

## EASTERN ADAMS REGIONAL POLICE PENSION PLAN

ADAMS COUNTY

**COMPLIANCE AUDIT REPORT** 

FOR THE PERIOD

JANUARY 1, 2010, TO DECEMBER 31, 2012

## COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA

# **EUGENE A. DEPASQUALE - AUDITOR GENERAL**

## DEPARTMENT OF THE AUDITOR GENERAL





Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General Harrisburg, PA 17120-0018 Facebook: Pennsylvania Auditor General Twitter: @PAAuditorGen

EUGENE A. DEPASQUALE AUDITOR GENERAL

Eastern Adams Regional Police Commission Adams County New Oxford, PA 17350

We have conducted a compliance audit of the Eastern Adams Regional Police Pension Plan for the period January 1, 2010, to December 31, 2012. The audit was conducted pursuant to authority derived from Section 402(j) of Act 205 and in accordance with the standards applicable to performance audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform our audit to obtain sufficient, appropriate evidence to provide a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions based on our audit objective. We believe that the evidence obtained provides a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions based on our audit objective.

The objective of the audit was to determine if the pension plan was administered in compliance with applicable state laws, regulations, contracts, administrative procedures, and local ordinances and policies.

Our audit was limited to the areas related to the objective identified above. Our methodology addressed determinations about the following:

- Whether state aid was properly determined and deposited in accordance with Act 205 requirements.
- Whether employer contributions are determined and deposited in accordance with the plan's governing document and applicable laws and regulations.
- Whether employee contributions are required and, if so, are determined, deducted and deposited into the pension plan and are in accordance with the plan provisions and applicable laws and regulations.
- Whether benefit payments, if any, represent payments to all (and only) those entitled to receive them and are properly determined in accordance with applicable laws and regulations.

- Whether obligations for plan benefits are accurately determined in accordance with plan provisions and based on complete and accurate participant data; and whether actuarial valuation reports are prepared and submitted to the Public Employee Retirement Commission (PERC) in accordance with state law and selected information provided on these reports is accurate, complete and in accordance with plan provisions to ensure compliance for participation in the state aid program.
- Whether benefit payments have only been made to living recipients, based on the Social Security numbers found in the pension records for retirees and beneficiaries.

Regional police commission officials are responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal controls to provide reasonable assurance that the Eastern Adams Regional Police Pension Plan is administered in compliance with applicable state laws, regulations, contracts, administrative procedures, and local ordinances and policies. In conducting our audit, we obtained an understanding of the regional police commission's internal controls as they relate to the regional police commission's compliance with those requirements and that we considered to be significant within the context of our audit objective, and assessed whether those significant controls were properly designed and implemented. Additionally, we tested transactions, assessed official actions, performed analytical procedures and interviewed selected officials to provide reasonable assurance of detecting instances of noncompliance with legal and regulatory requirements or noncompliance with provisions of contracts, administrative procedures, and local ordinances and policies that are significant within the context of the audit objective.

The results of our tests indicated that, in all significant respects, the Eastern Adams Regional Police Pension Plan was administered in compliance with applicable state laws, regulations, contracts, administrative procedures, and local ordinances and policies, except as noted in the following findings further discussed later in this report:

Finding No. 1 – Incorrect Data On Certification Form AG 385 Resulting In An Underpayment Of State Aid

Finding No. 2 – Pension Benefits Not In Compliance With Act 600 Provisions

The accompanying supplementary information is presented for purposes of additional analysis. We did not audit the information or conclude on it and, accordingly, express no form of assurance on it.

The contents of this report were discussed with officials of the Eastern Adams Regional Police Commission and, where appropriate, their responses have been included in the report. We would like to thank regional police commission officials for the cooperation extended to us during the conduct of the audit.

Eugent: O-Pusper

October 24, 2013

EUGENE A. DEPASQUALE Auditor General

## CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
Background	1
Findings and Recommendations:	
Finding No. 1 – Incorrect Data On Certification Form AG 385 Resulting In An Underpayment Of State Aid	2
Finding No. 2 – Pension Benefits Not In Compliance With Act 600 Provisions	3
Supplementary Information	6
Report Distribution List	10

#### BACKGROUND

On December 18, 1984, the Pennsylvania Legislature adopted the Municipal Pension Plan Funding Standard and Recovery Act (P.L. 1005, No. 205, as amended, 53 P.S. § 895.101 <u>et seq.</u>). The act established mandatory actuarial reporting and funding requirements and a uniform basis for the distribution of state aid to Pennsylvania's public pension plans. Section 402(j) of Act 205 specifically requires the Auditor General, as deemed necessary, to make an audit of every municipality which receives general municipal pension system state aid and of every municipal pension plan and fund in which general municipal pension system state aid is deposited.

Annual state aid allocations are provided from a 2 percent foreign (out-of-state) casualty insurance premium tax, a portion of the foreign (out-of-state) fire insurance tax designated for paid firefighters and any investment income earned on the collection of these taxes. Generally, municipal pension plans established prior to December 18, 1984, are eligible for state aid. For municipal pension plans established after that date, the sponsoring municipality must fund the plan for three plan years before it becomes eligible for state aid. In accordance with Act 205, a municipality's annual state aid allocation cannot exceed its actual pension costs.

In addition to Act 205, the Eastern Adams Regional Police Pension Plan is also governed by implementing regulations adopted by the Public Employee Retirement Commission published at Title 16, Part IV of the Pennsylvania Code and applicable provisions of various other state statutes including, but not limited to, the following:

- Act 177 General Local Government Code, Act of December 19, 1996 (P.L. 1178, No. 177), as amended, 53 Pa.C.S. § 101 <u>et seq</u>.
- Act 600 Police Pension Fund Act, Act of May 29, 1956 (P.L. 1804, No. 600), as amended, 53 P.S. § 761 et seq.

The Eastern Adams Regional Police Pension Plan is a single-employer defined benefit pension plan locally controlled by the provisions of a joint ordinance and agreement for the police pension fund of the regional police department dated December 14, 1993. The plan is also affected by the provisions of collective bargaining agreements between the regional police commission and its police officers. As of December 31, 2012, the plan had 7 active members, 3 terminated members eligible for vested benefits in the future and 2 retirees receiving pension benefits.

## Finding No. 1 - Incorrect Data On Certification Form AG 385 Resulting In An Underpayment Of State Aid

<u>Condition</u>: Regional police commission officials failed to certify 2 eligible police officers (4 units) on the Certification Form AG 385 filed in 2013. The data contained on this certification form is based on prior calendar year information.

<u>Criteria</u>: Pursuant to Act 205, at Section 402(e)(2), an employee who has been employed on a full-time basis for at least six consecutive months and has been participating in a pension plan during the certification year is eligible for certification.

<u>Cause</u>: There was a recent turnover of officials responsible for administering the pension plan and the regional police commission failed to establish adequate internal control procedures to ensure the accuracy of the data certified.

<u>Effect</u>: The data submitted on this certification form is used, in part, to calculate the state aid due to the regional police commission for distribution to its pension plan. Because the regional's state aid allocation was based on unit value, the commission received an underpayment of state aid of \$15,536 as identified below:

Units	Unit	State Aid	
Understated	Value	Understated	
4	\$ 3,884	\$ 15,536	

Although the regional police commission will be reimbursed for the underpayment of state aid due to the certification errors, the full amount of the 2013 state aid allocation was not available to be deposited timely and therefore was not available to pay operating expenses or for investment.

<u>Recommendation</u>: We recommend that in the future, plan officials establish adequate internal control procedures, such as having at least 2 people review the data certified, to ensure compliance with the instructions that accompany Certification Form AG 385 to assist them in accurately reporting the required pension data.

<u>Management's Response</u>: Regional police commission officials agreed with the finding without exception.

#### Finding No. 2 - Pension Benefits Not In Compliance With Act 600 Provisions

<u>Condition</u>: The pension plan's governing document, a joint ordinance and agreement for the police pension fund enacted December 14, 1993, contains benefit provisions that are not in compliance with Act 600.

Furthermore, on April 17, 2002, Act 600 was amended by Act 30, which made significant changes to the statutorily prescribed benefit structure of police pension plans subject to Act 600. Regional police commission officials have not amended the police pension plan's benefit structure to adopt all of the changes mandated by Act 30. The specific inconsistencies are as follows:

Benefit Provision	Governing Document	Act 600 (as amended)
Intervening military service credit	Not provided	Any member of the police force employed by a borough, town, township or regional police department, who has been a regularly appointed employee of any such political subdivision or regional police department for a period of at least six months and who thereafter shall enter into the military service of the United States, shall have credited to his employment record for pension or retirement benefits all of the time spent by him in such military service, if such person returns or has heretofore returned to his employment within six months after his separation from the service.
Pre-vesting death benefits (survivor)	Not provided	The surviving spouse of a member of the police force who dies before his pension has vested or if no spouse survives or if he or she survives and subsequently dies, the child or children under the age of eighteen years, or, if attending college, under or attaining the age of twenty-three years, of the member of the police force shall be entitled to receive repayment of all money which the member invested in the pension fund plus interest or other increases in value of the member's investment in the pension fund, unless the member has designated another beneficiary for this purpose.

## Finding No. 2 - (Continued)

Benefit Provision	Governing Document	Act 600 (as amended)
Vesting benefit	The deferred pension shall be determined as a percentage of the participant's average monthly salary for the 36 month period preceding termination of employment where such percentage is equal to the lesser of (a) 2.5% multiplied by each full year of continuous service; or (b) 50%.	the benefit is determined by applying the percentage the member's years of service bears to the years of service the member would have rendered by his superannuation retirement date to the gross pension
Service increments	The monthly retirement benefits to which each eligible participant shall be entitled under this plan may be increased above that amount set forth in subsection (7) of this section if said participant, upon having accrued enough years of full-time service as a police officer for the EARPcontinues as a full-time police office of the EARP beyond 20 years in the case of Tier I participants and 25 years in the case of Tier II participant. The increase in the percentage of the average monthly salary defined benefits shall be equal to 1% per year following 20 years of full-time employment as a police officer of the EARP for Tier I participants and 25 years of full-time employment as a police officer of the EARP for Tier II participants. In no event, however, shall the percentage of the average monthly salary which he or she shall have earned during the 36 month period last served by the participant immediately prior to retirement and upon which benefits are therefore defined exceed 60%. Nor shall the maximum increase exceed \$500 per month.	Any borough, town, township or regional police department may establish and pay length of service increments for years of service beyond 25 years for each completed year of service in excess of 25 years, not to exceed \$100 per month for each completed year of service in excess of 25 years up to a maximum of \$500 per month after 5 completed years of service in excess of 25 years. Such length of service increments may be paid in addition to other monthly pension or retirement allowances.

## Finding No. 2 - (Continued)

<u>Criteria</u>: A governing document which contains clearly defined and updated benefit provisions is a prerequisite for the consistent, sound administration of retirement benefits. In addition, the police pension plan's benefit structure should be in compliance with Act 600, as amended.

<u>Cause</u>: Regional police commission officials failed to establish adequate internal control procedures to ensure the plan's governing document is in compliance with Act 600, as amended.

<u>Effect</u>: Maintaining a benefit structure which is not in compliance with Act 600 could result in plan members or their beneficiaries receiving incorrect benefit amounts or being denied benefits to which they are statutorily entitled.

<u>Recommendation</u>: We recommend that regional police commission officials, after consulting with their solicitor, take whatever action is necessary to bring the police pension plan's benefit structure into compliance with Act 600, as amended, at their earliest opportunity to do so.

<u>Management's Response</u>: Regional police commission officials agreed with the finding without exception and, moving forward, stated that the proper changes will be made to ensure that there are not any further discrepancies between the plan's governing document and Act 600.

Auditor's Conclusion: Compliance will be evaluated during our next audit of the plan.

## EASTERN ADAMS REGIONAL POLICE PENSION PLAN SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION (UNAUDITED)

#### SCHEDULE OF FUNDING PROGRESS

Historical trend information about the plan is presented herewith as supplementary information. It is intended to help users assess the plan's funding status on a going-concern basis, assess progress made in accumulating assets to pay benefits when due, and make comparisons with other state and local government retirement systems.

The actuarial information is required by Act 205 biennially. The historical information, beginning as of January 1, 2007, is as follows:

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
						Unfunded
			Unfunded			(Assets in
		Actuarial	(Assets in			Excess of)
		Accrued	Excess of)			Actuarial
	Actuarial	Liability	Actuarial			Accrued
Actuarial	Value of	(AAL) -	Accrued	Funded	Covered	Liability as a %
Valuation	Assets	Entry Age	Liability	Ratio	Payroll	of Payroll
Date	(a)	(b)	(b) - (a)	(a)/(b)	(c)	[(b-a)/(c)]
01-01-07	\$ 630,488	\$ 735,936	\$ 105,448	85.7%	\$ 372,545	28.3%
01-01-09	795,385	896,820	101,435	88.7%	536,850	18.9%
01-01-11	1,013,505	1,070,540	57,035	94.7%	588,762	9.7%

#### EASTERN ADAMS REGIONAL POLICE PENSION PLAN SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION (UNAUDITED)

The comparability of trend information is affected by changes in actuarial assumptions, benefit provisions, actuarial funding methods, accounting policies, and other changes. Those changes usually affect trends in contribution requirements and in ratios that use the actuarial accrued liability as a factor.

Analysis of the dollar amount of the actuarial value of assets, actuarial accrued liability, and unfunded (assets in excess of) actuarial accrued liability in isolation can be misleading. Expressing the actuarial value of assets as a percentage of the actuarial accrued liability (Column 4) provides one indication of the plan's funding status on a going-concern basis. Analysis of this percentage, over time, indicates whether the system is becoming financially stronger or weaker. Generally, the greater this percentage, the stronger the plan.

Trends in unfunded (assets in excess of) actuarial accrued liability and annual covered payroll are both affected by inflation. Expressing the unfunded (assets in excess of) actuarial accrued liability as a percentage of annual covered payroll (Column 6) approximately adjusts for the effects of inflation and aids analysis of the plan's progress made in accumulating sufficient assets to pay benefits when due. Generally, where there is an unfunded actuarial accrued liability, the smaller this percentage, the stronger the plan. When assets are in excess of the actuarial accrued liability, the higher the bracketed percentage, the stronger the plan.

## EASTERN ADAMS REGIONAL POLICE PENSION PLAN SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION (UNAUDITED)

## SCHEDULE OF CONTRIBUTIONS FROM EMPLOYER AND OTHER CONTRIBUTING ENTITIES

Year Ended December 31	Annual Required Contribution	Percentage Contributed
2007	\$ 84,010	100.0%
2008	93,928	100.0%
2009	100,741	100.0%
2010	107,931	100.0%
2011	100,121	100.0%
2012	91,995	100.0%

## EASTERN ADAMS REGIONAL POLICE PENSION PLAN SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION NOTES TO SUPPLEMENTARY SCHEDULES (UNAUDITED)

The information presented in the required supplementary schedules was determined as part of the actuarial valuation at the date indicated. Additional information as of the latest actuarial valuation date follows:

Actuarial valuation date	January 1, 2011
Actuarial cost method	Entry age normal
Amortization method	Level dollar
Remaining amortization period	3 years
Asset valuation method	Market value
Actuarial assumptions:	
Investment rate of return	7.0%
Projected salary increases	4.0%
Cost-of-living adjustments	None assumed

## EASTERN ADAMS REGIONAL POLICE PENSION PLAN REPORT DISTRIBUTION LIST

This report was initially distributed to the following:

The Honorable Tom Corbett Governor Commonwealth of Pennsylvania

Eastern Adams Regional Police Pension Plan Adams County 6945 York Road New Oxford, PA 17350

Mr. Robert C. Then Regional Police Chief

Ms. Cindy Austin Chief Administrative Officer

This report is a matter of public record and is available online at <u>www.auditorgen.state.pa.us</u>. Media questions about the report can be directed to the Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General, Office of Communications, 231 Finance Building, Harrisburg, PA 17120; via email to: news@auditorgen.state.pa.us.