LIMITED PROCEDURES ENGAGEMENT

Springdale Borough Police Pension Plan

Allegheny County, Pennsylvania
For the Period
January 1, 2016 to December 31, 2018

October 2019



Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General

Eugene A. DePasquale • Auditor General





Commonwealth of Pennsylvania
Department of the Auditor General
Harrisburg, PA 17120-0018
Facebook: Pennsylvania Auditor General
Twitter: @PAAuditorGen
www.PaAuditor.gov

EUGENE A. DEPASQUALE AUDITOR GENERAL

The Honorable Mayor and Borough Council Springdale Borough Allegheny County Springdale, PA 15144

We conducted a Limited Procedures Engagement (LPE) of the Springdale Borough Police Pension Plan for the period January 1, 2016 to December 31, 2018 to determine its compliance with applicable state laws, regulations, contracts, administrative procedures, and local ordinances and policies. We also evaluated compliance with some requirements subsequent to that period when possible. The LPE was conducted pursuant to authority derived from Section 402(j) of the Municipal Pension Plan Funding Standard and Recovery Act (P.L. 1005, No. 205, as amended, 53 P.S. § 895.101 et seq.) but was not conducted in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. The act established mandatory actuarial reporting and funding requirements and a uniform basis for the distribution of state aid to Pennsylvania's public pension plans. We believe that the evidence obtained provides a reasonable basis to support our LPE results.

Our LPE was limited to determining the following:

- Whether municipal officials took appropriate corrective action to address the finding contained in our prior audit report, by inquiring of plan officials and evaluating supporting documentation provided by officials evidencing that the suggested corrective action has been appropriately taken.
- · Whether state aid was properly determined and deposited in accordance with Act 205 requirements by verifying the annual deposit date of state aid and determining whether deposits were made within 30 days of receipt for all years within the engagement period.
- Whether annual employer contributions were calculated and deposited in accordance with the plan's governing document and applicable laws and regulations by examining the municipality's calculation of the plan's annual financial requirements and minimum municipal obligation (MMO) and comparing these calculated amounts to amounts actually budgeted and deposited into the pension plan as evidenced by supporting documentation.

- Whether annual employee contributions were required during the engagement period and, if so, were calculated, deducted, and deposited into the pension plan in accordance with the plan's governing document and applicable laws and regulations by testing total members' contributions on an annual basis using the rates obtained from the plan's governing document in effect for all years within the engagement period and examining documents evidencing the deposit of these employee contributions into the pension plan.
- Whether the January 1, 2015 and January 1, 2017 actuarial valuation reports were prepared and submitted by March 31, 2016 and 2018, respectively, in accordance with Act 205 and whether selected information provided on these reports is accurate, complete, and in accordance with plan provisions to ensure compliance for participation in the state aid program by comparing selected information to supporting source documentation.
- Whether the terms of the plan's unallocated insurance contract, including ownership and any restrictions, were in compliance with plan provisions, investment policies, and state regulations by comparing the terms of the contract with the plan's provisions, investment policies, and state regulations.

Based on the results of our procedures performed during our LPE, nothing came to our attention indicating that the Springdale Borough Police Pension Plan was not being administered in compliance with applicable state laws, regulations, contracts, administrative procedures, and local ordinances and policies.

Our determination to perform a LPE for this engagement period does not preclude the Department from conducting an audit in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* of the pension plan in subsequent periods. The borough should continue to maintain documentation related to this pension plan.

The accompanying supplementary information is presented for purposes of additional analysis. We did not audit the information or conclude on it and, accordingly, express no form of assurance on it.

The contents of this report were discussed with officials of Springdale Borough and, where appropriate, their responses have been included in this report. We would like to thank borough officials for the cooperation extended to us during the conduct of this LPE.

October 2, 2019

EUGENE A. DEPASQUALE

Eugnat: O-Pagus

Auditor General

CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
Status of Prior Finding	1
Supplementary Information	2
Report Distribution List	9

SPRINGDALE BOROUGH POLICE PENSION PLAN STATUS OF PRIOR FINDING

Compliance With Prior Audit Report Recommendation

Springdale Borough has complied with the prior audit report recommendation concerning the following:

· Incorrect Data On Certification Form AG 385 Resulting In An Overpayment Of State Aid

On March 31, 2017, the borough reimbursed the Commonwealth \$22,256 for the overpayment of state aid received in 2014 and complied with the instructions that accompanied Certification Form AG 385s and accurately reported the required data.

The supplementary information contained on Pages 2 through 4 reflects the implementation of GASB Statement No. 67, *Financial Reporting for Pension Plans*. The objective of this statement is to improve financial reporting by state and local governmental pension plans.

SCHEDULE OF CHANGES IN THE NET PENSION LIABILITY AND RELATED RATIOS FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2015 AND 2016

		<u>2015</u>		<u>2016</u>
Total Pension Liability				
Service cost	\$	78,430	\$	82,352
Interest		37,553		42,388
Benefit payments, including refunds of member				
contributions		(40,476)		(31,014)
Net Change in Total Pension Liability		75,507		93,726
Total Pension Liability – Beginning		594,623		670,130
Total Pension Liability – Ending (a)	\$	670,130	\$	763,856
DI DII I NA DAN				
Plan Fiduciary Net Position	Φ.	0.5.01.1	Φ.	
Contributions – employer	\$	85,211	\$	57,566
Contributions – member		15,201		11,609
Net investment income		6,365		37,568
Benefit payments, including refunds of member				
contributions		(40,476)		(31,014)
Administrative expense	-	(2,452)		(3,599)
Net Change in Plan Fiduciary Net Position		63,849		72,130
Plan Fiduciary Net Position – Beginning		624,755		688,604
Plan Fiduciary Net Position – Ending (b)	\$	688,604	\$	760,734
Not Dengion Lightlity Ending (a.h.)	¢	(10 474)	¢	2 122
Net Pension Liability – Ending (a-b)	\$	(18,474)	\$	3,122
Plan Fiduciary Net Position as a Percentage of the Total				
Pension Liability		102.8%		99.6%
Estimated Covered Employee Poymall	¢	220.965	¢	222 167
Estimated Covered Employee Payroll	\$	229,865	\$	232,167
Net Pension Liability as a Percentage of Covered				
Employee Payroll		(8.0%)		1.3%

SCHEDULE OF CHANGES IN THE NET PENSION LIABILITY AND RELATED RATIOS FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2017, AND 2018

		<u>2017</u>		<u>2018</u>
Total Pension Liability				
Service cost	\$	64,356	\$	67,574
Interest		42,460		47,019
Difference between expected and actual experience		(77,405)		-
Changes of assumptions		2,796		-
Benefit payments, including refunds of member				
contributions		(30,754)		(30,742)
Net Change in Total Pension Liability		1,453		83,851
Total Pension Liability – Beginning		763,856		765,309
Total Pension Liability – Ending (a)	\$	765,309	\$	849,160
Plan Fiduciary Net Position				
Contributions – employer	\$	53,110	\$	48,404
Contributions – member	Ψ	11,994	Ψ	13,727
Net investment income		68,561		(7,529)
Benefit payments, including refunds of member		00,501		(1,52)
contributions		(30,754)		(30,742)
Administrative expense		(4,022)		(4,447)
Net Change in Plan Fiduciary Net Position		98,889		19,413
Plan Fiduciary Net Position – Beginning		760,734		859,623
Plan Fiduciary Net Position – Ending (b)	\$	859,623	\$	879,036
Train Franciary (vet Fosition – Ending (b)	Ψ	037,023	Ψ	077,030
Net Pension Liability – Ending (a-b)	\$	(94,314)	\$	(29,876)
Plan Fiduciary Net Position as a Percentage of the Total		112 20/		103.5%
Pension Liability		112.3%		103.5%
Estimated Covered Employee Payroll	\$	239,685	\$	312,460
Net Pension Liability as a Percentage of Covered				
Employee Payroll		(39.3%)		(9.6%)

Sensitivity of the Net Pension Liability to Changes in the Discount Rate

The following presents the net pension liability of the borough as of December 31, 2016, 2017, and 2018 calculated using the discount rate of 5.75%, as well as what the borough's net pension liability would be if it were calculated using a discount rate that is 1 percentage-point lower or 1 percentage-point higher than the current rate:

	Decrease (4.75%)	Dis	Current count Rate (5.75%)	1	% Increase (6.75%)
Net Pension Liability – 12/31/16	\$ 131,804	\$	3,122	\$	(100,563)
Net Pension Liability – 12/31/17	\$ 38,003	\$	(94,314)	\$	(199.940)
Net Pension Liability – 12/13/18	\$ 119,705	\$	(29,876)	\$	(149,321)

SCHEDULE OF INVESTMENT RETURNS

Annual Money-Weighted Rate of Return, Net of Investment Expense:

2018	(0.87%)
2017	9.03%
2016	5.37%

SCHEDULE OF FUNDING PROGRESS

Historical trend information about the plan is presented herewith as supplementary information. It is intended to help users assess the plan's funding status on a going-concern basis, assess progress made in accumulating assets to pay benefits when due, and make comparisons with other state and local government retirement systems.

The actuarial information is required by Act 205 biennially. The historical information, beginning as of January 1, 2013, is as follows:

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
			Unfunded	
		Actuarial	(Assets in	
		Accrued	Excess of)	
	Actuarial	Liability	Actuarial	
Actuarial	Value of	(AAL) -	Accrued	Funded
Valuation	Assets	Entry Age	Liability	Ratio
Date	(a)	(b) - (a)		(a)/(b)
01-01-13	\$ 479,357	\$ 630,234	\$ 150,877	76.1%
01-01-15	624,755	594,623	(30,132)	105.1%
01-01-17	760,734	689,247	(71,487)	110.4%

The comparability of trend information is affected by changes in actuarial assumptions, benefit provisions, actuarial funding methods, accounting policies, and other changes. Those changes usually affect trends in contribution requirements and in ratios that use the actuarial accrued liability as a factor.

Analysis of the dollar amount of the actuarial value of assets, actuarial accrued liability, and unfunded (assets in excess of) actuarial accrued liability in isolation can be misleading. Expressing the actuarial value of assets as a percentage of the actuarial accrued liability (Column 4) provides one indication of the plan's funding status on a going-concern basis. Analysis of this percentage, over time, indicates whether the system is becoming financially stronger or weaker. Generally, the greater this percentage, the stronger the plan.

SCHEDULE OF CONTRIBUTIONS FROM EMPLOYER AND OTHER CONTRIBUTING ENTITIES

Year Ended December 31	Annual Required Contribution	Percentage Contributed
2013	\$ 40,381	100.0%
2014	74,592	100.0%
2015	85,211	100.0%
2016	57,566	100.00%
2017	53,110	100.0%
2018	48,404	100.0%

SPRINGDALE BOROUGH POLICE PENSION PLAN SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION NOTES TO SUPPLEMENTARY SCHEDULES (UNAUDITED)

The information presented in the supplementary schedules was determined as part of the actuarial valuation at the date indicated. Additional information as of the latest actuarial valuation date follows:

Actuarial valuation date January 1, 2017

Actuarial cost method Entry age normal

Amortization method N/A

Remaining amortization period N/A

Asset valuation method Fair value

Actuarial assumptions:

Investment rate of return * 5.75% net of investment expenses

not funded through the MMO, and

including inflation

Projected salary increases * 5.0% including inflation

^{*} Includes inflation at 2.75%

SPRINGDALE BOROUGH POLICE PENSION PLAN REPORT DISTRIBUTION LIST

This report was initially distributed to the following:

The Honorable Tom W. Wolf

Governor Commonwealth of Pennsylvania

The Honorable Joseph Bertoline Mayor

> Mr. James R. Zurisko Council President

> Ms. Eileen Miller Council Vice-President

Ms. Kimberly McAfoose Borough Manager

This report is a matter of public record and is available online at www.PaAuditor.gov. Media questions about the report can be directed to the Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General, Office of Communications, 229 Finance Building, Harrisburg, PA 17120; via email to: news@PaAuditor.gov.