



LAMPETER-STRASBURG SCHOOL  
DISTRICT

LANCASTER COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA  
PERFORMANCE AUDIT REPORT

JULY 2013

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA

**EUGENE A. DEPASQUALE - AUDITOR GENERAL**

DEPARTMENT OF THE AUDITOR GENERAL





Commonwealth of Pennsylvania  
Department of the Auditor General  
Harrisburg, PA 17120-0018  
Facebook: Pennsylvania Auditor General  
Twitter: @PAAuditorGen

**EUGENE A. DePASQUALE**  
AUDITOR GENERAL

The Honorable Tom Corbett  
Governor  
Commonwealth of Pennsylvania  
Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17120

Mr. James H. Byrnes, Board President  
Lampeter-Strasburg School District  
P.O. Box 428  
Lampeter, Pennsylvania 17537

Dear Governor Corbett and Mr. Byrnes:

We conducted a performance audit of the Lampeter-Strasburg School District (District) to determine its compliance with applicable state laws, contracts, grant requirements, and administrative procedures. Our audit covered the period November 25, 2009 through November 9, 2012, except as otherwise indicated in the report. Additionally, compliance specific to state subsidies and reimbursements was determined for the school years ended June 30, 2010 and June 30, 2009. Our audit was conducted pursuant to 72 P.S. § 403 and in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

Our audit found that the District complied, in all significant respects, with applicable state laws, contracts, grant requirements, and administrative procedures.

On November 2, 2012, the Department of the Auditor General (Department) initiated a special audit of the details surrounding the District's former superintendent's retirement at the end of his contract on June 30, 2011. This performance audit covered the period July 1, 2006 through June 30, 2011, and was conducted pursuant to 72 P.S. § 403 and in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. This performance audit was separate and distinct from the District's cyclical performance audit, which was conducted simultaneously and the results of which are described in the following pages of the audit report. The Department conducts its cyclical performance audits approximately every two years.

The Department's special audit of the former superintendent's retirement found that the District complied, in all significant respects, with the applicable state laws, contracts, and administrative procedures related to our specific audit objectives. However, the Department still strongly recommends that the Commonwealth's local education agencies try to avoid prematurely altering the employment of their contracted employees. Our audit work has shown that engaging in such changes frequently leads to the inappropriate and/or inefficient use of taxpayer dollars. Consequently, we will continue to monitor these issues.

We appreciate the District's cooperation during the conduct of the audit.

Sincerely,

/s/

EUGENE A. DEPASQUALE  
Auditor General

July 11, 2013

cc: **LAMPETER-STRASBURG SCHOOL DISTRICT** Board of School Directors

## Table of Contents

---

---

	Page
Executive Summary .....	1
Audit Scope, Objectives, and Methodology .....	2
Findings and Observations .....	5
Status of Prior Audit Findings and Observations .....	6
Distribution List .....	7

## **Executive Summary**

---

### **Audit Work**

The Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General conducted a performance audit of the Lampeter-Strasburg School District (District). Our audit sought to answer certain questions regarding the District's compliance with applicable state laws, contracts, grant requirements, and administrative procedures.

Our audit scope covered the period November 25, 2009 through November 9, 2012, except as otherwise indicated in the audit scope, objectives, and methodology section of the report. Compliance specific to state subsidies and reimbursements was determined for the 2009-10 and 2008-09 school years.

### **District Background**

The District encompasses approximately 36 square miles. According to 2010 local census data, it serves a resident population of 22,820. According to District officials, the District provided basic educational services to 3,225 pupils through the employment of 240 teachers, 231 full-time and part-time support personnel, and 16 administrators during the 2009-10 school year. Lastly, the District received \$7.9 million in state funding in the 2009-10 school year.

### **Audit Conclusion and Results**

Our audit found that the District complied, in all significant respects, with applicable state laws, contracts, grant requirements, and administrative procedures. We report no findings or observations in this report.

**Status of Prior Audit Findings and Observations.** There were no findings or observations included in our prior audit report.

## Audit Scope, Objectives, and Methodology

---

### Scope

*What is a school performance audit?*

School performance audits allow the Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General to determine whether state funds, including school subsidies, are being used according to the purposes and guidelines that govern the use of those funds. Additionally, our audits examine the appropriateness of certain administrative and operational practices at each local education agency (LEA). The results of these audits are shared with LEA management, the Governor, the Pennsylvania Department of Education, and other concerned entities.

Our audit, conducted under authority of 72 P.S. § 403, is not a substitute for the local annual audit required by the Public School Code of 1949, as amended. We conducted our audit in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

Our audit covered the period November 25, 2009 through November 9, 2012, except for the verification of professional employee certification which was performed for the period April 2, 2009 through September 18, 2012.

Regarding state subsidies and reimbursements, our audit covered the 2009-10 and 2008-09 school years.

While all districts have the same school years, some have different fiscal years. Therefore, for the purposes of our audit work and to be consistent with Pennsylvania Department of Education (PDE) reporting guidelines, we use the term school year rather than fiscal year throughout this report. A school year covers the period July 1 to June 30.

### Objectives

*What is the difference between a finding and an observation?*

Our performance audits may contain findings and/or observations related to our audit objectives. Findings describe noncompliance with a statute, regulation, policy, contract, grant requirement, or administrative procedure. Observations are reported when we believe corrective action should be taken to remedy a potential problem not rising to the level of noncompliance with specific criteria.

Performance audits draw conclusions based on an evaluation of sufficient, appropriate evidence. Evidence is measured against criteria, such as laws and defined business practices. Our audit focused on assessing the District's compliance with applicable state laws, contracts, grant requirements, and administrative procedures. However, as we conducted our audit procedures, we sought to determine answers to the following questions, which serve as our audit objectives:

- ✓ Were professional employees certified for the positions they held?
- ✓ Did the District have sufficient internal controls to ensure that the membership data it reported to PDE through the Pennsylvania Information Management System was complete, accurate, valid, and reliable?

- ✓ Did the District, and any contracted vendors, ensure that their current bus drivers were properly qualified, and did they have written policies and procedures governing the hiring of new bus drivers?
- ✓ Were there any declining fund balances that may pose a risk to the District's fiscal viability?
- ✓ Did the District pursue a contract buy-out with an administrator and if so, what was the total cost of the buy-out, what were the reasons for the termination/settlement, and did the current employment contract(s) contain adequate termination provisions?
- ✓ Did the District take appropriate steps to ensure school safety?
- ✓ Did the District have a properly executed and updated Memorandum of Understanding with local law enforcement?
- ✓ Were votes made by the District's Board of School Directors free from apparent conflicts of interest?
- ✓ Were there any other areas of concern reported by local auditors, citizens, or other interested parties?

## Methodology

### *What are internal controls?*

Internal controls are processes designed by management to provide reasonable assurance of achieving objectives in areas such as:

- Effectiveness and efficiency of operations.
- Relevance and reliability of operational and financial information.
- Compliance with applicable laws, contracts, grant requirements, and administrative procedures.

*Government Auditing Standards* require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain sufficient, appropriate evidence to provide a reasonable basis for our results and conclusions based on our audit objectives. We believe that the evidence obtained provides a reasonable basis for our results and conclusions based on our audit objectives.

The District's management is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal controls to provide reasonable assurance that the District is in compliance with applicable laws, contracts, grant requirements, and administrative procedures. In conducting our audit, we obtained an understanding of the District's internal controls, including any information technology controls, as they relate to the District's compliance with applicable state laws, regulations, contracts, grant requirements, and administrative procedures that we consider to be significant within the context of our audit objectives. We assessed

whether those controls were properly designed and implemented. Any deficiencies in internal control that were identified during the conduct of our audit and determined to be significant within the context of our audit objectives are included in this report.

In order to properly plan our audit and to guide us in possible audit areas, we performed analytical procedures in the areas of state subsidies and reimbursements, pupil transportation, pupil membership, and comparative financial information.

Our audit examined the following:

- Records pertaining to bus driver qualifications, professional employee certification, and financial stability.
- Items such as board meeting minutes and policies and procedures.

Additionally, we interviewed select administrators and support personnel associated with the District's operations.



## **Findings and Observations**

---

**F**or the audited period, our audit of the Lampeter-Strasburg School District resulted in no findings or observations.

## **Status of Prior Audit Findings and Observations**

---

**O**ur prior audit of the Lampeter-Strasburg School District resulted in no findings or observations.

## **Distribution List**

---

This report was initially distributed to the Superintendent of the District, the Board of School Directors, our website at [www.auditor.gen.state.pa.us](http://www.auditor.gen.state.pa.us), and the following stakeholders:

The Honorable Tom Corbett  
Governor  
Commonwealth of Pennsylvania  
Harrisburg, PA 17120

The Honorable William E. Harner  
Acting Secretary of Education  
1010 Harristown Building #2  
333 Market Street  
Harrisburg, PA 17126

The Honorable Robert M. McCord  
State Treasurer  
Room 129 - Finance Building  
Harrisburg, PA 17120

Ms. Lori Graham  
Acting Director  
Bureau of Budget and Fiscal Management  
Pennsylvania Department of Education  
4th Floor, 333 Market Street  
Harrisburg, PA 17126

Dr. David Wazeter  
Research Manager  
Pennsylvania State Education Association  
400 North Third Street - Box 1724  
Harrisburg, PA 17105

Mr. Tom Templeton  
Assistant Executive Director  
School Board and Management Services  
Pennsylvania School Boards Association  
P.O. Box 2042  
Mechanicsburg, PA 17055

This report is a matter of public record and is available online at [www.auditorgen.state.pa.us](http://www.auditorgen.state.pa.us). Media questions about the report can be directed to the Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General, Office of Communications, 231 Finance Building, Harrisburg, PA 17120; via email to: [news@auditorgen.state.pa.us](mailto:news@auditorgen.state.pa.us).

