## ASPINWALL BOROUGH POLICE PENSION PLAN

## ALLEGHENY COUNTY

# **COMPLIANCE AUDIT REPORT**

## FOR THE PERIOD

# **JANUARY 1, 2006, TO DECEMBER 31, 2007**



# ASPINWALL BOROUGH POLICE PENSION PLAN

# ALLEGHENY COUNTY

# **COMPLIANCE AUDIT REPORT**

# FOR THE PERIOD

JANUARY 1, 2006, TO DECEMBER 31, 2007

# CONTENTS

# Page

Background1
Letter from the Auditor General
Status of Prior Findings
Findings and Recommendations:
Finding No. 1 – Noncompliance With Prior Audit Recommendation – Pension Benefit Not In Compliance With Act 600 Provisions
Finding No. 2 – Noncompliance With Prior Audit Recommendation – Improper Reduction Of Members' Contributions
Finding No. 3 – Noncompliance With Prior Audit Recommendation – Failure To Properly Determine And Fully Pay The Minimum Municipal Obligation Of The Plan
Supplementary Information10
Report Distribution List

#### BACKGROUND

On December 18, 1984, the Pennsylvania Legislature adopted the Municipal Pension Plan Funding Standard and Recovery Act (P.L. 1005, No. 205, as amended, 53 P.S. § 895.101 <u>et seq.</u>). The act established mandatory actuarial reporting and funding requirements and a uniform basis for the distribution of state aid to Pennsylvania's public pension plans. Section 402(j) of Act 205 specifically requires the Auditor General, as deemed necessary, to make an audit of every municipality which receives general municipal pension system State aid and of every municipal pension plan and fund in which general municipal pension system State aid is deposited.

Pension plan aid is provided from a 2 percent foreign casualty insurance premium tax, a portion of the foreign fire insurance tax designated for paid firefighters and any investment income earned on the collection of these taxes. Generally, municipal pension plans established prior to December 18, 1984, are eligible for state aid. For municipal pension plans established after that date, the sponsoring municipality must fund the plan for three plan years before it becomes eligible for state aid. In accordance with Act 205, a municipality's annual state aid allocation cannot exceed its actual pension costs.

In addition to Act 205, the Aspinwall Borough Police Pension Plan is also governed by implementing regulations adopted by the Public Employee Retirement Commission published at Title 16, Part IV of the Pennsylvania Code and applicable provisions of various other state statutes including, but not limited to, the following:

Act 600 - Police Pension Fund Act, Act of May 29, 1956 (P.L. 1804, No. 600), as amended, 53 P.S. § 761 et seq.

The Aspinwall Borough Police Pension Plan is a single-employer defined benefit pension plan locally controlled by the provisions of Ordinance No. 1003, as amended, adopted pursuant to Act 600. The plan is also affected by the provisions of collective bargaining agreements between the borough and its police officers.



The Honorable Mayor and Borough Council Aspinwall Borough Allegheny County Pittsburgh, PA 15215

We have conducted a compliance audit of the Aspinwall Borough Police Pension Plan for the period January 1, 2006, to December 31, 2007. The audit was conducted pursuant to authority derived from Section 402(j) of Act 205 and in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* applicable to performance audits issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform our audit to obtain sufficient, appropriate evidence to provide a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions based on our audit objectives. We believe that the evidence obtained provides a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions based on our audit objectives.

The objectives of the audit were:

- 1. To determine if municipal officials took appropriate corrective action to address the findings contained in our prior audit report; and
- 2. To determine if the pension plan was administered in compliance with applicable state laws, regulations, contracts, administrative procedures, and local ordinances and policies.

Our audit was limited to the areas related to the objectives identified above. Aspinwall Borough contracted with an independent certified public accounting firm for annual audits of its financial statements prepared in conformity with the accounting practices prescribed or permitted by the Department of Community and Economic Development of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, which are available at the borough's offices. Those financial statements were not audited by us and, accordingly, we express no opinion or other form of assurance on them.

Borough officials are responsible for establishing and maintaining an internal control structure to provide reasonable assurance that the Aspinwall Borough Police Pension Plan is administered in compliance with applicable state laws, regulations, contracts, administrative procedures, and local ordinances and policies. To assist us in planning and performing our audit, we obtained an understanding of the borough's internal control structure as it relates to the borough's compliance with those requirements. Additionally, we tested transactions, assessed official actions, performed analytical procedures and interviewed selected officials to the extent necessary to satisfy the audit objectives.

The results of our tests indicated that, in all significant respects, the Aspinwall Borough Police Pension Plan was administered in compliance with applicable state laws, regulations, contracts, administrative procedures, and local ordinances and policies, except as noted in the following findings further discussed later in this report:

Finding No. 1	<ul> <li>Noncompliance With Prior Audit Recommendation – Pension Benefit Not In Compliance With Act 600 Provisions</li> </ul>
Finding No. 2	<ul> <li>Noncompliance With Prior Audit Recommendation – Improper Reduction Of Members' Contributions</li> </ul>
Finding No. 3	<ul> <li>Noncompliance With Prior Audit Recommendation – Failure To Properly Determine And Fully Pay The Minimum Municipal Obligation Of The Plan</li> </ul>

The accompanying supplementary information is presented for purposes of additional analysis. We did not audit the information and, accordingly, express no form of assurance on it.

The contents of this report were discussed with officials of Aspinwall Borough and, where appropriate, their responses have been included in the report.

January 28, 2009

JACK WAGNER Auditor General

#### ASPINWALL BOROUGH POLICE PENSION PLAN STATUS OF PRIOR FINDINGS

#### Noncompliance With Prior Audit Recommendations

Aspinwall Borough has not complied with the prior audit recommendations concerning the following as further discussed in the Findings and Recommendations section of this report:

- Pension Benefit Not In Compliance With Act 600 Provisions
- · Improper Reduction Of Members' Contributions
- Failure To Properly Determine And Fully Pay The Minimum Municipal Obligation Of The Plan

#### <u>Finding No. 1 – Noncompliance With Prior Audit Recommendation – Pension Benefit Not In</u> Compliance With Act 600 Provisions

<u>Condition</u>: As disclosed in our prior audit report, on April 17, 2002, Act 600 was amended by Act 30, which made significant changes to the statutorily prescribed benefit structure of police pension plans subject to Act 600. Municipal officials have not amended the police pension plan's benefit structure to adopt all of the changes mandated by Act 30. The specific inconsistency is as follows:

<b>Benefit Provision</b>	Governing Document	Act 600 (as amended)
Service-related disability benefit	The service related disability benefit is an amount equal to 50% of the Participant's Salary at the time the disability was incurred. The benefit shall be reduced by 66 2/3% of any benefit received from Social Security and 100% of Worker's Compensation or any other form of benefit received in addition to the Disability Retirement Benefit.	The benefit must be in conformity with a uniform scale and fixed by the plan's governing document at no less than 50% of the member's salary at the time the disability was incurred, reduced by the amount of Social Security disability benefits received for the same injury.

<u>Criteria</u>: A governing document which contains clearly defined and updated benefit provisions is a prerequisite for the consistent, sound administration of retirement benefits. In addition, the police pension plan's benefit structure should be in compliance with Act 600, as amended.

<u>Cause</u>: Plan officials have failed to adopt adequate internal control procedures to ensure compliance with the prior audit recommendation.

<u>Effect</u>: Maintaining a benefit structure which is not in compliance with Act 600 could result in plan members or their beneficiaries receiving incorrect benefit amounts or being denied benefits to which they are statutorily entitled.

#### Finding No. 1 – (Continued)

<u>Recommendation</u>: We again recommend that municipal officials, after consulting with their solicitor, take whatever action is necessary to bring the police pension plan's benefit structure into compliance with Act 600, as amended, at their earliest opportunity to do so.

Management's Response: Municipal officials agreed with the finding without exception.

#### <u>Finding No. 2 – Noncompliance with Prior Audit Recommendation – Improper Reduction Of</u> <u>Members' Contributions</u>

<u>Condition</u>: As disclosed in our prior audit report, the governing body of the municipality failed to pass an ordinance or resolution, on an annual basis, to reduce members' contributions for the years 2001 through 2008.

Criteria: Section 6(c) of Act 600 states, in part:

The governing body of the borough, town, township or regional police department may, on an annual basis, by ordinance or resolution, reduce or eliminate payments into the fund by members.

<u>Cause</u>: Plan officials have failed to adopt adequate internal control procedures to ensure compliance with the prior audit recommendation.

<u>Effect</u>: The borough is not ensuring that members' contributions are reduced annually in accordance with Act 600 requirements.

<u>Recommendation</u>: We again recommend that the borough either pass an ordinance or resolution, annually, to reduce members' contributions in accordance with Act 600, or reinstate the collection of members' contributions in accordance with the rate approved by the borough.

Management's Response: Municipal officials agreed with the finding without exception.

#### <u>Finding No. 3 – Noncompliance With Prior Audit Recommendation – Failure To Properly</u> Determine And Fully Pay The Minimum Obligation Of The Plan

<u>Condition</u>: As disclosed in our prior audit report, plan officials did not fully pay the minimum municipal obligation (MMO) of the police pension plan for the year 2005, and did not properly determine and fully pay the MMO for the year 2004, as required by Act 205. The MMO determined by the borough for 2004 understated payroll by \$46,912. Based upon an estimate prepared by this department, the borough had an unpaid MMO balance of \$5,915 for 2005, and \$3,575 for 2004.

Criteria: With regard to the MMO, Section 302(c) of Act 205 states, in part:

Annually, the chief administrative officer of the pension plan shall determine the minimum obligation of the municipality with respect to the pension plan for the following plan year.

With regard to the payroll estimate used in the preparation of the MMO, the Pennsylvania Code, Title 16, Section 204.1(c)(1) states, in part:

The payroll used in determining the minimum municipal obligation of a pension plan under section 302(c) of the act shall be based on the payroll to be reported on the Internal Revenue Service Form W-2 and shall be calculated as the total payroll for active members of the plan as of the date of the determination, plus the payroll for the same active members of the plan projected to the year's end using the payroll rates in effect as of the date of the determination.

Section 302(d) of Act 205 states, in part:

The minimum obligation of the municipality shall be payable to the pension plan from the revenue of the municipality.

Furthermore, Section 302(e) of Act 205 states, in part:

Any amount of the minimum obligation of the municipality which remains unpaid as of December 31 of the year in which the minimum obligation is due shall be added to the minimum obligation of the municipality for the following year, with interest from January 1 of the year in which the minimum obligation was first due until the date the payment is paid. . . .

#### Finding No. 3 – (Continued)

<u>Cause</u>: Plan officials have failed to adopt adequate internal control procedures to ensure compliance with the prior audit recommendation.

<u>Effect</u>: The proper determination of the plan's MMO ensures plan officials can properly allocate the necessary resources to the pension plan for the upcoming year. The failure to fully pay the MMO could result in the plan not having adequate resources to meet current and future benefit obligations to its members.

Due to the borough's failure to fully pay the 2004 and 2005 MMOs by the December 31, deadline, the borough must add the 2004 and 2005 MMO balances to the current year's MMO and include interest, as required by Act 205.

<u>Recommendation</u>: We again recommend that the borough pay the MMOs due to the police pension plan for the years 2004 and 2005, with interest, in accordance with Section 302(e) of Act 205.

<u>Management's Response</u>: Municipal officials agreed with the finding without exception. On January 21, 2009, the borough deposited \$12,153 to pay the outstanding 2004 and 2005 MMOs.

<u>Auditor's Conclusion</u>: Based on the management response, municipal officials have complied with the finding recommendation.

#### ASPINWALL BOROUGH POLICE PENSION PLAN SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION (UNAUDITED)

#### SCHEDULE OF FUNDING PROGRESS

Historical trend information about the plan is presented herewith as supplementary information. It is intended to help users assess the plan's funding status on a going-concern basis, assess progress made in accumulating assets to pay benefits when due, and make comparisons with other state and local government retirement systems.

The actuarial information is required by Act 205 biennially, except for distressed pension plans, for which annual reporting was required through January 1, 2003. The historical information, beginning as of January 1, 2003, is as follows:

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
						Unfunded
			Unfunded			(Assets in
		Actuarial	(Assets in			Excess of)
		Accrued	Excess of)			Actuarial
	Actuarial	Liability	Actuarial			Accrued
Actuarial	Value of	(AAL) -	Accrued	Funded	Covered	Liability as a %
Valuation	Assets	Entry Age	Liability	Ratio	Payroll	of Payroll
Date	(a)	(b)	(b) - (a)	(a)/(b)	(c)	[(b-a)/(c)]
01-01-03	\$ 1,617,762	\$ 1,502,688	\$ (115,074)	107.7%	\$ 255,932	(45.0%)
01-01-05	1,750,313	1,693,339	(56,974)	103.4%	323,734	(17.6%)
01-01-07	1,765,623	1,830,978	65,355	96.4%	337,127	19.4%

Note: The market values of the plan's assets at 01-01-03, 01-01-05 and 01-01-07, have been adjusted to reflect the smoothing of gains and/or losses over a 5-year averaging period. This method will lower contributions in years of less than expected returns and increase contributions in years of greater than expected returns. The net effect over long periods of time is to have less variance in contribution levels from year to year.

#### ASPINWALL BOROUGH POLICE PENSION PLAN SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION (UNAUDITED)

The comparability of trend information is affected by changes in actuarial assumptions, benefit provisions, actuarial funding methods, accounting policies, and other changes. Those changes usually affect trends in contribution requirements and in ratios that use the actuarial accrued liability as a factor.

Analysis of the dollar amount of the actuarial value of assets, actuarial accrued liability, and unfunded (assets in excess of) actuarial accrued liability in isolation can be misleading. Expressing the actuarial value of assets as a percentage of the actuarial accrued liability (Column 4) provides one indication of the plan's funding status on a going-concern basis. Analysis of this percentage, over time, indicates whether the system is becoming financially stronger or weaker. Generally, the greater this percentage, the stronger the plan.

Trends in unfunded (assets in excess of) actuarial accrued liability and annual covered payroll are both affected by inflation. Expressing the unfunded (assets in excess of) actuarial accrued liability as a percentage of annual covered payroll (Column 6) approximately adjusts for the effects of inflation and aids analysis of the plan's progress made in accumulating sufficient assets to pay benefits when due. Generally, where there is an unfunded actuarial accrued liability, the smaller this percentage, the stronger the plan. However, when assets are in excess of the actuarial accrued liability, the higher the bracketed percentage, the stronger the plan.

## ASPINWALL BOROUGH POLICE PENSION PLAN SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION (UNAUDITED)

### SCHEDULE OF CONTRIBUTIONS FROM EMPLOYER AND OTHER CONTRIBUTING ENTITIES

Year Ended December 31	Annual Required Contribution	Percentage Contributed
2002	None	N/A
2003	None	N/A
2004	\$ 24,417	100.0%
2005	34,151	100.0%
2006	34,093	100.0%
2007	33,800	100.0%

### ASPINWALL BOROUGH POLICE PENSION PLAN SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION NOTES TO SUPPLEMENTARY SCHEDULES (UNAUDITED)

The information presented in the required supplementary schedules was determined as part of the actuarial valuation at the date indicated. Additional information as of the latest actuarial valuation date follows:

Actuarial valuation date	January 1, 2007
Actuarial cost method	Entry age normal
Amortization method	Level dollar
Remaining amortization period	9 years
Asset valuation method	Fair value, 5-year smoothing
Actuarial assumptions:	
Investment rate of return	7.5%
Projected salary increases	4.5%
Cost-of-living adjustments	3.0%



#### ASPINWALL BOROUGH POLICE PENSION PLAN REPORT DISTRIBUTION LIST

This report was initially distributed to the following:

The Honorable Edward G. Rendell Governor Commonwealth of Pennsylvania

Aspinwall Borough Police Pension Plan Allegheny County 217 Commercial Avenue Pittsburgh, PA 15215

The Honorable Bernard F. Killian

Mr. Joseph D. Noro

**Council President** 

Mayor

Mr. Edward J. Warchol

Borough Manager

This report is a matter of public record. Copies of this report may be obtained from the Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General, Office of Communications, Room 318 Finance Building, Harrisburg, PA 17120. If you have any questions regarding this report or any other matter, you may contact the Department of the Auditor General by accessing our website at www.auditorgen.state.pa.us.