


Commonwealth of Pennsylvania
Department of Public Welfare's
Medicaid Program

Lancaster County Assistance Office

Audit Report for the Period
July 29, 2006 to March 20, 2009



Commonwealth of Pennsylvania
Department of Public Welfare's
Medicaid Program

Lancaster County Assistance Office

Audit Report for the Period
July 29, 2006 to March 20, 2009



CONTENTS

	Page
REPORT OF INDEPENDENT AUDITORS	1
BACKGROUND INFORMATION	4
OBJECTIVES, SCOPE, AND METHODOLOGY	6
FINDING AND RECOMMENDATIONS	
Finding - Failure To Make Proper Medicaid Eligibility Determinations	8
STATUS OF PRIOR AUDIT FINDINGS	16
AUDIT REPORT DISTRIBUTION LIST	17



Report of Independent Auditors

The Honorable Tom Corbett
Governor
Commonwealth of Pennsylvania
Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17120

Dear Governor Corbett:

We have conducted an audit of the Lancaster County Assistance Office (CAO) pursuant to Section 109.1 of Title 55 of the Pennsylvania Code and Sections 402 and 403 of the Fiscal Code. Lancaster County falls under the Department of Public Welfare's (DPW's) HealthChoices mandatory managed care program. The audit period was July 29, 2006 through March 20, 2009. The objectives of our audit were to determine whether the CAO made proper eligibility determinations for recipients of Medicaid and to determine whether DPW took appropriate action to address the finding and recommendations contained in our prior audit report.

When recipients are not eligible for Medicaid, the cost to Pennsylvania taxpayers of the resulting improper payments could be significant. For individuals receiving health care services through a managed care organization (MCO), a set monthly capitation fee is paid to the MCO even if the recipient did not receive services during the period of ineligibility. For individuals not in an MCO, the amount of improper payments depends on the cost of services received by individuals during periods of ineligibility.

Our audit resulted in the following finding.


Finding - Failure To Make Proper Medicaid Eligibility Determinations

During the exit conference, we reviewed this finding and recommendations with the Lancaster CAO management. We have included the CAO and DPW management comments, where applicable, in this report.

Sincerely,

JACK WAGNER
Auditor General

June 28, 2012



**Commonwealth of Pennsylvania
Department of Public Welfare
Lancaster County Assistance Office**

BACKGROUND INFORMATION

Background Information

Medicaid, also known as DPW's medical assistance program is the federal health care program for families and individuals with low income and resources. It is funded jointly by the state and the federal governments. DPW administers the program while the federal Centers for Medicare and Medicaid (CMS) establishes requirements for service delivery, quality and eligibility standards.

Eligibility determinations are based on federal and state regulations specifying which individuals qualify for a program and the amounts for which they qualify. The Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) contains the applicable federal regulations. The Pennsylvania Code contains the applicable state regulations.

Relevant information about recipients is recorded and maintained in DPW's Client Information System (CIS). This information is used to determine eligibility status and category of aid. The CAO updates information on CIS when new information becomes available.

CAO personnel utilize DPW's Income Eligibility Verification System (IEVS) to compare income and resource information with income and resource information obtained from outside sources. IEVS is updated on a regular basis with information from several sources including wage information from the Department of Labor and Industry, benefit information from the Social Security Administration, and tax and unearned income information from the Internal Revenue Service (IRS). CAO caseworkers are required to review this information at the time of application, when the recipient submits his/her semi-annual reporting (SAR) form and at the annual renewal. Caseworkers receive alerts when they are required to review certain information between the application date, the SAR and at the time of the annual renewal.

**Commonwealth of Pennsylvania
Department of Public Welfare
Lancaster County Assistance Office**

OBJECTIVES, SCOPE, AND METHODOLOGY

Objectives, Scope, And Methodology

To achieve our audit objectives regarding eligibility, we obtained a quarterly data file from the Department of Public Welfare of all recipients determined by the CAO to be eligible for Medicaid benefits as of December 31, 2008. We selected a random sample of 149 cases from the 22,793 cases related to our audit objectives for the Lancaster CAO represented in the data file. Our audit period was July 29, 2006 to March 20, 2009; however, in cases where we determined that an ineligible individual was receiving Medicaid benefits, we expanded our test work through the last date of his or her ineligibility.

For each case selected in our sample, we tested certain aspects of eligibility, including income, disability, citizenship and identity, and other non-financial eligibility requirements to determine compliance with DPW regulations and administrative policies.

The criteria we used to test cases in our sample include the Code of Federal Regulations and the Pennsylvania Code, Title 55.

It is DPW's position that current law does not allow DPW to provide all federal and state wage and unearned income information to the Department of the Auditor General. DPW provided us with most, but not all, federal and state wage and unearned income information. DPW did not give us access to IRS-reported wage and income data. This scope limitation prevents us from confirming that all available resources were included in calculating recipients' eligibility for benefits.

**Commonwealth of Pennsylvania
Department of Public Welfare
Lancaster County Assistance Office**

FINDING AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Finding and Recommendations

The random sample contained 149 out of 22,793 Medicaid cases. The following finding addresses areas where deficiencies occurred:

Finding - Failure To Make Proper Medicaid Eligibility Determinations

During our audit we found that CAO management failed to ensure that eligibility requirements were met in 29 of the 149, or 19% of the cases we tested. Recipients in these cases may have been over the income limit. In 19 of these cases, recipients were not eligible for Medicaid benefits, and in 3 additional cases the recipients had periods of ineligibility and periods where they were placed in the incorrect category of aid. In 20 of these 22 cases, benefits were paid while the recipients were ineligible. As a result, improper payments of \$66,055 were issued to managed care organizations on behalf of recipients,¹ in the form of monthly capitation fees as shown in Table 1, beginning on page 9 of this report. Payments made on behalf of ineligible recipients cannot be recouped by the Commonwealth from MCOs, due to contractual obligations, or from individual providers, who billed the Commonwealth in good faith. Therefore, it is important for DPW to monitor recipients' eligibility, immediately identify ineligible recipients, and stop payment of benefits on their behalf. The lack of proper monitoring increases the risk of payment to an ineligible recipient and creates an atmosphere that could result in potential fraud.

In 7 of the 29 cases, recipients were placed in the incorrect category of aid although they had no periods of ineligibility. Failure to place recipients in the proper category of aid could result in recipients receiving services for which they are not entitled, or being denied services for which they are entitled. Also, because capitation payment amounts vary depending on the category of aid, MCOs could be receiving erroneous capitation payments as a result of a recipient not being placed in the proper category of aid.

Because we do not have access to all wage and unearned income information as noted in our scope limitation described on page 6 of this report, we were not able to ascertain whether CAO personnel utilized all available wage and unearned income information to determine Medicaid eligibility. As a result, additional improper payments could have been made and not discovered during our audit.

¹ In a fee-for-service environment providers are paid directly for services they provide to recipients. In a managed care environment, contracted managed care organizations are paid a set monthly capitation fee for all members of their organization whether or not members (recipients) received services. The managed care organization is then responsible to pay providers of services.

Finding and Recommendations

The Medicaid Eligibility Handbook provides criteria to assist the CAO in making proper eligibility determinations.

These improper eligibility determinations occurred because:

- CAO management did not monitor to ensure that citizenship and identity of recipients were verified during the application and renewal process.
- CAO management did not monitor to ensure that income from IEVS history was properly reconciled with reported income at application and renewals.
- CAO management did not monitor to ensure that income from IEVS alerts was properly reconciled with reported income.
- At the time of our audit, DPW's policy did not require a review of income from ongoing employment when the information became available on IEVS. Instead, DPW's policy required that information regarding ongoing employment be reviewed only during a recipient's annual renewal.

Table 1

	Audit Sample Number	Ineligibility Period		Benefits Paid
		From	To	
1.	MA – 5	09/04/08	02/18/09	\$916.34
2.	MA – 11	11/01/07	12/15/08	8,621.84
3.	MA – 20	03/01/07	06/30/07	626.52
		09/01/07	03/31/08	1,466.11
4.	MA – 24	09/14/07	06/05/08	920.92
5.	MA – 27	01/09/08	02/18/09	2,141.59
6.	MA – 29	06/01/07	05/29/08	8,281.31
		10/01/08	04/13/09	2,317.37
7.	MA – 34	07/01/08	07/31/08	216.88
		09/01/08	02/19/09	335.61
8.	MA – 39	03/22/07	02/18/09	10,567.78
9.	MA – 16	11/01/07	01/31/08	1,251.60
		03/01/08	07/31/08	1,833.90

Finding and Recommendations

Table 1 (continued)

	Audit Sample Number	Ineligibility Period		Benefits Paid
		From	To	
10.	MA – 19	03/01/08	07/10/08	\$1,061.01
11.	MA – 52	08/22/08	12/14/08	694.85
12.	MA – 57	02/25/07	03/23/09	358.24
13.	MA – 64	05/01/08	12/31/08	3,796.94
14.	MA – 23	05/29/07	03/06/09	1,805.45
15.	MA – 37	11/01/07	01/31/08	362.04
		03/01/08	03/31/08	122.39
		05/01/08	05/30/08	122.08
16.	MA – 106	11/01/07	12/31/07	487.64
17.	MA – 119	11/09/07	04/07/09	1,942.14
18.	MA – 138	03/01/08	05/07/09	2,304.34
19.	MA – 70	08/15/06	03/30/09	11,766.69
20.	MA – 86	04/01/07	07/31/07	468.20
		07/01/07	12/31/07	1,265.52
	Totals			\$66,055.30

We recommend that:

- CAO management ensure that personnel verify citizenship and identity during the application and renewal process.
- CAO management ensure that caseworkers properly reconcile reported income with IEVS history at application and renewals.
- CAO management ensure that caseworkers properly reconcile reported income with IEVS alerts.
- DPW change its policy to require a review of income from ongoing employment when it becomes available.

Finding and Recommendations

Subsequent to the period under review, effective December 14, 2009, DPW management implemented Data Exchange Targeting Logic enhancements to require a review of income from ongoing employment when the information becomes available on IEVS.

During our next audit, we will examine the implementation of these additional procedures to determine whether or not it adequately addresses the deficiencies noted in this report.

DPW's Management Response

DPW disagrees with this finding. DPW, along with the CAO, reviewed the cases that the auditor cited as deficiencies and does not agree with all the exceptions cited as deficiencies for the individual cases. When DPW evaluates for Medicaid, it also evaluates for state-funded General Assistance (GA)-related MA categories. Although the procedures in place during the audit period (which extends back to July 29, 2006) worked well and were effective, it should be noted that since that time additional procedures have been implemented to make the monitoring even better and to further ensure that recipients are meeting eligibility requirements. The following responses to the issues identified by the AG support DPW's position on this finding.

Under current policy, anyone applying for or receiving medical benefits, whether federally-funded or state-funded, must verify identity (MA Handbook Section 320.1) and anyone applying for or receiving medical benefits, whether federally-funded or state-funded, must verify citizenship status (MA Handbook 322.1). Only those requesting or receiving medical benefits must provide the verification; other household members who do not wish to receive medical benefits or do not meet criteria for medical benefits do not have to provide verification. If an individual does not have or cannot obtain satisfactory verification of identity or citizenship, proof that the client is attempting to obtain the verification is sufficient. System upgrades were made on June 7, 2010 on the Client Information System (CIS) to automatically verify citizenship and identity by linking to the Social Security Administration (SSA) through the Master Client Index (MCI). Per Operations Memorandum 100602, when a case initiation is

Finding and Recommendations

completed on a new application, a request for electronic verification is sent out and response is received in two or three days. The CAO must verify, through the Systematic Alien Verification for Entitlements (SAVE) Program, the immigration status of all aliens who receive cash assistance, Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP), or medical assistance (Supplemental Handbook Section 740.1). If a citizenship or identity verification is needed for a newborn, it is requested at the Annual Renewal (MA Handbook Section 322.11). If during a renewal the caseworker notices that identity or citizenship has not been verified, they will request the verification. If the client fails to provide the requested verification or provide proof that they are attempting to secure verification, the caseworker discontinues the client's benefits.

Reconciliation of IEVS is reviewed by CAO management when individual cases are transferred, sent to the closed file, or selected for Targeted Supervisory Review (TSR). New caseworkers are required to complete an Introduction to IEVS e-learning module which reviews how to view IEVS and when they should be reviewed. During September of 2008, with the implementation of Workload Dashboard, training was provided to caseworkers that included an extensive review of the IEVS processing procedures. With Workload Dashboard, CAO management is better able to screen IEVS reviews and ensure that IEVS alerts are addressed timely.

Daily Status D3306 (Data Exchange Targeting Enhancements) was put into effect on December 14, 2009. Under the new enhancements, more alerts or "hits" are received, specifically for MA only budgets. Combined income is reported on all active matched individuals in one budget level hit. Under the new rules, any wage data received for an applicant within 180 days of the application date or any new hire data received for an applicant within 180 days of the application date or any new hire data received for an applicant within 90 days of the application date will post a hit. Any Unemployment Compensation (UC) data received for an applicant will post a hit when the most recent UC check is within 90 days of the application date. Individual hits will post when the combined earned income of all active matched individuals increases by more than \$100 per month, or when UC income of all active matched individuals increases by more than \$50 per month.

Finding and Recommendations

Workload Dashboard was introduced during September of 2008, providing Income Maintenance Caseworkers (IMCWs) and CAO management with a system that more easily allows for tracking of applications and maintenance requirements on case records.

Supervisors are required to review three records per worker every month to ensure that all factors of eligibility are addressed. Management will ensure that reviews occur and areas of concern are addressed.

Supervisors hold monthly meetings to review findings from previous audits and to review policy with IMCWs to ensure that the audit findings are addressed. Supervisors also hold individual monthly conferences to review each worker's Comprehensive Supervisory Review (CSR) results and to offer additional individual training to ensure that compliance with policy is maintained.

CAO management has placed more emphasis on scanning/imaging of all documentation in an attempt to cut down on misplaced or repetitive verification. This also allows IMCWs at different CAOs to view verification that may have been previously been submitted in another county.

Desk guides are available for caseworkers and OIM staff on the following:

- Reporting requirements (Semi-Annual Reporting) for all budgets
- Income and Eligibility Verification System (IEVS) desk guide
- U.S. Citizenship and Identity desk guide
- Medical Eligibility Determination Automation (MEDA) desk references

Auditor's Conclusion

We acknowledge DPW's efforts to review and revise procedures to ensure proper eligibility determinations are made. During our next audit we will examine the implementation of DPW's additional procedures, including the Workload Dashboard and Data Exchange Targeting Enhancements, to determine whether or not those procedures address the deficiencies noted in this report.

Finding and Recommendations

It is clear that the procedures in place during the audit period were not adequate to ensure proper eligibility determinations were consistently made. It is also clear that payments to managed care organizations or medical providers on behalf of recipients did not cease when some recipients were no longer eligible.

Proof of identity and citizenship for those requesting and receiving medical benefits was not always present in the case records. When proof of identity or citizenship was missing from a case record we provided an opportunity for it to be produced. When proof was not provided we determined the recipient was not eligible and that benefits should not have been paid on their behalf.

**Commonwealth of Pennsylvania
Department of Public Welfare
Lancaster County Assistance Office**

STATUS OF PRIOR AUDIT FINDINGS

Status of Prior Audit Findings

Our prior audit of the Lancaster CAO resulted in one reported finding: CAO management failed to make proper Medicaid eligibility determinations. We performed audit procedures regarding this finding and as a result, we determined that deficiencies existed to warrant a repeat finding in this audit report. See page 8 of this report for further discussion.

Audit Report Distribution List

This report was originally distributed to the following:

Commonwealth of Pennsylvania

The Honorable Tom Corbett
Governor

The Honorable Robert McCord
State Treasurer

The Honorable Gary Alexander
Secretary
Department of Public Welfare

The Honorable Kenya Mann Faulkner
Inspector General

The Honorable Charles Zogby
Secretary
Office of the Budget

Lourdes Padilla, Deputy Secretary
Office of Income Maintenance
Department of Public Welfare

Alex Matolyak, Director
Division of Financial Policy & Operations
Bureau of Financial Operations
Office of Administration
Department of Public Welfare

Rich Wallace, Acting Director
Bureau of Operations
Office of Income Maintenance
Department of Public Welfare

John Kaschak, Director
Bureau of Audits
Office of the Budget

County Assistance Office

Maureen Lewandowski, Executive Director
Lancaster County Assistance Office

Chairperson
Lancaster County Board of Assistance

This report is a matter of public record. Copies of this report may be obtained from the Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General, Office of Communications, 318 Finance Building, Harrisburg, PA 17120. If you have any questions regarding this report or any other matter, you may contact the Department by accessing our website at www.auditorgen.state.pa.us.