LIMITED PROCEDURES ENGAGEMENT

Hanover Township Police Pension Plan

Washington County, Pennsylvania For the Period January 1, 2015 to December 31, 2017

June 2018



Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General

Eugene A. DePasquale • Auditor General





Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General Harrisburg, PA 17120-0018 Facebook: Pennsylvania Auditor General Twitter: @PAAuditorGen www.PaAuditor.gov

EUGENE A. DEPASQUALE AUDITOR GENERAL

Board of Township Supervisors Hanover Township Washington County Burgettstown, PA 15021

We conducted a Limited Procedures Engagement (LPE) of the Hanover Township Police Pension Plan for the period January 1, 2015 to December 31, 2017 to determine its compliance with applicable state laws, regulations, contracts, administrative procedures, and local ordinances and policies. We also evaluated compliance with some requirements subsequent to that period when possible. The LPE was conducted pursuant to authority derived from Section 402(j) of the Municipal Pension Plan Funding Standard and Recovery Act (P.L. 1005, No. 205, as amended, 53 P.S. § 895.101 <u>et seq</u>.) but was not conducted in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. The act established mandatory actuarial reporting and funding requirements and a uniform basis for the distribution of state aid to Pennsylvania's public pension plans. We believe that the evidence obtained provides a reasonable basis to support our LPE results.

Our LPE was limited to determining the following:

- Whether municipal officials took appropriate corrective action to address the finding contained in our prior LPE Report, by inquiring of plan officials and evaluating supporting documentation provided by officials evidencing that the suggested corrective action has been appropriately taken.
- Whether state aid was properly determined and deposited in accordance with Act 205 requirements by verifying the annual deposit date of state aid and determining whether deposits were made within 30 days of receipt for all years within the engagement period.
- Whether annual employer contributions were calculated and deposited in accordance with the plan's governing document and applicable laws and regulations by examining the municipality's calculation of the plan's annual financial requirements and minimum municipal obligation (MMO) and comparing these calculated amounts to amounts actually budgeted and deposited into the pension plan as evidenced by supporting documentation.

- Whether the January 1, 2015 and January 1, 2017 actuarial valuation reports were prepared and submitted by March 31, 2016 and 2018, respectively, in accordance with Act 205 and whether selected information provided on these reports is accurate, complete, and in accordance with plan provisions to ensure compliance for participation in the state aid program by comparing selected information to supporting source documentation.
- Whether the terms of the plan's unallocated insurance contract, including ownership and any restrictions, were in compliance with plan provisions, investment policies, and state regulations by comparing the terms of the contract with the plan's provisions, investment policies, and state regulations.

Based on the results of our procedures performed during our LPE, nothing came to our attention indicating that the Hanover Township Police Pension Plan was not being administered in compliance with applicable state laws, regulations, contracts, administrative procedures, and local ordinances and policies, except as noted in the following finding further discussed later in this report:

Finding – Partial Compliance With Prior Recommendation - Pension Benefits Not In Compliance With Act 600 Provisions

The finding contained in this LPE report repeats a condition that was cited in our previous LPE report that has not been corrected by township officials. We are concerned by the township's failure to correct this previously reported finding and strongly encourage timely implementation of the recommendation noted in this report.

Our determination to perform a LPE for this engagement period does not preclude the Department from conducting an audit in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* of the pension plan in subsequent periods. The township should continue to maintain documentation related to this pension plan.

The accompanying supplementary information is presented for purposes of additional analysis. We did not audit the information or conclude on it and, accordingly, express no form of assurance on it.

The contents of this report were discussed with officials of Hanover Township and, where appropriate, their responses have been included in this report. We would like to thank township officials for the cooperation extended to us during the conduct of this LPE.

Eugn f. O-Pasper

June 15, 2018

EUGENE A. DEPASQUALE Auditor General

CONTENTS

Pag	<u>ge</u>
Status of Prior Finding	1
Finding and Recommendation:	
Finding – Partial Compliance With Prior Recommendation – Pension Benefits Not In Compliance With Act 600 Provisions	2
Supplementary Information	6
Report Distribution List 1	0

HANOVER TOWNSHIP POLICE PENSION PLAN STATUS OF PRIOR FINDING

Partial Compliance With Prior Recommendation

Hanover Township has partially complied with the prior recommendation concerning the following:

• Pension Benefits Not In Compliance With Act 600 Provisions

The township adopted Resolution No. 2011-6 which eliminated the killed in service benefit provision from the pension plan: however, plan officials failed to amend all benefits necessary, as disclosed in our prior audit and LPE reports, to bring the police pension plan's benefit structure into compliance with Act 600, as amended, as further discussed in the Finding and Recommendation section of this report.

<u>Finding – Partial Compliance With Prior Recommendation – Pension Benefits Not In</u> <u>Compliance With Act 600 Provisions</u>

<u>Condition</u>: As disclosed in our prior audit and LPE reports, the township adopted Resolution No. 2005-9 on January 3, 2006, which established a police pension plan pursuant to Act 600 provisions. Although as of September 19, 2013, the plan has had no active full-time police officers participating in the pension plan, retirees receiving pension benefits from the plan, or terminated vested members entitled to benefits in the future from the plan, the plan's document should provide for benefits that comply with Act 600.

As disclosed in the Status of Prior Finding, the township adopted Resolution No. 2011-6 which eliminated the killed-in-service benefit provision; however, the plan's governing document continues to contain benefit provisions that are not in compliance with Act 600, as noted below.

Benefit Provision	Governing Document	Act 600 (as amended)
Survivor's benefit	Section 11 states a 50% Survivor Benefit, upon the death of an active participant who is eligible for retirement on the date of his/her death, will be paid to the participant's surviving spouse, until the death of the surviving spouse. In the event that the member is survived by a minor dependent child but not a spouse, the benefits shall be paid to such child until he or she have attained the age of eighteen (18) years, provided, however, that should the child be attending a college, such benefits shall continue until the child attains the age of twenty three (23). (Resolution only addresses survivor benefits for active members, not retirees and "attending college" is not defined.)	A lifetime survivor's benefit must be provided to the surviving spouse (or if no spouse survives or if he or she subsequently dies, the child or children under 18 years of age or if attending college, under or attaining the age of 23) of no less than 50% of the pension the member was receiving or would have been entitled to receive had he been retired at the time of death. ("Attending college" shall mean the eligible children are registered at an accredited institution of higher learning and are carrying a minimum course load of 7 credit hours per semester.)

Finding – (Continued)

Benefit_Provision	Governing Document	Act 600 (as amended)
Service-related disability benefit	Section 9 states the participant shall receive a 50% disability benefit if they incur a total and permanent disability as a direct result of, and in the line of duty of, employment as a police officer. This benefit will be offset by any applicable Social Security benefit. (Resolution does not disclose the basis for determining the 50% benefit)	The benefit must be in conformity with a uniform scale and fixed by the plan's governing document at no less than 50% of the member's salary at the time the disability was incurred, reduced by the amount of Social Security disability benefits received for the same injury.
Intervening military service credit	Not provided	Any member of the police force employed by a borough, town, township or regional police department, who has been a regularly appointed employee of any such political subdivision or regional police department for a period of at least six months and who thereafter shall enter into the military service of the United States, shall have credited to his employment record for pension or retirement benefits all of the time spent by him in such military service, if such person returns to his employment within six months after his separation from the service.

Finding – (Continued)

Benefit Provision	Governing Document	Act 600 (as amended)
Vesting benefit	Section 6 states vesting shall be 100% after 12 years of service. (Resolution does not provide for the date benefit payments will commence or the method of determination.)	Under the provisions of such benefit, should a police officer, before completing superannuation retirement age and service requirements but after having completed twelve years of total service, for any reason cease to be employed as a full-time police officer by the municipality or regional police department in whose pension fund he has been a member, he shall be entitled to vest his retirement benefits by filing with the governing body within ninety days of the date he ceases to be a full-time police officer a written notice of his intention to vest. Upon reaching the date which would have been his superannuation retirement date if he had continued to be employed as a full-time police officer he shall be paid a partial superannuation retirement allowance determined by applying the percentage his years of service bears to the years of service which he would have rendered had he continued to work until his superannuation retirement date to the gross pension, using however the monthly average salary during the appropriate period prior to his termination of employment.

Finding – (Continued)

Benefit Provision	Governing Document	Act 600 (as amended)
Pre-vesting death benefit	Section 8 states a refund of employee contributions will be made, with 5% interest.	The surviving spouse of a member of the police force who dies before his pension has vested or if no spouse survives or if he or she survives and subsequently dies, the child or children under the age of eighteen years, or, if attending college, under or attaining the age of twenty-three years, of the member of the police force shall be entitled to receive repayment of all money which the member invested in the pension fund plus interest or other increases in value of the member's investment in the pension fund, unless the member has designated another beneficiary for this purpose.

<u>Criteria</u>: A governing document which contains clearly defined and updated benefit provisions is a prerequisite for the consistent, sound administration of retirement benefits. In addition, the police pension plan's benefit structure should be in compliance with Act 600, as amended.

<u>Cause</u>: Municipal officials failed to ensure full compliance with the prior recommendation.

<u>Effect</u>: Maintaining a benefit structure which is not in compliance with Act 600 could result in plan members or their beneficiaries receiving incorrect benefit amounts or being denied benefits to which they are statutorily entitled.

<u>Recommendation</u>: We again recommend that municipal officials, after consulting with their solicitor, take whatever action is necessary to bring the police pension plan's benefit structure into compliance with Act 600, as amended, at their earliest opportunity to do so.

<u>Management's Response</u>: Township officials agreed with the finding without exception and indicated they intend to address the finding and other pension plan matters with their solicitor.

<u>Auditor's Conclusion</u>: We are concerned that the municipality has not complied with the prior recommendation and encourage compliance at the earliest opportunity to do so. Compliance will be evaluated during our next engagement.

HANOVER TOWNSHIP POLICE PENSION PLAN SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION (UNAUDITED)

SCHEDULE OF FUNDING PROGRESS

Historical trend information about the plan is presented herewith as supplementary information. It is intended to help users assess the plan's funding status on a going-concern basis, assess progress made in accumulating assets to pay benefits when due, and make comparisons with other state and local government retirement systems.

The actuarial information is required by Act 205 biennially. The historical information, beginning as of January 1, 2013, is as follows:

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
			Unfunded	
		Actuarial	(Assets in	
		Accrued	Excess of)	
	Actuarial	Liability	Actuarial	
Actuarial	Value of	(AAL) -	Accrued	Funded
Valuation	Assets	Entry Age	Liability	Ratio
Date	(a)	(b)	(b) - (a)	(a)/(b)
01-01-13	\$ 93,617	\$ 76,056	\$ (17,561)	123.1%
01-01-15	97,496	·	(97,496)	N/A
01-01-17	99,979	-	(99,979)	N/A

HANOVER TOWNSHIP POLICE PENSION PLAN SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION (UNAUDITED)

The comparability of trend information is affected by changes in actuarial assumptions, benefit provisions, actuarial funding methods, accounting policies, and other changes. Those changes usually affect trends in contribution requirements and in ratios that use the actuarial accrued liability as a factor.

Analysis of the dollar amount of the actuarial value of assets, actuarial accrued liability, and unfunded (assets in excess of) actuarial accrued liability in isolation can be misleading. Expressing the actuarial value of assets as a percentage of the actuarial accrued liability (Column 4) provides one indication of the plan's funding status on a going-concern basis. Analysis of this percentage, over time, indicates whether the system is becoming financially stronger or weaker. Generally, the greater this percentage, the stronger the plan.

HANOVER TOWNSHIP POLICE PENSION PLAN SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION (UNAUDITED)

SCHEDULE OF CONTRIBUTIONS FROM EMPLOYER AND OTHER CONTRIBUTING ENTITIES

Year Ended December 31	Annual Required Contribution	Percentage Contributed
2012	\$ 11,072	100.0%
2013	797	100.0%
2014	None	N/A
2015	None	N/A
2016	None	N/A
2017	None	N/A

HANOVER TOWNSHIP POLICE PENSION PLAN SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION NOTES TO SUPPLEMENTARY SCHEDULES (UNAUDITED)

The information presented in the supplementary schedules was determined as part of the actuarial valuation at the date indicated. Additional information as of the latest actuarial valuation date follows:

Actuarial valuation date	January 1, 2017
Actuarial cost method	Entry age normal
Amortization method	N/A
Remaining amortization period	N/A
Asset valuation method	Market value
Asset valuation method Actuarial assumptions:	Market value
	Market value

HANOVER TOWNSHIP POLICE PENSION PLAN REPORT DISTRIBUTION LIST

This report was initially distributed to the following:

The Honorable Tom W. Wolf Governor

Commonwealth of Pennsylvania

Mr. Dale Handick Chairman, Board of Township Supervisors

Mr. Herbert Grubbs Vice-Chairman, Board of Township Supervisors

Mr. William Michael Township Supervisor

Ms. Audrey Wingard

Secretary/Treasurer

This report is a matter of public record and is available online at <u>www.PaAuditor.gov</u>. Media questions about the report can be directed to the Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General, Office of Communications, 229 Finance Building, Harrisburg, PA 17120; via email to: news@PaAuditor.gov.