forward from the previous year and 20 percent of the current year's Liquid Fuels Tax Fund allocation and, if applicable, 20 percent of the turnback allocation plus other Department of Transportation approved adjustments.

If the municipality spent in excess of the amount listed on Line 4, the excess must be reimbursed to the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund.

The equipment balance to be carried forward for the subsequent year is the lesser of the amount on Line 6 or the ending fund balance on Line 6 of Section 2, but not less than zero.

Basis Of Presentation

The financial activities of the municipality are accounted for in separate funds. The Liquid Fuels Tax Fund is used to account for state aid revenues from the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation used primarily for building and improving local roads and bridges. The Forms MS-965 have been prepared in accordance with reporting requirements prescribed by the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation as a result of the Fuels Tax Act 655, dated 1956 and as amended, which does not constitute a complete presentation of the entity's assets, liabilities, expenses, and fund balance. Accordingly, the presentation of Forms MS-965 With Adjustments is restricted to the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund, which represents a segment of the entity.

Basis Of Accounting

The accompanying Forms MS-965 With Adjustments are prepared in accordance with reporting requirements prescribed by the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation. Under this method, revenues are recognized when received and expenditures are recorded when paid.

1. Criteria (Continued)

General Fixed Assets

General fixed assets are recognized as expenditures at the time of purchase. No depreciation has been provided on the heavy equipment used to maintain and repair roads and bridges.

2. Deposits

The Second Class Township Code, Title 53 P.S. § 68204, authorizes the township to deposit its funds in the following:

- Deposits in savings accounts or time deposits, other than certificates of deposit or share accounts of institutions having their principal place of business in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) or other like insurance. For any amount above the insured maximum, the depository shall pledge approved collateral.
- Certificates of deposit purchased from institutions insured by the FDIC or other like insurance to the extent that such accounts are so insured. For any amounts in excess of the insured maximum, such deposits shall be collateralized by a pledge or assignment of assets. Certificates of deposit may not exceed 20 percent of a bank's total capital surplus or 20 percent of a savings and loan's or savings bank's assets minus liabilities.

Deposits consist of receipts and deposits in financial institutions. Pennsylvania statutes require all deposits to be insured and, for any amount above the insured maximum, to be secured with approved collateral as defined.

There were no deposits exposed to custodial credit risk as of December 31, 2009. Custodial credit risk, as defined by GASB No. 40, includes deposits that are not covered by depository insurance and the deposits are uncollateralized, collateralized with securities held by the pledging financial institution, or collateralized with securities held by the pledging financial institution's trust department or agent but not in the municipality's name.

2. <u>Deposits (Continued)</u>

Fund Balance

The fund balance as of December 31, 2009 consists of the following:

Cash \$121,521.11

3. <u>Interest On Investments</u>

Our examination disclosed that the municipality deposited idle liquid fuels tax money in interest-bearing accounts which earned \$4,368.92 during 2007, \$4,054.01 during 2008, and \$403.90 during 2009, thus providing additional funds for road maintenance and repairs.

4. Adjustments

2007 - Section 1

Adjustments were made to "Maintenance and repair of roads and bridges" and "Highway construction and rebuilding projects" because expenditures of \$92,155.57 were misclassified.

2007 - Section 2

An adjustment of \$1,218.26 was made to "Balance, January 1, 2007" because an incorrect fund balance was reported.

An adjustment of \$246.99 was made to "Interest on investments" because interest earnings were understated.

TOWNSHIP OF WEST VINCENT CHESTER COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND NOTES TO FORMS MS-965 WITH ADJUSTMENTS

FOR THE THREE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2009

4. Adjustments (Continued)

2008 - Section 1

Adjustments were made to "Winter maintenance services," "Maintenance and repair of roads and bridges," and "Highway construction and rebuilding projects" because expenditures of \$235,187.14 were misclassified.

2008 - Section 2

An adjustment of \$1,465.45 was made to "Balance, January 1, 2008" because an incorrect fund balance was carried forward.

An adjustment of \$3.28 was made to "Interest on investments" because interest earnings were understated.

2009 - Section 1

Adjustments were made to "Maintenance and repair of roads and bridges" and "Highway construction and rebuilding projects" because expenditures of \$21,083.64 were misclassified.

2009 - Section 2

An adjustment of \$1,468.73 was made to "Balance, January 1, 2009" to reflect the adjustments made in 2008 - Section 2.

An adjustment of \$.64 was made to "State allocation" because this receipt was understated.

An adjustment of \$(6.76) was made to "Interest on investments" because interest earnings were overstated.

2009 - Section 3

An adjustment of \$1,468.73 was made to "Prior year equipment balance" because an incorrect equipment balance was carried forward.

An adjustment of \$(29,116.12) was made to "PENNDOT approved adjustments" because there were no approved adjustments.

5. <u>Miscellaneous Receipts</u>

On December 11, 2009, the municipality transferred \$750.00 from its General Fund to its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund for the reimbursement of engineering fees.

6. Bank Loan

On June 25, 2007, the municipality borrowed \$115,670.00 from NOVA Savings Bank to purchase a 2007 Case 590 Super M Series 2 backhoe from Groff Tractor and Equipment, Inc. The term of the loan was for five years at an interest rate of 7.25 percent. Principal and interest payments of \$29,866.15 in 2007, \$28,165.65 in 2008, \$26,488.43 in 2009, \$24,811.22 in 2010, and \$23,134.00 in 2011 are due on June 26th of each year.

During the current examination period the municipality paid principal of \$23,134.00 and interest of \$3,354.43 from the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund. These amounts are reflected in major equipment purchases on the 2009 Form MS-965 - Section 1. Additionally, the municipality paid principal of \$46,268.00 and interest of \$11,763.80 from the General Fund. The outstanding balance of the loan as of December 31, 2009 was \$46,268.00, plus interest.

7. Temporary Loans

During prior examination periods the municipality loaned a total of \$15,000.00 from its General Fund to its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund. As of December 31, 2009, \$15,000.00 was due the municipality's General Fund.



Finding No. 1 - Failure To Obtain Project Approval

Our examination disclosed that the municipality expended \$92,155.57 in 2007, \$231,335.36 in 2008, and \$21,083.64 in 2009 for paving over one inch in thickness on over 20 roads. Before paving of one inch in thickness or greater is done, the municipality must obtain the approval of the Department of Transportation. However, the municipality did not file an application with the Department of Transportation for the projects and also failed to submit specifications for approval.

The Department of Transportation's *Publication 9* contains the policies and procedures for the administration of the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund. *Publication 9*, Chapter Two, Section 2.7.2, states that nonpermissible expenditures include "Construction and reconstruction projects without prior PENNDOT approval."

Additionally, *Publication 9*, Chapter Two, Section 2.8, states, in part:

The following criteria is used to determine when a project is required for work on local roads utilizing Liquid Fuels Tax Funds, when construction, reconstruction and/or resurfacing work exceeds one inch or more in depth as well as other activities.

Bituminous Surface: One inch thickness or greater

The failure to comply with the Department of Transportation's *Publication 9* could result in the municipality having to reimburse \$344,574.57 to its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund.

Recommendations

We recommend that the municipality reimburse \$344,574.57 to its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund upon official notification by the Department of Transportation.

We further recommend that before the county spends money on a project, it applies for and obtains prior approval of the project, and when the project is completed it obtains approval of the completed work.

Finding No. 1 - Failure To Obtain Project Approval (Continued)

Management's Response

The municipal officials stated:

The township believes that it properly spent Liquid Fuels Funds, and that it applied for all necessary approvals. To the extent that it did not, the township requests that Penndot waive such a requirement or that the township be allowed to substitute allowable expenses, or allow it to obtain project approvals after the fact.

Auditor's Conclusion

Because paving over one inch in thickness was done, the municipality was required to obtain the approval of the Department of Transportation for the work. The municipality did not obtain the approval of the Department of Transportation. The Department of Transportation will determine if the municipality is required to reimburse \$344,574.57 to its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund. During our next examination we will determine if the municipality complied with our recommendations.

Finding No. 2 - Liquid Fuels Money Overexpended On Project

Our examination disclosed that the municipality expended \$128,500.00 of Liquid Fuels Tax Fund money on construction project No. 05152531. However, the amount approved to be expended from the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund for this project was \$69,906.30. The difference of \$58,593.70 should have been paid directly from the General Fund.

The Department of Transportation's Regulations do not permit a municipality to expend Liquid Fuels Tax Fund money in excess of the amount approved on Municipal Services Completion Report Form MS-999.

The failure to comply with the Department of Transportation's Regulations could result in the municipality having to reimburse \$58,593.70 to its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund.

Recommendations

We recommend that the municipality reimburse \$58,593.70 to its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund upon official notification by the Department of Transportation.

We further recommend that, in the future, the municipality expend only the approved amount of Liquid Fuels Tax Fund money on road construction projects.

Management's Response

The municipal officials stated:

The questioned expense was for asphalt used on the project in question. The township believes that the funds were properly used and requests that Penndot waive the requirement or that the township be allowed to substitute allowable expenses.

Auditor's Conclusion

The municipality expended \$58,593.70 from its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund more than the approved amount reported on its Final Completion Report Form MS-999. The Department of Transportation will determine if the municipality is required to reimburse that amount to its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund. During our next examination we will determine if the municipality complied with our recommendations.

Finding No. 3 - Late Receipt Of Allocations

Our examination disclosed that the 2007, 2008, and 2009 Liquid Fuels Tax Fund allocations, which should have been distributed during the first week of April of each year, were not received until May 21, 2007, June 9, 2008, and May 14, 2009, respectively, because the municipality failed to comply with the Department of Transportation's Regulation 449.12, which requires the timely filing of particular documents and information, among them:

- Evidence that its treasurer is bonded in accordance with the law or that its treasurer is a bank requiring no bond.
- A report indicating the manner in which its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund was expended in the preceding year.
- Survey of financial condition.
- Roster of municipal officials.

Because the municipality failed to file documents and information timely as noted above, the municipality did not have use of the 2007 allocation for more than one month, the 2008 allocation for more than two months, and the 2009 allocation for more than one month. Furthermore, had the allocations been received timely, money may have been available for investment purposes, potentially earning interest income which could have been used for road maintenance and repairs.

Recommendation

We recommend that, in the future, the municipality comply with the Department of Transportation's Regulation 449.12 to ensure that the allocations are received during the first week in April as outlined above.

Finding No. 3 - Late Receipt Of Allocations (Continued)

Management's Response

The municipal officials stated:

To the extent that funds were received late by the township from the Commonwealth, the township believes that it was due to delays in submitting forms to DCED by the township and its external auditor.

Auditor's Conclusion

The municipality should file all required documents and information timely. During our next examination we will determine if the municipality complied with our recommendation.

Comment - Summary Of Prior Examination Recommendation

In our prior report we recommended that the municipality submit a completed Form MS-999 to the Department of Transportation for each project.

During our current examination we noted that the municipality complied with our recommendation.

TOWNSHIP OF WEST VINCENT CHESTER COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND SUMMARY OF EXIT CONFERENCE FOR THE THREE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2009

An exit conference was held June 16, 2010. Those participating were:

TOWNSHIP OF WEST VINCENT

The Honorable Kenneth I. Miller, Jr., Chairman of the Board of Supervisors

Mr. Robert Brown, Treasurer

Mrs. Tammy Swavely, Secretary

DEPARTMENT OF THE AUDITOR GENERAL

Mr. Fred Casella, Auditor

The results of the examination were presented and discussed in their entirety.



TOWNSHIP OF WEST VINCENT CHESTER COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND REPORT DISTRIBUTION FOR THE THREE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2009

This report was initially distributed to:

The Honorable Allen D. Biehler, P.E. Secretary
Department of Transportation

Township of West Vincent Chester County 729 Saint Matthews Road Chester Springs, PA 19425

The Honorable Kenneth I. Miller, Jr. Chairman of the Board of Supervisors

Mr. Robert Brown Treasurer

This report is a matter of public record. Copies of this report may be obtained from the Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General, Office of Communications, 318 Finance Building, Harrisburg, PA 17120. To view this report online or to contact the Department of the Auditor General, please access our web site at www.auditorgen.state.pa.us.