### CITY OF DUQUESNE COMPREHENSIVE MUNICIPAL PENSION TRUST FUND

### **ALLEGHENY COUNTY**

**COMPLIANCE AUDIT REPORT** 

FOR THE PERIOD

**JANUARY 1, 2009, TO DECEMBER 31, 2010** 



### CITY OF DUQUESNE COMPREHENSIVE MUNICIPAL PENSION TRUST FUND

### **ALLEGHENY COUNTY**

**COMPLIANCE AUDIT REPORT** 

FOR THE PERIOD

**JANUARY 1, 2009, TO DECEMBER 31, 2010** 

### **CONTENTS**

$\underline{Pay}$	<u>ge</u>
Background	.1
Letter from the Auditor General	.3
Status of Prior Findings	.5
Finding and Recommendation:	
Finding – Noncompliance With Prior Audit Recommendation – Incorrect Data On Certification Form AG 385 Resulting In An Underpayment Of State Aid	.6
Supplementary Information	.7
Report Distribution List	21

#### **BACKGROUND**

On December 18, 1984, the Pennsylvania Legislature adopted the Municipal Pension Plan Funding Standard and Recovery Act (P.L. 1005, No. 205, as amended, 53 P.S. § 895.101 et seq.). The act established mandatory actuarial reporting and funding requirements and a uniform basis for the distribution of state aid to Pennsylvania's public pension plans. Section 402(j) of Act 205 specifically requires the Auditor General, as deemed necessary, to make an audit of every municipality which receives general municipal pension system State aid and of every municipal pension plan and fund in which general municipal pension system State aid is deposited.

Pension plan aid is provided from a 2 percent foreign casualty insurance premium tax, a portion of the foreign fire insurance tax designated for paid firefighters and any investment income earned on the collection of these taxes. Generally, municipal pension plans established prior to December 18, 1984, are eligible for state aid. For municipal pension plans established after that date, the sponsoring municipality must fund the plan for three plan years before it becomes eligible for state aid. In accordance with Act 205, a municipality's annual state aid allocation cannot exceed its actual pension costs.

In addition to Act 205, the City of Duquesne Comprehensive Municipal Pension Trust Fund is also governed by implementing regulations adopted by the Public Employee Retirement Commission published at Title 16, Part IV of the Pennsylvania Code and applicable provisions of various other state statutes including, but not limited to, the following:

- Act 147 Special Ad Hoc Municipal Police and Firefighter Postretirement Adjustment Act, Act of December 14, 1988 (P.L. 1192, No. 147), as amended, 53 P.S. § 896.101 et seq.
- Act 317 The Third Class City Code, Act of June 23, 1931 (P.L. 932, No. 317), as amended, 53 P.S. § 35101 et seq.
- Act 362 The Third Class City Code, Act of May 23, 1945 (P.L. 903, No. 362), Article XLIII-A, Optional Retirement System for Officers and Employes, as amended, 53 P.S. § 39371 et seq.

The City of Duquesne Comprehensive Municipal Pension Trust Fund acts as a common investment and administrative agent for the city's police, new uniformed employees', firemen's and officers' and employees' defined benefit pension plans. The police pension plan is locally controlled by the provisions of Ordinance No. 3 of 2003, as amended, the new uniformed employees' pension plan by Ordinance No. 6 of 1987, as amended, the firemen's pension plan by Ordinance No. 4 of 2003, as amended, all adopted pursuant to Act 317, and the officers' and employees' pension plan by Ordinance No. 5 of 2003, as amended, adopted pursuant to Act 362. The fund is also affected by the provisions of collective bargaining agreements between the city and its police officers, firefighters and nonuniformed employees.



The Honorable Mayor and City Council City of Duquesne Allegheny County Duquesne, PA 15110

We have conducted a compliance audit of the City of Duquesne Comprehensive Municipal Pension Trust Fund for the period January 1, 2009, to December 31, 2010. The audit was conducted pursuant to authority derived from Section 402(j) of Act 205 and in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* applicable to performance audits issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform our audit to obtain sufficient, appropriate evidence to provide a reasonable basis for our finding and conclusions based on our audit objectives. We believe that the evidence obtained provides a reasonable basis for our finding and conclusions based on our audit objectives.

### The objectives of the audit were:

- 1. To determine if municipal officials took appropriate corrective action to address the findings contained in our prior audit report; and
- 2. To determine if the pension trust fund was administered in compliance with applicable state laws, regulations, contracts, administrative procedures, and local ordinances and policies.

Our audit was limited to the areas related to the objectives identified above. The City of Duquesne contracted with an independent certified public accounting firm for annual audits of its basic financial statements which are available at the city's offices. Those financial statements were not audited by us and, accordingly, we express no opinion or other form of assurance on them.

City officials are responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal controls to provide reasonable assurance that the City of Duquesne Comprehensive Municipal Pension Trust Fund is administered in compliance with applicable state laws, regulations, contracts, administrative procedures, and local ordinances and policies. In conducting our audit, we obtained an understanding of the city's internal controls as they relate to the city's compliance with those requirements and that we considered to be significant within the context of our audit objectives, and assessed whether those significant controls were properly designed and implemented. Additionally, we tested transactions, assessed official actions, performed analytical procedures and interviewed selected officials to the extent necessary to satisfy the audit objectives.

The results of our tests indicated that, in all significant respects, the City of Duquesne Comprehensive Municipal Pension Trust Fund was administered in compliance with applicable state laws, regulations, contracts, administrative procedures, and local ordinances and policies, except as noted in the following finding further discussed later in this report:

Finding - Noncompliance With Prior Audit Recommendation - Incorrect Data On Certification Form AG 385 Resulting In An Underpayment Of State Aid

The accompanying supplementary information is presented for purposes of additional analysis. We did not audit the information and, accordingly, express no form of assurance on it.

The contents of this report were discussed with officials of the City of Duquesne and, where appropriate, their responses have been included in the report.

August 25, 2011

JACK WAGNER Auditor General

### CITY OF DUQUESNE COMPREHENSIVE MUNICIPAL PENSION TRUST FUND STATUS OF PRIOR FINDINGS

### Compliance With Prior Audit Recommendation

The City of Duquesne has complied with the prior audit recommendation concerning the following:

Incorrect Data On Certification Form AG 490 Resulting In Excess Reimbursements By The Commonwealth For Special 1989 Ad Hoc Adjustments

The city reimbursed \$4,200 to the Commonwealth for the excess reimbursements of the 2008 and 2009 special 1989 ad hoc adjustments.

### Noncompliance With Prior Audit Recommendation

The City of Duquesne has not complied with the prior audit recommendation concerning the following as further discussed in the Finding and Recommendation section of this report:

· Incorrect Data On Certification Form AG 385 Resulting In An Underpayment Of State Aid

### CITY OF DUQUESNE COMPREHENSIVE MUNICIPAL PENSION TRUST FUND FINDING AND RECOMMENDATION

### <u>Finding – Noncompliance With Prior Audit Recommendation – Incorrect Data On Certification</u> Form AG 385 Resulting In An Underpayment Of State Aid

<u>Condition</u>: As disclosed in the prior audit report, the city failed to certify 1 eligible nonuniformed employee (1 unit) in 2008 and 2 eligible nonuniformed employees (2 units) in 2009 on Certification Form AG 385, resulting in a \$9,442 underpayment of state aid which was subsequently reimbursed to the city.

During the current audit, it was determined that the city failed to certify 1 eligible police officer (2 units) and 1 eligible nonuniformed employee (1 unit) in 2010 on Certification Form AG 385.

<u>Criteria</u>: Pursuant to Act 205, at Section 402(e)(2), an employee who has been employed on a full-time basis for at least six consecutive months and has been participating in a pension plan during the certification year is eligible for certification.

<u>Cause</u>: Plan officials failed to establish adequate internal control procedures to ensure the accuracy of the data certified to ensure compliance with the prior audit recommendation.

<u>Effect</u>: The data submitted on this certification form is used, in part, to calculate the state aid due to the city for distribution to its pension plans. Because the city's state aid allocation was based on unit value, the city received an underpayment of state aid of \$9,705 as identified below:

Type Of Plan	Units Understated		Unit Value		tate Aid erpayment
New Uniformed Employees'	2	\$	3,235	\$	6,470
Officers' and Employees'	1	\$	3,235	\$	3,235
Total Underpayment of State Aid					9,705

Although the city will be reimbursed for the underpayment of state aid due to the city's certification errors, the full amount of the 2010 state aid allocation was not available to be deposited timely and therefore was not available to pay operating expenses or for investment.

<u>Recommendation</u>: We again recommend that plan officials establish adequate internal control procedures to ensure compliance with the instructions that accompany Certification Form AG 385 to assist them in accurately reporting the required pension data.

Management's Response: Municipal officials agreed with the finding without exception.

#### SCHEDULES OF FUNDING PROGRESS

Historical trend information about the plan is presented herewith as supplementary information. It is intended to help users assess the plan's funding status on a going-concern basis, assess progress made in accumulating assets to pay benefits when due, and make comparisons with other state and local government retirement systems.

The actuarial information is required by Act 205 biennially. The historical information, beginning as of January 1, 2005, is as follows:

#### POLICE PENSION PLAN

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
						Unfunded
			Unfunded			(Assets in
		Actuarial	(Assets in			Excess of)
		Accrued	Excess of)			Actuarial
	Actuarial	Liability	Actuarial			Accrued
Actuarial	Value of	(AAL) -	Accrued	Funded	Covered	Liability as a %
Valuation	Assets	Entry Age	Liability	Ratio	Payroll	of Payroll
Date	(a)	(b)	(b) - (a)	(a)/(b)	(c)	[(b-a)/(c)]
01-01-05	\$ 3,985,915	\$ 3,452,753	\$ (533,162)	115.4%	\$ 99,929	(533.5%)
01-01-07	3,691,016	3,218,997	(472,019)	114.7%	101,204	(466.4%)
01-01-09	3,150,912	3,077,753	(73,159)	102.4%	54,259	(134.8%)

Note: The market value of the plan's assets at 01-01-09 has been adjusted to reflect the smoothing of gains and/or losses over a 4-year averaging period. This method will lower contributions in years of less than expected returns and increase contributions in years of greater than expected returns. The net effect over long periods of time is to have less variance in contribution levels from year to year.

### SCHEDULES OF FUNDING PROGRESS – (Continued)

### NEW UNIFORMED EMPLOYEES' PENSION PLAN

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
						Unfunded
			Unfunded			(Assets in
		Actuarial	(Assets in			Excess of)
		Accrued	Excess of)			Actuarial
	Actuarial	Liability	Actuarial			Accrued
Actuarial	Value of	(AAL) -	Accrued	Funded	Covered	Liability as a %
Valuation	Assets	Entry Age	Liability	Ratio	Payroll	of Payroll
Date	(a)	(b)	(b) - (a)	(a)/(b)	(c)	[(b-a)/(c)]
01-01-05	\$ 578,099	\$ 985,432	\$ 407,333	58.7%	\$ 531,058	76.7%
01-01-07	785,545	1,071,296	285,751	73.3%	555,046	51.5%
01-01-09	888,988	1,200,534	311,546	74.0%	692,413	45.0%

Note: The market values of the plan's assets at 01-01-05, 01-01-07 and 01-01-09, have been adjusted to reflect the smoothing of gains and/or losses over a 4-year averaging period. This method will lower contributions in years of less than expected returns and increase contributions in years of greater than expected returns. The net effect over long periods of time is to have less variance in contribution levels from year to year.

### SCHEDULES OF FUNDING PROGRESS – (Continued)

### FIREMEN'S PENSION PLAN

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
						Unfunded
			Unfunded			(Assets in
		Actuarial	(Assets in			Excess of)
		Accrued	Excess of)			Actuarial
	Actuarial	Liability	Actuarial			Accrued
Actuarial	Value of	(AAL) -	Accrued	Funded	Covered	Liability as a %
Valuation	Assets	Entry Age	Liability	Ratio	Payroll	of Payroll
Date	(a)	(b)	(b) - (a)	(a)/(b)	(c)	[(b-a)/(c)]
01-01-05	\$ 2,670,879	\$ 2,176,922	\$ (493,957)	122.7%	\$ 41,621	(1,186.8%)
01-01-07	2,436,933	2,056,782	(380,151)	118.5%	41,677	(912.1%)
01-01-09	2,034,572	1,947,211	(87,361)	104.5%	44,543	(196.1%)

Note: The market value of the plan's assets at 01-01-09 has been adjusted to reflect the smoothing of gains and/or losses over a 4-year averaging period. This method will lower contributions in years of less than expected returns and increase contributions in years of greater than expected returns. The net effect over long periods of time is to have less variance in contribution levels from year to year.

### SCHEDULES OF FUNDING PROGRESS – (Continued)

### OFFICERS' AND EMPLOYEES' PENSION PLAN

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
						Unfunded
			Unfunded			(Assets in
		Actuarial	(Assets in			Excess of)
		Accrued	Excess of)			Actuarial
	Actuarial	Liability	Actuarial			Accrued
Actuarial	Value of	(AAL) -	Accrued	Funded	Covered	Liability as a %
Valuation	Assets	Entry Age	Liability	Ratio	Payroll	of Payroll
Date	(a)	(b)	(b) - (a)	(a)/(b)	(c)	[(b-a)/(c)]
01-01-05	\$ 2,608,487	\$ 2,961,870	\$ 353,383	88.1%	\$ 652,112	54.2%
01-01-07	2,810,635	3,027,253	216,618	92.8%	667,102	32.5%
01-01-09	2,638,566	3,098,893	460,327	85.1%	688,743	66.8%

Note: The market values of the plan's assets at 01-01-05, 01-01-07 and 01-01-09, have been adjusted to reflect the smoothing of gains and/or losses over a 4-year averaging period. This method will lower contributions in years of less than expected returns and increase contributions in years of greater than expected returns. The net effect over long periods of time is to have less variance in contribution levels from year to year.

The comparability of trend information is affected by changes in actuarial assumptions, benefit provisions, actuarial funding methods, accounting policies, and other changes. Those changes usually affect trends in contribution requirements and in ratios that use the actuarial accrued liability as a factor.

Analysis of the dollar amount of the actuarial value of assets, actuarial accrued liability, and unfunded (assets in excess of) actuarial accrued liability in isolation can be misleading. Expressing the actuarial value of assets as a percentage of the actuarial accrued liability (Column 4) provides one indication of the plan's funding status on a going-concern basis. Analysis of this percentage, over time, indicates whether the system is becoming financially stronger or weaker. Generally, the greater this percentage, the stronger the plan.

Trends in unfunded (assets in excess of) actuarial accrued liability and annual covered payroll are both affected by inflation. Expressing the unfunded (assets in excess of) actuarial accrued liability as a percentage of annual covered payroll (Column 6) approximately adjusts for the effects of inflation and aids analysis of the plan's progress made in accumulating sufficient assets to pay benefits when due. Generally, where there is an unfunded actuarial accrued liability, the smaller this percentage, the stronger the plan. However, when assets are in excess of the actuarial accrued liability, the higher the bracketed percentage, the stronger the plan.

### SCHEDULES OF CONTRIBUTIONS FROM EMPLOYER AND OTHER CONTRIBUTING ENTITIES

### POLICE PENSION PLAN

Year Ended December 31	Annual Required Contribution	Percentage Contributed
2005	None	N/A
2006	None	N/A
2007	None	N/A
2008	None	N/A
2009	None	N/A
2010	None	N/A

### SCHEDULES OF CONTRIBUTIONS FROM EMPLOYER AND OTHER CONTRIBUTING ENTITIES

### NEW UNIFORMED EMPLOYEES' PENSION PLAN

Year Ended December 31	Annual Required Contribution	Percentage Contributed
2005	\$ 64,729	145.7%
2006	80,536	100.0%
2007	81,133	100.0%
2008	85,449	100.0%
2009	65,371	114.5%
2010	81,743	100.0%

### SCHEDULES OF CONTRIBUTIONS FROM EMPLOYER AND OTHER CONTRIBUTING ENTITIES

### FIREMEN'S PENSION PLAN

Year Ended December 31	Annual Required Contribution	Percentage Contributed
2005	None	N/A
2006	None	N/A
2007	None	N/A
2008	None	N/A
2009	None	N/A
2010	None	N/A

### SCHEDULES OF CONTRIBUTIONS FROM EMPLOYER AND OTHER CONTRIBUTING ENTITIES

### OFFICERS' AND EMPLOYEES' PENSION PLAN

Year Ended December 31	Annual Required Contribution	Percentage Contributed
2005	\$ 52,445	100.0%
2006	77,434	100.0%
2007	75,298	100.0%
2008	79,619	100.0%
2009	78,400	100.0%
2010	76,741	100.0%

#### **POLICE PENSION PLAN**

The information presented in the required supplementary schedules was determined as part of the actuarial valuation at the date indicated. Additional information as of the latest actuarial valuation date follows:

Actuarial valuation date January 1, 2009

Actuarial cost method Entry age normal

Amortization method N/A

Remaining amortization period N/A

Asset valuation method Fair value, 4-year smoothing

Actuarial assumptions:

Investment rate of return \* 8.0%

Projected salary increases \* 5.0%

### NEW UNIFORMED EMPLOYEES' PENSION PLAN

Actuarial valuation date January 1, 2009

Actuarial cost method Entry age normal

Amortization method Level dollar, closed

Remaining amortization period 12 years

Asset valuation method Fair value, 4-year smoothing

Actuarial assumptions:

Investment rate of return \* 8.0%

Projected salary increases \* 5.0%

### FIREMEN'S PENSION PLAN

Actuarial valuation date January 1, 2009

Actuarial cost method Entry age normal

Amortization method N/A

Remaining amortization period N/A

Asset valuation method Fair value, 4-year smoothing

Actuarial assumptions:

Investment rate of return \* 8.0%

Projected salary increases \* 5.0%

### OFFICERS' AND EMPLOYEES' PENSION PLAN

Actuarial valuation date January 1, 2009

Actuarial cost method Entry age normal

Amortization method Level dollar, closed

Remaining amortization period 21 years

Asset valuation method Fair value, 4-year smoothing

Actuarial assumptions:

Investment rate of return \* 8.0%

Projected salary increases \* 5.0%



### CITY OF DUQUESNE COMPREHENSIVE MUNICIPAL PENSION TRUST FUND REPORT DISTRIBUTION LIST

This report was initially distributed to the following:

The Honorable Tom Corbett Governor Commonwealth of Pennsylvania

City of Duquesne Comprehensive Municipal Pension Trust Fund Allegheny County 12 South Second Street Duquesne, PA 15110

The Honorable Phillip T. Krivacek Mayor

Mr. Frank Piccolino, III City Manager

Ms. Rosemary Denne Treasurer

This report is a matter of public record. Copies of this report may be obtained from the Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General, Office of Communications, Room 318 Finance Building, Harrisburg, PA 17120. If you have any questions regarding this report or any other matter, you may contact the Department of the Auditor General by accessing our website at www.auditorgen.state.pa.us.