WARRIOR RUN SCHOOL DISTRICT

NORTHUMBERLAND COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA

PERFORMANCE AUDIT REPORT

JANUARY 2013



Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17120-0018

JACK WAGNER AUDITOR GENERAL

The Honorable Tom Corbett Governor Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17120 Mr. Todd P. Moser, Board President Warrior Run School District 4800 Susquehanna Trail Turbotville, Pennsylvania 17772

Dear Governor Corbett and Mr. Moser:

We conducted a performance audit of the Warrior Run School District (WRSD) to determine its compliance with applicable state laws, contracts, grant requirements, and administrative procedures. Our audit covered the period November 18, 2010 through July 16, 2012, except as otherwise indicated in the report. Additionally, compliance specific to state subsidy and reimbursements was determined for the school years ended June 30, 2010 and June 30, 2009. Our audit was conducted pursuant to 72 P.S. § 403 and in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

Our audit found that the WRSD complied, in all significant respects, with applicable state laws, contracts, grant requirements, and administrative procedures.

We appreciate the WRSD's cooperation during the conduct of the audit.

Sincerely,

/s/ JACK WAGNER Auditor General

January 9, 2013

cc: WARRIOR RUN SCHOOL DISTRICT Board Members



Table of Contents

Page

Executive Summary	1
Audit Scope, Objectives, and Methodology	3
Findings and Observations	6
Status of Prior Audit Findings and Observations	7
Distribution List	9



Executive Summary

<u>Audit Work</u>

The Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General conducted a performance audit of the Warrior Run School District (WRSD). Our audit sought to answer certain questions regarding the District's compliance with applicable state laws, regulations, contracts, grant requirements, and administrative procedures; and to determine the status of corrective action taken by the WRSD in response to our prior audit recommendations.

Our audit scope covered the period November 18, 2010 through July 16, 2012, except as otherwise indicated in the audit scope, objectives, and methodology section of the report. Compliance specific to state subsidy and reimbursements was determined for school years 2009-10 and 2008-09.

District Background

The WRSD encompasses approximately 113 square miles. According to 2010 federal census data, it serves a resident population of 17,290. According to District officials, in school year 2009-2010 the WRSD provided basic educational services to 1,672 pupils through the employment of 141 teachers, 102 full-time and part-time support personnel, and 13 administrators. Lastly, the WRSD received more than \$8.7 million in state funding in school year 2009-10.

Audit Conclusion and Results

Our audit found that the WRSD complied, in all significant respects, with applicable state laws, regulations, contracts, grant requirements, and administrative procedures. We report no findings or observations in this audit report.

Status of Prior Audit Findings and

Observations. With regard to the status of our prior audit recommendations to the WRSD from an audit we conducted of the 2007-08 and 2006-07 school years, we found the WRSD had taken appropriate corrective action in implementing our recommendations pertaining to unmonitored vendor system access and logical access control weaknesses (see page 7).



Audit Scope, Objectives, and Methodology

Scope

What is a school performance audit?

School performance audits allow the Department of the Auditor General to determine whether state funds, including school subsidies, are being used according to the purposes and guidelines that govern the use of those funds. Additionally, our audits examine the appropriateness of certain administrative and operational practices at each Local Education Agency (LEA). The results of these audits are shared with LEA management, the Governor, the PA Department of Education, and other concerned entities.

Objectives

What is the difference between a finding and an observation?

Our performance audits may contain findings and/or observations related to our audit objectives. Findings describe noncompliance with a statute, regulation, policy, contract, grant requirement, or administrative procedure. Observations are reported when we believe corrective action should be taken to remedy a potential problem not rising to the level of noncompliance with specific criteria. Our audit, conducted under authority of 72 P.S. § 403, is not a substitute for the local annual audit required by the Public School Code of 1949, as amended. We conducted our audit in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

Our audit covered the period November 18, 2010 through July 16, 2012, except for the verification of professional employee certification which was performed for the period July 1, 2011 through May 17, 2012.

Regarding state subsidy and reimbursements, our audit covered school years 2009-10 and 2008-09.

While all districts have the same school years, some have different fiscal years. Therefore, for the purposes of our audit work and to be consistent with Pennsylvania Department of Education (PDE) reporting guidelines, we use the term school year rather than fiscal year throughout this report. A school year covers the period July 1 to June 30.

Performance audits draw conclusions based on an evaluation of sufficient, appropriate evidence. Evidence is measured against criteria, such as laws and defined business practices. Our audit focused on assessing the WRSD's compliance with applicable state laws, regulations, contracts, grant requirements, and administrative procedures. However, as we conducted our audit procedures, we sought to determine answers to the following questions, which serve as our audit objectives:

- ✓ Were professional employees certified for the positions they held?
- ✓ Does the District have sufficient internal controls to ensure that the membership data it reported to the Pennsylvania Information Management System is complete, accurate, valid and reliable?

\checkmark	In areas where the District receives transportation	
	subsidies, is the District and any contracted vendors in	
	compliance with applicable state laws and procedures?	

- ✓ Did the District, and any contracted vendors, ensure that its current bus drivers are properly qualified, and does it have written policies and procedures governing the hiring of new bus drivers?
- ✓ Are there any declining fund balances that may impose risk to the District's fiscal viability?
- ✓ Did the District pursue a contract buy-out with an administrator and if so, what was the total cost of the buy-out, what were the reasons for the termination/settlement, and does the current employment contract(s) contain adequate termination provisions?
- ✓ Were there any other areas of concern reported by local auditors, citizens, or other interested parties?
- ✓ Is the District taking appropriate steps to ensure school safety?
- ✓ Did the District have a properly executed and updated Memorandum of Understanding with local law enforcement?
- ✓ Were votes made by the District's board members free from apparent conflicts of interest?
- ✓ Did the District take appropriate corrective action to address recommendations made in our prior audits?

Government Auditing Standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain sufficient, appropriate evidence to provide a reasonable basis for our conclusions based on our audit objectives. We believe that the evidence obtained provides a reasonable basis for our and conclusions based on our audit objectives.

Methodology

What are internal controls?

Internal controls are processes designed by management to provide reasonable assurance of achieving objectives in areas such as:

- Effectiveness and efficiency of operations;
- Relevance and reliability of operational and financial information;
- Compliance with applicable laws, contracts, grant requirements and administrative procedures.

WRSD management is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal controls to provide reasonable assurance that the District is in compliance with applicable laws, contracts, grant requirements, and administrative procedures. Within the context of our audit objectives, we obtained an understanding of internal controls and assessed whether those controls were properly designed and implemented. Additionally, we gained a high-level understanding of the District's information technology (IT) environment and evaluated whether internal controls specific to IT were present.

Any significant deficiencies found during the audit are included in this report.

In order to properly plan our audit and to guide us in possible audit areas, we performed analytical procedures in the areas of state subsidies/reimbursement, pupil transportation, and comparative financial information.

Our audit examined the following:

- Records pertaining to pupil transportation, bus driver qualifications, and professional employee certification.
- Items such as Board meeting minutes and pupil membership record.

Additionally, we interviewed selected administrators and support personnel associated with WRSD operations.

Lastly, to determine the status of our audit recommendations made in a prior audit report released on April 20, 2011, we reviewed the WRSD's response to PDE dated June 4, 2012. We then performed additional audit procedures targeting the previously reported matters.

Findings and Observations

For the audited period, our audit of the Warrior Run School District resulted in no findings or observations.

Status of Prior Audit Findings and Observations

Our prior audit of the Warrior Run School District (WRSD) for the school years 2007-08 and 2006-07 resulted in one reported observation. The observation pertained to unmonitored vendor system access and logical access control weaknesses. As part of our current audit, we determined the status of corrective action taken by the District to implement our prior recommendations. We analyzed the WRSD Superintendent's written response provided to the Pennsylvania Department of Education (PDE), performed audit procedures, and questioned District personnel regarding the prior observation. As shown below, we found that the WRSD did implement recommendations related to unmonitored vendor system access and logical access control weaknesses.

School Years 2007-08 and 2006-07 Auditor General Performance Audit Report

Observation:	Unmonitored Vendor System Access and Logical Access Control Weaknesses
<u>Observation</u> <u>Summary:</u>	Our prior audit found that the WRSD uses software purchased from an outside vendor for its critical student accounting applications (membership and attendance). The vendor has remote access into the network servers.
Recommendations:	Our audit observation recommended that the WRSD:
	 Develop an agreement with the vendor to provide student accounting applications and related information technology (IT) services. The agreement should cover legal, financial, organizational, documentary, performance, security, intellectual property, and termination responsibilities and liabilities (including penalty clauses). All contracts and contract changes should be reviewed by legal advisors. Establish separate IT policies and procedures for controlling the activities of vendors/consultants and have the vendor sign this policy, or the District should require the vendor to sign the District's Acceptable Use Policy.
	3. Implement a security policy and system parameter settings to require all users, including the vendor, to change their passwords on a regular basis (i.e., every 30 days) and to maintain a password history that will prevent the use of a repetitive password. (i.e., last ten words).

- 4. Require the vendor to assign unique userIDs and passwords to vendor employees authorized to access the District system. Further, the District should obtain a list of vendor employees with access to its data and ensure that changes to the data are made only by authorized vendor representatives.
- 5. Generate monitoring reports (including firewall logs) of vendor and employee access and activity on their system. Monitoring reports should include the date, time, and reason for access, change(s) made and who made the change(s). The District should review these reports to determine that the access was appropriate and that data was not improperly altered. The District should also ensure it is maintaining evidence to support this monitoring and review.
- 6. Store back-up tapes in a secure, off-site location.
- <u>Current Status:</u> During our current audit procedures we found that the WRSD did implement the recommendations.

Distribution List

This report was initially distributed to the superintendent of the school district, the board members, our website address at www.auditorgen.state.pa.us, and the following:

The Honorable Tom Corbett Governor Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Harrisburg, PA 17120

The Honorable Ronald J. Tomalis Secretary of Education 1010 Harristown Building #2 333 Market Street Harrisburg, PA 17126

The Honorable Robert M. McCord State Treasurer Room 129 - Finance Building Harrisburg, PA 17120

Ms. Nichole Duffy Director, Bureau of Budget and Fiscal Management Pennsylvania Department of Education 4th Floor, 333 Market Street Harrisburg, PA 17126

Dr. David Wazeter Research Manager Pennsylvania State Education Association 400 North Third Street - Box 1724 Harrisburg, PA 17105

Mr. Tom Templeton Assistant Executive Director School Board and Management Services Pennsylvania School Boards Association P.O. Box 2042 Mechanicsburg, PA 17055 This report is a matter of public record. Copies of this report may be obtained from the Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General, Office of Communications, 318 Finance Building, Harrisburg, PA 17120. If you have any questions regarding this report or any other matter, you may contact the Department of the Auditor General by accessing our website at www.auditorgen.state.pa.us.

