MOORE TOWNSHIP POLICE PENSION PLAN

NORTHAMPTON COUNTY

COMPLIANCE AUDIT REPORT

FOR THE PERIOD

JANUARY 1, 2006, TO DECEMBER 31, 2008



MOORE TOWNSHIP POLICE PENSION PLAN

NORTHAMPTON COUNTY

COMPLIANCE AUDIT REPORT

FOR THE PERIOD

JANUARY 1, 2006, TO DECEMBER 31, 2008

CONTENTS

Background1	L
Letter from the Auditor General	3
Status of Prior Finding	5
Findings and Recommendations:	
Finding No. 1 – Incorrect Data On Certification Form AG 385 Resulting In An Overpayment Of State Aid	5
Finding No. 2 – Failure To Fully Pay The Minimum Municipal Obligation Of The Plan	7
Finding No. 3 – Incorrect Data Supplied To Actuary For Actuarial Valuation Report Preparation	3
Supplementary Information12	<u>)</u>
Report Distribution List	7

ABBREVIATIONS

PMRS - Pennsylvania Municipal Retirement System PERC - Public Employee Retirement Commission

BACKGROUND

On December 18, 1984, the Pennsylvania Legislature adopted the Municipal Pension Plan Funding Standard and Recovery Act (P.L. 1005, No. 205, as amended, 53 P.S. § 895.101 <u>et seq.</u>). The act established mandatory actuarial reporting and funding requirements and a uniform basis for the distribution of state aid to Pennsylvania's public pension plans. Section 402(j) of Act 205 specifically requires the Auditor General, as deemed necessary, to make an audit of every municipality which receives general municipal pension system State aid and of every municipal pension plan and fund in which general municipal pension system State aid is deposited.

Pension plan aid is provided from a 2 percent foreign casualty insurance premium tax, a portion of the foreign fire insurance tax designated for paid firefighters and any investment income earned on the collection of these taxes. Generally, municipal pension plans established prior to December 18, 1984, are eligible for state aid. For municipal pension plans established after that date, the sponsoring municipality must fund the plan for three plan years before it becomes eligible for state aid. In accordance with Act 205, a municipality's annual state aid allocation cannot exceed its actual pension costs.

In addition to Act 205, the Moore Township Police Pension Plan is also governed by implementing regulations adopted by the Public Employee Retirement Commission published at Title 16, Part IV of the Pennsylvania Code and applicable provisions of various other state statutes including, but not limited to, the following:

Act 15 - Pennsylvania Municipal Retirement Law, Act of February 1, 1974 (P.L. 34, No. 15), as amended, 53 P.S. § 881.101 et seq.

The Moore Township Police Pension Plan is a single-employer defined benefit pension plan locally controlled by the provisions of Ordinance No. 2005-19, adopted pursuant to Act 15. The plan is also affected by the provisions of collective bargaining agreements between the township and its police officers.



Board of Township Supervisors Moore Township Northampton County Bath, PA 18014

We have conducted a compliance audit of the Moore Township Police Pension Plan for the period January 1, 2006, to December 31, 2008. The audit was conducted pursuant to authority derived from Section 402(j) of Act 205 and in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* applicable to performance audits issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform our audit to obtain sufficient, appropriate evidence to provide a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions based on our audit objectives. We believe that the evidence obtained provides a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions based on our audit objectives.

The objectives of the audit were:

- 1. To determine if municipal officials took appropriate corrective action to address the finding contained in our prior audit report; and
- 2. To determine if the pension plan was administered in compliance with applicable state laws, regulations, contracts, administrative procedures, and local ordinances and policies.

Our audit was limited to the areas related to the objectives identified above. The Moore Township Police Pension Plan participates in the Pennsylvania Municipal Retirement System (PMRS), which is an agent multiple-employer public employee retirement system that acts as a common investment and administrative agent for participating municipal pension plans. PMRS issues a separate Comprehensive Annual Financial Report, copies of which are available from the PMRS accounting office. PMRS's financial statements were not audited by us and, accordingly, we express no opinion or other form of assurance on them.

Township officials are responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal controls to provide reasonable assurance that the Moore Township Police Pension Plan is administered in compliance with applicable state laws, regulations, contracts, administrative procedures, and local ordinances and policies. In conducting our audit, we obtained an understanding of the township's internal controls as they relate to the township's compliance with those requirements and that we considered to be significant within the context of our audit objectives, and assessed whether those significant controls were properly designed and implemented. Additionally, we tested transactions, assessed official actions, performed analytical procedures and interviewed selected officials to the extent necessary to satisfy the audit objectives.

The results of our tests indicated that, in all significant respects, the Moore Township Police Pension Plan was administered in compliance with applicable state laws, regulations, contracts, administrative procedures, and local ordinances and policies, except as noted in the following findings further discussed later in this report:

Finding No. 1	_	Incorrect Data On Certification Form AG 385 Resulting In An Overpayment Of State Aid
Finding No. 2	_	Failure To Fully Pay The Minimum Municipal Obligation Of The Plan
Finding No. 3	_	Incorrect Data Supplied To Actuary For Actuarial Valuation Report Preparation

The accompanying supplementary information is presented for purposes of additional analysis. We did not audit the information and, accordingly, express no form of assurance on it.

The contents of this report were discussed with officials of Moore Township and, where appropriate, their responses have been included in the report.

September 3, 2009

JACK WAGNER Auditor General

MOORE TOWNSHIP POLICE PENSION PLAN STATUS OF PRIOR FINDING

Compliance With Prior Audit Recommendation

Moore Township has complied with the prior audit recommendation concerning the following:

• Failure To Prepare Financial Statements

During the audit period, PMRS has prepared financial statements for the pension plan.

<u>Finding No. 1 – Incorrect Data On Certification Form AG 385 Resulting In An Overpayment</u> Of State Aid

<u>Condition</u>: The township certified 1 ineligible police officer (2 units) and overstated payroll by \$10,243 in 2008, and certified 1 ineligible police officer (2 units) and 1 ineligible nonuniformed employee (1 unit) and overstated payroll by \$11,923 and \$14,380, respectively, in 2009 on Certification Form AG 385.

<u>Criteria</u>: Pursuant to Act 205, at Section 402(e)(2), in order to be eligible for certification, an employee must have been employed on a full-time basis for at least six consecutive months and must have been participating in a pension plan during the certification year.

<u>Cause</u>: Plan officials were unaware of the applicable Act 205 guidelines for the certification of eligible employees.

<u>Effect</u>: The data submitted on these certification forms is used, in part, to calculate the state aid due to the township for distribution to its pension plans. Because the township's state aid allocations were based on unit value, the incorrect certification of pension data affected the township's state aid allocations, as identified below:

Year	Type Of Plan	Units Overstated	Unit Value	-	tate Aid erpayment
2008	Police	2	\$ 3,186	\$	6,372
2009	Police Nonuniformed	2 1	\$ 3,128 \$ 3,128	\$ \$	6,256 3,128
Total Overpayment of State Aid					15,756

In addition, the township used the overpayment of state aid to pay the minimum municipal obligations (MMOs) due to the police and nonuniformed pension plans; therefore, if the reimbursement to the Commonwealth is made from the pension plans, the plans' MMOs will not be fully paid.

Finding No. 1 – (Continued)

<u>Recommendation</u>: We recommend that the excess state aid, in the amount of \$15,756, be returned to the Commonwealth. A check in this amount, with interest compounded annually from date of receipt to date of repayment, at a rate earned by the pension plan, should be made payable to: Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and mailed to: Department of the Auditor General, Municipal Pension & Fire Relief Programs Unit, 1205 Pittsburgh State Office Building, 300 Liberty Avenue, Pittsburgh, PA 15222. A copy of the interest calculation must be submitted along with the check.

We also recommend that, in the future, plan officials comply with the instructions that accompany Certification Form AG 385 to assist them in accurately reporting the required pension data.

In addition, if the reimbursement to the Commonwealth is made from police or nonuniformed pension plan funds, we recommend that any resulting MMO deficiencies be paid to the pension plan with interest, at a rate earned by the pension plan.

Management's Response: Municipal officials agreed with the finding without exception.

Finding No. 2 – Failure To Fully Pay The Minimum Municipal Obligation Of The Plan

<u>Condition</u>: The municipality did not fully pay the minimum municipal obligation (MMO) that was due to the police pension plan for the year 2008, as required by Act 205. The municipality had an unpaid 2008 MMO balance of \$8,132.

<u>Criteria</u>: With regard to the MMO, Section 302(c) of Act 205 states, in part:

Annually, the chief administrative officer of the pension plan shall determine the minimum obligation of the municipality with respect to the pension plan for the following plan year.

Section 302(d) of Act 205 states, in part:

The minimum obligation of the municipality shall be payable to the pension plan from the revenue of the municipality.

Finding No. 2 – (Continued)

Furthermore, Section 302(e) of Act 205 states, in part:

Any amount of the minimum obligation of the municipality which remains unpaid as of December 31 of the year in which the minimum obligation is due shall be added to the minimum obligation of the municipality for the following year, with interest from January 1 of the year in which the minimum obligation was first due until the date the payment is paid. . . .

<u>Cause</u>: Plan officials failed to establish adequate internal control procedures to ensure the full MMO was paid to the pension plan.

<u>Effect</u>: The failure to fully pay the MMO could result in the plan not having adequate resources to meet current and future benefit obligations to its members.

Due to the municipality's failure to fully pay the 2008 MMO by the December 31, 2008, deadline, the municipality must add the 2008 MMO balance to the current year's MMO and include interest, as required by Act 205.

<u>Recommendation</u>: We recommend that the municipality pay the MMO due to the police pension plan for the year 2008, with interest, in accordance with Section 302(e) of Act 205. A copy of the interest calculation must be maintained by the township for examination during our next audit of the plan.

Furthermore, we recommend that, in the future, plan officials establish adequate internal control procedures to ensure the full MMO is paid to the plan in accordance with Act 205 requirements.

Management's Response: Municipal officials agreed with the finding without exception.

Finding No. 3 – Incorrect Data Supplied To Actuary For Actuarial Valuation Report Preparation

<u>Condition</u>: Actuarial valuation report form 201C, with a valuation date of January 1, 2007, submitted to the Public Employee Retirement Commission (PERC), contained incorrect information. The information was based on data supplied by the municipality to the plan's actuary.

Finding No. 3 – (Continued)

The municipality supplied the following incorrect benefit provisions to the plan's actuary on Schedule C, Section IV – Presentation of Benefit Plan Provisions:

Eligibility requirements for normal retirement – Age 50 and 25 years of service for actives on or after 1/1/03;

Eligibility requirements for early retirement – Age 50 and 20 years of service for actives on or after 1/1/03;

Retirement benefit for normal retirement – 50% of final 36 month average compensation; and

Survivor benefit for post-retirement -50% survivorship benefit to spouse or to children, if no spouse or spouse is remarried and pre-retirement -100% of the benefit the officer would have received if he had retired on his date of death (killed in service only.)

Criteria: Section 201(d) of Act 205 states:

Responsibility for preparation and filing of reports and investigations. The actuarial valuation report or experience investigation required pursuant to subsection (a) shall be prepared under the supervision and at the discretion of the chief administrative officer of the municipality, who shall be responsible for the filing of the document. The actuarial valuation report or experience investigation shall be signed by the chief administrative officer, indicating that to the extent of the understanding and knowledge of the officer, the report or investigation represents a true and accurate portrayal of the actuarial, financial and demographic condition of the pension plan of the municipality.

The PMRS plan agreement at Section 2, states:

<u>Superannuation Retirement</u>: Eligibility for a superannuation retirement shall occur upon the member's attainment of fifty (50) years of age or older and the completion of at least twelve (12) years of credited service.

Finding No. 3 – (Continued)

In addition, the PMRS plan agreement at Section 3 and Section 4, states:

<u>Basic Benefit</u>: The basic annual benefit shall be equal to two percent (2%) of the member's final salary multiplied by all years of credited service. Provided however, in no event shall the basic benefit exceed fifty percent (50%) of the member's final salary.

<u>Final Salary</u>: The final salary shall be the average annual compensation earned and paid during the member's final three (3) consecutive years of employment, or if not so long employed, then the average annual compensation earned and paid during the whole period of such employment.

The PMRS plan agreement at Section 5, states:

<u>Early Retirement</u>: A member who has been involuntarily terminated after eight (8) years of credited service may retire early. Benefits will be actuarially reduced for each year or partial year thereof that early retirement takes place prior to age fifty (50).

Furthermore, the PMRS plan agreement at Section 7 states, in part:

...the surviving spouse (or in the absence of a spouse, any surviving children under the age of eighteen, or if attending college under or attaining the age of twenty-three) will receive a pension equal to one-half of the pension which such member would have been eligible to receive if the member had been retired at the time of death.

<u>Cause</u>: The discrepancies in the data submitted to the plan's actuary occurred because plan officials did not verify that the information was consistent with the plan's governing document.

<u>Effect</u>: Because the municipality's state aid allocation is determined, in part, by the information contained in the actuarial valuation report, the submission of incorrect data to the actuary may have resulted in the municipality receiving an incorrect allocation of state aid.

In addition, the information contained in the actuarial valuation report is used to determine the municipality's minimum municipal obligation (MMO); therefore, the incorrect data may have resulted in an erroneous MMO calculation.

Finding No. 3 – (Continued)

<u>Recommendation</u>: We recommend that plan officials review the provisions contained in the plan's governing document and establish adequate internal control procedures to ensure that future actuarial valuation reports properly reflect the status of the pension plan.

Management's Response: Municipal officials agreed with the finding without exception.

MOORE TOWNSHIP POLICE PENSION PLAN SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION (UNAUDITED)

SCHEDULE OF FUNDING PROGRESS

Historical trend information about the plan is presented herewith as supplementary information. It is intended to help users assess the plan's funding status on a going-concern basis, assess progress made in accumulating assets to pay benefits when due, and make comparisons with other state and local government retirement systems.

The actuarial information is required by Act 205 biennially, except for distressed pension plans, for which annual reporting was required through January 1, 2003. The historical information, beginning as of January 1, 2003, is as follows:

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
						Unfunded
			Unfunded			(Assets in
		Actuarial	(Assets in			Excess of)
		Accrued	Excess of)			Actuarial
	Actuarial	Liability	Actuarial			Accrued
Actuarial	Value of	(AAL) -	Accrued	Funded	Covered	Liability as a %
Valuation	Assets	Entry Age	Liability	Ratio	Payroll	of Payroll
Date	(a)	(b)	(b) - (a)	(a)/(b)	(c)	[(b-a)/(c)]
01-01-03	\$ 987,555	\$ 786,827	\$ (200,728)	125.5%	\$ 352,137	(57.0%)
01-01-05	1,194,999	1,118,010	(76,989)	106.9%	396,705	(19.4%)
01-01-07	1,405,353	1,345,834	(59,519)	104.4%	345,232	(17.2%)

MOORE TOWNSHIP POLICE PENSION PLAN SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION (UNAUDITED)

The comparability of trend information is affected by changes in actuarial assumptions, benefit provisions, actuarial funding methods, accounting policies, and other changes. Those changes usually affect trends in contribution requirements and in ratios that use the actuarial accrued liability as a factor.

Analysis of the dollar amount of the actuarial value of assets, actuarial accrued liability, and unfunded (assets in excess of) actuarial accrued liability in isolation can be misleading. Expressing the actuarial value of assets as a percentage of the actuarial accrued liability (Column 4) provides one indication of the plan's funding status on a going-concern basis. Analysis of this percentage, over time, indicates whether the system is becoming financially stronger or weaker. Generally, the greater this percentage, the stronger the plan.

Trends in unfunded (assets in excess of) actuarial accrued liability and annual covered payroll are both affected by inflation. Expressing the unfunded (assets in excess of) actuarial accrued liability as a percentage of annual covered payroll (Column 6) approximately adjusts for the effects of inflation and aids analysis of the plan's progress made in accumulating sufficient assets to pay benefits when due. Generally, where there is an unfunded actuarial accrued liability, the smaller this percentage, the stronger the plan. However, when assets are in excess of the actuarial accrued liability, the higher the bracketed percentage, the stronger the plan.

MOORE TOWNSHIP POLICE PENSION PLAN SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION (UNAUDITED)

SCHEDULE OF CONTRIBUTIONS FROM EMPLOYER AND OTHER CONTRIBUTING ENTITIES

Year Ended December 31	Annual Required Contribution	Percentage Contributed
2003	\$ 28,768	123.7%
2004	33,399	149.5%
2005	53,340	100.0%
2006	59,840	100.9%
2007	67,841	100.0%
2008	52,866	84.6%

MOORE TOWNSHIP POLICE PENSION PLAN SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION NOTES TO SUPPLEMENTARY SCHEDULES (UNAUDITED)

The information presented in the required supplementary schedules was determined as part of the actuarial valuation at the date indicated. Additional information as of the latest actuarial valuation date follows:

Actuarial valuation date	January 1, 2007
Actuarial cost method	Entry age normal
Amortization method	N/A
Remaining amortization period	N/A
Asset valuation method	Fair value
Asset valuation method Actuarial assumptions:	Fair value
	Fair value 6.0%



MOORE TOWNSHIP POLICE PENSION PLAN REPORT DISTRIBUTION LIST

This report was initially distributed to the following:

The Honorable Edward G. Rendell Governor Commonwealth of Pennsylvania

Moore Township Police Pension Plan Northampton County 2491 Community Drive Bath, PA 18014

Mr. Maynard Campbell	Chairman, Board of Township Supervisors
Mr. Rodney Jarinko	Secretary/Treasurer
Ms. Kristine Gibboney	Pennsylvania Municipal Retirement System

This report is a matter of public record. Copies of this report may be obtained from the Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General, Office of Communications, Room 318 Finance Building, Harrisburg, PA 17120. If you have any questions regarding this report or any other matter, you may contact the Department of the Auditor General by accessing our website at www.auditorgen.state.pa.us.