FANNETT-METAL SCHOOL DISTRICT FRANKLIN COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA PERFORMANCE AUDIT REPORT

JANUARY 2010

The Honorable Edward G. Rendell Governor Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17120

Mr. Dale Hall, Board President Fannett-Metal School District 14832 Pathvalley Road P.O. Box 91 Willow Hill, Pennsylvania 17271

Dear Governor Rendell and Mr. Hall:

We conducted a performance audit of the Fannett-Metal School District (FMSD) to determine its compliance with applicable state laws, regulations, contracts, grant requirements and administrative procedures. Our audit covered the period February 17, 2006 through June 12, 2009, except as otherwise indicated in the report. Additionally, compliance specific to state subsidy and reimbursements was determined for the school years ended June 30, 2008, 2007, 2006 and 2005. Our audit was conducted pursuant to 72 P.S. § 403 and in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

Our audit found that the FMSD complied, in all significant respects, with applicable state laws, regulations, contracts, grant requirements, and administrative procedures.

Sincerely,

/s/ JACK WAGNER Auditor General

January 13, 2010

cc: FANNETT-METAL SCHOOL DISTRICT Board Members



Table of Contents

	Page
Executive Summary	. 1
Audit Scope, Objectives, and Methodology	. 3
Findings and Observations	. 6
Status of Prior Audit Findings and Observations	. 7
Distribution List	. 9



Executive Summary

Audit Work

The Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General conducted a performance audit of the Fannett-Metal School District (FMSD). Our audit sought to answer certain questions regarding the District's compliance with applicable state laws, regulations, contracts, grant requirements, and administrative procedures; and to determine the status of corrective action taken by the FMSD in response to our prior audit recommendations.

Our audit scope covered the period February 17, 2006 through June 12, 2009, except as otherwise indicated in the audit scope, objectives, and methodology section of the report. Compliance specific to state subsidy and reimbursements was determined for school years 2007-08, 2006-07, 2005-06 and 2004-05.

District Background

The FMSD encompasses approximately 128 square miles. According to 2000 federal census data, it serves a resident population of 4,091. According to District officials, in school year 2007-08 the FMSD provided basic educational services to 580 pupils through the employment of 50 teachers, 35 full-time and part-time support personnel, and 3 administrators. Lastly, the FMSD received more than \$3.3 million in state funding in school year 2007-08.

Audit Conclusion and Results

Our audit found that the FMSD complied, in all significant respects, with applicable state laws, regulations, contracts, grant requirements, and administrative procedures. For the audited period, our audit of the FMSD resulted in no findings or observations.

Status of Prior Audit Findings and

Observations. With regard to the status of our prior audit recommendations to the FMSD from an audit we conducted of the 2004-05 and 2003-04 school years, we found the FMSD had taken appropriate corrective action in implementing our recommendations pertaining to internal control weaknesses regarding bus driver qualifications (see page 7).



Audit Scope, Objectives, and Methodology

Scope

What is a school performance audit?

School performance audits allow the Department of the Auditor General to determine whether state funds, including school subsidies, are being used according to the purposes and guidelines that govern the use of those funds. Additionally, our audits examine the appropriateness of certain administrative and operational practices at each Local Education Agency (LEA). The results of these audits are shared with LEA management, the Governor, the PA Department of Education, and other concerned entities.

Objectives

Our audit, conducted under authority of 72 P.S. § 403, is not a substitute for the local annual audit required by the Public School Code of 1949, as amended. We conducted our audit in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

Our audit covered the period February 17, 2006 through June 12, 2009.

Regarding state subsidy and reimbursements, our audit covered school years 2007-08, 2006-07, 2005-06 and 2004-05.

While all districts have the same school years, some have different fiscal years. Therefore, for the purposes of our audit work and to be consistent with Department of Education (DE) reporting guidelines, we use the term school year rather than fiscal year throughout this report. A school year covers the period July 1 to June 30.

Performance audits draw conclusions based on an evaluation of sufficient, appropriate evidence. Evidence is measured against criteria, such as, laws, regulations, and defined business practices. Our audit focused on assessing the FMSD's compliance with applicable state laws, regulations, contracts, grant requirements and administrative procedures. However, as we conducted our audit procedures, we sought to determine answers to the following questions, which serve as our audit objectives:

- ✓ Did the District follow applicable laws and procedures in areas dealing with pupil membership and ensure that adequate provisions were taken to protect the data?
- ✓ Is the District's pupil transportation department, including any contracted vendors, in compliance with applicable state laws and procedures?
- ✓ Are there any declining fund balances which may impose risk to the fiscal viability of the District?

What is the difference between a finding and an observation?

Our performance audits may contain findings and/or observations related to our audit objectives. Findings describe noncompliance with a law, regulation, contract, grant requirement, or administrative procedure. Observations are reported when we believe corrective action should be taken to remedy a potential problem not rising to the level of noncompliance with specific criteria.

Methodology

What are internal controls?

Internal controls are processes designed by management to provide reasonable assurance of achieving objectives in areas such as:

- Effectiveness and efficiency of operations;
- Relevance and reliability of operational and financial information;
- Compliance with applicable laws, regulations, contracts, grant requirements and administrative procedures.

- ✓ Did the District pursue a contract buyout with an administrator and if so, what was the total cost of the buy-out, reasons for the termination/settlement, and do the current employment contract(s) contain adequate termination provisions?
- ✓ Were there any other areas of concern reported by local auditors, citizens, or other interested parties which warrant further attention during our audit?
- ✓ Is the District taking appropriate steps to ensure school safety?
- ✓ Did the District take appropriate corrective action to address recommendations made in our prior audits?

Government Auditing Standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain sufficient, appropriate evidence to provide a reasonable basis for our findings, observations and conclusions based on our audit objectives. We believe that the evidence obtained provides a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions based on our audit objectives.

FMSD management is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal controls to provide reasonable assurance that the District is in compliance with applicable laws, regulations, contracts, grant requirements, and administrative procedures. Within the context of our audit objectives, we obtained an understanding of internal controls and assessed whether those controls were properly designed and implemented.

Any significant deficiencies found during the audit are included in this report.

In order to properly plan our audit and to guide us in possible audit areas, we performed analytical procedures in the areas of state subsidies/reimbursement, pupil membership, pupil transportation, and comparative financial information.

Our audit examined the following:

- Records pertaining to pupil transportation, bus driver qualifications, and financial stability.
- Items such as Board meeting minutes, pupil membership records.

Additionally, we interviewed selected administrators and support personnel associated with FMSD operations.

Lastly, to determine the status of our audit recommendations made in a prior audit report released on August 18, 2006, we reviewed the FMSD's response to DE dated November 14, 2006. We then performed additional audit procedures targeting the previously reported matters.

Findings and Observations

 \mathbf{F} or the audited period, our audit of the Fannett-Metal School District resulted in no findings or observations.

Status of Prior Audit Findings and Observations

Our prior audit of the Fannett-Metal School District (FMSD) for the school years 2003-04 and 2002-03 resulted in one reported observation. The observation pertained to internal control weaknesses regarding bus drivers' qualifications. As part of our current audit, we determined the status of corrective action taken by the District to implement our prior recommendations. We analyzed the FMSD Board's written response provided to the Department of Education, performed audit procedures, and questioned District personnel regarding the prior findings. As shown below, we found that the FMSD did implement our recommendations related to bus drivers' qualifications.

School Years 2003-04 and 2002-03 Auditor General Performance Audit Report					
Prior Recommendations	Implementation Status				
I. Observation: Internal Control Weaknesses in Administrative Policies Regarding Bus Drivers' Qualifications 1. Develop a process to determine, on a case-by- case basis, whether prospective and current employees of the District or the District's transportation contractors have been charged with or convicted of crimes that, even though not disqualifying under state law, affect their suitability to have direct contact with children. 2. Implement written policies and procedures to ensure the District is notified when drivers are charged with or convicted of crimes that call into question their suitability to continue to have direct contact with children.	Background: Our prior audit found that neither the District nor the transportation contractors had written policies or procedures in place to ensure that they were notified if current employees were charged with or convicted of serious criminal offenses which should be considered for the purpose of determining an individual's continued suitability to be in direct contact with children. We considered this lack of written policies and procedures to be an internal control weakness that could result in continued employment of individuals who may pose a risk if allowed to continue to have direct contact with children.	Current Status: Our current audit found that the FMSD board now has policies and procedures in place that ensure the District is notified when drivers are charged with or convicted of crimes that call into question their suitability to have direct contact with children. Based on the results of our current audit, we concluded that the District did take appropriate corrective action to address this observation.			



Distribution List

This report was initially distributed to the superintendent of the school district, the board members, our website address at www.auditorgen.state.pa.us, and the following:

The Honorable Edward G. Rendell Governor Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Harrisburg, PA 17120

The Honorable Gerald Zahorchak, D.Ed. Secretary of Education 1010 Harristown Building #2 333 Market Street Harrisburg, PA 17126

The Honorable Robert M. McCord State Treasurer Room 129 - Finance Building Harrisburg, PA 17120

Senator Jeffrey Piccola Chair Senate Education Committee 173 Main Capitol Building Harrisburg, PA 17120

Senator Andrew Dinniman Democratic Chair Senate Education Committee 183 Main Capitol Building Harrisburg, PA 17120

Representative James Roebuck Chair House Education Committee 208 Irvis Office Building Harrisburg, PA 17120

Representative Paul Clymer Republican Chair House Education Committee 216 Ryan Office Building Harrisburg, PA 17120 Ms. Barbara Nelson Director, Bureau of Budget and Fiscal Management Department of Education 4th Floor, 333 Market Street Harrisburg, PA 17126

Dr. David Wazeter Research Manager Pennsylvania State Education Association 400 North Third Street - Box 1724 Harrisburg, PA 17105

Dr. David Davare Director of Research Services Pennsylvania School Boards Association P.O. Box 2042 Mechanicsburg, PA 17055



This report is a matter of public record. Copies of this report may be obtained from the Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General, Office of Communications, 318 Finance Building, Harrisburg, PA 17120. If you have any questions regarding this report or any other matter, you may contact the Department of the Auditor General by accessing our website at www.auditorgen.state.pa.us.

