ST. MARYS AREA SCHOOL DISTRICT ELK COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA PERFORMANCE AUDIT REPORT

OCTOBER 2011

The Honorable Tom Corbett Governor Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17120

Mr. Joseph Goetz, Board President St. Marys Area School District 977 S. St. Marys Road St. Marys, Pennsylvania 15857

Dear Governor Corbett and Mr. Goetz:

We conducted a performance audit of the St. Marys Area School District (SMASD) to determine its compliance with applicable state laws, regulations, contracts, grant requirements, and administrative procedures. Our audit covered the period June 9, 2009 through April 15, 2011, except as otherwise indicated in the report. Additionally, compliance specific to state subsidy and reimbursements was determined for the school years ended June 30, 2010 and June 30, 2009. Our audit was conducted pursuant to 72 P.S. § 403 and in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

Our audit found that the SMASD complied, in all significant respects, with applicable state laws, regulations, contracts, grant requirements, and administrative procedures.

We appreciate the SMASD's cooperation during the conduct of the audit.

Sincerely,

/s/ JACK WAGNER Auditor General

October 25, 2011

cc: ST. MARYS AREA SCHOOL DISTRICT Board Members



Table of Contents

	Page
Executive Summary	. 1
Audit Scope, Objectives, and Methodology	. 3
Findings and Observations	. 6
Status of Prior Audit Findings and Observations	. 7
Distribution List	. 9



Executive Summary

Audit Work

The Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General conducted a performance audit of the St. Marys Area School District (SMASD). Our audit sought to answer certain questions regarding the District's compliance with applicable state laws, regulations, contracts, grant requirements, and administrative procedures; and to determine the status of corrective action taken by the SMASD in response to our prior audit recommendations.

Our audit scope covered the period June 9, 2009 through April 15, 2011, except as otherwise indicated in the audit scope, objectives, and methodology section of the report. Compliance specific to state subsidy and reimbursements was determined for school years 2009-10 and 2008-09.

District Background

The SMASD encompasses approximately 341 square miles. According to 2000 federal census data, it serves a resident population of 20,557. According to District officials, in school year 2009-10 the SMASD provided basic educational services to 2,296 pupils through the employment of 162 teachers, 115 full-time and part-time support personnel, and 14 administrators. Lastly, the SMASD received more than \$9.3 million in state funding in school year 2009-10.

Audit Conclusion and Results

Our audit found that the SMASD complied, in all significant respects, with applicable state laws, regulations, contracts, grant requirements, and administrative procedures. We report no findings or observations in this report.

Status of Prior Audit Findings and

Observations. With regard to the status of our prior audit recommendations to the SMASD from an audit we conducted of the 2007-08, 2006-07, 2005-06 and 2004-05 school years, we found the SMASD had taken appropriate corrective action in implementing our recommendations pertaining to logical access control weaknesses (see page 7).



Audit Scope, Objectives, and Methodology

Scope

What is a school performance audit?

School performance audits allow the Department of the Auditor General to determine whether state funds, including school subsidies, are being used according to the purposes and guidelines that govern the use of those funds. Additionally, our audits examine the appropriateness of certain administrative and operational practices at each Local Education Agency (LEA). The results of these audits are shared with LEA management, the Governor, the PA Department of Education, and other concerned entities.

Objectives

What is the difference between a finding and an observation?

Our performance audits may contain findings and/or observations related to our audit objectives. Findings describe noncompliance with a law, regulation, contract, grant requirement, or administrative procedure. Observations are reported when we believe corrective action should be taken to remedy a potential problem not rising to the level of noncompliance with specific criteria.

Our audit, conducted under authority of 72 P.S. § 403, is not a substitute for the local annual audit required by the Public School Code of 1949, as amended. We conducted our audit in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

Our audit covered the period June 9, 2009 through April 15, 2011.

Regarding state subsidy and reimbursements, our audit covered school years 2009-10 and 2008-09.

While all districts have the same school years, some have different fiscal years. Therefore, for the purposes of our audit work and to be consistent with Department of Education reporting guidelines, we use the term school year rather than fiscal year throughout this report. A school year covers the period July 1 to June 30.

Performance audits draw conclusions based on an evaluation of sufficient, appropriate evidence. Evidence is measured against criteria, such as, laws, regulations, and defined business practices. Our audit focused on assessing the SMASD's compliance with applicable state laws, regulations, contracts, grant requirements, and administrative procedures. However, as we conducted our audit procedures, we sought to determine answers to the following questions, which serve as our audit objectives:

- ✓ Were professional employees certified for the positions they held?
- ✓ In areas where the District receives state subsidy and reimbursements based on pupil membership (e.g. basic education, special education, and vocational education), did it follow applicable laws and procedures?
- ✓ In areas where the District receives state subsidy and reimbursements based on payroll (e.g. Social Security and retirement), did it follow applicable laws and procedures?

- ✓ Is the District's pupil transportation department, including any contracted vendors, in compliance with applicable state laws and procedures?
- ✓ Are there any declining fund balances which may impose risk to the fiscal viability of the District?
- ✓ Did the District pursue a contract buyout with an administrator and if so, what was the total cost of the buy-out, reasons for the termination/settlement, and do the current employment contract(s) contain adequate termination provisions?
- ✓ Were there any other areas of concern reported by local auditors, citizens, or other interested parties which warrant further attention during our audit?
- ✓ Is the District taking appropriate steps to ensure school safety?
- ✓ Did the District use an outside vendor to maintain its membership data and if so, are there internal controls in place related to vendor access?
- ✓ Did the District take appropriate corrective action to address recommendations made in our prior audits?

Government Auditing Standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain sufficient, appropriate evidence to provide a reasonable basis for our findings, observations and conclusions based on our audit objectives. We believe that the evidence obtained provides a reasonable basis for our findings, observations and conclusions based on our audit objectives.

SMASD management is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal controls to provide reasonable assurance that the District is in compliance with applicable laws, regulations, contracts, grant requirements, and administrative procedures. Within the context of our audit objectives, we obtained an understanding of internal controls and assessed whether those controls were properly designed and implemented.

Methodology

What are internal controls?

Internal controls are processes designed by management to provide reasonable assurance of achieving objectives in areas such as:

- Effectiveness and efficiency of operations;
- Relevance and reliability of operational and financial information;
- Compliance with applicable laws, regulations, contracts, grant requirements and administrative procedures.

Any significant deficiencies found during the audit are included in this report.

In order to properly plan our audit and to guide us in possible audit areas, we performed analytical procedures in the areas of state subsidies/reimbursement, pupil membership, pupil transportation, and comparative financial information.

Our audit examined the following:

- Records pertaining to pupil transportation bus driver qualifications, professional employee certification, and financial stability.
- Items such as Board meeting minutes, and pupil membership records.

Additionally, we interviewed selected administrators and support personnel associated with SMASD operations.

Lastly, to determine the status of our audit recommendations made in a prior audit report released on January 22, 2010, we performed additional audit procedures targeting the previously reported matters.

Findings and Observations

Por the audited period, our audit of the St. Marys Area School District resulted in no findings or observations.

Status of Prior Audit Findings and Observations

Our prior audit of the St. Marys Area School District (SMASD) for the school years 2007-08, 2006-07, 2005-06 and 2004-05 resulted in one observation. The observation pertained to logical access control weaknesses. As part of our current audit, we determined the status of corrective action taken by the District to implement our prior recommendations. We performed audit procedures and questioned District personnel regarding the prior observation. As shown below, we found that the SMASD did implement recommendations related to logical access control weaknesses.

School Years 2007-08, 2006-07, 2005-06 and 2004-05 Auditor General Performance Audit Report

Observation: Logical Access Control Weaknesses

Observation Summary:

The SMASD used software purchased from an outside vendor for its critical student accounting application (membership and attendance). The software vendor had remote access into the District's network servers.

We determined that a risk existed that unauthorized changes to the District's data could occur and not be detected because the District was unable to provide supporting evidence that it was adequately monitoring all vendor activity in its system.

Recommendations:

Our audit observation recommended that the SMASD:

- 1. Obtain a signed contract with the vendor.
- 2. Include provisions for authentication, (e.g., password syntax requirements), in the Acceptable Use Policy.
- 3. Require all vendor employees and District employees to sign the District's Acceptable Use Policy.
- 4. Implement a security policy and system parameter settings to require all users, including the vendor, to change passwords on a regular basis (i.e., every 30 days), and require passwords to be a minimum length of eight characters and include alpha, numeric and special characters.
- 5. Maintain a password history that will prevent the use of a repetitive password.

- 6. Develop policies and procedures to require written authorization when adding, deleting, or changing a userID, and maintain documentation to evidence that terminated employees are properly removed from the system in a timely manner.
- 7. Maintain the servers in a restricted/secure area in order to detect/deter unauthorized physical access, consider implementing additional environmental controls around the network server sufficient to satisfy the requirements of the manufacturer of the server and ensure warranty coverage, install fire detector/extinguishers in the computer room, and keep the servers in a Temperature Control Room.

Current Status:

During our current audit procedures we found that the SMASD did implement the recommendations.

Distribution List

This report was initially distributed to the superintendent of the school district, the board members, our website address at www.auditorgen.state.pa.us, and the following:

The Honorable Tom Corbett Governor Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Harrisburg, PA 17120

The Honorable Ronald J. Tomalis Secretary of Education 1010 Harristown Building #2 333 Market Street Harrisburg, PA 17126

The Honorable Robert M. McCord State Treasurer Room 129 - Finance Building Harrisburg, PA 17120

Ms. Nichole Duffy Director, Bureau of Budget and Fiscal Management Department of Education 4th Floor, 333 Market Street Harrisburg, PA 17126

Dr. David Wazeter Research Manager Pennsylvania State Education Association 400 North Third Street - Box 1724 Harrisburg, PA 17105

Dr. David Davare Director of Research Services Pennsylvania School Boards Association P.O. Box 2042 Mechanicsburg, PA 17055 This report is a matter of public record. Copies of this report may be obtained from the Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General, Office of Communications, 318 Finance Building, Harrisburg, PA 17120. If you have any questions regarding this report or any other matter, you may contact the Department of the Auditor General by accessing our website at www.auditorgen.state.pa.us.

