



Released March 2013

## COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA

# EUGENE A. DEPASQUALE - AUDITOR GENERAL

## **DEPARTMENT OF THE AUDITOR GENERAL**





BOROUGH OF JACOBUS YORK COUNTY 66-414

LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND EXAMINATION REPORT

FOR THE PERIOD JANUARY 1, 2009 TO DECEMBER 31, 2010

## CONTENTS

<u>Page</u>

Background	1
Independent Auditor's Report	3
Financial Section:	
2009 Form MS-965 With Adjustments	7
2010 Form MS-965 With Adjustments10	0
Notes To Forms MS-965 With Adjustments1	3
Findings And Recommendations:	
Finding No. 1 - Documentation For Price Quotations Was Not Available For	
Examination2	0
Finding No. 2 – Purchases Over \$10,000.00 In Noncompliance With Advertising And	
Bidding Requirements1	7
Comment	3
Summary Of Exit Conference	4
Report Distribution	5

## BOROUGH OF JACOBUS YORK COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND BACKGROUND FOR THE PERIOD JANUARY 1, 2009 TO DECEMBER 31, 2010

#### Background

The Liquid Fuels Tax Municipal Allocation Law, Title 72 P.S. § 2615.5, provides municipalities other than counties (townships, boroughs, cities, towns, home rule, and optional plan governments) with an annual allocation of liquid fuels taxes from the state's Motor License Fund to be used for the maintenance and repair of streets, roads, and bridges for which the municipality is responsible. The allocation of these funds to municipalities is based: (1) 50 percent on the municipality's proportion of local road mileage to the total local road mileage in the state, and (2) 50 percent on the proportion of a municipality's population to the total population in the state.

*The Vehicle Code*, Title 75 P.S. § 9511, provides municipalities with annual maintenance payments to be received from the Motor License Fund for functionally local highways that were transferred to a municipality from the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

Each municipality must deposit the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund money and annual maintenance payments that it receives into a special fund called the Municipal Liquid Fuels Tax Fund or State Fund. A municipality may not deposit any other monies into this fund except when the municipality does not have enough money in the special fund to meet the payments called for by its current annual budget for road and bridge purposes. In this case, the municipality may borrow money or transfer money from its General Fund to its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund.

The Department of Transportation has been given the regulatory authority for the administration of these funds. To qualify for its annual allocation, each municipality shall submit the following documents and information to the Department of Transportation:

- 1. Evidence that the treasurer is bonded in accordance with the law, or that its treasurer is a bank requiring no bond by January 31 of each year.
- 2. A report indicating the manner in which its liquid fuels tax allocation was expended in the previous year (Form MS-965) by January 31 of each year.
- 3. A report of elected and appointed officials by January 31 of each year.
- 4. A Survey of Financial Condition by March 15 of each year.

Department of Transportation *Publication 9* contains the policies and procedures that govern the use of Liquid Fuels Tax Fund money. However, if there is a difference between *Publication 9* and any legislation, the legislation shall govern.





Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General Harrisburg, PA 17120-0018 Facebook: Pennsylvania Auditor General Twitter: @PAAuditorGen

EUGENE A. DEPASQUALE AUDITOR GENERAL

## Independent Auditor's Report

The Honorable Barry J. Schoch, P.E. Secretary Department of Transportation Harrisburg, PA 17120

We examined the accompanying Forms MS-965 With Adjustments for the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund of the Borough of Jacobus, York County, for the period January 1, 2009 to December 31, 2010. The municipality's management is responsible for the Forms MS-965. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Forms MS-965 With Adjustments based on our examination.

Our examination was conducted in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants and the standards applicable to attestation engagements contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. An examination includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the Borough of Jacobus, York County's Forms MS-965 for the period January 1, 2009 to December 31, 2010 and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our examination provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

We are mandated by Section 403 of *The Fiscal Code*, 72 P.S. § 403, to audit each municipality's Liquid Fuels Tax Fund to ensure that funds received are expended in accordance with applicable laws and regulations. *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States include attestation engagements as a separate type of audit. An attestation engagement performed pursuant to *Government Auditing Standards* involves additional standards that exceed the standards provided by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. Accordingly, this attestation engagement complies with both *Government Auditing Standards* and Section 403 of *The Fiscal Code*.

As discussed in Finding No. 1, the borough expended \$18,120.00 from the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund for the purchase of road salt and anti-skid without advertising for bids. Additionally, as discussed in Finding No. 2, the borough expended \$9,850.00 for the purchase of road salt and anti-skid without maintaining documentation for price quotations.

## Independent Auditor's Report (Continued)

In our opinion, except for the matters discussed in the preceding paragraph, the Forms MS-965 With Adjustments present, in all material respects, the information required by the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation for the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund of the Borough of Jacobus, York County, for the period January 1, 2009 to December 31, 2010, in conformity with the criteria set forth in Note 1.

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we are required to report findings of significant deficiencies in internal control, violations of provisions of contracts or grant agreements, and abuse that are material to the Forms MS-965 and any fraud and illegal acts that are more than inconsequential that come to our attention during our examination. We are also required to obtain the views of management on those matters. We performed our examination to express an opinion on whether the Forms MS-965 are presented in accordance with the criteria described above and not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the internal control over reporting on the Forms MS-965 or on compliance and other matters; accordingly, we express no such opinions.

A control deficiency exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent or detect misstatements on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a control deficiency, or combination of control deficiencies, that adversely affects the Borough of Jacobus, York County's ability to initiate, authorize, record, process, or report data reliably in accordance with the applicable criteria such that there is more than a remote likelihood that a misstatement of the Borough of Jacobus, York County's Forms MS-965 that is more than inconsequential will not be prevented or detected by the Borough of Jacobus, York County's internal control.

A material weakness is a significant deficiency or combination of significant deficiencies that results in more than a remote likelihood that a material misstatement of the Forms MS-965 will not be prevented or detected by the Borough of Jacobus, York County's internal control.

Our consideration of internal control over reporting on the Forms MS-965 was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and would not necessarily identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be significant deficiencies or material weaknesses. We did not identify any significant deficiencies or material weaknesses, as defined above, in internal control over reporting on the Forms MS-965.

## Independent Auditor's Report (Continued)

The results of our tests disclosed the following instances of noncompliance that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*:

- Purchases Over \$10,000.00 In Noncompliance With Advertising And Bidding Requirements.
- Documentation For Price Quotations Was Not Available For Examination.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation and the management of the Borough of Jacobus, York County, and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Eugent: O-Pager

December 16, 2011

EUGENE A. DEPASQUALE Auditor General



## BOROUGH OF JACOBUS YORK COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND 2009 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 1 WITH ADJUSTMENTS

Expenditure Summary	 Reported	Adjı	istments	Adjusted Amount
Major equipment purchases	\$ -	\$	-	\$ -
Minor equipment purchases	-		-	-
Computer/Computer related training	-		-	-
Agility projects	-		-	-
Cleaning streets and gutters	-		-	-
Winter maintenance services	18,050.00		-	18,050.00
Traffic control devices	-		-	-
Street lighting	-		-	-
Storm sewers and drains	-		-	-
Repairs of tools and machinery	-		-	-
Maintenance and repair of roads and bridges	_		_	_
Highway construction and				
rebuilding projects	-		-	-
Miscellaneous	 -	1	-	 -
Total (To Section 2, Line 5)	\$ 18,050.00	\$	-	\$ 18,050.00

## BOROUGH OF JACOBUS YORK COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND 2009 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 2 WITH ADJUSTMENTS

Fund Balance	Reported	Adjus	stments	Adjusted Amount
1. Balance, January 1, 2009	\$ 23,254.70	\$	-	\$ 23,254.70
Receipts:	20 126 15			20 126 15
<ol> <li>State allocation</li> <li>Turnback allocation</li> </ol>	29,136.15		-	29,136.15
2b. Interest on investments (Note 3)	231.76		_	231.76
2c. Miscellaneous (Comment)	 17,350.00		-	 17,350.00
3. Total receipts	 46,717.91		-	 46,717.91
4. Total funds available	 69,972.61		_	 69,972.61
5. Expenditures (Section 1)	 18,050.00		-	 18,050.00
6. Balance, December 31, 2009	\$ 51,922.61	\$	-	\$ 51,922.61

## BOROUGH OF JACOBUS YORK COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND 2009 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 3 WITH ADJUSTMENTS

Equipment Balance	]	Reported	Adj	ustments		Adjusted Amount
1. Prior year equipment balance	\$	12,088.46	\$	-	\$	12,088.46
<ul><li>2. Add: Current year equipment allocation (20% of Lines 2 + 2a, Section 2)</li></ul>	1	5,827.23		-		5,827.23
3. PENNDOT approved adjustments						
4. Total funds available for equipment acquisition		17,915.69		-		17,915.69
5. Less: Major equipment expenditures		-		_		
6. Remainder		17,915.69		_		17,915.69
7. Equipment balance available for subsequent year (Lesser of Line 6 or Section 2 balance,	¢	17.015.60	¢		¢	17.015.60
but not less than zero)	\$	17,915.69	\$	-	\$	17,915.69

## BOROUGH OF JACOBUS YORK COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND 2010 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 1 WITH ADJUSTMENTS

Expenditure Summary	Reported		Adjustments		Adjusted Amount	
Major equipment purchases	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Minor equipment purchases		-		-		-
Computer/Computer related training		-		-		-
Agility projects		-		-		-
Cleaning streets and gutters		-		-		-
Winter maintenance services		48,415.00		-		48,415.00
Traffic control devices		-		-		-
Street lighting		-		-		-
Storm sewers and drains		-		-		-
Repairs of tools and machinery		-		-		-
Maintenance and repair of roads and bridges		-		-		-
Highway construction and						
rebuilding projects		20,000.00		-		20,000.00
Miscellaneous		-		-		
Total (To Section 2, Line 5)	\$	68,415.00	\$	_	\$	68,415.00

## BOROUGH OF JACOBUS YORK COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND 2010 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 2 WITH ADJUSTMENTS

Fund Balance	Reported	Adjı	istments	Adjusted Amount
1. Balance, January 1, 2010	\$ 51,922.61	\$	-	\$ 51,922.61
Receipts: 2. State allocation	27,996.96		_	27,996.96
<ul><li>2a. Turnback allocation</li><li>2b. Interest on investments (Note 3)</li></ul>	20.81		-	20.81
2c. Miscellaneous (Note 4)	 9,570.10		_	 9,570.10
3. Total receipts	 37,587.87		-	 37,587.87
4. Total funds available	 89,510.48		-	 89,510.48
5. Expenditures (Section 1)	 68,415.00			 68,415.00
6. Balance, December 31, 2010	\$ 21,095.48	\$	-	\$ 21,095.48

## BOROUGH OF JACOBUS YORK COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND 2010 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 3 WITH ADJUSTMENTS

Equipment Balance	]	Reported	Adju	istments		Adjusted Amount
1. Prior year equipment balance	\$	17,915.69	\$	-	\$	17,915.69
<ul><li>2. Add: Current year equipment allocation (20% of Lines 2 + 2a, Section 2)</li></ul>	1	5,599.39		-		5,599.39
3. PENNDOT approved adjustments						
4. Total funds available for equipment acquisition		23,515.08		-		23,515.08
5. Less: Major equipment expenditures		-				_
6. Remainder		23,515.08		_		23,515.08
7. Equipment balance available for subsequent year (Lesser of Line 6 or Section 2 balance,	¢	21.005.40	¢		¢	21.005.40
but not less than zero)	\$	21,095.48	\$	-	\$	21,095.48

### 1. <u>Criteria</u>

## Section 1

This section of Form MS-965 With Adjustments provides a summary of Liquid Fuels Tax Fund expenditures by category. Categories requiring explanation include:

- Major equipment purchases are purchases of road machinery and road equipment that cost in excess of \$4,000.00.
- Minor equipment purchases are purchases of road machinery and road equipment that cost \$4,000.00 or less.
- Agility projects are exchanges of services with the Department of Transportation.

## Section 2

This section of Form MS-965 With Adjustments provides information on the fund balance. Categories requiring explanation include:

- The state allocation is generally received from the Department of Transportation during the first week in April of each year. The amount the municipality receives is based half on its population and half on its road mileage.
- The turnback allocation is generally received from the Department of Transportation during the first week in April of each year. A municipality receives a yearly turnback allocation based on road mileage for all roads that were transferred to the municipality from the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania through the Highway Transfer Program.
- Expenditures include the total transferred from Section 1.

## Section 3

This section of Form MS-965 With Adjustments determines if the municipality expended Liquid Fuels Tax Fund money in excess of the permissible amount for equipment and the balance that the municipality may carry forward for the purchase of equipment to the subsequent year.

#### 1. <u>Criteria (Continued)</u>

#### Section 3 (Continued)

Department of Transportation *Publication 9* requires that the amount expended for equipment purchases in a given year not exceed the sum of the equipment balance carried forward from the previous year and 20 percent of the current year's Liquid Fuels Tax Fund allocation and, if applicable, 20 percent of the turnback allocation plus other Department of Transportation approved adjustments.

If the municipality spent in excess of the amount listed on Line 4, the excess must be reimbursed to the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund.

The equipment balance to be carried forward for the subsequent year is the lesser of the amount on Line 6 or the ending fund balance on Line 6 of Section 2, but not less than zero.

#### **Basis Of Presentation**

The financial activities of the municipality are accounted for in separate funds. The Liquid Fuels Tax Fund is used to account for state aid revenues from the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation used primarily for building and improving local roads and bridges. The Forms MS-965 have been prepared in accordance with reporting requirements prescribed by the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation as a result of the Fuels Tax Act 655, dated 1956 and as amended, which does not constitute a complete presentation of the entity's assets, liabilities, expenses, and fund balance. Accordingly, the presentation of Forms MS-965 With Adjustments is restricted to the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund, which represents a segment of the entity.

#### **Basis Of Accounting**

The accompanying Forms MS-965 With Adjustments are prepared in accordance with reporting requirements prescribed by the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation. Under this method, revenues are recognized when received and expenditures are recorded when paid.

#### 1. <u>Criteria (Continued)</u>

#### General Fixed Assets

General fixed assets are recognized as expenditures at the time of purchase. No depreciation has been provided on the heavy equipment used to maintain and repair roads and bridges.

#### 2. <u>Deposits</u>

*The Borough Code*, Title 53 P.S § 46316, authorizes the borough to deposit its funds in the following:

- Deposits in savings accounts or time deposits, other than certificates of deposit or share accounts of institutions having their principal place of business in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) or other like insurance. For any amount above the insured maximum, the depository shall pledge approved collateral.
- Certificates of deposit purchased from institutions insured by the FDIC or other like insurance to the extent that such accounts are so insured. For any amounts in excess of the insured maximum, such deposits shall be collateralized by a pledge or assignment of assets. Certificates of deposit may not exceed 20 percent of a bank's total capital surplus or 20 percent of a savings and loan's or savings bank's assets minus liabilities.

Deposits consist of receipts and deposits in a financial institution. Pennsylvania statutes require all deposits to be insured and, for any amount above the insured maximum, to be secured with approved collateral as defined.

There were no deposits exposed to custodial credit risk as of December 31, 2010. Custodial credit risk, as defined by GASB No. 40, includes deposits that are not covered by depository insurance and the deposits are uncollateralized, collateralized with securities held by the pledging financial institution, or collateralized with securities held by the pledging financial institution's trust department or agent but not in the municipality's name.

#### 2. <u>Deposits (Continued)</u>

#### Fund Balance

The fund balance as of December 31, 2010 consists of the following:

Cash

\$21,095.48

#### 3. Interest On Investments

Our examination disclosed that the municipality deposited idle liquid fuels tax money in an interest-bearing account which earned \$231.76 during 2009, and \$20.81 during 2010, thus providing additional funds for road maintenance and repairs.

#### 4. <u>Miscellaneous Receipts</u>

On September 22, 2010, the municipality deposited \$9,570.10 into its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund which was received from the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania for severe weather assistance.

#### Finding No. 1 - Purchases Over \$10,000.00 In Noncompliance With Advertising And Bidding Requirements

Our examination disclosed that the borough expended \$18,120.00 during 2010 from the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund for the purchase of road salt and anti-skid without advertising for bids. The borough did properly advertise and bid for snow removal services. However, included in that bid was a statement saying, "the borough shall pay the contractor the market price for all cinders and/or anti-skid material used by the contractor. In the event that the price for cinders and/or anti-skid material or its equivalent becomes, in the judgment of the borough, too costly, the borough shall have the option to instruct the contractor to cease using said material." Road salt and anti-skid are two different products. The municipality should have advertised for a unit price for both road salt and anti-skid. The vendor billed the borough for road salt and anti-skid at \$80.00/ton on invoice No. 4476. The borough was billed \$100.00/ton for just road salt for invoice Nos. 4486 and 4501. These expenditures were as follows:

Invoice	Invoice	Check	Check	Amount
<u>Number</u>	Date	<u>Number</u>	<u>Date</u>	
4476	01/04/10	105	01/06/10	\$ 5,120.00
4486	02/22/10	106	02/26/10	7,400.00
4501	04/28/10	107	05/05/10	5,600.00
Total				\$18,120.00

The above expenditures were not made in compliance with the advertising, bidding, and contract requirements of *The Borough Code*, 53 P.S. § 46402(a), (also found at § 1402(a) of *The Borough Code* as published by the Local Government Commission), which requires that purchases made after September 8, 1990, over \$10,000.00 must be advertised, bid, and awarded by contract. *The Borough Code*, 53 P.S. § 46403(a), (also found at § 1403(a) of *The Borough Code* as published by the Local Government Commission) further states that advertising, bidding and contract requirements should not be evaded through piecemeal purchases.

#### Finding No. 1 - Purchases Over \$10,000.00 In Noncompliance With Advertising And Bidding Requirements (Continued)

In addition, the above expenditures were not made in compliance with the Department of Transportation's *Publication 9*, Appendix D, Section 449.7(d), which states, in part:

Each municipality shall make an annual estimate of its requirements of road construction and maintenance materials, and shall advertise for bids on all items and groups of like items ordinarily supplied by a single supplier . . . which are estimated to exceed \$10,000 for the year . . . . If the cost during the year of an unadvertised item or group of like items ordinarily supplied by a single supplier exceeds \$10,000 . . . the entire cost shall be ineligible for payment from the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund unless it can be shown to the satisfaction of the Secretary [of the Department of Transportation] that it was reasonably believed that the cost of the year's requirements of that item or group of like items would not exceed \$10,000 . . .

The failure to comply with *The Borough Code* and the Department of Transportation's *Publication 9* could result in the borough having to reimburse \$18,120.00 to its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund.

#### Recommendations

We recommend that the borough reimburse \$18,120.00 to its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund upon official notification by the Department of Transportation.

We further recommend that, in the future, the borough comply with *The Borough Code* and the Department of Transportation's *Publication 9* as noted in this finding.

#### Finding No. 1 - Purchases Over \$10,000.00 In Noncompliance With Advertising And Bidding Requirements (Continued)

#### Management's Response

The secretary/treasurer stated:

It is correct that anti-skid materials are not listed on the PA DOT bid document, Attachment 1: To MS-944 (Proposal and Contract MS-944). However, included with Jacobus Borough's bid packet is a Snow Removal Contract, which states in Section 2; Payment, "The borough shall pay the contractor for the services of he and his employees at the rates identified in the schedule attached hereto. Futhermore, the borough shall pay the contractor the market price for all cinders and/or anti-skid material used by the contractor. In the event that the price for cinders and/or anti-skid material or its equivalent becomes, in the judgment of the borough, too costly, the borough shall have the option to instruct the contractor to cease using said material."

Therefore the cost of anti-skid materials, which would include salt, are included in the bid process as the contractor must agree to the terms and requirements of the snow removal contract, and the bid winner must submit an executed contract along with all other required documents and bonds.

The exact same snow removal contract mentioned above has been used by Jacobus Borough for decades, and along with the other documents and bonds required from the bid process, has passed all prior audits performed on Jacobus Borough's Liquid Fuels records.

#### Auditor's Conclusion

*The Second Class Township Code* and the Department of Transportation's *Publication 9* require all purchases over \$10,000.00 to be advertised and bid. The borough did properly bid its snow removal contract. However, that contract did not include unit prices for salt and anti-skid. Had those two items been bid, the municipality may have been able to obtain lower prices for them. Additionally, findings regarding the advertising and bidding of snow removal services were also written in our 2003-2004 audit and our 2005-2006 examination. During our next examination we will determine if the municipality complied with our recommendations.

#### Finding No. 2 - Documentation For Price Quotations Was Not Available For Examination

Our examination disclosed that the borough expended \$9,850.00 during 2009 from the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund for the purchase of road salt and anti-skid. The borough was required to obtain three written or telephonic price quotations for these expenditures. However, documentation for price quotations was not available for examination. The borough did properly advertise and bid for snow removal services. However, included in that bid was a statement saying, "the borough shall pay the contractor the market price for all cinders and/or anti-skid material used by the contractor. In the event that the price for cinders and/or anti-skid material or its equivalent becomes, in the judgment of the borough, too costly, the borough shall have the option to instruct the contractor to cease using said material." Road salt and anti-skid are two different products. The vendor billed the borough \$70.00/ton when anti-skid and salt were both used and \$100.00/ton when only salt was used. The municipality should have obtained written or telephonic price quotations for a unit price per ton for both road salt and anti-skid. The purchases were as follows:

Invoice	Invoice	Check	Check	Amount
<u>Number</u>	<u>Date</u>	<u>Number</u>	<u>Date</u>	
4398	02/16/09	103	03/04/09	\$6,280.00
4412	03/26/09	104	03/31/09	3,570.00
Total				\$9,850.00

The above expenditures were not made in compliance with the contract requirements of *The Borough Code*, 53 P.S. § 46402(a.1), (also found at § 1402(a.1) of *The Borough Code* as published by the Local Government Commission), which states, in part:

Written or telephonic price quotations from at least three qualified and responsible contractors shall be requested for all contracts that exceed four thousand dollars (\$4,000.00) but are less than the amount [in excess of \$10,000.00] requiring advertisement and competitive bidding or, in lieu of price quotations, a memorandum shall be kept on file showing that fewer than three qualified contractors exist in the market area within which it is practicable to obtain quotations. A written record of telephonic price quotations shall be made and shall contain at least the date of the quotation, the name of the contractor and the contractor's representative, the construction, reconstruction, repair, maintenance or work which was the subject of the quotation and the price. Written price quotations, written records of telephonic price quotations and memoranda shall be retained for a period of three years.

## Finding No. 2 - Documentation For Price Quotations Was Not Available For Examination (Continued)

In addition, the above expenditures were not made in compliance with the Department of Transportation's *Publication 9*, Appendix D, Section 449.7(d), which states, in part:

...For material estimates between \$4,000 and \$10,000, three telephone price quotes shall be obtained prior to the selection of a supplier. In lieu of the price quotations, a memorandum shall be kept on file showing that fewer than three qualified contractors service the market area within which it is practicable to obtain quotations. If the cost during the year of an unadvertised item or group of like items ordinarily supplied by a single supplier exceeds \$10,000 or if the cost was between \$4,000 and \$10,000 and three price quotes were not obtained, the entire cost shall be ineligible for payment from the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund unless it can be shown to the satisfaction of the Secretary [of the Department of Transportation] that it was reasonably believed that the cost of the year's requirements of that item or group of like items would not exceed \$10,000 or be between \$4,000 and \$10,000 as specified above.

The failure to comply with *The Borough Code* and the Department of Transportation's *Publication 9* could result in the borough having to reimburse \$9,850.00 to its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund.

#### Recommendations

We recommend that the borough reimburse \$9,850.00 to its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund upon official notification by the Department of Transportation.

We further recommend that, in the future, the borough comply with *The Borough Code* and the Department of Transportation's *Publication 9* as noted in this finding.

## Finding No. 2 - Documentation For Price Quotations Was Not Available For Examination (Continued)

#### Management's Response

The secretary/treasurer stated:

It is correct that anti-skid materials are not listed on the PA DOT bid document, Attachment 1: To MS-944 (Proposal and Contract MS-944). However, included with Jacobus Borough's bid packet is a Snow Removal Contract, which states in Section 2; Payment, "The borough shall pay the contractor for the services of he and his employees at the rates identified in the schedule attached hereto. Futhermore, the borough shall pay the contractor the market price for all cinders and/or anti-skid material used by the contractor. In the event that the price for cinders and/or anti-skid material or its equivalent becomes, in the judgment of the borough, too costly, the borough shall have the option to instruct the contractor to case using said material."

Therefore the cost of anti-skid materials, which would include salt, are included in the bid process as the contractor must agree to the terms and requirements of the snow removal contract, and the bid winner must submit an executed contract along with all other required documents and bonds.

The exact same snow removal contract mentioned above has been used by Jacobus Borough for decades, and along with the other documents and bonds required from the bid process, has passed all prior audits performed on Jacobus Borough's Liquid Fuels records.

#### Auditor's Conclusion

*The Second Class Township Code* and the Department of Transportation's *Publication 9* require boroughs to obtain price quotations for all purchases between \$4,000.00 and \$10,000.00. The borough did properly bid its snow removal contract. However, that contract did not include unit prices for salt and anti-skid. Had the borough received price quotations for those two items, the municipality may have been able to obtain lower prices for them. Additionally, findings regarding the advertising and bidding of snow removal services were also written in our 2003-2004 audit and our 2005-2006 examination. During our next examination we will determine if the municipality complied with our recommendations.

#### Comment - Summary Of 2005-2006 Examination Recommendations

In our 2005-2006 report we recommended that the Department of Transportation review our examination findings to determine if the municipality should reimburse \$17,350.00 to its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund. This amount consists of \$14,950.00 for snow removal without advertising for bids and \$2,400.00 for nonpermissible expenditures.

During our 2007-2008 examination we reviewed a letter dated December 31, 2008, from the Department of Transportation informing the municipality to reimburse \$17,350.00 to its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund. We noted that the municipality reimbursed this amount to its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund on January 20, 2009.

## BOROUGH OF JACOBUS YORK COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND SUMMARY OF EXIT CONFERENCE FOR THE PERIOD JANUARY 1, 2009 TO DECEMBER 31, 2010

An exit conference was held December 16, 2011. Those participating were:

## BOROUGH OF JACOBUS

Ms. Cynthia M. Ferree, Secretary/Treasurer

## DEPARTMENT OF THE AUDITOR GENERAL

Ms. Tammy Fleisher, Auditor

The results of the examination were presented and discussed in their entirety.

## BOROUGH OF JACOBUS YORK COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND REPORT DISTRIBUTION FOR THE PERIOD JANUARY 1, 2009 TO DECEMBER 31, 2010

This report was initially distributed to:

The Honorable Barry J. Schoch, P.E. Secretary Department of Transportation

> Borough of Jacobus York County 126 North Cherry Lane Jacobus, PA 17407

The Honorable Brian K. Dell

President of Council

Ms. Cynthia M. Ferree

Secretary/Treasurer

This report is a matter of public record. Copies of this report may be obtained from the Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General, Office of Communications, 318 Finance Building, Harrisburg, PA 17120. To view this report online or to contact the Department of the Auditor General, please access our web site at www.auditorgen.state.pa.us.