

MUNHALL BOROUGH POLICE PENSION PLAN ALLEGHENY COUNTY

COMPLIANCE AUDIT REPORT

FOR THE PERIOD

JANUARY 1, 2009, TO DECEMBER 31, 2011

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA

EUGENE A. DEPASQUALE - AUDITOR GENERAL

DEPARTMENT OF THE AUDITOR GENERAL



CONTENTS

<u>Page</u>
Background
Letter from the Auditor General
Findings and Recommendations:
Finding No. 1 – Failure To Fully Pay The Minimum Municipal Obligation Of The Plan
Finding No. 2 – Failure To Determine And Submit The Financial Requirements And Minimum Municipal Obligation Of The Plan
Finding No. 3 – Pension Benefit Not In Compliance With Act 600 Provisions8
Finding No. 4 – Failure To Maintain An Adequate Record Keeping System10
Potential Withhold of State Aid
Supplementary Information
Report Distribution List

BACKGROUND

On December 18, 1984, the Pennsylvania Legislature adopted the Municipal Pension Plan Funding Standard and Recovery Act (P.L. 1005, No. 205, as amended, 53 P.S. § 895.101 et seq.). The act established mandatory actuarial reporting and funding requirements and a uniform basis for the distribution of state aid to Pennsylvania's public pension plans. Section 402(j) of Act 205 specifically requires the Auditor General, as deemed necessary, to make an audit of every municipality which receives general municipal pension system State aid and of every municipal pension plan and fund in which general municipal pension system State aid is deposited.

Pension plan aid is provided from a 2 percent foreign casualty insurance premium tax, a portion of the foreign fire insurance tax designated for paid firefighters and any investment income earned on the collection of these taxes. Generally, municipal pension plans established prior to December 18, 1984, are eligible for state aid. For municipal pension plans established after that date, the sponsoring municipality must fund the plan for three plan years before it becomes eligible for state aid. In accordance with Act 205, a municipality's annual state aid allocation cannot exceed its actual pension costs.

In addition to Act 205, the Munhall Borough Police Pension Plan is also governed by implementing regulations adopted by the Public Employee Retirement Commission published at Title 16, Part IV of the Pennsylvania Code and applicable provisions of various other state statutes including, but not limited to, the following:

Act 600 - Police Pension Fund Act, Act of May 29, 1956 (P.L. 1804, No. 600), as amended, 53 P.S. § 761 et seq.

The Munhall Borough Police Pension Plan is a single-employer defined benefit pension plan locally controlled by the provisions of Ordinance No. 1521, effective January 1, 2007, adopted pursuant to Act 600. The plan is also affected by the provisions of collective bargaining agreements between the borough and its police officers.



Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General Harrisburg, PA 17120-0018 Facebook: Pennsylvania Auditor General Twitter: @PAAuditorGen

EUGENE A. DEPASQUALE AUDITOR GENERAL

The Honorable Mayor and Borough Council Munhall Borough Allegheny County Munhall, PA 15120

We have conducted a compliance audit of the Munhall Borough Police Pension Plan for the period January 1, 2009, to December 31, 2011. The audit was conducted pursuant to authority derived from Section 402(j) of Act 205 and in accordance with the standards applicable to performance audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain sufficient, appropriate evidence to provide a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions based on our audit objective. We believe that the evidence obtained provides a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions based on our audit objective.

The objective of the audit was to determine if the pension plan was administered in compliance with applicable state laws, regulations, contracts, administrative procedures, and local ordinances and policies.

Our audit was limited to the areas related to the objective identified above. Our methodology addressed determinations about the following:

- · Whether state aid was properly determined and deposited in accordance with Act 205 requirements.
- · Whether employer contributions are determined and deposited in accordance with the plan's governing document and applicable laws and regulations.
- · Whether employee contributions are required and, if so, are determined, deducted and deposited into the pension plan and are in accordance with the plan provisions and applicable laws and regulations.
- · Whether benefit payments, if any, represent payments to all (and only) those entitled to receive them and are properly determined in accordance with applicable laws and regulations.

• Whether obligations for plan benefits are accurately determined in accordance with plan provisions and based on complete and accurate participant data; and whether actuarial valuation reports are prepared and submitted to the Public Employee Retirement Commission (PERC) in accordance with state law and selected information provided on these reports is accurate, complete and in accordance with plan provisions to ensure compliance for participation in the state aid program.

Munhall Borough contracted with an independent certified public accounting firm for audits of its basic financial statements for the years ending December 31, 2009 and 2010, which are available at the borough's offices. Those financial statements were not audited by us and, accordingly, we express no opinion or other form of assurance on them.

Borough officials are responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal controls to provide reasonable assurance that the Munhall Borough Police Pension Plan is administered in compliance with applicable state laws, regulations, contracts, administrative procedures, and local ordinances and policies. In conducting our audit, we obtained an understanding of the borough's internal controls as they relate to the borough's compliance with those requirements and that we considered to be significant within the context of our audit objective, and assessed whether those significant controls were properly designed and implemented. Additionally, we tested transactions, assessed official actions, performed analytical procedures and interviewed selected officials to provide reasonable assurance of detecting instances of noncompliance with legal and regulatory requirements or noncompliance with provisions of contracts, administrative procedures, and local ordinances and policies that are significant within the context of the audit objective.

The results of our tests indicated that, in all significant respects, the Munhall Borough Police Pension Plan was administered in compliance with applicable state laws, regulations, contracts, administrative procedures, and local ordinances and policies, except as noted in the following findings further discussed later in this report:

Finding No. 1 — Failure To Fully Pay The Minimum Municipal Obligation Of The Plan

Finding No. 2 — Failure To Determine And Submit The Financial Requirements And Minimum Municipal Obligation Of The Plan

Finding No. 3 - Pension Benefit Not In Compliance With Act 600 Provisions

Finding No. 4 – Failure To Maintain An Adequate Record-Keeping System

The accompanying supplementary information is presented for purposes of additional analysis. We did not audit the information or conclude on it and, accordingly, express no form of assurance on it.

The contents of this report were discussed with officials of Munhall Borough and, where appropriate, their responses have been included in the report.

May 6, 2013

EUGENE A. DEPASQUALE

Eugent: O-Pager

Auditor General

<u>Finding No. 1 – Failure To Fully Pay The Minimum Municipal Obligation Of The Plan</u>

<u>Condition</u>: Plan officials did not fully pay the minimum municipal obligation (MMO) of the police pension plan for the years 2010, 2011 and 2012, as required by Act 205. Based upon an estimate prepared by this department, the municipality has unpaid MMO balances of \$61,862, \$62,916 and \$69,870 for the years 2010, 2011 and 2012, respectively.

<u>Criteria</u>: With regard to the MMO, Section 302(c) of Act 205 states, in part:

Annually, the chief administrative officer of the pension plan shall determine the minimum obligation of the municipality with respect to the pension plan for the following plan year.

Section 302(d) of Act 205 states, in part:

The minimum obligation of the municipality shall be payable to the pension plan from the revenue of the municipality.

Furthermore, Section 302(e) of Act 205 states:

Any amount of the minimum obligation of the municipality which remains unpaid as of December 31 of the year in which the minimum obligation is due shall be added to the minimum obligation of the municipality for the following year, with interest from January 1 of the year in which the minimum obligation was first due until the date the payment is paid at a rate equal to the interest assumption used for the actuarial valuation report or the discount rate applicable to treasury bills issued by the Department of Treasury of the United States with a six-month maturity as of the last business day in December of the plan year in which the obligation was due, whichever is greater, expressed as a monthly rate and compounded monthly.

<u>Cause</u>: Plan officials included an amount of anticipated employee contributions in the 2010 and 2011 MMO calculations that were used to reduce the amount of the MMOs due; however, member contributions were not deducted in those years. In 2012, the municipality only deposited state aid into the pension plan and failed to make any additional municipal contributions that were due in order to fully pay the MMO.

Finding No. 1 – (Continued)

<u>Effect</u>: The proper determination of the plan's MMO ensures municipal officials can properly allocate the necessary resources to the pension plan for the upcoming year. The failure to fully pay the MMO could result in the plan not having adequate resources to meet current and future benefit obligations to its members.

Due to the municipality's failure to fully pay the 2010, 2011 and 2012 MMOs by the December 31, deadlines, the municipality must add the cumulative unpaid MMO balance to the current year's MMO and include interest, as required by Act 205.

<u>Recommendation</u>: We recommend that the municipality pay the MMOs due to the police pension plan for the years 2010, 2011 and 2012, with interest, in accordance with Section 302(e) of Act 205. A copy of the interest calculation must be maintained by the borough for examination during our next audit of the plan.

In addition, we recommend that plan officials establish adequate internal control procedures to ensure that future MMOs are properly determined and fully paid in accordance with Act 205 requirements.

Management's Response: Municipal officials agreed with this finding without exception.

<u>Finding No. 2 – Failure To Determine And Submit The Financial Requirements And Minimum Municipal Obligation Of The Plan</u>

<u>Condition</u>: Plan officials did not determine the financial requirements of the plan (FRP) or the minimum municipal obligation (MMO) of the police pension plan for the year 2013, as required by Act 205. In addition, the chief administrative officer (CAO) of the plan failed to submit the FRP and MMO to the governing body of the municipality, as required by Act 205.

<u>Criteria</u>: With regard to the FRP, Section 302(b) of Act 205 states, in part:

Annually, the chief administrative officer of the pension plan shall determine the financial requirements of the pension plan for the following plan year.

Finding No. 2 – (Continued)

With regard to the MMO, Section 302(c) of Act 205 states, in part:

Annually, the chief administrative officer of the pension plan shall determine the minimum obligation of the municipality with respect to the pension plan for the following plan year.

Furthermore, Section 304 of Act 205 states, in part:

The chief administrative officer of each pension plan shall submit the financial requirements of the pension plan and the minimum obligation of the municipality with respect to the pension plan, with appropriate documenting detail, to the governing body of the municipality on or before the last business day in September, annually.

<u>Cause</u>: Plan officials failed to establish adequate internal control procedures to ensure the plan's MMO was determined and submitted to the municipality's governing body in accordance with Act 205 requirements.

<u>Effect</u>: The proper determination and submission of the plan's FRP and MMO ensures plan officials can properly allocate the necessary resources to the pension plan for the upcoming year.

<u>Recommendation</u>: We recommend that the plan's CAO determine the FRP and MMO of the pension plan for the year 2013 and submit these calculations to the governing body of the municipality as required by Act 205.

Furthermore, plan officials should establish adequate internal control procedures, which could include a written procedure manual, to assist them in complying with Act 205 reporting and funding requirements.

Management's Response: Municipal officials agreed with the finding without exception.

Finding No. 3 – Pension Benefit Not In Compliance With Act 600 Provisions

<u>Condition</u>: The collective bargaining agreements (CBAs) between the police officers and the borough for the period January 1, 2009, to December 31, 2011, and January 1, 2012, to December 31, 2015, contain a benefit provision that conflicts with the pension plan's governing document and is not in compliance with Act 600. The specific inconsistency is noted below:

Benefit Provision	Governing Document	Collective Bargaining Agreement	Act 600 (as amended)
Service-related disability benefit	A Participant who retires due to a Total and Permanent Disability shall be eligible for a Disability Retirement Benefit equal to the greater of fifty percent (50%) of the Member's Salary at the Time the Disability was Incurred or the Accrued Benefit of the Participant determined as of the Disability Date reduced by the amount of any payments for which the Participant shall be eligible under any worker's compensation or similar laws, or any other disability compensation provided by the Borough. A Participant who received Social Security disability benefits for the same injuries, shall have the Disability Retirement Benefit offset or reduced by the amount of such Social Security disability benefits.	Any full-time officer who retires due to a "Total and Permanent Disability," shall be deemed to have retired as of his Disability Retirement Date. The disability retirement benefit shall be a monthly amount equal to his normal retirement benefit multiplied by the applicable percentage as follows: After completion of at least two (2) years of full-time service – 100% of normal retirement benefits. Less than two (2) years of full-time service – 50% of normal retirement benefits.	The benefit must be in conformity with a uniform scale and fixed by the plan's governing document at no less than 50% of the member's salary at the time the disability was incurred, reduced by the amount of Social Security disability benefits received for the same injury.

Finding No. 3 – (Continued)

<u>Criteria</u>: The plan's governing document and the collective bargaining agreements should contain consistent benefit provisions to ensure the sound administration of retirement benefits. In addition, the police pension plan's benefit structure should be in compliance with Act 600.

<u>Cause</u>: Municipal officials failed establish adequate internal control procedures to ensure that the service-related disability benefit provision contained in the collective bargaining agreements was consistent with the provision contained in the plan's governing document and in compliance with Act 600.

<u>Effect</u>: Inconsistent plan documents could result in inconsistent or improper benefit calculations and incorrect benefit payments from the pension plan. In addition, maintaining a benefit structure which is not in compliance with Act 600 could result in plan members or their beneficiaries receiving incorrect benefit amounts or being denied benefits to which they are statutorily entitled.

<u>Recommendation</u>: We recommend that municipal officials, after consulting with their solicitor, take whatever action is necessary to ensure that the collective bargaining agreement and the plan's governing document contain a consistent service-related disability benefit provision that is in compliance with Act 600 at their earliest opportunity to do so.

Management's Response: Municipal officials agreed with the finding without exception.

Finding No. 4 – Failure To Maintain An Adequate Record-Keeping System

<u>Condition</u>: During the current audit period, the municipality did not maintain an adequate record-keeping system for the pension plan. The municipality failed to maintain the following plan documents:

- · The plan's 2009 annual custodial account statements;
- · Copies of the annual Certification Forms AG 385;
- The annual minimum municipal obligation (MMO) calculations;
- · Retirees' pension earnings for the years ending December 31, 2009 and 2010;
- · A copy of the revised January 1, 2009, actuarial valuation report filed with the Public Employee Retirement Commission; and
- The plan members' W-2 earning reports as of December 31, 2011.

<u>Criteria</u>: An adequate system of accounting and record keeping is a prerequisite for the sound administration of pension plans.

<u>Cause</u>: Plan officials failed to establish adequate internal control procedures to ensure an adequate record-keeping system was maintained.

<u>Effect</u>: Although we were able to obtain copies from third-party sources in order to complete our audit procedures, the failure of plan officials to maintain adequate records prohibits municipal officials from effectively monitoring the plan's financial operations.

<u>Recommendation</u>: We recommend that the municipality establish adequate internal control procedures to ensure that an adequate record-keeping system is maintained for the pension plan to ensure that plan officials can effectively monitor the plan's financial operations.

Management's Response: Municipal officials agreed with this finding without exception.

MUNHALL BOROUGH POLICE PENSION PLAN POTENTIAL WITHHOLD OF STATE AID

A condition such as that reported by Finding No 1 contained in this audit report may lead to a total withholding of state aid in the future unless that finding is corrected. However, such action will not be considered if sufficient written documentation is provided to verify compliance with this department's recommendation. Such documentation should be submitted to: Department of the Auditor General, Bureau of Municipal Pension Audits, 402-D Finance Building, Harrisburg, PA 17120.

MUNHALL BOROUGH POLICE PENSION PLAN SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION (UNAUDITED)

SCHEDULE OF FUNDING PROGRESS

Historical trend information about the plan is presented herewith as supplementary information. It is intended to help users assess the plan's funding status on a going-concern basis, assess progress made in accumulating assets to pay benefits when due, and make comparisons with other state and local government retirement systems.

The actuarial information is required by Act 205 biennially. The historical information, beginning as of January 1, 2007, is as follows:

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
						Unfunded
			Unfunded			(Assets in
		Actuarial	(Assets in			Excess of)
		Accrued	Excess of)			Actuarial
	Actuarial	Liability	Actuarial			Accrued
Actuarial	Value of	(AAL) -	Accrued	Funded	Covered	Liability as a %
Valuation	Assets	Entry Age	Liability	Ratio	Payroll	of Payroll
Date	(a)	(b)	(b) - (a)	(a)/(b)	(c)	[(b-a)/(c)]
01-01-07	\$ 8,758,600	\$ 5,993,293	\$ (2,765,307)	146.1%	\$1,272,775	(217.3%)
01-01-09	8,571,940	6,693,620	(1,878,320)	128.1%	1,396,824	(134.5%)
01-01-11	7,962,827	7,646,242	(316,585)	104.1%	1,445,033	(21.9%)

Note: The market values of the plan's assets at 01-01-07, 01-01-09 and 01-01-11, have been adjusted to reflect the smoothing of gains and/or losses over a 4-year averaging period. This method will lower contributions in years of less than expected returns and increase contributions in years of greater than expected returns. The net effect over long periods of time is to have less variance in contribution levels from year to year.

MUNHALL BOROUGH POLICE PENSION PLAN SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION (UNAUDITED)

The comparability of trend information is affected by changes in actuarial assumptions, benefit provisions, actuarial funding methods, accounting policies, and other changes. Those changes usually affect trends in contribution requirements and in ratios that use the actuarial accrued liability as a factor.

Analysis of the dollar amount of the actuarial value of assets, actuarial accrued liability, and unfunded (assets in excess of) actuarial accrued liability in isolation can be misleading. Expressing the actuarial value of assets as a percentage of the actuarial accrued liability (Column 4) provides one indication of the plan's funding status on a going-concern basis. Analysis of this percentage, over time, indicates whether the system is becoming financially stronger or weaker. Generally, the greater this percentage, the stronger the plan.

Trends in unfunded (assets in excess of) actuarial accrued liability and annual covered payroll are both affected by inflation. Expressing the unfunded (assets in excess of) actuarial accrued liability as a percentage of annual covered payroll (Column 6) approximately adjusts for the effects of inflation and aids analysis of the plan's progress made in accumulating sufficient assets to pay benefits when due. Generally, where there is an unfunded actuarial accrued liability, the smaller this percentage, the stronger the plan. However, when assets are in excess of the actuarial accrued liability, the higher the bracketed percentage, the stronger the plan.

MUNHALL BOROUGH POLICE PENSION PLAN SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION (UNAUDITED)

SCHEDULE OF CONTRIBUTIONS FROM EMPLOYER AND OTHER CONTRIBUTING ENTITIES

Year Ended December 31	Annual Required Contribution	Percentage Contributed	
2006	None	N/A	
2007	None	N/A	
2008	None	N/A	
2009	None	N/A	
2010	\$ 76,391	19.0%	
2011	81,216	22.5%	

MUNHALL BOROUGH POLICE PENSION PLAN SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION NOTES TO SUPPLEMENTARY SCHEDULES (UNAUDITED)

The information presented in the required supplementary schedules was determined as part of the actuarial valuation at the date indicated. Additional information as of the latest actuarial valuation date follows:

Actuarial valuation date January 1, 2011

Actuarial cost method Entry age normal

Amortization method N/A

Remaining amortization period N/A

Asset valuation method Fair value, 4-year smoothing

Actuarial assumptions:

Investment rate of return * 7.5%

Projected salary increases * 5.5%

* Includes inflation at 3.0%

MUNHALL BOROUGH POLICE PENSION PLAN REPORT DISTRIBUTION LIST

This report was initially distributed to the following:

The Honorable Tom Corbett Governor Commonwealth of Pennsylvania

Munhall Borough Police Pension Plan Allegheny County 1900 West Street Munhall, PA 15120

The Honorable Raymond G. Bodnar Mayor

Mr. Joseph Ballas Council President

Mr. Matthew F. Galla Borough Manager

This report is a matter of public record and is available online at www.auditorgen.state.pa.us. Media questions about the report can be directed to the Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General, Office of Communications, 231 Finance Building, Harrisburg, PA 17120; via email to: news@auditorgen.state.pa.us.