WAYNESBURG BOROUGH POLICE PENSION PLAN

GREENE COUNTY

COMPLIANCE AUDIT REPORT

FOR THE PERIOD

JANUARY 1, 2006, TO DECEMBER 31, 2008



WAYNESBURG BOROUGH POLICE PENSION PLAN

GREENE COUNTY

COMPLIANCE AUDIT REPORT

FOR THE PERIOD

JANUARY 1, 2006, TO DECEMBER 31, 2008

CONTENTS

Page

Background	1
Letter from the Auditor General	3
Status of Prior Findings	5
Findings and Recommendations:	
Finding No. 1 – Noncompliance With Prior Audit Recommendation – Pension Benefits Not In Compliance With Act 600 Provisions	6
Finding No. 2 – Failure To Fully Pay The Minimum Municipal Obligation Of The Plan	8
Finding No. 3 – Incorrect Data On Certification Form AG 385 Resulting In An Underpayment Of State Aid	9
Supplementary Information1	1
Report Distribution List1	5

BACKGROUND

On December 18, 1984, the Pennsylvania Legislature adopted the Municipal Pension Plan Funding Standard and Recovery Act (P.L. 1005, No. 205, as amended, 53 P.S. § 895.101 <u>et seq.</u>). The act established mandatory actuarial reporting and funding requirements and a uniform basis for the distribution of state aid to Pennsylvania's public pension plans. Section 402(j) of Act 205 specifically requires the Auditor General, as deemed necessary, to make an audit of every municipality which receives general municipal pension system State aid and of every municipal pension plan and fund in which general municipal pension system State aid is deposited.

Pension plan aid is provided from a 2 percent foreign casualty insurance premium tax, a portion of the foreign fire insurance tax designated for paid firefighters and any investment income earned on the collection of these taxes. Generally, municipal pension plans established prior to December 18, 1984, are eligible for state aid. For municipal pension plans established after that date, the sponsoring municipality must fund the plan for three plan years before it becomes eligible for state aid. In accordance with Act 205, a municipality's annual state aid allocation cannot exceed its actual pension costs.

In addition to Act 205, the Waynesburg Borough Police Pension Plan is also governed by implementing regulations adopted by the Public Employee Retirement Commission published at Title 16, Part IV of the Pennsylvania Code and applicable provisions of various other state statutes including, but not limited to, the following:

Act 600 - Police Pension Fund Act, Act of May 29, 1956 (P.L. 1804, No. 600), as amended, 53 P.S. § 761 et seq.

The Waynesburg Borough Police Pension Plan is a single-employer defined benefit pension plan locally controlled by the provisions of Ordinance No. 4-1958, as amended, adopted pursuant to Act 600. The plan is also affected by the provisions of collective bargaining agreements between the borough and its police officers.



The Honorable Mayor and Borough Council Waynesburg Borough Greene County Waynesburg, PA 15370

We have conducted a compliance audit of the Waynesburg Borough Police Pension Plan for the period January 1, 2006, to December 31, 2008. The audit was conducted pursuant to authority derived from Section 402(j) of Act 205 and in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* applicable to performance audits issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform our audit to obtain sufficient, appropriate evidence to provide a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions based on our audit objectives. We believe that the evidence obtained provides a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions based on our audit objectives.

The objectives of the audit were:

- 1. To determine if municipal officials took appropriate corrective action to address the findings contained in our prior audit report; and
- 2. To determine if the pension plan was administered in compliance with applicable state laws, regulations, contracts, administrative procedures, and local ordinances and policies.

Our audit was limited to the areas related to the objectives identified above. Waynesburg Borough contracted with an independent certified public accounting firm for annual audits of its basic financial statements which are available at the borough's offices. Those financial statements were not audited by us and, accordingly, we express no opinion or other form of assurance on them.

Borough officials are responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal controls to provide reasonable assurance that the Waynesburg Borough Police Pension Plan is administered in compliance with applicable state laws, regulations, contracts, administrative procedures, and local ordinances and policies. In conducting our audit, we obtained an understanding of the borough's internal controls as they relate to the borough's compliance with those requirements and that we considered to be significant within the context of our audit objectives, and assessed whether those significant controls were properly designed and implemented. Additionally, we tested transactions, assessed official actions, performed analytical procedures and interviewed selected officials to the extent necessary to satisfy the audit objectives.

The results of our tests indicated that, in all significant respects, the Waynesburg Borough Police Pension Plan was administered in compliance with applicable state laws, regulations, contracts, administrative procedures, and local ordinances and policies, except as noted in the following findings further discussed later in this report:

Finding No. 1	-	Noncompliance With Prior Audit Recommendation – Pension Benefits Not In Compliance With Act 600 Provisions
Finding No. 2	_	Failure To Fully Pay The Minimum Municipal Obligation Of The Plan
Finding No. 3	_	Incorrect Data On Certification Form AG 385 Resulting In An Underpayment Of State Aid

The accompanying supplementary information is presented for purposes of additional analysis. We did not audit the information and, accordingly, express no form of assurance on it.

The contents of this report were discussed with officials of Waynesburg Borough and, where appropriate, their responses have been included in the report.

October 30, 2009

JACK WAGNER Auditor General

WAYNESBURG BOROUGH POLICE PENSION PLAN STATUS OF PRIOR FINDINGS

Compliance With Prior Audit Recommendation

Waynesburg Borough has complied with the prior audit recommendation concerning the following:

· Incorrect Data On Certification Form AG 385 Resulting In An Overpayment Of State Aid

The borough reimbursed \$506 to the Commonwealth for the overpayment of state aid.

Status Of Prior Audit Recommendation

• Pension Benefit In Excess Of Act 600

The prior audit report disclosed that the collective bargaining agreement for the period January 1, 2006, to December 31, 2010, contained a normal retirement benefit in excess of Act 600 provisions. Since the borough has not had the opportunity to amend the collective bargaining agreement, compliance with the finding recommendation will be evaluated during our next audit of the plan.

Noncompliance With Prior Audit Recommendation

Waynesburg Borough has not complied with the prior audit recommendation concerning the following as further discussed in the Findings and Recommendations section of this report:

• Pension Benefits Not In Compliance With Act 600 Provisions

<u>Finding No. 1 – Noncompliance With Prior Audit Recommendation – Pension Benefits Not In</u> <u>Compliance With Act 600 Provisions</u>

<u>Condition</u>: As disclosed in the prior audit report, the pension plan's governing document contains benefit provisions that are not in compliance with Act 600, as amended.

Furthermore, on April 17, 2002, Act 600 was amended by Act 30, which made significant changes to the statutorily prescribed benefit structure of police pension plans subject to Act 600. Municipal officials have not amended the police pension plan's benefit structure to adopt all of the changes mandated by Act 30. The specific inconsistencies are as follows:

Benefit Provision	Governing Document	Act 600 (as amended)	
Intervening military service credit	Not provided	Any member of the police force employed by a borough, town, township or regional police department, who has been a regularly appointed employee of any such political subdivision or regional police department for a period of at least six months and who thereafter shall enter into the military service of the United States, shall have credited to his employment record for pension or retirement benefits all of the time spent by him in such military service, if such person returns or has heretofore returned to his employment within six months after his separation from the service.	
Service-related disability benefit	The Pension Fund shall hereinafter contain a service related disability benefit of 66-2/3 per cent of the officer's salary as required by Act 600.	The benefit must be in conformity with a uniform scale and fixed by the plan's governing document at no less than 50 percent of the member's salary at the time the disability was incurred, reduced by the amount of Social Security disability benefits received for the same injury.	

Finding No. 1 – (Continued)

Benefit Provision	Governing Document	Act 600 (as amended)
Survivor's benefit	In the event a member is receiving pension payments, and should become deceased, the Fund shall continue pension payments to the surviving widow, and if no widow survives or if she survives and subsequently dies or remarries, the surviving child or children, under the age of 18 years, of members retired on pension, and shall receive an amount equal to 50% of the monthly payments payable to the retired member. It is further provided, that should a member of the Fund become deceased before his having retired, but being eligible to retire under any provision herein, the surviving widow, and if no widow survives or if she survives and subsequently dies or remarries, the surviving child or children, under the age of eighteen (18) years, shall receive an amount equal to fifty (50) percent of the pension said member would have received had he been eligible to retire at	Act ood (as amended) A lifetime survivor's benefit must be provided to the surviving spouse (or if no spouse survives or if he or she subsequently dies, the child or children under 18 years of age or if attending college, under or attaining the age of 23) of no less than 50% of the pension the member was receiving or would have been entitled to receive had he been retired at the time of death. ("Attending college" shall mean the eligible children are registered at an accredited institution of higher learning and are carrying a minimum course load of 7 credit hours per semester.)
	<i>a</i>	

<u>Criteria</u>: A governing document which contains clearly defined and updated provisions is a prerequisite for the consistent, sound administration of retirement benefits. In addition, the police pension plan's benefit structure should be in compliance with Act 600, as amended.

the time of his death.

<u>Cause</u>: Municipal officials failed to establish adequate internal control procedures to ensure compliance with the prior audit recommendation.

Finding No. 1 – (Continued)

<u>Effect</u>: The failure to maintain a governing document that is in compliance with Act 600, as amended, could result in plan members or their beneficiaries receiving incorrect benefit amounts or being denied benefits to which they are statutorily entitled.

<u>Recommendation</u>: We again recommend that municipal officials, after consulting with their solicitor, take whatever action is necessary to bring the police pension plan's benefit structure into compliance with Act 600, as amended, at their earliest opportunity to do so.

<u>Management's Response</u>: Municipal officials agreed with the finding without exception. Subsequent to the audit period, municipal officials adopted Ordinance Nos. 5, 6, 7 and 8 of 2009, which contained the provisions necessary to bring the police pension plan's benefit structure into compliance with Act 600, as amended.

<u>Auditor's Conclusion</u>: Based on the management response, municipal officials have complied with the finding recommendation.

Finding No. 2 – Failure To Fully Pay The Minimum Municipal Obligation Of The Plan

<u>Condition</u>: The municipality did not fully pay the minimum municipal obligation (MMO) that was due to the police pension plan for the year 2008, as required by Act 205. The municipality had an unpaid 2008 MMO balance of \$20,201.

Criteria: Section 302(d) of Act 205 states, in part:

The minimum obligation of the municipality shall be payable to the pension plan from the revenue of the municipality.

Furthermore, Section 302(e) of Act 205 states, in part:

Any amount of the minimum obligation of the municipality which remains unpaid as of December 31 of the year in which the minimum obligation is due shall be added to the minimum obligation of the municipality for the following year, with interest from January 1 of the year in which the minimum obligation was first due until the date the payment is paid. . . .

Finding No. 2 – (Continued)

<u>Cause</u>: Plan officials failed to establish adequate internal control procedures to ensure the MMO was paid in accordance with Act 205 requirements.

<u>Effect</u>: The failure to fully pay the MMO could result in the plan not having adequate resources to meet current and future benefit obligations to its members.

Due to the municipality's failure to fully pay the 2008 MMO by the December 31, 2008, deadline, the municipality must add the 2008 MMO balance to the current year's MMO and include interest, as required by Act 205.

<u>Recommendation</u>: We recommend that the municipality pay the MMO due to the police pension plan for the year 2008, with interest, in accordance with Section 302(e) of Act 205. A copy of the interest calculation must be maintained by the borough for examination during our next audit of the plan.

Management's Response: Municipal officials agreed with the finding without exception.

<u>Finding No. 3 – Incorrect Data On Certification Form AG 385 Resulting In An Underpayment</u> Of State Aid

<u>Condition</u>: The borough understated the police officers' payroll by \$3,568 and understated the nonuniformed union employees' payroll by \$1,129 in 2009 on Certification Form AG 385.

<u>Criteria</u>: Pursuant to the instructions that accompany Certification Form AG 385, the total payroll eligible to be certified should be Internal Revenue Service Form W-2 earnings pertaining to full-time positions.

<u>Cause</u>: Plan officials failed to establish adequate internal control procedures to ensure the accuracy of the data certified.

Finding No. 3 – (Continued)

<u>Effect</u>: The data submitted on this certification form is used, in part, to calculate the state aid due to the borough for distribution to its pension plans. Because the borough's state aid allocation was based on pension costs, the borough received an underpayment of state aid of \$604 as identified below:

Type Of Plan	Normal Cost		ayroll lerstated	ate Aid erpayment
Police Nonuniformed Union	15.15644% 5.57867%	\$ \$	3,568 1,129	\$ 541 63
			Total	\$ 604

Although the borough will be reimbursed for the underpayment of state aid due to the borough's certification errors, the full amount of the 2009 state aid allocation was not available to be deposited timely and therefore was not available to pay operating expenses or for investment.

<u>Recommendation</u>: We recommend that, in the future, plan officials comply with the instructions that accompany Certification Form AG 385 to assist them in accurately reporting the required pension plan data.

Management's Response: Municipal officials agreed with the finding without exception.

WAYNESBURG BOROUGH POLICE PENSION PLAN SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION (UNAUDITED)

SCHEDULE OF FUNDING PROGRESS

Historical trend information about the plan is presented herewith as supplementary information. It is intended to help users assess the plan's funding status on a going-concern basis, assess progress made in accumulating assets to pay benefits when due, and make comparisons with other state and local government retirement systems.

The actuarial information is required by Act 205 biennially, except for distressed pension plans, for which annual reporting was required through January 1, 2003. The historical information, beginning as of January 1, 2003, is as follows:

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
						Unfunded
			Unfunded			(Assets in
		Actuarial	(Assets in			Excess of)
		Accrued	Excess of)			Actuarial
	Actuarial	Liability	Actuarial			Accrued
Actuarial	Value of	(AAL) -	Accrued	Funded	Covered	Liability as a %
Valuation	Assets	Entry Age	Liability	Ratio	Payroll	of Payroll
Date	(a)	(b)	(b) - (a)	(a)/(b)	(c)	[(b-a)/(c)]
01-01-03	\$ 954,005	\$ 908,262	\$ (45,743)	105.0%	\$ 272,409	(16.8%)
01-01-05	1,009,641	1,080,673	71,032	93.4%	285,914	24.8%
01-01-07	1,152,806	1,049,902	(102,904)	109.8%	275,091	(37.4%)

Note: The market values of the plan's assets at 01-01-03, 01-01-05 and 01-01-07, have been adjusted to reflect the smoothing of gains and/or losses over a 5-year averaging period. This method will lower contributions in years of less than expected returns and increase contributions in years of greater than expected returns. The net effect over long periods of time is to have less variance in contribution levels from year to year.

WAYNESBURG BOROUGH POLICE PENSION PLAN SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION (UNAUDITED)

The comparability of trend information is affected by changes in actuarial assumptions, benefit provisions, actuarial funding methods, accounting policies, and other changes. Those changes usually affect trends in contribution requirements and in ratios that use the actuarial accrued liability as a factor.

Analysis of the dollar amount of the actuarial value of assets, actuarial accrued liability, and unfunded (assets in excess of) actuarial accrued liability in isolation can be misleading. Expressing the actuarial value of assets as a percentage of the actuarial accrued liability (Column 4) provides one indication of the plan's funding status on a going-concern basis. Analysis of this percentage, over time, indicates whether the system is becoming financially stronger or weaker. Generally, the greater this percentage, the stronger the plan.

Trends in unfunded (assets in excess of) actuarial accrued liability and annual covered payroll are both affected by inflation. Expressing the unfunded (assets in excess of) actuarial accrued liability as a percentage of annual covered payroll (Column 6) approximately adjusts for the effects of inflation and aids analysis of the plan's progress made in accumulating sufficient assets to pay benefits when due. Generally, where there is an unfunded actuarial accrued liability, the smaller this percentage, the stronger the plan. However, when assets are in excess of the actuarial accrued liability, the higher the bracketed percentage, the stronger the plan.

WAYNESBURG BOROUGH POLICE PENSION PLAN SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION (UNAUDITED)

SCHEDULE OF CONTRIBUTIONS FROM EMPLOYER AND OTHER CONTRIBUTING ENTITIES

Year Ended December 31	Annual Required Contribution	Percentage Contributed
2003	\$ 31,732	100.0%
2004	34,107	100.0%
2005	34,812	100.0%
2006	20,837	168.5%
2007	40,397	102.0%
2008	47,016	57.0%

WAYNESBURG BOROUGH POLICE PENSION PLAN SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION NOTES TO SUPPLEMENTARY SCHEDULES (UNAUDITED)

The information presented in the required supplementary schedules was determined as part of the actuarial valuation at the date indicated. Additional information as of the latest actuarial valuation date follows:

Actuarial valuation date	January 1, 2007
Actuarial cost method	Entry age normal
Amortization method	N/A
Remaining amortization period	N/A
Asset valuation method	Fair value, 5-year smoothing
Actuarial assumptions:	
Investment rate of return	7.5%
Projected salary increases	4.5%

WAYNESBURG BOROUGH POLICE PENSION PLAN REPORT DISTRIBUTION LIST

This report was initially distributed to the following:

The Honorable Edward G. Rendell Governor Commonwealth of Pennsylvania

Waynesburg Borough Police Pension Plan Greene County 90 East High Street Waynesburg, PA 15370

The Honorable S. Blair Zimmerman	Mayor
Mr. Charles A. Berryhill	Council President
Mr. Bruce Wermlinger	Borough Manager

This report is a matter of public record. Copies of this report may be obtained from the Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General, Office of Communications, Room 318 Finance Building, Harrisburg, PA 17120. If you have any questions regarding this report or any other matter, you may contact the Department of the Auditor General by accessing our website at www.auditorgen.state.pa.us.