

TOWNSHIP OF WESTFALL PIKE COUNTY 51-211

LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND EXAMINATION REPORT

FOR THE TWO YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2010

CONTENTS

Page

Background1
Independent Auditor's Report
Financial Section:
2009 Form MS-965 With Adjustments7
2010 Form MS-965 With Adjustments10
Notes To Forms MS-965 With Adjustments13
Findings And Recommendations:
Finding No. 1 - Electronic Imaging Of Canceled Checks From The Bank Did Not Include The Back Of the Checks19
Finding No. 2 - One Signature On General Fund Checks
Summary Of Exit Conference
Report Distribution

TOWNSHIP OF WESTFALL PIKE COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND BACKGROUND FOR THE TWO YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2010

Background

The Liquid Fuels Tax Municipal Allocation Law, Title 72 P.S. § 2615.5, provides municipalities other than counties (townships, boroughs, cities, towns, home rule, and optional plan governments) with an annual allocation of liquid fuels taxes from the state's Motor License Fund to be used for the maintenance and repair of streets, roads, and bridges for which the municipality is responsible. The allocation of these funds to municipalities is based: (1) 50 percent on the municipality's proportion of local road mileage to the total local road mileage in the state, and (2) 50 percent on the proportion of a municipality's population to the total population in the state.

The Vehicle Code, Title 75 P.S. § 9511, provides municipalities with annual maintenance payments to be received from the Motor License Fund for functionally local highways that were transferred to a municipality from the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

Each municipality must deposit the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund money and annual maintenance payments that it receives into a special fund called the Municipal Liquid Fuels Tax Fund or State Fund. A municipality may not deposit any other monies into this fund except when the municipality does not have enough money in the special fund to meet the payments called for by its current annual budget for road and bridge purposes. In this case, the municipality may borrow money or transfer money from its General Fund to its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund.

The Department of Transportation has been given the regulatory authority for the administration of these funds. To qualify for its annual allocation, each municipality shall submit the following documents and information to the Department of Transportation:

- 1. Evidence that the treasurer is bonded in accordance with the law, or that its treasurer is a bank requiring no bond by January 31 of each year.
- 2. A report indicating the manner in which its liquid fuels tax allocation was expended in the previous year (Form MS-965) by January 31 of each year.
- 3. A report of elected and appointed officials by January 31 of each year.
- 4. A Survey of Financial Condition by March 15 of each year.

Department of Transportation *Publication 9* contains the policies and procedures that govern the use of Liquid Fuels Tax Fund money. However, if there is a difference between *Publication 9* and any legislation, the legislation shall govern.





Department of the Auditor General Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17120-0018

JACK WAGNER AUDITOR GENERAL

Independent Auditor's Report

The Honorable Barry J. Schoch, P.E. Secretary Department of Transportation Harrisburg, PA 17120

We examined the accompanying Forms MS-965 With Adjustments for the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund of the Township of Westfall, Pike County, for the two years ended December 31, 2010. The municipality's management is responsible for the Forms MS-965. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Forms MS-965 With Adjustments based on our examination.

Our examination was conducted in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants and the standards applicable to attestation engagements contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. An examination includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the Township of Westfall, Pike County's Forms MS-965 for the two years ended December 31, 2010 and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our examination provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

We are mandated by Section 403 of *The Fiscal Code*, 72 P.S. § 403, to audit each municipality's Liquid Fuels Tax Fund to ensure that funds received are expended in accordance with applicable laws and regulations. *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States include attestation engagements as a separate type of audit. An attestation engagement performed pursuant to *Government Auditing Standards* involves additional standards that exceed the standards provided by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. Accordingly, this attestation engagement complies with both *Government Auditing Standards* and Section 403 of *The Fiscal Code*.

As described in Note 4, the adjustments included on the Forms MS-965 With Adjustments are made by the Department of the Auditor General.

Independent Auditor's Report (Continued)

We were unable to examine the images of the back of the canceled checks from the township's financial institution because the township failed to obtain them from its financial institution. Consequently we were unable to perform procedures to determine whether disbursements were made in accordance with applicable laws and regulations.

In our opinion, except for the effects of such adjustments, if any, as might have been determined to be necessary had we been able to examine an image of the back of the checks, the Forms MS-965 With Adjustments present, in all material respects, the information required by the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation for the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund of the Township of Westfall, Pike County, for the two years ended December 31, 2010, in conformity with the criteria set forth in Note 1.

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we are required to report findings of significant deficiencies in internal control, violations of provisions of contracts or grant agreements, and abuse that are material to the Forms MS-965 and any fraud and illegal acts that are more than inconsequential that come to our attention during our examination. We are also required to obtain the views of management on those matters. We performed our examination to express an opinion on whether the Forms MS-965 are presented in accordance with the criteria described above and not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the internal control over reporting on the Forms MS-965 or on compliance and other matters; accordingly, we express no such opinions.

A control deficiency exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent or detect misstatements on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a control deficiency, or combination of control deficiencies, that adversely affects the Township of Westfall, Pike County's ability to initiate, authorize, record, process, or report data reliably in accordance with the applicable criteria such that there is more than a remote likelihood that a misstatement of the Township of Westfall, Pike County's Forms MS-965 that is more than inconsequential will not be prevented or detected by the Township of Westfall, Pike County's internal control. We consider the deficiencies described in the findings below to be significant deficiencies in internal control over reporting on the Forms MS-965:

- Electronic Imaging Of Canceled Checks From The Bank Did Not Include The Back Of The Checks.
- One Signature On General Fund Checks.

Independent Auditor's Report (Continued)

A material weakness is a significant deficiency or combination of significant deficiencies that results in more than a remote likelihood that a material misstatement of the Forms MS-965 will not be prevented or detected by the Township of Westfall, Pike County's internal control. Our consideration of the internal control over reporting on the Forms MS-965 would not necessarily disclose all matters in the internal control that might be significant deficiencies and, accordingly, would not necessarily disclose all significant deficiencies that are also considered to be material weaknesses. We consider all the significant deficiencies described above to be material weaknesses.

The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

We are concerned in light of the municipality's failure to correct a previously reported finding regarding obtaining an image of the front and back of canceled checks from its financial institution. During our current examination, we noted that the electronic imaging of the canceled checks from the bank did not include the back of the checks and the municipality only required one signature to authorize General Fund checks. The municipality should strive to implement the recommendations and corrective actions noted in this report.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation and the management of the Township of Westfall, Pike County, and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

June 27, 2011

JACK WAGNER Auditor General



TOWNSHIP OF WESTFALL PIKE COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND 2009 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 1 WITH ADJUSTMENTS

Expenditure Summary	Reported		djustments (Note 4)	Adjusted Amount	
Major equipment purchases	\$	1,694.00	\$ (1,694.00)	\$	-
Minor equipment purchases		118.69	1,694.00		1,812.69
Computer/Computer related training		-	-		-
Agility projects		-	-		-
Cleaning streets and gutters		-	-		-
Winter maintenance services		22,529.59	-		22,529.59
Traffic control devices		-	-		-
Street lighting		243.87	-		243.87
Storm sewers and drains		-	-		-
Repairs of tools and machinery		8,757.94	-		8,757.94
Maintenance and repair of					
roads and bridges		41,906.55	-		41,906.55
Highway construction and					
rebuilding projects		-	-		-
Miscellaneous			 -		-
Total (To Section 2, Line 5)	\$	75,250.64	\$ 	\$	75,250.64

TOWNSHIP OF WESTFALL PIKE COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND 2009 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 2 WITH ADJUSTMENTS

Fund Balance	Reported		Adjustments		Adjusted Amount		
1. Balance, January 1, 2009	\$	936.31	\$	-	\$	936.31	
Receipts: 2. State allocation 2a. Turnback allocation 2b. Interest on investments (Note 3) 2c. Miscellaneous		78,765.91 - 74.73		- - - -		78,765.91 - 74.73	
3. Total receipts		78,840.64		-		78,840.64	
4. Total funds available		79,776.95				79,776.95	
5. Expenditures (Section 1)		75,250.64		-		75,250.64	
6. Balance, December 31, 2009	\$	4,526.31	\$	-	\$	4,526.31	

TOWNSHIP OF WESTFALL PIKE COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND 2009 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 3 WITH ADJUSTMENTS

Reported		Adjustments (Note 4)		Adjusted Amount	
\$	936.31	\$	-	\$	936.31
n	15,753.18		-		15,753.18
	16,689.49		-		16,689.49
	1,694.00		(1,694.00)		
	14,995.49		1,694.00		16,689.49
\$	4,526.31	\$	-	\$	4,526.31
		\$ 936.31 n 15,753.18 - 16,689.49 <u>1,694.00</u> <u>14,995.49</u>	Reported \$ 936.31 \$ n 15,753.18	Reported (Note 4) \$ 936.31 \$ - n 15,753.18 - 16,689.49 - - 16,689.49 - - 16,689.49 - - 16,689.49 - - 1,694.00 (1,694.00) 1,694.00 14,995.49 1,694.00 -	Reported (Note 4) \$ 936.31 \$ 15,753.18 - - - - - 16,689.49 - 1,694.00 (1,694.00) 14,995.49 1,694.00

TOWNSHIP OF WESTFALL PIKE COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND 2010 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 1 WITH ADJUSTMENTS

Expenditure Summary	Reported		Adjustments		Adjusted Amount		
Major equipment purchases	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	
Minor equipment purchases		54.39		-		54.39	
Computer/Computer related training		850.00		-		850.00	
Agility projects		-		-		-	
Cleaning streets and gutters		-		-		-	
Winter maintenance services		22,059.59		-		22,059.59	
Traffic control devices		-		-		-	
Street lighting		310.84		-		310.84	
Storm sewers and drains		-		-		-	
Repairs of tools and machinery		12,013.92		-		12,013.92	
Maintenance and repair of							
roads and bridges		44,020.69		-		44,020.69	
Highway construction and							
rebuilding projects		-		-		-	
Miscellaneous (Bank service charges)		.97		-		.97	
Total (To Section 2, Line 5)	\$	79,310.40	\$	-	\$	79,310.40	

TOWNSHIP OF WESTFALL PIKE COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND 2010 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 2 WITH ADJUSTMENTS

Fund Balance	Reported		Adjustments		Adjusted Amount		
1. Balance, January 1, 2010	\$	4,526.31	\$	-	\$	4,526.31	
Receipts:							
2. State allocation		75,657.53		-		75,657.53	
2a. Turnback allocation		-		-		-	
2b. Interest on investments (Note 3)		76.01		-		76.01	
2c. Miscellaneous (Voided check returned to fund balance)		11.56		-		11.56	
3. Total receipts		75,745.10		-		75,745.10	
4. Total funds available		80,271.41		-		80,271.41	
5. Expenditures (Section 1)		79,310.40		-		79,310.40	
6. Balance, December 31, 2010	\$	961.01	\$	_	\$	961.01	

TOWNSHIP OF WESTFALL PIKE COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND 2010 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 3 WITH ADJUSTMENTS

Equipment Balance	Reported		Adjustments		Adjusted Amount		
1. Prior year equipment balance	\$	4,526.31	\$	-	\$	4,526.31	
 Add: Current year equipment allocation (20% of Lines 2 + 2a, Section 2) 	n	15,131.51		-		15,131.51	
3. PENNDOT approved adjustments							
4. Total funds available for equipment acquisition		19,657.82		-		19,657.82	
5. Less: Major equipment expenditures				-			
6. Remainder		19,657.82		_		19,657.82	
 Equipment balance available for subsequent year (Lesser of Line 6 or Section 2 balance, but not less than zero) 	\$	961.01	\$		\$	961.01	

1. <u>Criteria</u>

Section 1

This section of Form MS-965 With Adjustments provides a summary of Liquid Fuels Tax Fund expenditures by category. Categories requiring explanation include:

- Major equipment purchases are purchases of road machinery and road equipment that cost in excess of \$4,000.00.
- Minor equipment purchases are purchases of road machinery and road equipment that cost \$4,000.00 or less.
- Agility projects are exchanges of services with the Department of Transportation.

Section 2

This section of Form MS-965 With Adjustments provides information on the fund balance. Categories requiring explanation include:

- The state allocation is generally received from the Department of Transportation during the first week in April of each year. The amount the municipality receives is based half on its population and half on its road mileage.
- The turnback allocation is generally received from the Department of Transportation during the first week in April of each year. A municipality receives a yearly turnback allocation based on road mileage for all roads that were transferred to the municipality from the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania through the Highway Transfer Program.
- Expenditures include the total transferred from Section 1.

Section 3

This section of Form MS-965 With Adjustments determines if the municipality expended Liquid Fuels Tax Fund money in excess of the permissible amount for equipment and the balance that the municipality may carry forward for the purchase of equipment to the subsequent year.

1. <u>Criteria (Continued)</u>

Section 3 (Continued)

Department of Transportation *Publication 9* requires that the amount expended for equipment purchases in a given year not exceed the sum of the equipment balance carried forward from the previous year and 20 percent of the current year's Liquid Fuels Tax Fund allocation and, if applicable, 20 percent of the turnback allocation plus other Department of Transportation approved adjustments.

If the municipality spent in excess of the amount listed on Line 4, the excess must be reimbursed to the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund.

The equipment balance to be carried forward for the subsequent year is the lesser of the amount on Line 6 or the ending fund balance on Line 6 of Section 2, but not less than zero.

Basis Of Presentation

The financial activities of the municipality are accounted for in separate funds. The Liquid Fuels Tax Fund is used to account for state aid revenues from the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation used primarily for building and improving local roads and bridges. The Forms MS-965 have been prepared in accordance with reporting requirements prescribed by the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation as a result of the Fuels Tax Act 655, dated 1956 and as amended, which does not constitute a complete presentation of the entity's assets, liabilities, expenses, and fund balance. Accordingly, the presentation of Forms MS-965 With Adjustments is restricted to the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund, which represents a segment of the entity.

Basis Of Accounting

The accompanying Forms MS-965 With Adjustments are prepared in accordance with reporting requirements prescribed by the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation. Under this method, revenues are recognized when received and expenditures are recorded when paid.

1. <u>Criteria (Continued)</u>

General Fixed Assets

General fixed assets are recognized as expenditures at the time of purchase. No depreciation has been provided on the heavy equipment used to maintain and repair roads and bridges.

2. <u>Deposits</u>

The Second Class Township Code, Title 53 P.S. § 68204, authorizes the township to deposit its funds in the following:

- Deposits in savings accounts or time deposits, other than certificates of deposit or share accounts of institutions having their principal place of business in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) or other like insurance. For any amount above the insured maximum, the depository shall pledge approved collateral.
- Certificates of deposit purchased from institutions insured by the FDIC or other like insurance to the extent that such accounts are so insured. For any amounts in excess of the insured maximum, such deposits shall be collateralized by a pledge or assignment of assets. Certificates of deposit may not exceed 20 percent of a bank's total capital surplus or 20 percent of a savings and loan's or savings bank's assets minus liabilities.

Deposits consist of receipts and deposits in a financial institution. Pennsylvania statutes require all deposits to be insured and, for any amount above the insured maximum, to be secured with approved collateral as defined.

There were no deposits exposed to custodial credit risk as of December 31, 2010. Custodial credit risk, as defined by GASB No. 40, includes deposits that are not covered by depository insurance and the deposits are uncollateralized, collateralized with securities held by the pledging financial institution, or collateralized with securities held by the pledging financial institution's trust department or agent but not in the municipality's name.

2. <u>Deposits (Continued)</u>

Fund Balance

The fund balance as of December 31, 2010 consists of the following:

Cash

<u>\$961.01</u>

3. Interest On Investments

Our examination disclosed that the municipality deposited idle liquid fuels tax money in an interest-bearing account which earned \$74.73 during 2009, and \$76.01 during 2010, thus providing additional funds for road maintenance and repairs.

4. Adjustments

2009 - Section 1

Adjustments were made to "Major equipment purchases" and "Minor equipment purchases" because expenditures of \$1,694.00 were misclassified.

2009 - Section 3

An adjustment of \$(1,694.00) was made to "Major equipment expenditures" to reflect the adjustment made to major equipment purchases in 2009 - Section 1.

5. <u>Bank Loan</u>

On November 22, 2004, the municipality borrowed \$60,000.00 from Pennstar Bank to purchase a street sweeper and a truck. The term of the loan was for six years at an interest rate of 3.65 percent. Principal and interest payments of \$1,096.87 are due monthly. Prior years' principal and interest payments from the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund were \$3,729.86 and \$657.62, respectively. Additionally, the municipality paid principal of \$44,504.16 and interest of \$4,851.99 from the General Fund.

5. <u>Bank Loan (Continued)</u>

During the current examination period the municipality paid principal of \$11,765.98 and interest of \$235.61 from the General Fund. The loan was paid-in-full on October 2, 2009.



TOWNSHIP OF WESTFALL PIKE COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS FOR THE TWO YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2010

<u>Finding No. 1 - Electronic Imaging Of Canceled Checks From The Bank Did Not Include The</u> <u>Back Of The Checks</u>

Our examination disclosed that the municipality made transfers from the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund to the General Fund for the reimbursement of expenditures that could have been paid from the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund. Therefore, our disbursement test included checks from the General Fund account. During our testing, we discovered that the imaging of canceled checks from the General Fund bank account was not acceptable because the bank provides only the front side of the canceled checks. For us to properly complete our examination testing, we have to examine the front and back of the canceled checks. Because the test of disbursements was limited, we had to qualify our opinion as stated in the Independent Auditor's Report.

Good internal controls and the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Management Directive 210.11, dated June 16, 1997, require that imaging systems comply with the provisions of the Internal Revenue Service (IRS) procedures for record keeping with electronic imaging. To be acceptable, the documents provided by the system must meet IRS procedures governing size, content, format, and pattern. Those procedures require that all images produced by the imaging system exhibit a high degree of legibility and readability when displayed on paper. Legibility includes the ability to identify all letters and numerals positively and quickly. Readability includes the ability to recognize a group of letters or numerals as words or completed numbers. Imaged documents must include the front and back of a document in which both the front and back are used.

Further, good internal controls ensure that by having a municipal official review the front and back of the canceled checks, any errors or misappropriations can be detected on a timely basis.

Without this control, the potential exists for errors or misappropriations to go undetected for long periods of time.

A similar finding was also written in our prior report.

TOWNSHIP OF WESTFALL PIKE COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS FOR THE TWO YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2010

<u>Finding No. 1 - Electronic Imaging Of Canceled Checks From The Bank Did Not Include The</u> <u>Back Of The Checks (Continued)</u>

Recommendations

We again recommend that the municipal officials obtain images of the front and back of canceled checks in accordance with Directive 210.11. Additionally, municipal officials should review the front and back of each canceled check for any errors or misappropriations.

Management's Response

The secretary stated:

We do not receive copies of the back of canceled checks.

Auditor's Conclusion

This is a recurring finding. We strongly recommend that the municipality comply with our recommendations. During our next examination we will determine if the municipality complied with our recommendations.

TOWNSHIP OF WESTFALL PIKE COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS FOR THE TWO YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2010

Finding No. 2 - One Signature On General Fund Checks

Our examination disclosed that the municipality made transfers from the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund to the General Fund for the reimbursement of expenditures that could have been paid from the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund. As a result, Liquid Fuels Tax Fund money was disbursed from the General Fund. Therefore, we reviewed the General Fund disbursement procedures and discovered that only one signature was required to authorize checks drawn on the General Fund. When only one signature is required there is a significant risk of unauthorized disbursements, errors, or misappropriations occurring and remaining undetected. To decrease this risk, good internal controls require that at least two authorized signatures be required to authorize checks drawn on the General Fund.

Recommendation

We recommend that the municipality improve internal control procedures over disbursements by requiring at least two signatures on all disbursements from the General Fund account.

Management's Response

The secretary stated:

Our treasurer since taking over the accounts is the only signer of our General Fund checks. I will speak to the board about this.

Auditor's Conclusion

The risk of unauthorized disbursements, errors, or misappropriations occurring and remaining undetected increases significantly when only one signature is required to authorize checks. During our next examination we will determine if the municipality complied with our recommendation.

TOWNSHIP OF WESTFALL PIKE COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND SUMMARY OF EXIT CONFERENCE FOR THE TWO YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2010

An exit conference was held June 27, 2011. Those participating were:

TOWNSHIP OF WESTFALL

Mrs. Lisa A. Green, Secretary

DEPARTMENT OF THE AUDITOR GENERAL

Mr. Jerome Werner, Auditor

The results of the examination were presented and discussed in their entirety.

TOWNSHIP OF WESTFALL PIKE COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND REPORT DISTRIBUTION FOR THE TWO YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2010

This report was initially distributed to:

The Honorable Barry J. Schoch, P.E. Secretary Department of Transportation

> Township of Westfall Pike County 102 LaBarr Lane P.O. Box 247 Matamoras, PA 18336

The Honorable James Muir

Chairman of the Board of Supervisors

Mrs. Lisa A. Green

Secretary

This report is a matter of public record. Copies of this report may be obtained from the Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General, Office of Communications, 318 Finance Building, Harrisburg, PA 17120. To view this report online or to contact the Department of the Auditor General, please access our web site at www.auditorgen.state.pa.us.