



BERKS COUNTY

COMPLIANCE AUDIT REPORT

FOR THE PERIOD

JANUARY 1, 2010, TO DECEMBER 31, 2011

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA

EUGENE A. DEPASQUALE - AUDITOR GENERAL

DEPARTMENT OF THE AUDITOR GENERAL





BIRDSBORO BOROUGH POLICE PENSION PLAN

BERKS COUNTY

COMPLIANCE AUDIT REPORT

FOR THE PERIOD

JANUARY 1, 2010, TO DECEMBER 31, 2011

CONTENTS

Page

Background	1
Letter from the Auditor General	2
Status of Prior Finding	5
Finding and Recommendation:	
Finding – Noncompliance With Prior Audit Recommendation – Inconsistent Members' Contribution Provisions	6
Supplementary Information	8
Report Distribution List	.12

BACKGROUND

On December 18, 1984, the Pennsylvania Legislature adopted the Municipal Pension Plan Funding Standard and Recovery Act (P.L. 1005, No. 205, as amended, 53 P.S. § 895.101 <u>et seq</u>.). The act established mandatory actuarial reporting and funding requirements and a uniform basis for the distribution of state aid to Pennsylvania's public pension plans. Section 402(j) of Act 205 specifically requires the Auditor General, as deemed necessary, to make an audit of every municipality which receives general municipal pension system State aid and of every municipal pension plan and fund in which general municipal pension system State aid is deposited.

Pension plan aid is provided from a 2 percent foreign casualty insurance premium tax, a portion of the foreign fire insurance tax designated for paid firefighters and any investment income earned on the collection of these taxes. Generally, municipal pension plans established prior to December 18, 1984, are eligible for state aid. For municipal pension plans established after that date, the sponsoring municipality must fund the plan for three plan years before it becomes eligible for state aid. In accordance with Act 205, a municipality's annual state aid allocation cannot exceed its actual pension costs.

In addition to Act 205, the Birdsboro Borough Police Pension Plan is also governed by implementing regulations adopted by the Public Employee Retirement Commission published at Title 16, Part IV of the Pennsylvania Code and applicable provisions of various other state statutes including, but not limited to, the following:

Act 600 - Police Pension Fund Act, Act of May 29, 1956 (P.L. 1804, No. 600), as amended, 53 P.S. § 761 et seq.

The Birdsboro Borough Police Pension Plan is a single-employer defined benefit pension plan locally controlled by the provisions of Ordinance No. 10-421, as amended, adopted pursuant to Act 600. The plan is also affected by the provisions of collective bargaining agreements between the borough and its police officers.



Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General Harrisburg, PA 17120-0018 Facebook: Pennsylvania Auditor General Twitter: @PAAuditorGen

EUGENE A. DEPASQUALE AUDITOR GENERAL

The Honorable Mayor and Borough Council Birdsboro Borough Berks County Birdsboro, PA 19508

We have conducted a compliance audit of the Birdsboro Borough Police Pension Plan for the period January 1, 2010, to December 31, 2011. The audit was conducted pursuant to authority derived from Section 402(j) of Act 205 and in accordance with the standards applicable to performance audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform our audit to obtain sufficient, appropriate evidence to provide a reasonable basis for our finding and conclusions based on our audit objectives. We believe that the evidence obtained provides a reasonable basis for our finding and conclusions based on our audit objectives.

The objectives of the audit were:

- 1. To determine if municipal officials took appropriate corrective action to address the finding contained in our prior audit report; and
- 2. To determine if the pension plan was administered in compliance with applicable state laws, regulations, contracts, administrative procedures, and local ordinances and policies.

Our audit was limited to the areas related to the objectives identified above. Our methodology addressed determinations about the following:

- Whether state aid was properly determined and deposited in accordance with Act 205 requirements.
- Whether employer contributions are determined and deposited in accordance with the plan's governing document and applicable laws and regulations.
- Whether employee contributions are required and, if so, are determined, deducted and deposited into the pension plan and are in accordance with the plan provisions and applicable laws and regulations.

- Whether benefit payments, if any, represent payments to all (and only) those entitled to receive them and are properly determined in accordance with applicable laws and regulations.
- Whether obligations for plan benefits are accurately determined in accordance with plan provisions and based on complete and accurate participant data; and whether actuarial valuation reports are prepared and submitted to the Public Employee Retirement Commission (PERC) in accordance with state law and selected information provided on these reports is accurate, complete and in accordance with plan provisions to ensure compliance for participation in the state aid program.
- Whether benefit payments have only been made to living recipients, based on the Social Security numbers found in the pension records for retirees and beneficiaries.
- Whether Deferred Retirement Option Plan (DROP) participants' benefit payments are properly determined in accordance with the provisions of the DROP and any other applicable laws and regulations.

Borough officials are responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal controls to provide reasonable assurance that the Birdsboro Borough Police Pension Plan is administered in compliance with applicable state laws, regulations, contracts, administrative procedures, and local ordinances and policies. In conducting our audit, we obtained an understanding of the borough's internal controls as they relate to the borough's compliance with those requirements and that we considered to be significant within the context of our audit objectives, and assessed whether those significant controls were properly designed and implemented. Additionally, we tested transactions, assessed official actions, performed analytical procedures and interviewed selected officials to provide reasonable assurance of detecting instances of noncompliance with legal and regulatory requirements or noncompliance with provisions of contracts, administrative procedures, and local ordinances and policies that are significant within the context of the audit objectives.

The results of our tests indicated that, in all significant respects, the Birdsboro Borough Police Pension Plan was administered in compliance with applicable state laws, regulations, contracts, administrative procedures, and local ordinances and policies, except as noted in the following finding further discussed later in this report:

> Finding – Noncompliance With Prior Audit Recommendation – Inconsistent Members' Contribution Provisions

The contents of this report were discussed with officials of Birdsboro Borough and, where appropriate, their responses have been included in the report.

Eugent: O-Pusper

April 5, 2013

EUGENE A. DEPASQUALE Auditor General

BIRDSBORO BOROUGH POLICE PENSION PLAN STATUS OF PRIOR FINDING

Noncompliance With Prior Audit Recommendation

Birdsboro Borough has not complied with the prior audit recommendation concerning the following as further discussed in the Finding and Recommendation section of this report:

· Inconsistent Members' Contribution Provisions

BIRDSBORO BOROUGH POLICE PENSION PLAN FINDING AND RECOMMENDATION

<u>Finding - Noncompliance With Prior Audit Recommendation – Inconsistent Members'</u> <u>Contribution Provisions</u>

<u>Condition</u>: As disclosed in the prior audit report, the collective bargaining agreement (CBA) between the police officers and the borough for the period January 1, 2007, through December 31, 2011, contained a members' contribution provision that conflicts with the pension plan's governing document and Act 600. The current collective bargaining agreement for the period January 1, 2012, through December 31, 2014, also contains this conflicting provision, as noted below:

СВА	Governing Document	Act 600
Neither the police officer nor the borough shall contribute to the police pension fund until such time as an actuarial study shall deem such contribution to be necessary. In the event that such study indicates that contributions are necessary, the CBA for the period 2007-2011 prescribes officers to contribute 2.75% of wages to the fund and the CBA for the period 2012-2014 prescribes officers to contribute 1% in 2012 and 2.75% in 2013 and 2014. The borough shall contribute 2.25% of such officer's wages.	Police Officers shall contribute 5% of their monthly compensation.	Members shall pay into the fund, monthly, not less than 5% nor more than 8% of monthly compensation. The governing body of the municipality may, on an annual basis, by ordinance or resolution, reduce or eliminate payments into the fund by members.

During the current audit period, plan members contributed 2.75% of wages to the plan.

<u>Criteria</u>: The plan's governing document and the CBA should contain consistent member contribution provisions which are in compliance with Act 600. If the municipality determines that member contributions can be reduced or eliminated, the borough should formalize their intent through the adoption of annual ordinances or resolutions in accordance with Act 600 provisions.

<u>Cause</u>: Municipal officials failed to establish adequate internal control procedures to ensure compliance with the prior audit recommendation.

BIRDSBORO BOROUGH POLICE PENSION PLAN FINDING AND RECOMMENDATION

Finding – (Continued)

<u>Effect</u>: The inconsistent member contribution provisions could result in inconsistent or improper funding of the pension plan.

<u>Recommendation</u>: We again recommend that municipal officials ensure that the plan's governing document and the CBA contain consistent member contribution provisions which are in compliance with Act 600 at their earliest opportunity to do so.

We also recommend that if the municipality determines that member contributions can be reduced or eliminated, the borough should formalize their intent through the adoption of annual ordinances or resolutions in accordance with Act 600 provisions.

<u>Management's Response</u>: Municipal officials agreed with the finding without exception. When the current collective bargaining agreement expires in 2014, municipal officials intend to eliminate inconsistencies with the plan's governing document and Act 600.

Auditor's Conclusion: Compliance will be evaluated during our next audit of the plan.

BIRDSBORO BOROUGH POLICE PENSION PLAN SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION (UNAUDITED)

SCHEDULE OF FUNDING PROGRESS

Historical trend information about the plan is presented herewith as supplementary information. It is intended to help users assess the plan's funding status on a going-concern basis, assess progress made in accumulating assets to pay benefits when due, and make comparisons with other state and local government retirement systems.

The actuarial information is required by Act 205 biennially. The historical information, beginning as of January 1, 2007, is as follows:

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
						Unfunded
			Unfunded			(Assets in
		Actuarial	(Assets in			Excess of)
		Accrued	Excess of)			Actuarial
	Actuarial	Liability	Actuarial			Accrued
Actuarial	Value of	(AAL) -	Accrued	Funded	Covered	Liability as a %
Valuation	Assets	Entry Age	Liability	Ratio	Payroll	of Payroll
Date	(a)	(b)	(b) - (a)	(a)/(b)	(c)	[(b-a)/(c)]
01-01-07	\$ 1,914,197	\$ 1,343,400	\$ (570,797)	142.5%	\$ 457,156	(124.9%)
01-01-09	1,554,561	1,545,181	(9,380)	100.6%	488,103	(1.9%)
01-01-11	2,103,800	1,651,034	(452,766)	127.4%	518,959	(87.2%)

BIRDSBORO BOROUGH POLICE PENSION PLAN SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION (UNAUDITED)

The comparability of trend information is affected by changes in actuarial assumptions, benefit provisions, actuarial funding methods, accounting policies, and other changes. Those changes usually affect trends in contribution requirements and in ratios that use the actuarial accrued liability as a factor.

Analysis of the dollar amount of the actuarial value of assets, actuarial accrued liability, and unfunded (assets in excess of) actuarial accrued liability in isolation can be misleading. Expressing the actuarial value of assets as a percentage of the actuarial accrued liability (Column 4) provides one indication of the plan's funding status on a going-concern basis. Analysis of this percentage, over time, indicates whether the system is becoming financially stronger or weaker. Generally, the greater this percentage, the stronger the plan.

Trends in unfunded (assets in excess of) actuarial accrued liability and annual covered payroll are both affected by inflation. Expressing the unfunded (assets in excess of) actuarial accrued liability as a percentage of annual covered payroll (Column 6) approximately adjusts for the effects of inflation and aids analysis of the plan's progress made in accumulating sufficient assets to pay benefits when due. Generally, where there is an unfunded actuarial accrued liability, the smaller this percentage, the stronger the plan. However, when assets are in excess of the actuarial accrued liability, the higher the bracketed percentage, the stronger the plan.

BIRDSBORO BOROUGH POLICE PENSION PLAN SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION (UNAUDITED)

SCHEDULE OF CONTRIBUTIONS FROM EMPLOYER AND OTHER CONTRIBUTING ENTITIES

Year Ended December 31	Annual Required Contribution	Percentage Contributed
2006	\$ 12,751	100.0%
2007	18,858	100.0%
2008	18,888	100.0%
2009	8,349	105.3%
2010	67,474	116.3%
2011	49,758	117.2%

BIRDSBORO BOROUGH POLICE PENSION PLAN SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION NOTES TO SUPPLEMENTARY SCHEDULES (UNAUDITED)

The information presented in the required supplementary schedules was determined as part of the actuarial valuation at the date indicated. Additional information as of the latest actuarial valuation date follows:

Actuarial valuation date	January 1, 2011
Actuarial cost method	Entry age normal
Amortization method	N/A
Remaining amortization period	N/A
Asset valuation method	Market value
Actuarial assumptions:	
Investment rate of return *	8.0%
Projected salary increases *	5.0%
* Includes inflation at	Not disclosed
Cost-of-living adjustments	Not to exceed Act 600 limitations at the discretion of borough council.

BIRDSBORO BOROUGH POLICE PENSION PLAN REPORT DISTRIBUTION LIST

This report was initially distributed to the following:

The Honorable Tom Corbett Governor Commonwealth of Pennsylvania

Birdsboro Borough Police Pension Plan Berks County 202 East Main Street Birdsboro, PA 19508

The Honorable Robert M. MyersMayorMr. Kenneth TemplinCouncil PresidentMr. Aaron DursoBorough Manager

This report is a matter of public record and is available online at <u>www.auditorgen.state.pa.us</u>. Media questions about the report can be directed to the Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General, Office of Communications, 231 Finance Building, Harrisburg, PA 17120; via email to: news@auditorgen.state.pa.us.