



**CORNELL SCHOOL DISTRICT  
ALLEGHENY COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA  
PERFORMANCE AUDIT REPORT**

**JUNE 2014**

**COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA**  
**EUGENE A. DEPASQUALE - AUDITOR GENERAL**  
**DEPARTMENT OF THE AUDITOR GENERAL**



Commonwealth of Pennsylvania  
Department of the Auditor General  
Harrisburg, PA 17120-0018  
Facebook: Pennsylvania Auditor General  
Twitter: @PAAuditorGen

**EUGENE A. DePASQUALE**  
**AUDITOR GENERAL**

The Honorable Tom Corbett  
Governor  
Commonwealth of Pennsylvania  
Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17120

Mr. Jeffrey McBain, Board President  
Cornell School District  
1009 Maple Street  
Coraopolis, Pennsylvania 15108

Dear Governor Corbett and Mr. McBain:

We conducted a performance audit of the Cornell School District (District) to determine its compliance with certain relevant state laws, regulations, contracts, grant requirements, and administrative procedures (relevant requirements). Our audit covered the period August 31, 2011 through February 25, 2014, except as otherwise indicated in the report. Additionally, compliance specific to state subsidies and reimbursements was determined for the school years ended June 30, 2011 and June 30, 2012. Our audit was conducted pursuant to Section 403 of The Fiscal Code, 72 P.S. § 403, and in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

Our audit found that the District complied, in all significant respects, with relevant requirements.

We appreciate the District's cooperation during the conduct of the audit.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Eugene A. DePasquale".

EUGENE A. DEPASQUALE  
Auditor General

June 12, 2014

cc: **CORNELL SCHOOL DISTRICT** Board of School Directors

## Table of Contents

---

---

	Page
Executive Summary .....	1
Audit Scope, Objectives, and Methodology .....	2
Findings and Observations .....	5
Status of Prior Audit Findings and Observations .....	6
Distribution List .....	7

## **Executive Summary**

---

### **Audit Work**

The Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General conducted a performance audit of the Cornell School District (District) in Allegheny County. Our audit sought to answer certain questions regarding the District's compliance with certain relevant state laws, regulations, contracts, grant requirements, and administrative procedures and to determine the status of corrective action taken by the District in response to our prior audit recommendations.

Our audit scope covered the period August 31, 2011 through February 25, 2014, except as otherwise indicated in the audit scope, objectives, and methodology section of the report. Compliance specific to state subsidies and reimbursements was determined for the 2010-11 and 2011-12 school years.

### **District Background**

The District encompasses approximately two (2) square miles. According to 2010 federal census data, it serves a resident population of 6,761. According to District officials, the District provided basic educational services to 652 pupils through the employment of 56 teachers, 34 full-time and part-time support personnel, and five (5) administrators during the 2011-12 school year. The District received \$3.37 million in state funding in the 2011-12 school year.

### **Audit Conclusion and Results**

Our audit found that the District complied, in all significant respects, with certain relevant state laws, regulations, contracts, grant requirements, and administrative procedures. We report no findings or observations in this report.

### **Status of Prior Audit Findings and**

**Observations.** With regard to the status of our prior audit recommendations to the Cornell School District (District) from an audit released on November 1, 2012, we found that the District had taken appropriate corrective action in implementing our recommendations pertaining to the June 30, 2010 general fund deficit (see page 6).

## Audit Scope, Objectives, and Methodology

---

### Scope

*What is a school performance audit?*

School performance audits allow the Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General to determine whether state funds, including school subsidies, are being used according to the purposes and guidelines that govern the use of those funds. Additionally, our audits examine the appropriateness of certain administrative and operational practices at each local education agency (LEA). The results of these audits are shared with LEA management, the Governor, the Pennsylvania Department of Education, and other concerned entities.

Our audit, conducted under authority of Section 403 of The Fiscal Code, 72 P.S. § 403, is not a substitute for the local annual audit required by the Public School Code of 1949, as amended. We conducted our audit in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

Our audit covered the period August 31, 2011 through February 25, 2014, except for the verification of professional employee certification, which was performed for the period July 1, 2013 through February 10, 2014.

Regarding state subsidies and reimbursements, our audit covered the 2010-11 and 2011-12 school years.

While all districts have the same school years, some have different fiscal years. Therefore, for the purposes of our audit work and to be consistent with Pennsylvania Department of Education (PDE) reporting guidelines, we use the term *school year* rather than fiscal year throughout this report. A school year covers the period July 1 to June 30.

### Objectives

*What is the difference between a finding and an observation?*

Our performance audits may contain findings and/or observations related to our audit objectives. Findings describe noncompliance with a statute, regulation, policy, contract, grant requirement, or administrative procedure. Observations are reported when we believe corrective action should be taken to remedy a potential problem not rising to the level of noncompliance with specific criteria.

Performance audits draw conclusions based on an evaluation of sufficient, appropriate evidence. Evidence is measured against criteria, such as laws and defined business practices. Our audit focused on assessing the District's compliance with certain relevant state laws, regulations, contracts, grant requirements, and administrative procedures. However, as we conducted our audit procedures, we sought to determine answers to the following questions, which serve as our audit objectives:

- ✓ Were professional employees certified for the positions they held?
- ✓ Did the District, and any contracted vendors, ensure that current bus drivers were properly qualified, and did they have written policies and procedures governing the hiring of new bus drivers?

- ✓ Did the District have sufficient internal controls to ensure that the membership data it reported to PDE through the Pennsylvania Information Management System was complete, accurate, valid, and reliable?
- ✓ Were there any declining fund balances that may pose a risk to the District's fiscal viability?
- ✓ Did the District take appropriate steps to ensure school safety?
- ✓ Did the District have a properly executed and updated Memorandum of Understanding with local law enforcement?
- ✓ Were there any other areas of concern reported by independent auditors, citizens, or other interested parties?
- ✓ Did the District take appropriate corrective action to address recommendations made in our prior audit?

## Methodology

### *What are internal controls?*

Internal controls are processes designed by management to provide reasonable assurance of achieving objectives in areas such as:

- Effectiveness and efficiency of operations.
- Relevance and reliability of operational and financial information.
- Compliance with certain relevant state laws, regulations, contracts, grant requirements, and administrative procedures.

*Government Auditing Standards* require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain sufficient, appropriate evidence to provide a reasonable basis for our results and conclusions based on our audit objectives. We believe that the evidence obtained provides a reasonable basis for our results and conclusions based on our audit objectives.

The District's management is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal controls to provide reasonable assurance that the District is in compliance with certain relevant state laws, regulations, contracts, grant requirements, and administrative procedures (relevant requirements). In conducting our audit, we obtained an understanding of the District's internal controls, including any information technology controls, as they relate to the District's compliance with relevant requirements that we consider to be significant within the context of our audit objectives. We assessed whether those controls were properly designed and implemented. Any deficiencies in internal controls that were identified during the conduct of our audit and determined to be significant within the context of our audit objectives are included in this report.

In order to properly plan our audit and to guide us in possible audit areas, we performed analytical procedures in the areas of state subsidies and reimbursements, pupil transportation, pupil membership, and comparative financial information.

Our audit examined the following:

- Records pertaining to pupil transportation, pupil membership, bus driver qualifications, professional employee certification, state ethics compliance, financial stability, reimbursement applications, tuition receipts, and deposited state funds.
- Items such as board meeting minutes and policies and procedures.

Additionally, we interviewed select administrators and support personnel associated with the District's operations.

To determine the status of our audit recommendations made in a prior audit report released on November 1, 2012, we reviewed the District's response to PDE dated February 22, 2013. We then performed additional audit procedures targeting the previously reported matters.

## **Findings and Observations**

---

**F**or the audited period, our audit of the Cornell School District resulted in no findings or observations.



## **Status of Prior Audit Findings and Observations**

---

Our prior audit of the Cornell School District (District) released on November 1, 2012, resulted in one (1) finding. The finding pertained to a June 30, 2010 general fund deficit. As part of our current audit, we determined the status of corrective action taken by the District to implement our prior audit recommendations. We analyzed the District's written response provided to the Pennsylvania Department of Education, performed audit procedures, and interviewed District personnel regarding the prior finding. As shown below, we found that the District did implement our recommendations related to the general fund deficit.

### **Auditor General Performance Audit Report Released on November 1, 2012**

---

**Finding:** **District Continues to Have a General Fund Deficit of \$650,950 as of June 30, 2010**

Finding Summary: Our prior audit of the District found a deteriorating financial condition resulting in a general fund deficit for 2009 and 2010. This was a continuation of a trend previously noted for the 2004-05, 2005-06, 2006-07, and 2007-08 school years.

Recommendations: Our audit finding recommended that the District should:

1. Monitor and maintain budgetary control over expenditures in compliance with Section 609 of the Public School Code.
2. Use monthly budget status reports to scrutinize proposed expenditures for the current operations and limit them to revenues received and the amount appropriated.
3. Provide for the systematic reduction of the general fund deficit.

Current Status: During our current audit, we found that the District did implement our prior recommendations by monitoring and maintaining budgetary controls over expenditures. The District also provided for a systematic reduction of the general fund deficit. Our current review found that, although the District again had a general fund deficit of \$276,113 as of June 30, 2011, for the fiscal years ending June 30, 2012 and 2013, the District had general fund balances totaling \$301,947 and \$1,254,723, respectively.

## **Distribution List**

---

This report was initially distributed to the Superintendent of the District, the Board of School Directors, our website at [www.auditorgen.state.pa.us](http://www.auditorgen.state.pa.us), and the following stakeholders:

The Honorable Tom Corbett  
Governor  
Commonwealth of Pennsylvania  
Harrisburg, PA 17120

The Honorable Carolyn Dumaesq  
Acting Secretary of Education  
1010 Harristown Building #2  
333 Market Street  
Harrisburg, PA 17126

The Honorable Robert M. McCord  
State Treasurer  
Room 129 - Finance Building  
Harrisburg, PA 17120

Ms. Lori Graham  
Acting Director  
Bureau of Budget and Fiscal Management  
Pennsylvania Department of Education  
4th Floor, 333 Market Street  
Harrisburg, PA 17126

Dr. David Wazeter  
Research Manager  
Pennsylvania State Education Association  
400 North Third Street - Box 1724  
Harrisburg, PA 17105

Mr. Lin Carpenter  
Assistant Executive Director for Member Services  
School Board and Management Services  
Pennsylvania School Boards Association  
P.O. Box 2042  
Mechanicsburg, PA 17055

This report is a matter of public record and is available online at [www.auditorgen.state.pa.us](http://www.auditorgen.state.pa.us). Media questions about the report can be directed to the Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General, Office of Communications, 231 Finance Building, Harrisburg, PA 17120; via email to: [news@auditorgen.state.pa.us](mailto:news@auditorgen.state.pa.us).