



Released April 2013

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA

EUGENE A. DEPASQUALE - AUDITOR GENERAL

DEPARTMENT OF THE AUDITOR GENERAL





BOROUGH OF HANOVER YORK COUNTY 66-413

LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND EXAMINATION REPORT

FOR THE PERIOD JANUARY 1, 2009 TO DECEMBER 31, 2010

CONTENTS

Page

Background1
Independent Auditor's Report
Financial Section:
2009 Form MS-965 With Adjustments7
2010 Form MS-965 With Adjustments10
Notes To Forms MS-965 With Adjustments13
Findings And Recommendations:
Finding No. 1 - Purchases Over \$10,000.00 In Noncompliance With Advertising And Bidding Requirements
Finding No. 2 - Liquid Fuels Money Overexpended On Project
Comment
Summary Of Exit Conference
Report Distribution

BOROUGH OF HANOVER YORK COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND BACKGROUND FOR THE PERIOD JANUARY 1, 2009 TO DECEMBER 31, 2010

Background

The Liquid Fuels Tax Municipal Allocation Law, Title 72 P.S. § 2615.5, provides municipalities other than counties (townships, boroughs, cities, towns, home rule, and optional plan governments) with an annual allocation of liquid fuels taxes from the state's Motor License Fund to be used for the maintenance and repair of streets, roads, and bridges for which the municipality is responsible. The allocation of these funds to municipalities is based: (1) 50 percent on the municipality's proportion of local road mileage to the total local road mileage in the state, and (2) 50 percent on the proportion of a municipality's population to the total population in the state.

The Vehicle Code, Title 75 P.S. § 9511, provides municipalities with annual maintenance payments to be received from the Motor License Fund for functionally local highways that were transferred to a municipality from the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

Each municipality must deposit the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund money and annual maintenance payments that it receives into a special fund called the Municipal Liquid Fuels Tax Fund or State Fund. A municipality may not deposit any other monies into this fund except when the municipality does not have enough money in the special fund to meet the payments called for by its current annual budget for road and bridge purposes. In this case, the municipality may borrow money or transfer money from its General Fund to its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund.

The Department of Transportation has been given the regulatory authority for the administration of these funds. To qualify for its annual allocation, each municipality shall submit the following documents and information to the Department of Transportation:

- 1. Evidence that the treasurer is bonded in accordance with the law, or that its treasurer is a bank requiring no bond by January 31 of each year.
- 2. A report indicating the manner in which its liquid fuels tax allocation was expended in the previous year (Form MS-965) by January 31 of each year.
- 3. A report of elected and appointed officials by January 31 of each year.
- 4. A Survey of Financial Condition by March 15 of each year.

Department of Transportation *Publication 9* contains the policies and procedures that govern the use of Liquid Fuels Tax Fund money. However, if there is a difference between *Publication 9* and any legislation, the legislation shall govern.





Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General Harrisburg, PA 17120-0018 Facebook: Pennsylvania Auditor General Twitter: @PAAuditorGen

EUGENE A. DEPASQUALE AUDITOR GENERAL

Independent Auditor's Report

The Honorable Barry J. Schoch, P.E. Secretary Department of Transportation Harrisburg, PA 17120

We examined the accompanying Forms MS-965 With Adjustments for the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund of the Borough of Hanover, York County, for the period January 1, 2009 to December 31, 2010. The municipality's management is responsible for the Forms MS-965. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Forms MS-965 With Adjustments based on our examination.

Our examination was conducted in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants and the standards applicable to attestation engagements contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. An examination includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the Borough of Hanover, York County's Forms MS-965 for the period January 1, 2009 to December 31, 2010 and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our examination provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

We are mandated by Section 403 of *The Fiscal Code*, 72 P.S. § 403, to audit each municipality's Liquid Fuels Tax Fund to ensure that funds received are expended in accordance with applicable laws and regulations. *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States include attestation engagements as a separate type of audit. An attestation engagement performed pursuant to *Government Auditing Standards* involves additional standards that exceed the standards provided by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. Accordingly, this attestation engagement complies with both *Government Auditing Standards* and Section 403 of *The Fiscal Code*.

As described in Note 4, the adjustments included on the Forms MS-965 With Adjustments are made by the Department of the Auditor General.

Independent Auditor's Report (Continued)

As discussed in Finding No. 1, the borough expended \$19,193.05 during 2009 from its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund for multiple purchases of traffic line painting material without advertising for bids. Additionally, as discussed in Finding No. 2, the borough expended \$10,792.49 from its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund in excess of the approved amount for road paving project No. 09-66413-24. On October 13, 2009, the municipality transferred \$9,966.85 from its General Fund to its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund for a portion of the road paving project. As of the date of this report, \$825.64 was due the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund

In our opinion, except for the matters discussed in the preceding paragraph, the Forms MS-965 With Adjustments present, in all material respects, the information required by the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation for the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund of the Borough of Hanover, York County, for the period January 1, 2009 to December 31, 2010, in conformity with the criteria set forth in Note 1.

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we are required to report findings of significant deficiencies in internal control, violations of provisions of contracts or grant agreements, and abuse that are material to the Forms MS-965 and any fraud and illegal acts that are more than inconsequential that come to our attention during our examination. We are also required to obtain the views of management on those matters. We performed our examination to express an opinion on whether the Forms MS-965 are presented in accordance with the criteria described above and not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the internal control over reporting on the Forms MS-965 or on compliance and other matters; accordingly, we express no such opinions.

A control deficiency exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent or detect misstatements on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a control deficiency, or combination of control deficiencies, that adversely affects the Borough of Hanover, York County's ability to initiate, authorize, record, process, or report data reliably in accordance with the applicable criteria such that there is more than a remote likelihood that a misstatement of the Borough of Hanover, York County's Forms MS-965 that is more than inconsequential will not be prevented or detected by the Borough of Hanover, York County's internal control.

Independent Auditor's Report (Continued)

A material weakness is a significant deficiency or combination of significant deficiencies that results in more than a remote likelihood that a material misstatement of the Forms MS-965 will not be prevented or detected by the Borough of Hanover, York County's internal control.

Our consideration of internal control over reporting on the Forms MS-965 was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and would not necessarily identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be significant deficiencies or material weaknesses. We did not identify any significant deficiencies or material weaknesses, as defined above, in internal control over reporting on the Forms MS-965.

The results of our tests disclosed the following instances of noncompliance that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*:

- Purchases Over \$10,000.00 In Noncompliance With Advertising And Bidding Requirements.
- Liquid Fuels Money Overexpended On Project.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation and the management of the Borough of Hanover, York County, and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Eugent: O-Pusper

November 3, 2011

EUGENE A. DEPASQUALE Auditor General



BOROUGH OF HANOVER YORK COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND 2009 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 1 WITH ADJUSTMENTS

Expenditure Summary	Reported		Adjustments (Note 4)		Adjusted Amount	
Major equipment purchases	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Minor equipment purchases		-		-		-
Computer/Computer related training		-		-		-
Agility projects		-		-		-
Cleaning streets and gutters		-		-		-
Winter maintenance services		16,807.56		-		16,807.56
Traffic control devices		114,466.64		-		114,466.64
Street lighting		-		-		-
Storm sewers and drains		-		-		-
Repairs of tools and machinery		-		-		-
Maintenance and repair of						
roads and bridges		39,378.35		-		39,378.35
Highway construction and						
rebuilding projects		127,389.38		-		127,389.38
Miscellaneous (Note 6)		940,600.00		(923,000.00)		17,600.00
Total (To Section 2, Line 5)	\$	1,238,641.93	\$	(923,000.00)	\$	315,641.93

BOROUGH OF HANOVER YORK COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND 2009 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 2 WITH ADJUSTMENTS

Fund Balance		Reported	1	Adjustments (Note 4)	 Adjusted Amount
1. Balance, January 1, 2009	\$	3,106.43	\$	-	\$ 3,106.43
Receipts: 2. State allocation 2a. Turnback allocation		296,225.97		-	296,225.97
2b. Interest on investments (Note 3) 2c. Miscellaneous (Note 5)		867.66 950,566.85		- (923,000.00)	867.66 27,566.85
3. Total receipts	1	,247,660.48		(923,000.00)	324,660.48
4. Total funds available	1	,250,766.91		(923,000.00)	 327,766.91
5. Expenditures (Section 1)	1	,238,641.93		(923,000.00)	 315,641.93
6. Balance, December 31, 2009	\$	12,124.98	\$		\$ 12,124.98

BOROUGH OF HANOVER YORK COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND 2009 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 3 WITH ADJUSTMENTS

Equipment Balance		Reported	Adjı	istments		Adjusted Amount
1. Prior year equipment balance	\$	3,106.43	\$	-	\$	3,106.43
2. Add: Current year equipment allocation (20% of Lines 2 + 2a, Section 2)	1	59,245.19		-		59,245.19
3. PENNDOT approved adjustments						_
4. Total funds available for equipment acquisition		62,351.62		-		62,351.62
5. Less: Major equipment expenditures						-
6. Remainder		62,351.62		-		62,351.62
 For subsequent balance available for subsequent year (Lesser of Line 6 or Section 2 balance, but not less than zero) 	\$	12,124.98	\$	_	\$	12,124.98
	Ψ	12,12	Ψ		Ψ	12,121.20

BOROUGH OF HANOVER YORK COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND 2010 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 1 WITH ADJUSTMENTS

Expenditure Summary	Reported		Adjustments (Note 4)		Adjusted Amount	
Major equipment purchases	\$	-	\$ -	-	\$	-
Minor equipment purchases		-	-	-		-
Computer/Computer related training		-	-	-		-
Agility projects		-	-	-		-
Cleaning streets and gutters		-	-	-		-
Winter maintenance services		88,368.16	-	-		88,368.16
Traffic control devices		116,556.87	-	-		116,556.87
Street lighting		-	-	-		-
Storm sewers and drains		-	-	-		-
Repairs of tools and machinery		-	-	-		-
Maintenance and repair of						
roads and bridges		41,673.04	-	-		41,673.04
Highway construction and						
rebuilding projects		51,733.40	-	-		51,733.40
Miscellaneous (Note 6)	1	1,400,000.00	(1,399,829	.97)		170.03
Total (To Section 2, Line 5)	\$ 1	1,698,331.47	\$ (1,399,829	.97)	\$	298,501.50

BOROUGH OF HANOVER YORK COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND 2010 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 2 WITH ADJUSTMENTS

Fund Balance	Reported		AdjustmentsReported(Note 4)		Adjusted Amount	
1. Balance, January 1, 2010	\$	12,124.98	\$	-	\$	12,124.98
Receipts: 2. State allocation 2a. Turnback allocation		284,724.17		-		284,724.17
2b. Interest on investments (Note 3)		388.07	(1	-		388.07
2c. Miscellaneous (Note 5)		1,428,628.17	(]	,374,829.97)		53,798.20
3. Total receipts		1,713,740.41	(1	,374,829.97)		338,910.44
4. Total funds available		1,725,865.39	(1	,374,829.97)		351,035.42
5. Expenditures (Section 1)		1,698,331.47	(1	,399,829.97)		298,501.50
6. Balance, December 31, 2010	\$	27,533.92	\$	25,000.00	\$	52,533.92

BOROUGH OF HANOVER YORK COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND 2010 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 3 WITH ADJUSTMENTS

Equipment Balance		Reported	A	Adjustments (Note 4)	Adjusted Amount
1. Prior year equipment balance	\$	12,124.98	\$	-	\$ 12,124.98
 Add: Current year equipment allocation (20% of Lines 2 + 2a, Section 2) 	1	56,944.83		-	56,944.83
3. PENNDOT approved adjustments		51,733.40		(51,733.40)	
4. Total funds available for equipment acquisition		120,803.21		(51,733.40)	69,069.81
5. Less: Major equipment expenditures				-	
6. Remainder		120,803.21		(51,733.40)	 69,069.81
7. Equipment balance available for subsequent year (Lesser of Line 6 or Section 2 balance, but not less than zero)	\$	27,533.92	\$	25,000.00	\$ 52,533.92

1. <u>Criteria</u>

Section 1

This section of Form MS-965 With Adjustments provides a summary of Liquid Fuels Tax Fund expenditures by category. Categories requiring explanation include:

- Major equipment purchases are purchases of road machinery and road equipment that cost in excess of \$4,000.00.
- Minor equipment purchases are purchases of road machinery and road equipment that cost \$4,000.00 or less.
- Agility projects are exchanges of services with the Department of Transportation.

Section 2

This section of Form MS-965 With Adjustments provides information on the fund balance. Categories requiring explanation include:

- The state allocation is generally received from the Department of Transportation during the first week in April of each year. The amount the municipality receives is based half on its population and half on its road mileage.
- The turnback allocation is generally received from the Department of Transportation during the first week in April of each year. A municipality receives a yearly turnback allocation based on road mileage for all roads that were transferred to the municipality from the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania through the Highway Transfer Program.
- Expenditures include the total transferred from Section 1.

Section 3

This section of Form MS-965 With Adjustments determines if the municipality expended Liquid Fuels Tax Fund money in excess of the permissible amount for equipment and the balance that the municipality may carry forward for the purchase of equipment to the subsequent year.

1. <u>Criteria (Continued)</u>

Section 3 (Continued)

Department of Transportation *Publication 9* requires that the amount expended for equipment purchases in a given year not exceed the sum of the equipment balance carried forward from the previous year and 20 percent of the current year's Liquid Fuels Tax Fund allocation and, if applicable, 20 percent of the turnback allocation plus other Department of Transportation approved adjustments.

If the municipality spent in excess of the amount listed on Line 4, the excess must be reimbursed to the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund.

The equipment balance to be carried forward for the subsequent year is the lesser of the amount on Line 6 or the ending fund balance on Line 6 of Section 2, but not less than zero.

Basis Of Presentation

The financial activities of the municipality are accounted for in separate funds. The Liquid Fuels Tax Fund is used to account for state aid revenues from the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation used primarily for building and improving local roads and bridges. The Forms MS-965 have been prepared in accordance with reporting requirements prescribed by the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation as a result of the Fuels Tax Act 655, dated 1956 and as amended, which does not constitute a complete presentation of the entity's assets, liabilities, expenses, and fund balance. Accordingly, the presentation of Forms MS-965 With Adjustments is restricted to the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund, which represents a segment of the entity.

Basis Of Accounting

The accompanying Forms MS-965 With Adjustments are prepared in accordance with reporting requirements prescribed by the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation. Under this method, revenues are recognized when received and expenditures are recorded when paid.

1. <u>Criteria (Continued)</u>

General Fixed Assets

General fixed assets are recognized as expenditures at the time of purchase. No depreciation has been provided on the heavy equipment used to maintain and repair roads and bridges.

2. <u>Deposits</u>

The Borough Code, Title 53 P.S § 46316, authorizes the borough to deposit its funds in the following:

- Deposits in savings accounts or time deposits, other than certificates of deposit or share accounts of institutions having their principal place of business in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) or other like insurance. For any amount above the insured maximum, the depository shall pledge approved collateral.
- Certificates of deposit purchased from institutions insured by the FDIC or other like insurance to the extent that such accounts are so insured. For any amounts in excess of the insured maximum, such deposits shall be collateralized by a pledge or assignment of assets. Certificates of deposit may not exceed 20 percent of a bank's total capital surplus or 20 percent of a savings and loan's or savings bank's assets minus liabilities.

Deposits consist of receipts and deposits in financial institutions. Pennsylvania statutes require all deposits to be insured and, for any amount above the insured maximum, to be secured with approved collateral as defined.

There were no deposits exposed to custodial credit risk as of December 31, 2010. Custodial credit risk, as defined by GASB No. 40, includes deposits that are not covered by depository insurance and the deposits are uncollateralized, collateralized with securities held by the pledging financial institution, or collateralized with securities held by the pledging financial institution's trust department or agent but not in the municipality's name.

2. <u>Deposits (Continued)</u>

Fund Balance

The fund balance as of December 31, 2010 consists of the following:

Cash

\$52,533.92

3. Interest On Investments

Our examination disclosed that the municipality deposited idle liquid fuels tax money in interest-bearing accounts which earned \$867.66 during 2009, and \$388.07 during 2010, thus providing additional funds for road maintenance and repairs.

4. Adjustments

2009 - Section 1

An adjustment of \$(923,000.00) was made to "Miscellaneous" because transfers between two Liquid Fuels Tax Fund accounts were incorrectly reported as expenditures.

2009 - Section 2

An adjustment of \$(923,000.00) was made to "Miscellaneous" because transfers between two Liquid Fuels Tax Fund accounts were incorrectly reported as receipts.

2010 - Section 1

An adjustment of \$(1,399,829.97) was made to "Miscellaneous" because transfers of \$1,400,000.00 between two Liquid Fuels Tax Fund accounts were incorrectly reported as expenditures and a correction of a deposit in error of \$170.03 was not reported.

2010 - Section 2

An adjustment of (1,374,829.97) was made to "Miscellaneous" because transfers of 1,375,000.00 between two Liquid Fuels Tax Fund accounts were incorrectly reported receipts and a deposit in error of 170.03 was not reported.

4. Adjustments (Continued)

2010 - Section 3

An adjustment of \$(51,733.40) was made to "PENNDOT approved adjustments" because there were no approved adjustments.

5. <u>Miscellaneous Receipts</u>

The following miscellaneous receipts were deposited into the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund during the examination period:

Source	_Description_	2009	2010
General Fund	Reimbursement for road project (Finding No. 2)	\$ 9,966.85	_
General Fund	Temporary loan (Note 7)	17,600.00	-
General Fund	Reimbursement (Comment)	-	\$24,146.94
Commonwealth of			
Pennsylvania	Severe weather assistance	-	29,481.23
Commonwealth of			
Pennsylvania	Deposit in error (Note 8)		170.03
Total		<u>\$27,566.85</u>	<u>\$53,798.20</u>

6. <u>Miscellaneous Expenditures</u>

The following miscellaneous expenditures were paid from the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund during the examination period:

Payee	Description	2009	2010
General Fund	Repayment of temporary loan (Note 7)	\$17,600.00	-
General Fund	Correction of deposit in error (Note 8)		<u>\$170.03</u>
Total		<u>\$17,600.00</u>	<u>\$170.03</u>

7. <u>Temporary Loan</u>

On December 23, 2009, the municipality loaned \$17,600.00 from its General Fund to its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund. On December 29, 2009, the municipality transferred \$17,600.00 from its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund to its General Fund to repay the temporary loan.

8. Deposit In Error

On December 16, 2010, the municipality deposited \$170.03 into its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund in error. On December 16, 2010, the municipality transferred this amount from its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund to its General Fund to correct the deposit in error.

<u>Finding No. 1 – Purchases Over \$10,000.00 In Noncompliance With Advertising</u> And Bidding Requirements

Our examination disclosed that the borough expended \$19,193.05 during 2009 from the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund for multiple purchases of traffic line painting materials without advertising for bids. These expenditures were as follows:

Invoice	Invoice	Check	Check	
Number	Date	Number	Date	Amount
4138-5	06/04/09	2791	06/18/09	\$1,015.00
4289-6	06/08/09	2791	06/18/09	191.90
4371-2	06/09/09	2791	06/18/09	191.90
4346-4	06/09/09	2791	06/18/09	383.80
4458-7	06/10/09	2791	06/18/09	827.00
4510-5	06/11/09	2800	07/16/09	959.50
4832-3	06/17/09	2800	07/16/09	99.40
4842-2	06/17/09	2800	07/16/09	339.90
4927-1	06/18/09	2800	07/16/09	120.25
5066-7	06/22/09	2800	07/16/09	101.50
5217-6	06/24/09	2800	07/16/09	1,746.42
5308-3	06/25/09	2800	07/16/09	35.64
5337-2	06/26/09	2800	07/16/09	79.53
5361-2	06/26/09	2800	07/16/09	177.40
5547-6	06/30/09	2800	07/16/09	26.32
5615-1	07/01/09	2800	07/16/09	60.49
5987-4	07/08/09	2808	08/20/09	195.90
5988-2	07/08/09	2808	08/20/09	*(75.59)
6053-4	07/09/09	2808	08/20/09	58.45
6123-5	07/10/09	2808	08/20/09	873.90
6124-3	07/10/09	2808	08/20/09	58.45
6324-9	07/14/09	2808	08/20/09	1,285.20
6440-3	07/16/09	2808	08/20/09	175.35
6516-0	07/17/09	2808	08/20/09	1,162.30
6640-8	07/20/09	2808	08/20/09	112.29
6721-6	07/21/09	2808	08/20/09	1,200.20
7632-4	08/06/09	2808	08/20/09	1,275.20
7832-0	08/11/09	2808	08/20/09	1,423.54
8137-3	08/17/09	2818	09/17/09	112.29
8321-3	08/20/09	2818	09/17/09	1,038.87
8505-1	08/24/09	2818	09/17/09	45.45
8613-3	08/26/09	2818	09/17/09	575.70
8722-2	08/27/09	2818	09/17/09	698.60
8904-6	08/31/09	2818	09/17/09	136.27

*Credit for returned material.

<u>Finding No. 1 – Purchases Over \$10,000.00 In Noncompliance With Advertising</u> And Bidding Requirements (Continued)

Invoice Number	Invoice Date	Check Number	Check Date	Amount
8995-4	09/02/09	2818	09/17/09	540.20
9042-4	09/03/09	2829	10/22/09	86.95
9080-4	09/03/09	2829	10/22/09	47.08
9091-4	09/04/09	2829	10/22/09	45.45
9486-3	09/14/09	2829	10/22/09	385.80
9521-7	09/15/09	2829	10/22/09	287.85
9538-1	09/15/09	2829	10/22/09	287.85
9981-3	09/22/09	2829	10/22/09	354.30
0351-8	09/30/09	2829	10/22/09	191.90
0748-5	10/08/09	2834	11/19/09	173.90
1039-8	10/14/09	2834	11/19/09	83.45
Total				<u>\$19,193.05</u>

The above expenditures were not made in compliance with the advertising, bidding, and contract requirements of *The Borough Code*, 53 P.S. § 46402(a), (also found at § 1402(a) of *The Borough Code* as published by the Local Government Commission), which requires that purchases made after September 8, 1990, over \$10,000.00 must be advertised, bid, and awarded by contract. *The Borough Code*, 53 P.S. § 46403(a), (also found at § 1403(a) of *The Borough Code* as published by the Local Government Commission) further states that advertising, bidding and contract requirements should not be evaded through piecemeal purchases.

In addition, the above expenditures were not made in compliance with the Department of Transportation's *Publication 9*, Appendix D, Section 449.7(d), which states, in part:

Each municipality shall make an annual estimate of its requirements of road construction and maintenance materials, and shall advertise for bids on all items and groups of like items ordinarily supplied by a single supplier . . . which are estimated to exceed \$10,000 for the year . . . If the cost during the year of an unadvertised item or group of like items ordinarily supplied by a single supplier exceeds \$10,000 . . . the entire cost shall be ineligible for payment from the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund unless it can be shown to the satisfaction of the Secretary [of the Department of Transportation] that it was reasonably believed that the cost of the year's requirements of that item or group of like items would not exceed \$10,000

<u>Finding No. 1 – Purchases Over \$10,000.00 In Noncompliance With Advertising</u> <u>And Bidding Requirements (Continued)</u>

The failure to comply with *The Borough Code* and the Department of Transportation's *Publication 9* could result in the borough having to reimburse \$19,193.05 to its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund.

A similar finding was written in our prior report (see Comment). However, during our current examination we noted that the borough complied with our recommendation and properly advertised and bid for traffic line painting materials during 2010.

Recommendations

We recommend that the borough reimburse \$19,193.05 to its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund upon official notification by the Department of Transportation.

We further recommend that, the borough continue to comply with *The Borough Code* and the Department of Transportation's *Publication 9* as noted in this finding.

Management's Response

The borough manager stated:

This item was corrected and paint was placed on the bid list for 2010.

Finding No. 2 - Liquid Fuels Money Overexpended On Project

Our examination disclosed that the municipality expended \$127,389.38 of Liquid Fuels Tax Fund money on construction project No. 09-66413-24. However, the amount approved to be expended from the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund for this project was \$116,596.89. On October 13, 2009, the municipality transferred \$9,966.85 from its General Fund to its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund for a portion of the road paving project. The difference of \$825.64 should have been paid directly from the General Fund.

The Department of Transportation's Regulations do not permit a municipality to expend Liquid Fuels Tax Fund money in excess of the amount approved on Municipal Services Completion Report Form MS-999.

The failure to comply with the Department of Transportation's Regulations could result in the municipality having to reimburse \$825.64 to its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund.

Recommendations

We recommend that the municipality reimburse \$825.64 to its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund upon official notification by the Department of Transportation.

We further recommend that, in the future, the municipality expend only the approved amount of Liquid Fuels Tax Fund money on road construction projects.

Management's Response

The municipal officials stated:

Management will review cost overrun of \$825.00 for the 2009 approved total of \$116,596.00. Our records represent \$116,596.00 not \$117,422.53.

Auditor's Conclusion

The municipality expended \$127,389.38 from its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund for this project then transferred \$9,966.85 from its General Fund to its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund. The approved amount to be expended from the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund for the project was \$116,596.89. Therefore, \$825.64 is due the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund. During our next examination we will determine if the municipality complied with our recommendations.

Comment – Summary Of Prior Examination Recommendation

In our prior report we recommended that the Department of Transportation review our examination finding to determine if the municipality should reimburse \$24,146.94 to its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund for the purchase of traffic line painting products without advertising for bids. A similar finding was also written in our current report (see Finding No. 1).

During our current examination we reviewed a letter dated March 15, 2010, from the Department of Transportation informing the municipality to reimburse \$24,146.94 to its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund. We noted that the municipality reimbursed this amount to its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund on March 23, 2010.

BOROUGH OF HANOVER YORK COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND SUMMARY OF EXIT CONFERENCE FOR THE PERIOD JANUARY 1, 2009 TO DECEMBER 31, 2010

An exit conference was held November 3, 2011. Those participating were:

BOROUGH OF HANOVER

Ms. Barbara A. Krebs, Borough Manager Ms. Cynthia J. Wyatt, Treasurer/Office Manager

DEPARTMENT OF THE AUDITOR GENERAL

Ms. Tammy Fleisher, Auditor

The results of the examination were presented and discussed in their entirety

BOROUGH OF HANOVER YORK COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND REPORT DISTRIBUTION FOR THE PERIOD JANUARY 1, 2009 TO DECEMBER 31, 2010

This report was initially distributed to:

The Honorable Barry J. Schoch, P.E. Secretary Department of Transportation

> Borough of Hanover York County 44 Frederick Street Hanover, PA 17331

The Honorable John Gerken

President of Council

Ms. Barbara A. Krebs

Borough Manager

This report is a matter of public record. Copies of this report may be obtained from the Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General, Office of Communications, 231 Finance Building, Harrisburg, PA 17120. To view this report online or to contact the Department of the Auditor General, please access our web site at www.auditorgen.state.pa.us.