### PERFORMANCE AUDIT

## Capital Area Intermediate Unit 15

Cumberland County, Pennsylvania

**April 2015** 





### Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General Harrisburg, PA 17120-0018 Facebook: Pennsylvania Auditor General Twitter: @PAAuditorGen www.PaAuditor.gov

EUGENE A. DEPASQUALE AUDITOR GENERAL

The Honorable Tom W. Wolf Governor Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17120 Mrs. Jean Rice, Board President Capital Area Intermediate Unit 15 55 Miller Street Enola, Pennsylvania 17025

Dear Governor Wolf and Mrs. Rice:

We conducted a performance audit of the Capital Area Intermediate Unit 15 (Intermediate Unit) to determine its compliance with certain relevant state laws, regulations, contracts, grant requirements, and administrative procedures (relevant requirements). Our audit covered the period April 22, 2011 through August 7, 2014, except as otherwise stated in the report. Additionally, compliance specific to state subsidies and reimbursements was determined for the school years ended June 30, 2011 and June 30, 2012. Our audit was conducted pursuant to Section 403 of The Fiscal Code, 72 P.S. § 403, and in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

Our audit found that the Intermediate Unit complied, in all significant respects, with relevant requirements.

We appreciate the Intermediate Unit's cooperation during the conduct of the audit.

Sincerely,

Eugene A. DePasquale

Eugent: O-Pager

**Auditor General** 

April 7, 2015

cc: CAPITAL AREA INTERMEDIATE UNIT 15 Board of School Directors

### **Table of Contents**

	Page
Executive Summary	. 1
Audit Scope, Objectives, and Methodology	. 3
Findings and Observations	. 7
Status of Prior Audit Findings and Observations	. 8
Distribution List	. 9

### **Audit Work**

The Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General conducted a performance audit of the Capital Area Intermediate Unit 15 (Intermediate Unit) in Cumberland County. Our audit sought to answer certain questions regarding the Intermediate Unit's compliance with certain relevant state laws, regulations, contracts, grant requirements, and administrative procedures.

Our audit scope covered the period April 22, 2011 through August 7, 2014, except as otherwise stated in the audit scope, objectives, and methodology section of the report. Compliance specific to state subsidies and reimbursements was determined for the 2010-11 and 2011-12 school years.

### **Intermediate Unit Background**

The Intermediate Unit is a legal entity established under the terms of Pennsylvania Law (Act 102, May 4, 1970) to function as a service agency for the 24 participating school districts, nonpublic schools, and institutions in Cumberland, Dauphin, Perry, and Northern York counties. The Intermediate Unit is governed by a nineteen (19) member board appointed by the participating school districts on a rotating basis. The administrative office is located at 55 Miller Street, Enola, Pennsylvania 17025.

The programs offered by the Intermediate Unit served 3,688 students in public schools and 5,306 students in nonpublic schools. The staff consisted of 26 administrators, 243 teachers, and 158 full-time and part-time support personnel.

The accounts of the Intermediate Unit are organized on the basis of programs and account groups, each of which are considered a separate accounting entity. Intermediate Unit resources are allocated to, and accounted for, in individual programs based on purposes for which the funds are to be spent and the means by which spending activities are controlled.

The various programs which receive Commonwealth funds are accounted for in the following:

### **General Fund**

The general fund is the primary operating fund of the Intermediate Unit. It is used to account for all financial resources and accounts for the general governmental activities of the Intermediate Unit.

Services provided to participating school districts through the general fund included:

- . administration.
- curriculum development and instructional improvement.
- educational planning.
- . instructional materials.
- management services.
- continuing professional education.
- pupil personnel.
- state and federal liaison.

• nonpublic program subsidy - Act 89.

The Intermediate Unit received \$6.5 million from the Commonwealth in general operating funds in the 2011-12 school year.

### **Special Revenue Fund**

The special revenue fund accounts for the financial resources received to provide, maintain, administer, supervise, and operate schools, classes, service programs, and transportation for exceptional children in accordance with the school laws of Pennsylvania and the approved intermediate unit plan for special education. The special revenue fund accounts for financial resources available for programs and services for exceptional children in state centers, state hospitals, private licensed facilities, and other child care institutions.

The special education program offered services at all grade levels for pupils whose physical, mental, or emotional needs required such services. If appropriate facilities were not available in a neighborhood school, the Intermediate Unit provided the necessary transportation.

Special education programs included:

- gifted support.
- learning support.
- life skilled support.
- emotional support.
- . deaf or hearing impaired support.
- blind or visually impaired support.
- speech and language support.

- physical support.
- . autistic support.
- multi-handicapped support.

Act 25 of 1991 amended the Public School Code regarding the funding of special education services. Intermediate units received direct funding for certain institutionalized children programs, CORE services, special payments to certain intermediate units, and a contingency fund.

The Intermediate Unit received \$14.4 million from the Commonwealth in special revenue funds in the 2011-12 school year.

### **Audit Conclusion and Results**

Our audit found that the Intermediate Unit complied, in all significant respects, with certain relevant state laws, regulations, contracts, grant requirements, and administrative procedures. Our audit resulted in no findings or observations.

<u>Status of Prior Audit Findings and</u> <u>Observations</u>. Our prior audit did not include any findings or observations.

### Scope

What is a school performance audit?

School performance audits allow the Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General to determine whether state funds, including school subsidies, are being used according to the purposes and guidelines that govern the use of those funds. Additionally, our audits examine the appropriateness of certain administrative and operational practices at each local education agency (LEA). The results of these audits are shared with LEA management, the Governor, the Pennsylvania Department of Education, and other concerned entities.

### **Objectives**

What is the difference between a finding and an observation?

Our performance audits may contain findings and/or observations related to our audit objectives. Findings describe noncompliance with a statute, regulation, policy, contract, grant requirement, or administrative procedure. Observations are reported when we believe corrective action should be taken to remedy a potential problem not rising to the level of noncompliance with specific criteria.

Our audit, conducted under authority of Section 403 of The Fiscal Code, 72 P.S. § 403, is not a substitute for the local annual audit required by the Public School Code of 1949, as amended. We conducted our audit in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

Our audit covered the period April 22, 2011 through August 7, 2014.

Regarding state subsidies and reimbursements, our audit covered the 2010-11 and 2011-12 school years.

While all LEAs have the same school years, some LEAs have different fiscal years. Therefore, for the purposes of our audit work and to be consistent with Pennsylvania Department of Education (PDE) reporting guidelines, we use the term *school year* rather than fiscal year throughout this report. A school year covers the period July 1 to June 30.

Performance audits draw conclusions based on an evaluation of sufficient, appropriate evidence. Evidence is measured against criteria, such as laws and defined business practices. Our audit focused on assessing the Intermediate Unit's compliance with certain relevant state laws, regulations, contracts, grant requirements, and administrative procedures. However, as we conducted our audit procedures, we sought to determine answers to the following questions, which serve as our audit objectives:

✓ Did the Intermediate Unit ensure that bus drivers transporting Intermediate Unit children at the time of the audit have the necessary license, physicals, training, background checks, and clearances as outlined in 24 P.S. § 1-111, 24 P.S. § 2070, 67 P.S. § 71.1, 22 PA Code Chapter 8, and 23 PA C.S. § 58-6354, and did they have written policies and procedures governing the hiring of new bus drivers?

- To address this objective, the auditors initially selected the 5 newest drivers out of the 41 "new drivers" hired and worked backwards to the last time the Bureau of School Audits audited the Intermediate Unit and reviewed bus driver compliance, which was in June 2012. Auditors ensured that all of the new drivers not reviewed during the previous audit were selected for review and included both Intermediate Unit-employed and contractor-employed drivers, as appropriate.
- ✓ In areas where the Intermediate Unit received state subsidies and reimbursements based on non-resident pupil membership, did it follow applicable laws [24 P.S. § 13-1301, 13-1302, 13-1305, and 13-1306] and procedures [22 PA Code Chapter 11]?
  - To address this objective, auditors reviewed placement information on all 4 of the 24 Intermediate Unit's non-resident students for the 2010-11 school year.
- ✓ Did the Intermediate Unit pursue a contract buy-out with an administrator and if so, what was the total cost of the buy-out, what were the reasons for the termination/settlement, and did the current employment contract(s) contain adequate termination provisions?
  - To address this objective, the auditors reviewed the contracts for all three (3) of the administrators who retired or resigned from the Intermediate Unit since completion of the last audit. The auditors also reviewed board meeting minutes, board policies, and payroll records for these three (3) administrators.

- ✓ Were votes made by the Intermediate Unit's Board of School Directors free from apparent conflicts of interest?
  - To address this objective, auditors reviewed all 24 of the sitting board members' employment history, Statements of Financial Interest, board meeting minutes, and any known outside relationships with the Intermediate Unit for the 2013 calendar year.
- ✓ Did the Intermediate Unit have sufficient internal controls to ensure that the membership data it reported to PDE through the Pennsylvania Information Management System was complete, accurate, valid, and reliable for the most current year available?
  - To address this objective, the auditors randomly selected 2 out of 21 school terms reported on the Summary of Child Accounting and verified the school days reported on the Instructional Time Membership Report and matched them to the School Calendar Fact Template.
- ✓ Did the Intermediate Unit take appropriate steps to ensure school safety?
  - To address this objective, the auditors reviewed a variety of documentation including safety plans, training schedules, anti-bullying policies, and after action reports to assess whether the Intermediate Unit followed best practices in school safety and 24 P.S. Sect. 13-1302, 1302.1A, 13-1303.1, and 13-1303 A. Generally, the auditors evaluate the age of the plan, whether it is being practiced through training and whether the school has an after action process for trying to improve on the results of its training exercises.

### Methodology

What are internal controls?

Internal controls are processes designed by management to provide reasonable assurance of achieving objectives in areas such as:

- Effectiveness and efficiency of operations.
- Relevance and reliability of operational and financial information.
- Compliance with certain relevant state laws, regulations, contracts, grant requirements, and administrative procedures.

Government Auditing Standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain sufficient, appropriate evidence to provide a reasonable basis for our results and conclusions based on our audit objectives. We believe that the evidence obtained provides a reasonable basis for our results and conclusions based on our audit objectives.

The Intermediate Unit's management is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal controls to provide reasonable assurance that the Intermediate Unit is in compliance with certain relevant state laws, regulations, contracts, grant requirements, and administrative procedures (relevant requirements). In conducting our audit, we obtained an understanding of the Intermediate Unit's internal controls, including any information technology controls, as they relate to the Intermediate Unit's compliance with relevant requirements that we consider to be significant within the context of our audit objectives. We assessed whether those controls were properly designed and implemented. Any deficiencies in internal controls that were identified during the conduct of our audit and determined to be significant within the context of our audit objectives are included in this report.

In order to properly plan our audit and to guide us in possible audit areas, we performed analytical procedures in the areas of state subsidies, reimbursements, and pupil transportation.

Our audit examined the following:

- Records pertaining to pupil membership, bus driver qualifications, state ethics compliance, financial stability, and deposited state funds.
- Items such as board meeting minutes and policies and procedures.

Additionally, we interviewed select administrators and support personnel associated with the Intermediate Unit's operations.

# Findings and Observations Or the audited period, our audit of the Capital Area Intermediate Unit 15 resulted in no findings or observations.

# **Status of Prior Audit Findings and Observations** ur prior audit of the Capital Area Intermediate Unit 15 did not include any findings or observations.

### **Distribution List**

This report was initially distributed to the Executive Director of the Intermediate Unit, the Board of Directors, and the following stakeholders:

The Honorable Tom W. Wolf Governor Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Harrisburg, PA 17120

The Honorable Pedro A. Rivera Acting Secretary of Education 1010 Harristown Building #2 333 Market Street Harrisburg, PA 17126

Christopher B. Craig, *Esq.*Acting State Treasurer
Room 129 - Finance Building
Harrisburg, PA 17120

Ms. Lori Graham Acting Director Bureau of Budget and Fiscal Management Pennsylvania Department of Education 4th Floor, 333 Market Street Harrisburg, PA 17126

Dr. David Wazeter Research Manager Pennsylvania State Education Association 400 North Third Street - Box 1724 Harrisburg, PA 17105

Mr. Lin Carpenter
Assistant Executive Director for Member Services
School Board and Management Services
Pennsylvania School Boards Association
P.O. Box 2042
Mechanicsburg, PA 17055

This report is a matter of public record and is available online at <a href="www.PaAuditor.gov">www.PaAuditor.gov</a>. Media questions about the report can be directed to the Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General, Office of Communications, 231 Finance Building, Harrisburg, PA 17120; via email to: news@PaAuditor.gov.