

DERRY TOWNSHIP POLICE PENSION PLAN

DAUPHIN COUNTY

COMPLIANCE AUDIT REPORT

FOR THE PERIOD

JANUARY 1, 2010 TO DECEMBER 31, 2012

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA

EUGENE A. DEPASQUALE - AUDITOR GENERAL

DEPARTMENT OF THE AUDITOR GENERAL





Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General Harrisburg, PA 17120-0018 Facebook: Pennsylvania Auditor General Twitter: @PAAuditorGen

EUGENE A. DEPASQUALE AUDITOR GENERAL

Board of Township Supervisors Derry Township Dauphin County Hershey, PA 17033

We have conducted a compliance audit of the Derry Township Police Pension Plan for the period January 1, 2010 to December 31, 2012. The audit was conducted pursuant to authority derived from Section 402(j) of Act 205 and in accordance with the standards applicable to performance audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform our audit to obtain sufficient, appropriate evidence to provide a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions based on our audit objectives. We believe that the evidence obtained provides a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions based on our audit objectives.

The objectives of the audit were:

- 1. To determine if municipal officials took appropriate corrective action to address the findings contained in our prior audit report; and
- 2. To determine if the pension plan was administered in compliance with applicable state laws, regulations, contracts, administrative procedures, and local ordinances and policies.

Our audit was limited to the areas related to the objectives identified above. Our methodology addressed determinations about the following:

- · Whether state aid was properly determined and deposited in accordance with Act 205 requirements.
- · Whether employer contributions are determined and deposited in accordance with the plan's governing document and applicable laws and regulations.
- · Whether employee contributions are required and, if so, are determined, deducted and deposited into the pension plan and are in accordance with the plan provisions and applicable laws and regulations.

- · Whether benefit payments, if any, represent payments to all (and only) those entitled to receive them and are properly determined in accordance with applicable laws and regulations.
- · Whether obligations for plan benefits are accurately determined in accordance with plan provisions and based on complete and accurate participant data; and whether actuarial valuation reports are prepared and submitted to the Public Employee Retirement Commission (PERC) in accordance with state law and selected information provided on these reports is accurate, complete and in accordance with plan provisions to ensure compliance for participation in the state aid program.
- Whether the special ad hoc postretirement adjustment granted to eligible pensioners is in accordance with applicable laws and regulations and whether the ad hoc reimbursement received by the municipality was treated in accordance with applicable laws and regulations.
- · Whether benefit payments have only been made to living recipients, based on the Social Security numbers found in the pension records for retirees and beneficiaries.
- · Whether Deferred Retirement Option Plan (DROP) participants' benefit payments are properly determined in accordance with the provisions of the DROP and any other applicable laws and regulations.

Derry Township contracted with an independent certified public accounting firm for annual audits of its basic financial statements which are available at the township's offices. Those financial statements were not audited by us and, accordingly, we express no opinion or other form of assurance on them.

Township officials are responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal controls to provide reasonable assurance that the Derry Township Police Pension Plan is administered in compliance with applicable state laws, regulations, contracts, administrative procedures, and local ordinances and policies. In conducting our audit, we obtained an understanding of the township's internal controls as they relate to the township's compliance with those requirements and that we considered to be significant within the context of our audit objectives, and assessed whether those significant controls were properly designed and implemented. Additionally, we tested transactions, assessed official actions, performed analytical procedures and interviewed selected officials to provide reasonable assurance of detecting instances of noncompliance with legal and regulatory requirements or noncompliance with provisions of contracts, administrative procedures, and local ordinances and policies that are significant within the context of the audit objectives.

The results of our tests indicated that, in all significant respects, the Derry Township Police Pension Plan was administered in compliance with applicable state laws, regulations, contracts, administrative procedures, and local ordinances and policies, except as noted in the following findings further discussed later in this report:

- Finding No. 1 Noncompliance With Prior Audit Recommendation Pension Benefits Not In Compliance With Act 600 Provisions
- Finding No. 2 Noncompliance With Prior Audit Recommendation In-Service Retirement Option Plan Not Adopted By Ordinance
- Finding No. 3 Incorrect Data On Certification Form AG 385 Resulting In An Overpayment Of State Aid
- Finding No. 4 Unauthorized Provision For A Killed In Service Benefit

The accompanying supplementary information is presented for purposes of additional analysis. We did not audit the information or conclude on it and, accordingly, express no form of assurance on it.

The contents of this report were discussed with officials of Derry Township and, where appropriate, their responses have been included in the report. We would like to thank township officials for the cooperation extended to us during the conduct of the audit.

November 4, 2013

EUGENE A. DEPASQUALE

Eugraf. O-Pager

Auditor General

CONTENTS

<u>Page</u>
Background
Status of Prior Findings
Findings and Recommendations:
Finding No. 1 – Noncompliance With Prior Audit Recommendation – Pension Benefits Not In Compliance With Act 600 Provisions
Finding No. 2 – Noncompliance With Prior Audit Recommendation – In-Service Retirement Option Plan Not Adopted By Ordinance
Finding No. 3 – Incorrect Data On Certification Form AG 385 Resulting In An Overpayment Of State Aid
Finding No. 4 – Unauthorized Provision For A Killed In Service Benefit7
Potential Withhold of State Aid
Supplementary Information
Report Distribution List

BACKGROUND

On December 18, 1984, the Pennsylvania Legislature adopted the Municipal Pension Plan Funding Standard and Recovery Act (P.L. 1005, No. 205, as amended, 53 P.S. § 895.101 et seq.). The act established mandatory actuarial reporting and funding requirements and a uniform basis for the distribution of state aid to Pennsylvania's public pension plans. Section 402(j) of Act 205 specifically requires the Auditor General, as deemed necessary, to make an audit of every municipality which receives general municipal pension system state aid and of every municipal pension plan and fund in which general municipal pension system state aid is deposited.

Annual state aid allocations are provided from a 2 percent foreign (out-of-state) casualty insurance premium tax, a portion of the foreign (out-of-state) fire insurance tax designated for paid firefighters and any investment income earned on the collection of these taxes. Generally, municipal pension plans established prior to December 18, 1984, are eligible for state aid. For municipal pension plans established after that date, the sponsoring municipality must fund the plan for three plan years before it becomes eligible for state aid. In accordance with Act 205, a municipality's annual state aid allocation cannot exceed its actual pension costs.

In addition to Act 205, the Derry Township Police Pension Plan is also governed by implementing regulations adopted by the Public Employee Retirement Commission published at Title 16, Part IV of the Pennsylvania Code and applicable provisions of various other state statutes including, but not limited to, the following:

- Act 147 Special Ad Hoc Municipal Police and Firefighter Postretirement Adjustment Act, Act of December 14, 1988 (P.L. 1192, No. 147), as amended, 53 P.S. § 896.101 et seq.
- Act 600 Police Pension Fund Act, Act of May 29, 1956 (P.L. 1804, No. 600), as amended, 53 P.S. § 761 et seq.

The Derry Township Police Pension Plan is a single-employer defined benefit pension plan locally controlled by the provisions of Ordinance No. 180, as amended, adopted pursuant to Act 600. The plan is also affected by the provisions of collective bargaining agreements between the township and its police officers. As of December 31, 2012, the plan had 39 active members, no terminated members eligible for vested benefits in the future and 21 retirees receiving pension benefits.

DERRY TOWNSHIP POLICE PENSION PLAN STATUS OF PRIOR FINDINGS

Noncompliance With Prior Audit Recommendations

Derry Township has not complied with the prior audit recommendations concerning the following as further discussed in the Findings and Recommendations section of this report:

- · Pension Benefits Not In Compliance With Act 600 Provisions
- · In-Service Retirement Option Plan Not Adopted By Ordinance

<u>Finding No. 1 – Noncompliance With Prior Audit Recommendation - Pension Benefits Not In Compliance With Act 600 Provisions</u>

<u>Condition</u>: As disclosed in our prior audit report, the pension plan's governing document fails to contain an intervening military service benefit provision that is required by Act 600.

Criteria: Section 4(a) of Act 600 states:

Any member of the police force employed by a borough, town, township or regional police department, who has been a regularly appointed employe of any such political subdivision or regional police department for a period of at least six months and who thereafter shall enter into the military service of the United States, shall have credited to his employment record for pension or retirement benefits all of the time spent by him in such military service, if such person returns or has heretofore returned to his employment within six months after his separation from the service.

<u>Cause</u>: Municipal officials failed to establish adequate internal control procedures to ensure compliance with the prior audit recommendation.

<u>Effect</u>: Maintaining a benefit structure which is not in compliance with Act 600 could result in plan members or their beneficiaries receiving incorrect benefit amounts or being denied benefits to which they are statutorily entitled.

<u>Recommendation</u>: We again recommend that municipal officials, after consulting with their solicitor, take whatever action is necessary to bring the police pension plan's benefit structure into compliance with Act 600, as amended, at their earliest opportunity to do so.

Management's Response: Regarding Finding Nos. 1 and 4, the township solicitor discovered that there were rough drafts of ordinances to correct the deficiencies; however, these drafts were never put into final form. Moreover, in the solicitor's opinion, state legislation was mandatory and superceded any language in the police pension plan including intervening military service credit required by Act 600. However, to clarify this situation and eliminate the findings in subsequent audits, the solicitor will put together an amending ordinance for the issues raised by Finding Nos. 1, 2 and 4 contained in this audit report.

Auditor's Conclusion: Compliance will be evaluated during our next audit of the plan.

<u>Finding No. 2 – Noncompliance With Prior Audit Recommendation – In-Service</u> Retirement Option Plan Not Adopted By Ordinance

<u>Condition</u>: As disclosed in the prior audit report, the terms, provisions and conditions of the In-Service Retirement Option Plan (IROP) were adopted in an addendum to the collective bargaining agreement between Derry Township and the Derry Township Police Association, dated February 27, 2007, effective January 1, 2007. However, the terms and conditions of the IROP have not been formally adopted by an ordinance that would properly amend the plan's benefit structure.

<u>Criteria</u>: Act 600 at Section 1(a)(1) states, in part:

Each borough, town and township of this Commonwealth maintaining a police force of three or more full-time members and each regional police department shall, and all other boroughs, towns or townships may, establish, by ordinance or resolution, a police pension fund. . . .

Furthermore, in <u>Wynne v. Lower Merion Township</u>, 181 Pa. Superior Ct., 524, the Pennsylvania Superior Court held that an ordinance may be amended only by another ordinance and not by a resolution.

<u>Cause</u>: Municipal officials failed to establish adequate internal control procedures to ensure compliance with the prior audit recommendation.

<u>Effect</u>: The failure to include the IROP benefit provisions in the plan's governing document could result in inconsistent or improper benefit calculations and incorrect benefit payments from the pension plan.

<u>Recommendation</u>: We again recommend that municipal officials take appropriate action to formally adopt the IROP through a properly executed ordinance.

<u>Management's Response</u>: In the township solicitor's opinion, the In-Service Retirement Option Plan (IROP) was valid as being part of the collective bargaining process. However, to clarify this situation and eliminate the findings in subsequent audits, the solicitor will put together an amending ordinance for the issues raised by Finding Nos. 1, 2 and 4 contained in this audit report.

Auditor's Conclusion: Compliance will be evaluated during our next audit of the plan.

Finding No. 3 – Incorrect Data On Certification Form AG 385 Resulting In An Overpayment Of State Aid

<u>Condition</u>: The township certified an ineligible police officer (2 units) and overstated payroll by \$49,976 on the Certification Form AG 385 filed in 2011. The data contained on this certification form is based on prior calendar year information. The township certified a police officer who was on a non-service related disability from April 27, 2010 to November 1, 2010.

<u>Criteria</u>: Pursuant to Act 205, at Section 402(e)(2), in order to be eligible for certification, an employee must have been employed on a full-time basis for at least six consecutive months and must have been participating in a pension plan during the certification year.

The Supreme Court of Pennsylvania in *Chirico v. Board of Supervisors for Newtown Township*, 518 Pa. 572, 544A.2d 1313 (1988) held that Act 600 does not provide for the payment of pension benefits for non-service related injuries.

Furthermore, the Commonwealth Court of Pennsylvania in *Borough of Ellwood City v. Ellwood City Police Dept.*, 786 A. 2d 342 (Pa. Cmwlth. 2002) opined that time spent in receipt of such non-work-related disability benefits would not be considered time worked for the purposes of an Act 600 police pension plan.

<u>Cause</u>: Plan officials failed to establish adequate internal control procedures to ensure the accuracy of the data certified.

<u>Effect</u>: The data submitted on this certification form is used, in part, to calculate the state aid due to the municipality for distribution to its pension plans. Because the township's state aid allocation was based on unit value, the incorrect certification of pension data affected the township's state aid allocation, as identified below:

Units Overstated	Unit Value	State Aid Overpayment
2	\$ 5,596	\$ 11,192

In addition, the township used the overpayment of state aid to pay the minimum municipal obligation (MMO) due to the police pension plan; therefore, if the reimbursement to the Commonwealth is made from the pension plan, the plan's MMO will not be fully paid.

Finding No. 3 – (Continued)

Furthermore, the township's future state aid allocations may be withheld until the finding recommendation is complied with.

<u>Recommendation</u>: We recommend that the total excess state aid, in the amount of \$11,192, be returned to the Commonwealth. A check in this amount, with interest compounded annually from date of receipt to date of repayment, at a rate earned by the pension plan, should be made payable to: Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and mailed to: Department of the Auditor General, Municipal Pension & Fire Relief Programs Unit, 320 Finance Building, Harrisburg, PA 17120. A copy of the interest calculation must be submitted along with the check.

We also recommend that in the future, plan officials establish adequate internal control procedures, such as having at least 2 people review the data certified, to ensure compliance with the instructions that accompany Certification Form AG 385 to assist them in accurately reporting the required pension data.

In addition, if the reimbursement to the Commonwealth is made from police pension plan funds, we recommend that any resulting MMO deficiency be paid to the pension plan with interest, at a rate earned by the pension plan.

Management's Response: The employee in question was an active employee who was employed on a full time basis for a minimum of six consecutive months prior to December 31 preceding the date of certification and who was participating in a pension plan maintained by the The employee's six months of consecutive service began well before the municipality. approximately six months of non-service related disability. This is not a case where the employee had recently started working and went on non-service related disability leave. The employee was an active employee for years before the disability. The interpretation made by the finding seems to indicate that the minimum of six consecutive months must be immediately prior to December 31 preceding the date of certification. Thus the timing of an employee's nonservice related disability would need to be carefully calculated to avoid this interpretation. The Borough of Ellwood City case (No. 2688 C.D. 2001) indicated that Act 205 "foreign casualty insurance proceeds" are only to be used to fund active employees, not employees who are no longer working, let alone police officers that are no longer police officers for non-work-related reasons. Clearly this was not the type of situation that the Ellwood City court opinion contemplated, and the Township should not have a finding on this matter where the officer was employed for many more than six months of consecutive service prior to December 31 preceding the date of certification.

Finding No. 3 – (Continued)

<u>Auditor's Conclusion</u>: As previously cited, the Commonwealth Court of Pennsylvania in *Borough of Ellwood City v. Ellwood City Police Dept.*, 786 A. 2d 342 (Pa. Cmwlth. 2002) opined that since Act 600 does not provide for non-service related disability pension benefits, time spent in receipt of such non-work-related disability benefits would not be considered time worked for the purposes of an Act 600 police pension plan. If a police officer were to be given service credit for time spent on non-service related disability, theoretically a police officer could spend an indefinite period of time receiving such benefits, return to active duty, then subsequently retire with a pension benefit based in part on the time spent receiving non-service related disability benefits.

Furthermore, the data reported on Certification Form AG 385 is based solely on the prior calendar year. The fact that a police officer or any municipal employee was an active full-time employee prior to the certification year is not a factor in the determination of an employee's eligibility to be certified.

Therefore, the finding and recommendation remain as stated.

Finding No. 4 – Unauthorized Provision For A Killed In Service Benefit

Condition: Derry Township maintains a police pension plan governed by the provisions of Act 600, as amended. Prior to the adoption of Act 51 of 2009, Act 600 contained a mandatory killed in service benefit provision; however, Act 51 specifically repealed the section of Act 600 that referenced the mandatory killed in service benefit. During the prior audit period, a verbal observation was given to plan officials notifying them of the passage of Act 51. It was recommended that plan officials review the act's implications for the police pension plan with their municipal solicitor. However, during the current audit period, it has been determined that the pension plan's governing document continues to provide for a killed in service benefit that is no longer authorized by Act 600.

Section 4.2(a) of Ordinance No. 551 states:

Service-Connected Death Benefit – If an active participant dies on or after April 17, 2002, while performing police services for the employer, the participant's surviving spouse or eligible child (if any) shall receive a monthly benefit equal to 100% of the participant's monthly salary at the time of death.

Finding No. 4 – (Continued)

Criteria: Section 1(a) of Act 51 of 2009 states, in part:

In the event a law enforcement officer, ambulance service or rescue squad member, firefighter, certified hazardous material response team member or National Guard member dies as a result of the performance of his duties, such political subdivision, Commonwealth agency or, in the case of National Guard members, the Adjutant General, or, in the case of a member of a Commonwealth law enforcement agency, the authorized survivor or the agency head, within 90 days from the date of death, shall submit certification of such death to the Commonwealth.

In addition, Section 1(d) of Act 51 of 2009 states, in part:

... the Commonwealth shall, from moneys payable out of the General Fund, pay to the surviving spouse or, if there is no surviving spouse, to the minor children of the paid firefighter, ambulance service or rescue squad member or law enforcement officer who died as a result of the performance of his duty the sum of \$100,000, adjusted in accordance with subsection (f) of this section, and an amount equal to the monthly salary, adjusted in accordance with subsection (f) of this section, of the deceased paid firefighter, ambulance service or rescue squad member or law enforcement officer, less any workers' compensation or pension or retirement benefits paid to such survivors, and shall continue such monthly payments until there is no eligible beneficiary to receive them. For the purpose of this subsection, the term "eligible beneficiary" means the surviving spouse or the child or children under the age of eighteen years or, if attending college, under the age of twenty-three years, of the firefighter, ambulance service or rescue squad member or law enforcement officer who died as a result of the performance of his duty. When no spouse or minor children survive, a single sum of \$100,000, adjusted in accordance with subsection (f) of this section, shall be paid to the parent or parents of such firefighter, ambulance service member, rescue squad member or law enforcement officer. (Emphasis added)

Finding No. 4 – (Continued)

Furthermore, Section 2 of Act 51 of 2009 states:

Repeals are as follows:

- (1) The General Assembly declares that the repeals under paragraph (2) are necessary to effectuate the amendment of section 1 of the act.
- (2) The following parts of acts are repealed:
 - (i) Section 5(e)(2) of the act of May 29, 1956 (1955 P.L.1804, No. 600), referred to as the Municipal Police Pension Law.
 - (ii) Section 202(b)(3)(vi) and (4)(vi) of the act of December 18, 1984 (P.L.1005, No. 205), known as the Municipal Pension Plan Funding Standard and Recovery Act.

Therefore, since Act 51 specifically repealed the killed in service provision of Act 600 and the funding provisions for the killed in service benefit that were contained in Act 205, the provision of a killed in service benefit is no longer authorized.

<u>Cause</u>: Plan officials failed to establish adequate internal control procedures to ensure the plan's governing document is in compliance with Act 600, as amended.

<u>Effect</u>: Since Section 1 of Act 51 provides that the Commonwealth is obligated to pay the killed in service benefit less any pension or retirement benefits paid to eligible survivors, the continued provision of a killed in service benefit could result in the pension plan being obligated to pay a benefit that is no longer authorized by Act 600, and would have been paid entirely by the Commonwealth absent such provision.

<u>Recommendation</u>: We recommend that the municipality review the plan's killed in service benefit with its solicitor in conjunction with Act 51 of 2009, and eliminate this unauthorized benefit provision at its earliest opportunity to do so.

Management's Response: Regarding Finding Nos. 1 and 4, the township solicitor discovered that there were rough drafts of ordinances to correct the deficiencies; however, these drafts were never put into final form. Moreover, in the solicitor's opinion, state legislation was mandatory and superceded any language in the police pension plan including the killed in-service benefit repealed by Act 51. However, to clarify this situation and eliminate the findings in subsequent audits, the solicitor will put together an amending ordinance for the issues raised by Finding Nos. 1, 2 and 4 contained in this audit report.

Auditor's Conclusion: Compliance will be evaluated during our next audit of the plan.

DERRY TOWNSHIP POLICE PENSION PLAN POTENTIAL WITHHOLD OF STATE AID

Finding No. 3 contained in this audit report cites an overpayment of state aid to the township in the amount of \$11,192. A condition of this nature may lead to a total withholding of state aid in the future unless that finding is corrected. A check in this amount with interest, at a rate earned by the pension plan, should be made payable to: Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, and mailed to: Department of the Auditor General, Municipal Pension & Fire Relief Programs Unit, 320 Finance Building, Harrisburg, PA 17120.

DERRY TOWNSHIP POLICE PENSION PLAN SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION (UNAUDITED)

SCHEDULE OF FUNDING PROGRESS

Historical trend information about the plan is presented herewith as supplementary information. It is intended to help users assess the plan's funding status on a going-concern basis, assess progress made in accumulating assets to pay benefits when due, and make comparisons with other state and local government retirement systems.

The actuarial information is required by Act 205 biennially. The historical information, beginning as of January 1, 2007, is as follows:

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
						Unfunded
			Unfunded			(Assets in
		Actuarial	(Assets in			Excess of)
		Accrued	Excess of)			Actuarial
	Actuarial	Liability	Actuarial			Accrued
Actuarial	Value of	(AAL) -	Accrued	Funded	Covered	Liability as a %
Valuation	Assets	Entry Age	Liability	Ratio	Payroll	of Payroll
Date	(a)	(b)	(b) - (a)	(a)/(b)	(c)	[(b-a)/(c)]
01-01-07	\$ 8,357,457	\$ 10,968,100	\$ 2,610,643	76.2%	\$ 2,601,960	100.3%
01-01-09	9,502,245	12,795,727	3,293,482	74.3%	2,798,837	117.7%
01-01-11	10,418,005	14,657,271	4,239,266	71.1%	3,071,397	138.0%

Note: The market value of the plan's assets at 01-01-09 has been adjusted to reflect the smoothing of gains and/or losses over a 5-year averaging period, subject to a corridor between 70 to 130 percent of the market value of assets. The market value of the plan's assets at 01-01-11 has been adjusted to reflect the smoothing of gains and/or losses over a 5-year averaging period, subject to a corridor between 80 to 120 percent of the market value of assets. These methods will lower contributions in years of less than expected returns and increase contributions in years of greater than expected returns. The net effect over long periods of time is to have less variance in contribution levels from year to year.

DERRY TOWNSHIP POLICE PENSION PLAN SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION (UNAUDITED)

The comparability of trend information is affected by changes in actuarial assumptions, benefit provisions, actuarial funding methods, accounting policies, and other changes. Those changes usually affect trends in contribution requirements and in ratios that use the actuarial accrued liability as a factor.

Analysis of the dollar amount of the actuarial value of assets, actuarial accrued liability, and unfunded (assets in excess of) actuarial accrued liability in isolation can be misleading. Expressing the actuarial value of assets as a percentage of the actuarial accrued liability (Column 4) provides one indication of the plan's funding status on a going-concern basis. Analysis of this percentage, over time, indicates whether the system is becoming financially stronger or weaker. Generally, the greater this percentage, the stronger the plan.

Trends in unfunded (assets in excess of) actuarial accrued liability and annual covered payroll are both affected by inflation. Expressing the unfunded (assets in excess of) actuarial accrued liability as a percentage of annual covered payroll (Column 6) approximately adjusts for the effects of inflation and aids analysis of the plan's progress made in accumulating sufficient assets to pay benefits when due. Generally, where there is an unfunded actuarial accrued liability, the smaller this percentage, the stronger the plan. When assets are in excess of the actuarial accrued liability, the higher the bracketed percentage, the stronger the plan.

DERRY TOWNSHIP POLICE PENSION PLAN SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION (UNAUDITED)

SCHEDULE OF CONTRIBUTIONS FROM EMPLOYER AND OTHER CONTRIBUTING ENTITIES

Year Ended December 31	Annual Required Contribution	Percentage Contributed		
2007	\$ 486,362	103.3%		
2008	476,294	100.0%		
2009	625,158	100.0%		
2010	629,851	100.0%		
2011	756,769	100.0%		
2012	768,943	100.0%		

DERRY TOWNSHIP POLICE PENSION PLAN SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION NOTES TO SUPPLEMENTARY SCHEDULES (UNAUDITED)

The information presented in the required supplementary schedules was determined as part of the actuarial valuation at the date indicated. Additional information as of the latest actuarial valuation date follows:

Actuarial valuation date January 1, 2011

Actuarial cost method Entry age normal

Amortization method Level dollar

Remaining amortization period 13 years

Asset valuation method 5-year smoothing subject to a

corridor between 80-120% of the

market value of assets.

Actuarial assumptions:

Investment rate of return 7.5%

Projected salary increases 5.5%

Cost-of-living adjustments None assumed

DERRY TOWNSHIP POLICE PENSION PLAN REPORT DISTRIBUTION LIST

This report was initially distributed to the following:

The Honorable Tom Corbett Governor Commonwealth of Pennsylvania

Derry Township Police Pension Plan Dauphin County 600 Clearwater Road Hershey, PA 17033

Mr. Christopher Abruzzo Chairman, Board of Township Supervisors

Mr. James Negley Chief Administrative Officer

Ms. Cheryl Lontz Manager of Payroll & Employee Benefits

This report is a matter of public record and is available online at www.auditorgen.state.pa.us. Media questions about the report can be directed to the Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General, Office of Communications, 231 Finance Building, Harrisburg, PA 17120; via email to: news@auditorgen.state.pa.us.