

SOUTH PARK SCHOOL DISTRICT  
ALLEGHENY COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA  
PERFORMANCE AUDIT REPORT

AUGUST 2010



The Honorable Edward G. Rendell  
Governor  
Commonwealth of Pennsylvania  
Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17120

Mr. John Innocenti, Board President  
South Park School District  
2005 Eagle Ridge Road  
South Park, Pennsylvania 15129

Dear Governor Rendell and Mr. Innocenti:

We conducted a performance audit of the South Park School District (SPSD) to determine its compliance with applicable state laws, regulations, contracts, grant requirements and administrative procedures. Our audit covered the period December 14, 2007 through December 15, 2009, except as otherwise indicated in the report. Additionally, compliance specific to state subsidy and reimbursements was determined for the school years ended June 30, 2008 and June 30, 2007. Our audit was conducted pursuant to 72 P.S. § 403 and in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

Our audit found that the SPSD complied, in all significant respects, with applicable state laws, regulations, contracts, grant requirements, and administrative procedures. We appreciate the SPSD's cooperation during the conduct of the audit.

Sincerely,

/s/

JACK WAGNER  
Auditor General

August 31, 2010

cc: **SOUTH PARK SCHOOL DISTRICT** Board Members



## **Table of Contents**

---

---

	Page
Executive Summary .....	1
Audit Scope, Objectives, and Methodology .....	3
Findings and Observations .....	6
Status of Prior Audit Findings and Observations .....	7
Distribution List .....	9



## **Executive Summary**

---

---

### **Audit Work**

The Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General conducted a performance audit of the South Park School District (SPSD). Our audit sought to answer certain questions regarding the District's compliance with applicable state laws, regulations, contracts, grant requirements, and administrative procedures; and to determine the status of corrective action taken by the SPSD in response to our prior audit recommendations.

Our audit scope covered the period December 14, 2007 through December 15, 2009, except as otherwise indicated in the audit scope, objectives, and methodology section of the report. Compliance specific to state subsidy and reimbursements was determined for school years 2007-08 and 2006-07.

### **District Background**

The SPSD encompasses approximately 9 square miles. According to 2001 local census data, it serves a resident population of 14,340. According to District officials, in school year 2007-08 the SPSD provided basic educational services to 2,216 pupils through the employment of 153 teachers, 78 full-time and part-time support personnel, and 14 administrators. Lastly, the SPSD received more than \$9.9 million in state funding in school year 2007-08.

### **Audit Conclusion and Results**

Our audit found that the SPSD complied, in all significant respects, with applicable state laws, regulations, contracts, grant requirements, and administrative procedures. For the audited period, our audit of the SPSD resulted in no findings or observations.

**Status of Prior Audit Findings and Observations.** With regard to the status of our prior audit recommendations to the SPSD from an audit we conducted of the 2005-06 and 2004-05 school years, we found the SPSD had taken appropriate corrective action in implementing our recommendations pertaining to errors in pupil transportation reports (see page 7).





## Audit Scope, Objectives, and Methodology

---

### Scope

*What is a school performance audit?*

School performance audits allow the Department of the Auditor General to determine whether state funds, including school subsidies, are being used according to the purposes and guidelines that govern the use of those funds. Additionally, our audits examine the appropriateness of certain administrative and operational practices at each Local Education Agency (LEA). The results of these audits are shared with LEA management, the Governor, the PA Department of Education, and other concerned entities.

Our audit, conducted under authority of 72 P.S. § 403, is not a substitute for the local annual audit required by the Public School Code of 1949, as amended. We conducted our audit in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

Our audit covered the period December 14, 2007 through December 15, 2009, except for the verification of professional employee certification which was performed for the period July 1, 2008 through June 30, 2009.

Regarding state subsidy and reimbursements, our audit covered school years 2007-08 and 2006-07.

While all districts have the same school years, some have different fiscal years. Therefore, for the purposes of our audit work and to be consistent with Department of Education (DE) reporting guidelines, we use the term school year rather than fiscal year throughout this report. A school year covers the period July 1 to June 30.

### Objectives

*What is the difference between a finding and an observation?*

Our performance audits may contain findings and/or observations related to our audit objectives. Findings describe noncompliance with a law, regulation, contract, grant requirement, or administrative procedure. Observations are reported when we believe corrective action should be taken to remedy a potential problem not rising to the level of noncompliance with specific criteria.

Performance audits draw conclusions based on an evaluation of sufficient, appropriate evidence. Evidence is measured against criteria, such as, laws, regulations, and defined business practices. Our audit focused on assessing the SPSD's compliance with applicable state laws, regulations, contracts, grant requirements and administrative procedures. However, as we conducted our audit procedures, we sought to determine answers to the following questions, which serve as our audit objectives:

- ✓ Were professional employees certified for the positions they held?
- ✓ In areas where the District receives state subsidy and reimbursements based on pupil membership (e.g. basic education, special education, and vocational education), did it follow applicable laws and procedures?
- ✓ Is the District's pupil transportation department, including any contracted vendors, in compliance with applicable state laws and procedures?

- ✓ Does the District ensure that Board members appropriately comply with the Public Official and Employee Ethics Act?
- ✓ Are there any declining fund balances which may impose risk to the fiscal viability of the District?
- ✓ Did the District pursue a contract buyout with an administrator and if so, what was the total cost of the buy-out, reasons for the termination/settlement, and do the current employment contract(s) contain adequate termination provisions?
- ✓ Were there any other areas of concern reported by local auditors, citizens, or other interested parties which warrant further attention during our audit?
- ✓ Is the District taking appropriate steps to ensure school safety?
- ✓ Did the District use an outside vendor to maintain its membership data and if so, are there internal controls in place related to vendor access?
- ✓ Did the District take appropriate corrective action to address recommendations made in our prior audits?

## Methodology

*What are internal controls?*

Internal controls are processes designed by management to provide reasonable assurance of achieving objectives in areas such as:

- Effectiveness and efficiency of operations;
- Relevance and reliability of operational and financial information;
- Compliance with applicable laws, regulations, contracts, grant requirements and administrative procedures.

*Government Auditing Standards* require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain sufficient, appropriate evidence to provide a reasonable basis for our findings, observations and conclusions based on our audit objectives. We believe that the evidence obtained provides a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions based on our audit objectives.

SPSD management is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal controls to provide reasonable assurance that the District is in compliance with applicable laws, regulations, contracts, grant requirements, and administrative procedures. Within the context of our audit objectives, we obtained an understanding of internal controls and assessed whether those controls were properly designed and implemented.

Any significant deficiencies found during the audit are included in this report.

In order to properly plan our audit and to guide us in possible audit areas, we performed analytical procedures in the areas of state subsidies/reimbursement, pupil membership, pupil transportation, and comparative financial information.

Our audit examined the following:

- Records pertaining to pupil transportation, bus driver qualifications, professional employee certification, state ethics compliance, and financial stability.
- Items such as Board meeting minutes, pupil membership records, and reimbursement applications.
- Tuition receipts and deposited state funds.

Additionally, we interviewed selected administrators and support personnel associated with SPSD operations.

Lastly, to determine the status of our audit recommendations made in a prior audit report released on January 30, 2009, we reviewed the SPSD's response to DE dated February 12, 2009. We then performed additional audit procedures targeting the previously reported matters.

## **Findings and Observations**

---

---

**F**or the audited period, our audit of the South Park School District resulted in no findings or observations.

## Status of Prior Audit Findings and Observations

Our prior audit of the South Park School District (SPSD) for the school years 2005-06 and 2004-05 resulted in one reported finding. The finding pertained to errors in pupil transportation. As part of our current audit, we determined the status of corrective action taken by the District to implement our prior recommendations. We analyzed the SPSD Board's written response provided to the Department of Education (DE), performed audit procedures, and questioned District personnel regarding the prior finding. As shown below, we found that the SPSD did implement recommendations related to transportation reporting errors.

<i>School Years 2005-06 and 2004-05 Auditor General Performance Audit Report</i>		
<i>Prior Recommendations</i>	<i>Implementation Status</i>	
<p><u><i>I. Finding: Errors in Pupil Transportation Reports Resulted in a Net Underpayment of \$8,636</i></u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Monitor the weighted average daily mileage totals prepared by the transportation contractor, or prepare its own records.</li> <li>2. DE should adjust the District's allocations to correct the net subsidy underpayment of \$8,636.</li> </ol>	<p><b>Background:</b></p> <p>Our prior audit of the District's transportation records found that the District did not report bus mileage correctly for 30 of 48 vehicles for the 2004-05 school year, which resulted in a \$23,886 underpayment. In 2005-06, the District did not report mileage correctly for 30 of 49 vehicles, which resulted in a \$15,250 overpayment.</p>	<p><b>Current Status:</b></p> <p>Our current audit found no significant reporting errors.</p> <p>As of December 15, 2009, DE had yet to adjust the District's allocations. We again recommend that DE do so to correct the net underpayment of \$8,636.</p>



## **Distribution List**

---

This report was initially distributed to the superintendent of the school district, the board members, our website address at [www.auditorgen.state.pa.us](http://www.auditorgen.state.pa.us), and the following:

The Honorable Edward G. Rendell  
Governor  
Commonwealth of Pennsylvania  
Harrisburg, PA 17120

The Honorable Thomas E. Gluck  
Acting Secretary of Education  
1010 Harristown Building #2  
333 Market Street  
Harrisburg, PA 17126

The Honorable Robert M. McCord  
State Treasurer  
Room 129 - Finance Building  
Harrisburg, PA 17120

Senator Jeffrey Piccola  
Chair  
Senate Education Committee  
173 Main Capitol Building  
Harrisburg, PA 17120

Senator Andrew Dinniman  
Democratic Chair  
Senate Education Committee  
183 Main Capitol Building  
Harrisburg, PA 17120

Representative James Roebuck  
Chair  
House Education Committee  
208 Irvis Office Building  
Harrisburg, PA 17120

Representative Paul Clymer  
Republican Chair  
House Education Committee  
216 Ryan Office Building  
Harrisburg, PA 17120

Ms. Barbara Nelson  
Director, Bureau of Budget and  
Fiscal Management  
Department of Education  
4th Floor, 333 Market Street  
Harrisburg, PA 17126

Dr. David Wazeter  
Research Manager  
Pennsylvania State Education Association  
400 North Third Street - Box 1724  
Harrisburg, PA 17105

Dr. David Davare  
Director of Research Services  
Pennsylvania School Boards Association  
P.O. Box 2042  
Mechanicsburg, PA 17055

This report is a matter of public record. Copies of this report may be obtained from the Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General, Office of Communications, 318 Finance Building, Harrisburg, PA 17120. If you have any questions regarding this report or any other matter, you may contact the Department of the Auditor General by accessing our website at [www.auditorgen.state.pa.us](http://www.auditorgen.state.pa.us).

