

# LOYALSOCK TOWNSHIP SCHOOL DISTRICT LYCOMING COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA PERFORMANCE AUDIT REPORT

**JUNE 2014** 

### **COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA**

# **EUGENE A. DEPASQUALE - AUDITOR GENERAL**

**DEPARTMENT OF THE AUDITOR GENERAL** 





#### Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General Harrisburg, PA 17120-0018 Facebook: Pennsylvania Auditor General Twitter: @PAAuditorGen

EUGENE A. DEPASQUALE AUDITOR GENERAL

June 5, 2014

The Honorable Tom Corbett Governor Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17120 Ms. Sheila Yates, Board President Loyalsock Township School District 1720 Sycamore Road Montoursville, Pennsylvania 17754

Dear Governor Corbett and Ms. Yates:

We conducted a performance audit of the Loyalsock Township School District (District) to determine its compliance with certain relevant state laws, regulations, contracts, grant requirements, and administrative procedures (relevant requirements). Our audit covered the period August 25, 2011 through November 1, 2013, except as otherwise indicated in the report. Additionally, compliance specific to state subsidies and reimbursements was determined for the school years ended June 30, 2011 and June 30, 2012. Our audit was conducted pursuant to Section 403 of The Fiscal Code, 72 P.S. § 403, and in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

Our audit found that the District complied, in all significant respects, with relevant requirements.

We appreciate the District's cooperation during the conduct of the audit.

Sincerely,

EUGENE A. DEPASQUALE

Eugent O-Pager

**Auditor General** 

cc: LOYALSOCK TOWNSHIP SCHOOL DISTRICT Board of School Directors

## **Table of Contents**

	Page
Executive Summary	. 1
Audit Scope, Objectives, and Methodology	. 2
Findings and Observations	. 5
Status of Prior Audit Findings and Observations	. 6
Distribution List	. 8

#### **Audit Work**

The Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General conducted a performance audit of the Loyalsock Township School District (District) in Lycoming County. Our audit sought to answer certain questions regarding the District's compliance with certain relevant state laws, regulations, contracts, grant requirements, and administrative procedures and to determine the status of corrective action taken by the District in response to our prior audit recommendations.

Our audit scope covered the period August 25, 2011 through November 1, 2013, except as otherwise indicated in the audit scope, objectives, and methodology section of the report. Compliance specific to state subsidies and reimbursements was determined for the 2010-11 and 2011-12 school years.

#### **District Background**

The District encompasses approximately 21 square miles. According to 2010 federal census data, it serves a resident population of 11,026. According to District officials, the District provided basic educational services to 1,471 pupils through the employment of 104 teachers, 93 full-time and part-time support personnel, and eleven (11) administrators during the 2011-12 school year. The District received \$4,995,076 in state funding in the 2011-12 school year.

#### **Audit Conclusion and Results**

Our audit found that the District complied, in all significant respects, with certain relevant state laws, regulations, contracts, grant requirements, and administrative procedures. We report no findings or observations in this report.

# Status of Prior Audit Findings and Observations. With regard to the status of our prior audit recommendations to the

our prior audit recommendations to the Loyalsock Township School District (District) from an audit released on January 31, 2012, we found that the District had taken appropriate corrective action in implementing our recommendations pertaining to membership reporting errors and lack of internal controls (see page 6).

#### Scope

What is a school performance audit?

School performance audits allow the Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General to determine whether state funds, including school subsidies, are being used according to the purposes and guidelines that govern the use of those funds. Additionally, our audits examine the appropriateness of certain administrative and operational practices at each local education agency (LEA). The results of these audits are shared with LEA management, the Governor, the Pennsylvania Department of Education, and other concerned entities.

#### **Objectives**

What is the difference between a finding and an observation?

Our performance audits may contain findings and/or observations related to our audit objectives. Findings describe noncompliance with a statute, regulation, policy, contract, grant requirement, or administrative procedure. Observations are reported when we believe corrective action should be taken to remedy a potential problem not rising to the level of noncompliance with specific criteria.

Our audit, conducted under authority of Section 403 of The Fiscal Code, 72 P.S. § 403, is not a substitute for the local annual audit required by the Public School Code of 1949, as amended. We conducted our audit in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

Our audit covered the period August 25, 2011 through November 1, 2013, except for the verification of professional employee certification, which was performed for the period July 1, 2013 through October 8, 2013.

Regarding state subsidies and reimbursements, our audit covered the 2010-11 and 2011-12 school years.

While all districts have the same school years, some have different fiscal years. Therefore, for the purposes of our audit work and to be consistent with Pennsylvania Department of Education (PDE) reporting guidelines, we use the term *school year* rather than fiscal year throughout this report. A school year covers the period July 1 to June 30.

Performance audits draw conclusions based on an evaluation of sufficient, appropriate evidence. Evidence is measured against criteria, such as laws and defined business practices. Our audit focused on assessing the District's compliance with certain relevant state laws, regulations, contracts, grant requirements, and administrative procedures. However, as we conducted our audit procedures, we sought to determine answers to the following questions, which serve as our audit objectives:

- ✓ Were professional employees certified for the positions they held?
- ✓ In areas where the District received state subsidies and reimbursements based on pupil membership (e.g. basic education, special education, and vocational education), did it follow applicable laws and procedures?

- ✓ Did the District, and any contracted vendors, ensure that current bus drivers were properly qualified, and did they have written policies and procedures governing the hiring of new bus drivers?
- ✓ Were votes made by the District's Board of School Directors free from apparent conflicts of interest?
- ✓ Did the District have sufficient internal controls to ensure that the membership data it reported to PDE through the Pennsylvania Information Management System was complete, accurate, valid, and reliable?
- ✓ Were there any declining fund balances that may pose a risk to the District's fiscal viability?
- ✓ Did the District take appropriate steps to ensure school safety?
- ✓ Did the District have a properly executed and updated Memorandum of Understanding with local law enforcement?
- ✓ Were there any other areas of concern reported by independent auditors, citizens, or other interested parties?
- ✓ Did the District take appropriate corrective action to address recommendations made in our prior audit?

Government Auditing Standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain sufficient, appropriate evidence to provide a reasonable basis for our results and conclusions based on our audit objectives. We believe that the evidence obtained provides a reasonable basis for our results and conclusions based on our audit objectives.

The District's management is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal controls to provide reasonable assurance that the District is in compliance with certain relevant state laws, regulations, contracts, grant requirements, and administrative procedures (relevant requirements). In conducting our audit, we obtained an understanding of the District's internal controls, including any information technology controls, as they relate to the District's compliance with relevant requirements that we

#### Methodology

What are internal controls?

Internal controls are processes designed by management to provide reasonable assurance of achieving objectives in areas such as:

- Effectiveness and efficiency of operations.
- Relevance and reliability of operational and financial information.
- Compliance with certain relevant state laws, regulations, contracts, grant requirements, and administrative procedures.

consider to be significant within the context of our audit objectives. We assessed whether those controls were properly designed and implemented. Any deficiencies in internal controls that were identified during the conduct of our audit and determined to be significant within the context of our audit objectives are included in this report.

In order to properly plan our audit and to guide us in possible audit areas, we performed analytical procedures in the areas of state subsidies and reimbursements, pupil transportation, pupil membership, and comparative financial information.

#### Our audit examined the following:

- Records pertaining to pupil transportation, pupil membership, bus driver qualifications, professional employee certification, state ethics compliance, financial stability, reimbursement applications, tuition receipts, and deposited state funds.
- Items such as board meeting minutes and policies and procedures.

Additionally, we interviewed select administrators and support personnel associated with the District's operations.

To determine the status of our audit recommendations made in a prior audit report released on January 31, 2012, we reviewed the District's response to PDE dated March 26, 2013. We then performed additional audit procedures targeting the previously reported matters.

Findings and Observations
For the audited period, our audit of the Loyalsock Township School District resulted in no findings or observations.

#### **Status of Prior Audit Findings and Observations**

Our prior audit of the Loyalsock Township School District (District) released on January 31, 2012, resulted in one (1) finding. The finding pertained to membership reporting errors and lack of internal controls. As part of our current audit, we determined the status of corrective action taken by the District to implement our prior audit recommendations. We analyzed the District's written response provided to the Pennsylvania Department of Education (PDE), performed audit procedures, and interviewed District personnel regarding the prior finding. As shown below, we found that the District did implement our recommendations related to membership reporting errors and lack of internal controls.

#### Auditor General Performance Audit Report Released on January 31, 2012

Finding: Membership Reporting Errors and the Lack of Internal Controls

**Resulted in the District Not Receiving Their Entitled** 

Subsidies/Reimbursements

<u>Finding Summary:</u> Our prior audit of the District found that pupil membership reports

submitted to PDE for the 2009-10 school year found reporting errors as well as a lack of internal controls. District personnel inaccurately reported the number of days school was in session and membership days for children placed in private homes (foster children). The lack of internal controls resulted in the District failing to reconcile preliminary data reports from PDE, which resulted in incorrect data

being reported on the District's membership reports.

Membership days for foster children were understated by 180 days for kindergarten students and by 180 days for elementary students. The errors resulted in the District being underpaid \$15,699 in

Commonwealth-paid tuition for foster children.

Recommendations: Our audit finding recommended that the District should:

- 1. Establish internal controls that include reconciliations of the data that is uploaded into PDE's Pennsylvania Information Management System.
- 2. Verify that the preliminary reports from PDE are correct and, if they are not correct, revise and resubmit child accounting data so that the final reports from PDE are correct.

We also recommended that PDE should:

3. Revise all data that was incorrectly reported and recalculate all of the District's subsidies and reimbursements that might have been affected by the errors.

#### **Current Status:**

During our current audit, we found that the District did implement our prior recommendations. The District did establish internal controls that included reconciliations of the data sent to PDE and verification of preliminary reports from PDE.

As of the November 1, 2013, the District had not yet received its underpayment of \$15,699. We again recommend that PDE resolve the underpayment.

#### **Distribution List**

This report was initially distributed to the Superintendent of the District, the Board of School Directors, our website at www.auditorgen.state.pa.us, and the following stakeholders:

The Honorable Tom Corbett Governor Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Harrisburg, PA 17120

The Honorable Carolyn Dumaresq Acting Secretary of Education 1010 Harristown Building #2 333 Market Street Harrisburg, PA 17126

The Honorable Robert M. McCord State Treasurer Room 129 - Finance Building Harrisburg, PA 17120

Ms. Lori Graham Acting Director Bureau of Budget and Fiscal Management Pennsylvania Department of Education 4th Floor, 333 Market Street Harrisburg, PA 17126

Dr. David Wazeter Research Manager Pennsylvania State Education Association 400 North Third Street - Box 1724 Harrisburg, PA 17105

Mr. Lin Carpenter
Assistant Executive Director for Member Services
School Board and Management Services
Pennsylvania School Boards Association
P.O. Box 2042
Mechanicsburg, PA 17055

This report is a matter of public record and is available online at <a href="www.auditorgen.state.pa.us">www.auditorgen.state.pa.us</a>. Media questions about the report can be directed to the Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General, Office of Communications, 231 Finance Building, Harrisburg, PA 17120; via email to: news@auditorgen.state.pa.us.